PROCEEDINGS OF THE
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
Volume 69, Number 2, May 1978

MAXIMAL RESIDUE DIFFERENCE SETS MODULO p

DUNCAN A. BUELL AND KENNETH S. WILLIAMS[1]

ABSTRACT. Let $p \equiv 1 \pmod 4$ be a prime. A residue difference set modulo p is a set $S = \{a_i\}$ of integers a_i such that $(\frac{a_i}{p}) = +1$ and $(\frac{a_i - a_j}{p}) = +1$ for all i and j with $i \neq j$, where $(\frac{n}{p})$ is the Legendre symbol modulo p. Let m_p be the cardinality of a maximal such set S. The authors estimate the size of m_p.

1. **Introduction.** Let $p \equiv 1 \pmod 4$ be a prime. A residue difference set modulo p is a set of integers $\{a_1, \ldots, a_k\}$, with $1 \leqslant a_i \leqslant p - 1$, such that

(i) $(\frac{a_i}{p}) = +1$, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant k$,

(ii) $(\frac{a_i - a_j}{p}) = +1$, $1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant k$, $i \neq j$,

where $(\frac{n}{p})$ is the Legendre symbol modulo p. The maximal cardinality of a residue difference set modulo p is denoted by m_p. The problem of estimating m_p was posed at the West Coast Number Theory Conference in La Jolla, California in December 1976. We obtain the following estimates.

THEOREM. (i) $m_p > \frac{1}{2} \log p$ *for all* p,

(ii) $m_p < p^{1/2} \log p$ *for all* p,

(iii) $m_p < (1 + \varepsilon) p^{1/2} \log p / 4 \log 2$ *for all* $p > C$, *where* $C \equiv C(\varepsilon)$ *is a constant depending only on* ε.

Any residue difference set can be transformed into a set containing 1 (by multiplication by any $a_i^{-1} \pmod p$), so we need only consider residue difference sets of the form

$$S = \{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_k\},$$

where $1 = a_1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_k$. Let $N_p(k)$ be the number of such sets. The value of $N_p(2)$ is exactly $(p - 5)/4$; we shall, in proving the theorem, obtain a lower bound for $N_p(k)$ for $k \geqslant 3$.

The proof of the theorem requires the following lemma, which we state here and prove in §3.

LEMMA. *For any integer* $k \geqslant 1$, *let* $a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{k-1}$ *be* k *integers such that*

Received by the editors March 14, 1977.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 10A15; Secondary 10G05.

[1] Research supported under National Research Council of Canada Grant No. A-7233.

$a_0 = 0, a_1 = 1, 1 < a_i < p$ $(i = 2, 3, \ldots, k-1)$, $a_i \neq a_j$ for $i \neq j$. Set

$$S(a_0, \ldots, a_{k-1}) = \sum_{\substack{x=0 \\ x \neq a_0, \ldots, a_{k-1}}}^{p-1} \left\{ \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \left(1 + \left(\frac{x - a_j}{p}\right)\right)\right\}.$$

Then $|S(a_0, \ldots, a_{k-1}) - p| \leqslant p^{1/2}\{(k-2)2^{k-1} + 1\} + k2^{k-1}$, and if $p \geqslant k^2$ the expression on the right-hand side of this inequality is at most $p^{1/2}k2^{k-1}$.

Use will also be made of the following simple and easily-proved inequality: if $b_1, \ldots, b_n$ are n $(\geqslant 1)$ numbers such that $p \geqslant b_1 \geqslant b_2 \geqslant \cdots \geqslant b_n > 0$ then

$$(p - b_1) \cdots (p - b_n) \geqslant p^n - p^{n-1}(b_1 + \cdots + b_n). \tag{1.1}$$

2. **Proof of the theorem.** As $m_5 = 1$, $m_{13} = m_{17} = 2$, $m_{29} = m_{37} = 3$, $m_{41} = m_{53} = 4$, part (i) of the theorem is easily verified for $p \leqslant 53$. Thus we can assume $p \geqslant 61$, so that $\frac{1}{2}\log p > 2$. In order to complete the proof we must show that $N_p(k) > 0$ for $2 \leqslant k \leqslant \frac{1}{2}\log p$. To do this, we use the following expression for $N_p(k)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
N_p(k) &= \frac{1}{2^{(k-1)(k+2)/2}} \sum_{\substack{a_2, \ldots, a_k \\ 1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_k < p}} \left\{1 + \left(\frac{a_2}{p}\right)\right\} \cdots \left\{1 + \left(\frac{a_k}{p}\right)\right\} \\
&\quad \cdot \left\{1 + \left(\frac{a_2 - 1}{p}\right)\right\} \cdots \left\{1 + \left(\frac{a_k - 1}{p}\right)\right\} \\
&\quad \cdot \prod_{2 \leqslant j < i \leqslant k} \left\{1 + \left(\frac{a_i - a_j}{p}\right)\right\} \\
&= \frac{1}{2^{(k-1)(k+2)/2}(k-1)!} \sum_{\substack{1 < a_2 < p \\ a_i \neq a_j, i \neq j}} \cdots \sum_{1 < a_k < p} \left\{1 + \left(\frac{a_2}{p}\right)\right\} \cdots \\
&\qquad\qquad \left\{1 + \left(\frac{a_k}{p}\right)\right\} \\
&\quad \cdot \left\{1 + \left(\frac{a_2 - 1}{p}\right)\right\} \cdots \left\{1 + \left(\frac{a_k - 1}{p}\right)\right\} \\
&\quad \cdot \prod_{2 \leqslant j < i \leqslant k} \left\{1 + \left(\frac{a_i - a_j}{p}\right)\right\} \\
&= \frac{1}{2^{(k-1)(k-2)/2}(k-1)!} \sum_{1 < a_2 < p} \left\{1 + \left(\frac{a_2}{p}\right)\right\}\left\{1 + \left(\frac{a_2 - 1}{p}\right)\right\} \\
&\quad \cdots \sum_{\substack{1 < a_{k-1} < p \\ a_{k-1} \neq a_2, \ldots, a_{k-2}}} \left\{1 + \left(\frac{a_{k-1}}{p}\right)\right\}\left\{1 + \left(\frac{a_{k-1} - 1}{p}\right)\right\} \\
&\quad \cdot \prod_{j=2}^{k-2} \left\{1 + \left(\frac{a_{k-1} - a_j}{p}\right)\right\} S(a_0, \ldots, a_{k-1}).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $p > (\frac{1}{2}\log p)^2$ (for all p) and as all the summands in the above expression for $N_p(k)$ are nonnegative, we can apply the lemma successively to obtain

$$N_p(k) \geqslant \frac{1}{2^{(k-1)(k+2)/2}(k-1)!}\left(p - 2\cdot 2p^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)\cdots\left(p - k\cdot 2^{k-1}p^{\frac{1}{2}}\right).$$

Since for all integers $k \geqslant 2$ we have $\log(k-1) + k\log 2 < k$, and as $k \leqslant \frac{1}{2}\log p$, we obtain

$$p^{1/2} > (k-1)2^k > k2^{k-1}. \tag{2.1}$$

Applying (1.1) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} N_p(k) &\geqslant \frac{1}{2^{(k-1)(k+2)/2}(k-1)!}\left\{p^{k-1} - p^{k-3/2}(2\cdot 2 + \cdots + k\cdot 2^{k-1})\right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{(k-1)(k+2)/2}(k-1)!}\left\{p^{k-1} - (k-1)2^k p^{k-3/2}\right\}, \end{aligned}$$

and $N_p(k) > 0$ follows from (2.1). Thus $m_p > \frac{1}{2}\log p$ for all primes p.

We now turn to the proofs of parts (ii) and (iii) of the theorem. The set of possible values of a_2 so that $\{1, a_2\}$ is a residue difference set modulo p is

$$A_2 = \left\{b \middle| \left(\frac{b}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{b-1}{p}\right) = +1\right\}.$$

Fixing a value of $a_2 \in A_2$, the set of possible values of a_3 so that $\{1, a_2, a_3\}$ is a residue difference set modulo p is

$$A_3 = \left\{b \middle| b \in A_2, \left(\frac{b-a_2}{p}\right) = +1\right\}.$$

Continuing in this way, one obtains for any residue difference set $S = \{1, a_2, \ldots, a_{k-1}\}$, a set A_k of possible values of a_k so that $\{1, a_2, \ldots, a_k\}$ is a residue difference set. If α_k denotes the number of elements of A_k, then the residue difference set of maximal length that contains S as a subset certainly has at most $k - 1 + \alpha_k$ elements, where

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_k &= \frac{1}{2^k}\sum_{a_{k-1}<a_k<p}\left\{1 + \left(\frac{a_k}{p}\right)\right\}\left\{1 + \left(\frac{a_k-1}{p}\right)\right\}\left\{1 + \left(\frac{a_k-a_2}{p}\right)\right\} \\ &\qquad \cdots \left\{1 + \left(\frac{a_k-a_{k-1}}{p}\right)\right\} \\ &\leqslant \frac{1}{2^k}\sum_{\substack{a=0 \\ a\neq a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{k-1}}}^{p-1}\prod_{i=0}^{k-1}\left\{1 + \left(\frac{a-a_i}{p}\right)\right\} = \frac{1}{2^k}\,S(a_0, \ldots, a_{k-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, if $m_p \geqslant k - 1$, there exists a set $S = \{1, a_2, \ldots, a_{k-1}\}$ which is a subset of a residue difference set of m_p elements, and

$$m_p \leqslant k - 1 + \frac{1}{2^k}\,S(a_0, \ldots, a_{k-1}).$$

Hence from the lemma we have

$$m_p \leqslant k - 1 + \frac{1}{2^k}\left\{p + p^{1/2}\big((k-2)2^{k-1} + 1\big) + k2^{k-1}\right\}$$
$$\leqslant \frac{3k}{2} - 1 + \frac{p}{2^k} + \frac{(k-1)}{2}\, p^{1/2}.$$

If we now choose $k = 1 + [\log p/2 \log 2]$, we see that $m_p \geqslant [\log p/2 \log 2]$ implies

$$m_p \leqslant \frac{3}{4 \log 2} \log p + \tfrac{1}{2} + p^{1/2} + \frac{p^{1/2} \log p}{4 \log 2}.$$

Now for $p \geqslant 37$ we have

$$m_p \leqslant \left(\frac{3}{4\sqrt{37}\ \log 2} + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{37}\ \log 37} + \frac{1}{\log 37} + \frac{1}{4 \log 2}\right) p^{1/2} \log p$$
$$< (0.18 + 0.03 + 0.28 + 0.37) p^{1/2} \log p$$
$$= 0.86\, p^{1/2} \log p$$
$$< p^{1/2} \log p.$$

As the inequality $m_p < p^{1/2} \log p$ is easy to check for $p = 5$, 13, 17 and 29, this completes the proof of (ii).

Part (iii) follows by choosing $p \geqslant C(\varepsilon)$ so that

$$\frac{3}{4 \log 2} \log p + \tfrac{1}{2} + p^{1/2} \leqslant \varepsilon\, \frac{p^{1/2} \log p}{4 \log 2}.$$

3. **Proof of lemma.** Let $f(x) = (x - c_1) \cdots (x - c_t)$, where the c_i are t ($\geqslant 1$) integers which are incongruent modulo an odd prime p. Then the following estimate is a consequence of a deep result of A. Weil (see for example [1], [2]):

$$\left|\sum_{x=0}^{p-1} \left(\frac{f(x)}{p}\right)\right| \leqslant (t-1)p^{1/2}. \tag{3.1}$$

The term corresponding to the product of the 1's in $S(a_0, \ldots, a_{k-1})$ is

$$\sum_{\substack{x=0 \\ x \neq a_0, \ldots, a_{k-1}}}^{p-1} 1 \quad = p - k.$$

A typical term amongst the remaining $2^k - 1$ terms is

$$\sum_{\substack{x=0 \\ x \neq a_0, \ldots, a_{k-1}}}^{p-1} \left(\frac{(x - a_{i_1}) \cdots (x - a_{i_r})}{p}\right)$$

where $k \geqslant r \geqslant 1$, $0 \leqslant i_1 < \cdots < i_r \leqslant k - 1$. By (3.1) this sum is bounded in absolute value by $(r-1)p^{1/2} + k - r$. We thus have

$$\begin{aligned}|S(a_0, \ldots, a_{k-1}) - (p-k)| &\leqslant \sum_{r=1}^{k} \{(r-1)p^{1/2} + (k-r)\}\left(\frac{k}{r}\right) \\ &= (p^{1/2}-1)\sum_{r=1}^{k} r\binom{k}{r} - (p^{1/2}-k)\sum_{r=1}^{k}\binom{k}{r} \\ &= (p^{1/2}-1)k2^{k-1} - (p^{1/2}-k)(2^k-1) \\ &= p^{1/2}\{(k-2)2^{k-1}+1\} + \{k2^{k-1}-k\},\end{aligned}$$

so that

$$|S(a_0, \ldots, a_{k-1}) - p| \leqslant p^{1/2}\{(k-2)2^{k-1}+1\} + k2^{k-1}.$$

If $p \geqslant k^2$ then the right-hand side of the above is

$$\begin{aligned}&\leqslant p^{1/2}\{(k-2)2^{k-1}+1+2^{k-1}\} \\ &\leqslant p^{1/2}k2^{k-1}.\end{aligned}$$

4. **Remarks.** We note that the above arguments can be slightly refined to obtain marginal improvements in the constants appearing in the theorem. However, it appears to be a difficult problem to obtain the true order of magnitude of m_p. We have computed $N_p(k)$ and m_p for all primes $p \leqslant 617$ and observed that for p in the range $401 \leqslant p \leqslant 617$, $m_p/\log p$ varies between 1.27 and 1.72. One might expect, therefore, that $m_p \sim c \log p$ for some constant c with $1 \leqslant c \leqslant 2$. However, our arguments, unless significantly modified, would not seem to yield a result of the type $m_p \geqslant \log p$.

The residue difference sets modulo p form a tree with the nodes of the second level corresponding to the elements of A_2, the nodes of the third level corresponding to the elements of all sets A_3, etc. The computation of $N_p(k)$ was done by a depth-first search through this tree on the Xerox Data Systems Sigma 9 computer at Carleton University. As an indication of the number of nodes involved we note that for $p = 617$ there were 1,374,659 nodes.

References

1. D. A. Burgess, *The distribution of quadratic residues and non-residues*, Mathematika **4** (1957), 106–112.

2. _____, *On character sums and primitive roots*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **12** (1962), 179–192.

Department of Mathematics, Carleton University, Ottawa (K1S 5B6), Ontario, Canada (Current address of K. S. Williams)

Current address (D. A. Buell): Department of Computer Science, Bowling Green State University, Bowling Green, Ohio 43403