A REFINEMENT OF THE ARITHMETIC MEAN-GEOMETRIC MEAN INEQUALITY

D. I. CARTWRIGHT AND M. J. FIELD

ABSTRACT. Upper and lower bounds are given for the difference between the arithmetic and geometric means of n positive real numbers in terms of the variance of these numbers.

In this note we prove a simple refinement of the arithmetic mean-geometric mean inequality. Our result solves a problem posed by Kenneth S. Williams in [5] and generalizes an inequality on p. 215 of [3]. Other estimates for the difference between the means are discussed in [2], [3] and [4].

THEOREM. Suppose that $x_k \in [a, b]$ and $p_k \ge 0$ for k = 1, ..., n, where $a \ge 0$, and suppose that $\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_k = 1$. Then, writing $\overline{x} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} p_k x_k$, we have

$$\frac{1}{2b} \sum_{k=1}^{n} p_k (x_k - \bar{x})^2 \leq \bar{x} - \prod_{k=1}^{n} (x_k^{p_k}) \leq \frac{1}{2a} \sum_{k=1}^{n} p_k (x_k - \bar{x})^2.$$
(1)

In particular, if $p_k = 1/n$ for each k, then

$$\frac{1}{2bn^2} \sum_{j < k} (x_j - x_k)^2 \leq \frac{x_1 + \dots + x_n}{n} - \left(\prod_{j=1}^n x_j\right)^{1/j} \leq \frac{1}{2an^2} \sum_{j < k} (x_j - x_k)^2.$$

REMARK. These inequalities may be generalized as follows: Let *m* be a probability measure on [*a*, *b*], where a > 0, and let $\mu = \int_a^b t \, dm(t)$ and $\sigma^2 = \int_a^b (t - \mu)^2 \, dm(t)$ be the mean and variance of *m*. Then

$$\frac{1}{2b} \sigma^2 \leq \mu - \exp\left(\int_a^b \log(t) \, dm(t)\right) \leq \frac{1}{2a} \sigma^2.$$

This follows from our theorem and the weak* density of the measures of the form $\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_k \delta_{x_k}$ (where δ_x denotes the probability measure which is concentrated at the point x) in the set of all probability measures on [a, b]. (See [1, p. 709].) Notice that the inequality

$$\exp\left(\int_a^b \log(t) \, dm(t)\right) \leq \mu$$

Received by the editors August 15, 1977.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 26A87.

Key words and phrases. Arithmetic mean-geometric mean inequality.

[©] American Mathematical Society 1978

is just a special case of Jensen's inequality.

LEMMA. Let $0 \le q \le 1$. Then for all $t \ge 0$ we have

$$1 + qt + \frac{q(q-1)}{2} t^2 \le (1+t)^q \le 1 + qt + \frac{q(q-1)}{2} \frac{t^2}{1+t}.$$

PROOF. After a little algebra we see that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \log \left(1 + qt + \frac{q(q-1)}{2} \cdot \frac{t^2}{1+t} \right)$$

$$= \frac{q}{1+t} \left\{ \frac{2 + (2+2q)t + (1+q)t^2}{2 + (2+2q)t + q(1+q)t^2} \right\}$$

$$\geqslant \frac{q}{1+t} \quad \text{since } 0 \le q \le 1$$

$$= \frac{d}{dt} \log(1+t)^q.$$

Since $(1 + t)^q$ and $1 + qt + (q(q - 1)/2)(t^2/(1 + t))$ agree at t = 0, the right-hand inequality is proved.

The left-hand inequality may be proved in the same way, or by using the Taylor expansion of $(1 + t)^{q}$.

PROOF OF THE THEOREM. The inequalities (1) are trivially valid if n = 1. Let n = 2. We may suppose that $x_2 \ge x_1$. Writing $x_2 = (1 + t)x_1$, with $t \ge 0$, and writing $p_2 = q$, $p_1 = 1 - q$, the desired inequalities (1) become

$$\frac{q(1-q)}{2b} t^2 x_1^2 \le x_1 \left\{ 1 + qt - (1+t)^q \right\} \le \frac{q(1-q)}{2a} t^2 x_1^2,$$

which follows immediately from our lemma, noting that $a \le x_1 \le (1 + t)x_1 \le b$.

Suppose now that $n \ge 3$ and that the inequalities (1) have been proved for all admissible x_k 's and p_k 's with n - 1 replacing n.

Fix x_1, \ldots, x_n . We may assume that the x_k 's are distinct, for otherwise the inequalities follow from the induction hypothesis. Let us consider the left-hand inequality. Define

$$f(p) = f(p_1, \ldots, p_n) = \bar{x} - \prod_{k=1}^n (x_k^{p_k}) - \frac{1}{2b} \sum_{k=1}^n p_k (x_k - \bar{x})^2$$

for $p \in S = \{p = (p_1, \ldots, p_n): p_k \ge 0 \text{ for each } k\}.$

There is a point p° of S where f is minimized subject to the constraint $\sum p_k = 1$. If p° lies on the boundary of S, then some component of p° is zero, and hence $f(p^{\circ}) \ge 0$ by the induction hypothesis, and so the left-hand inequality holds.

If p° is an interior point of S, then we may use the Lagrange multiplier method to obtain a real number λ such that at p° ,

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial p_j} = \lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial p_j} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n p_k - 1 \right) \text{ for all } j,$$

38

$$x_j - (\log x_j) \prod_{1}^{n} (x_k^{p_k}) - \frac{(x_j - \bar{x})^2}{2b} = \lambda.$$

Thus each x_i is a solution of the equation (in ξ)

$$(1 + \bar{x}/b)\xi - \tilde{x}\log(\xi) - \xi^2/2b = \lambda + \bar{x}^2/2b$$
(2)

(writing \tilde{x} for $\prod(x_k^{p_k})$).

Now between any two roots of (2) there is by Rolle's theorem a root of

$$1 + \bar{x}/b - \tilde{x}/\xi - \xi/b = 0,$$

i.e. of

$$\xi^2 - (b + \bar{x})\xi + b\tilde{x} = 0.$$
(3)

Since (3) has at most 2 solutions, equation (2) has at most 3 solutions. The larger root of (3) is, since $\tilde{x} \leq \bar{x}$,

$$\left(b+\bar{x}+\sqrt{\left(b+\bar{x}\right)^2-4b\tilde{x}}\right)/2 \ge b.$$

Hence equation (2) has at most 2 solutions in [a, b]. Since each x_j is a solution and since the x_j 's are distinct, we must have $n \leq 2$, contrary to assumption.

Thus p° must be a boundary point of S, and so the left-hand inequality is proved.

The right-hand inequality may be proved in the same way by replacing b by a in the definition of f and by noting that the smaller root of the equation corresponding to (3) is $\leq a$.

REMARK. Examination of the above proof shows that the inequalities in (1) are strict unless the x_k 's corresponding to nonzero p_k 's are all equal. Furthermore, the constants 1/2a and 1/2b in (1) are the best possible. For in the case n = 2 we have

$$\frac{\bar{x} - \prod(x_k^{p_k})}{\sum p_k(x_k - \bar{x})^2} = \frac{1 + qt - (1+t)^q}{q(1-q)t^2 x_1}$$

if 0 < q < 1 and t > 0 (in the notation of the first paragraph of the proof). It is easy to see that the limit of this expression as t tends to zero is $1/2x_1$, and since $x_1 \in [a, b)$ is arbitrary, the result follows.

References

1. R. E. Edwards, Functional analysis, theory and applications, Holt, New York, 1965. MR 36 #4308.

2. A. M. Fink and Max Jodeit, Jr., A generalization of the arithmetic-geometric means inequality, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 61 (1976), 255-261.

3. D. S. Mitrinović, Analytic inequalities, Die Grundlehren der math. Wissenschaften, Band 165, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1970. MR 43 #448.

4. S. H. Tung, On lower and upper bounds of the difference between the arithmetic and the geometric mean, Math. Comput. 29 (1975), 834–836. MR 52 #14203.

5. K. S. Williams, Problem 247, Eureka 3 (1977), 131.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF SYDNEY, SYDNEY, N.S.W. 2006, AUSTRALIA