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#### Abstract

A normed space $E$ is an inner product space if and only if for every 2-dimensional subspace $V$ and every segment $I \subset V$, the corresponding metric projections satisfy the commutative property $P_{I} P_{V}=P_{V} P_{I}$.


For a subset $A$ of a normed linear space $E$, we denote by $P_{A}$ the metric projection on $A$, i.e. the set-valued mapping which corresponds to each $x \in E$ the (possibly empty) set of its best approximations in $A: P_{A} x=\{y \in A$; $\|x-y\|=d(x, A)\} . A$ is called proximinal if $P_{A} x$ is nonempty for every $x \in E$. If $E$ is a Hilbert space and $A$ is a closed subspace of $E$, then $P_{A}$ is just the (single-valued) orthogonal projection onto $A$.
There are several known characterizations of inner product spaces which can be stated in terms of the metric projections. See e.g. [3], [5], [8], and [9]. We shall consider three other such conditions below. For all of these three characterizing conditions, the necessity part is immediate. The weakest condition (hence strongest characterization) is due to Lorch [7] (cf. also Day [1, p. 152]):
(L) If $\|x\|=\|y\|=1$ and $A=\{\beta x+y / \beta ; \beta \neq 0$ real $\}$, then $x+y \in$ $P_{A} 0$.

The next condition, due to Gurari and Sozonov [4], is:
(GS) If $\|x\|=\|y\|=1$ and $A$ is the segment $[x, y]=\{\alpha x+(1-\alpha) y$; $0 \leqslant \alpha \leqslant 1\}$, then $(x+y) / 2 \in P_{A} 0$.

The third condition (under the assumption $\operatorname{dim} E \geqslant 3$ ) is due to Joichi [6]:
(J) If $V$ is a 2-dimensional subspace of $E, u \in E$ with $0 \in P_{V} u$ and $A=S_{0}$ is the "unit circle" in $V: S_{0}=\{v \in V:\|v\|=1\}$, then $P_{A} u=A$.

We first give an easy proof that $(\mathrm{J}) \Rightarrow(\mathrm{GS}) \Rightarrow(\mathrm{L})$, and hence this yields an alternate approach to the more involved sufficiency proofs as given in [4] and [6].
$(\mathrm{J}) \Rightarrow(\mathrm{GS}):$ We may assume $\operatorname{dim} E=3$. If (GS) fails, then there exists $x, y$ in $E$ with $\|x\|=\|y\|=1$ and $0 \leqslant \gamma<\frac{1}{2}$ such that the element $z=\gamma x+(1$ $-\gamma) y$ satisfies $\|z\|=\min _{0 \leqslant \lambda \leqslant 1 / 2}\|\lambda x+(1-\lambda) y\|<\left\|\frac{1}{2}(x+y)\right\|$. Extend the segment $[x, y]$ to a supporting hyperplane $V+z$ to the ball $\{w \in E$ :

[^0]$\|w\| \leqslant\|z\|\}$ at $z$. Let $u=-z /\|x-z\|$ and $v=(x-z) /\|x-z\|$. Then $0 \in P_{V} u$ and $\pm v \in S_{0}$, but
$$
\|u-v\|=\frac{\|x\|}{\|x-z\|} \neq \frac{\|2 z-x\|}{\|x-z\|}=\|u+v\|
$$
(since if $\|x\|=\|2 z-x\|=\|y\|$, then the three distinct collinear points $x, y$, and $2 z-x$ have the same norm so all the points in $[x, y]$ must have the same norm, a contradiction to $\left.\|z\|<\left\|\frac{1}{2}(x+y)\right\|\right)$. Thus (J) fails.
$(\mathrm{GS}) \Rightarrow(\mathrm{L})$ : Suppose (GS) holds. If $\|x\|=\|y\|=1, \beta \neq 0$, and $\gamma=\beta / 2$ $+1 / 2 \beta$, then $|\gamma| \geqslant 1$. Hence
$$
\left\|\beta x+\frac{1}{\beta} y\right\| \geqslant 2\left\|\frac{\beta}{2 \gamma} x+\frac{1}{2 \beta \gamma} y\right\| \geqslant 2\left\|\frac{1}{2}(x+y)\right\|=\|x+y\|
$$
(since $\beta / 2 \gamma+1 / 2 \beta \gamma=1$ ). Hence (L) holds.
The characterization we add here is by a commutativity property of the metric projection.

Theorem. The following are equivalent for a normed space $E$ with $\operatorname{dim} E \geqslant 3$ :
(1) $E$ is an inner product space;
(2) For every proximinal subspace $V$ and any nonempty $A \subset V, P_{A} \circ P_{V}=$ $P_{A}\left(=P_{V} \circ P_{A}\right) ;$
(3) The same as (2) with $V$ 2-dimensional and $A$ a segment.

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2). This is immediate from the orthogonality of $x-P_{V} x$ to $V:$ if $x \in E, y=P_{V} x, z \in P_{A} y$, and $a \in A$, then

$$
\|x-z\|^{2}=\|x-y\|^{2}+\|y-z\|^{2} \leqslant\|x-y\|^{2}+\|y-a\|^{2}=\|x-a\|^{2}
$$

and equality holds if and only if $\|y-a\|=\|y-z\|$, i.e. $a \in P_{A} y$.
$(2) \Rightarrow(3)$ is trivial.
$(3) \Rightarrow(1)$. Since a normed space $E$ is an inner product space iff each 2-dimensional subspace is, we may assume $\operatorname{dim} E=3$.

We show first that $E$ is strictly convex. If not, we can find a 2-dimensional subspace $V$ and $x \in E$ such that $P_{V} x$ is not a singleton. We may assume also that 0 is a boundary point of $P_{V} x$ in $V$. Let $K$ be the cone $\left\{\lambda P_{V} x: \lambda \geqslant 0\right\} . K$ is contained in a halfspace, therefore $K \neq V$ and we can find $v \in V$, $\|v\|=1$, with $0<d(v, K)<\frac{1}{2}$ and $\lambda>0, y \in P_{V} x$ with $\|\lambda y-v\|<\frac{1}{2}$. Let $A=[0, v]$. Since $v \notin K, A \cap P_{V} x=\{0\}$ and $P_{A} x=0$. On the other hand, if $0 \in P_{A} y$, then $\|y\| \leqslant\|y-\gamma v\|$ for all $\gamma \in[0,1]$, hence for all $\gamma \geqslant 0$. It follows that $\|\lambda y\| \leqslant\|\lambda y-\lambda \gamma v\|$ for all $\gamma \geqslant 0$. In particular, $\|\lambda y\| \leqslant\|\lambda y-v\|<\frac{1}{2}$ while $\|\lambda v\| \geqslant\|v\|-\|\lambda y-v\|>\frac{1}{2}$, a contradiction. Thus $E$ is strictly convex.

We shall show that for every 2-dimensional subspace $V$ of $E$ and $x \in E$ with $P_{V} x=0$, the nonempty intersections of "spheres" around $x$ with $V$ are multiples of the unit circle in $V$, i.e. if $y_{0} \in V$ is arbitrary, then $S_{1}=\{v \in V$ : $\left.\|x-v\|=\left\|x-y_{0}\right\|\right\}$ coincides with $\left\|y_{0}\right\| S_{0}=\left\{v \in V:\|v\|=\left\|y_{0}\right\|\right\}$. By $(\mathrm{J})$, this guarantees that $E$ is an inner product space.

The idea of the proof is to show that $S_{1}$ is a curve "parallel" to $S_{0}$, i.e. that every line supporting one of them is parallel to a line supporting the other at the corresponding point. If not, we can find a line segment $[y, z], y \in S_{1}$, such that $(y, z]$ is contained in one of the domains bounded by $S_{1}$ and $\|y\| S_{0}$ and disjoint to the other, so that $y$ is exactly one of the points $P_{[y, z]} x$ or $P_{[y, z]} 0$. It is very natural to conclude from this that $S_{1}$ and $S_{0}$ are proportional, but the formal proof we have uses differentiability properties of convex functionals.

Let $\rho_{i}$ be the Minkowski functional in $V$ of the convex hull of $S_{i}$, i.e. $\rho_{0}(v)=\|v\|, \rho_{1}(v)=1$ if $v \in S_{1}$, and $\rho_{1}$ is positively homogeneous. Since $E$ is strictly convex, $\rho_{1}$ is well defined. The $\rho_{i}$ are convex and therefore $\Delta_{i}(y, w ;$ $t)=t^{-1}\left[\rho_{i}(y+t w)-\rho_{i}(y)\right]$ is a nondecreasing function of $t>0$ and $\tau_{i}(y$, $w)=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \Delta_{i}(y, w ; t)$ exists for every $y, w$ in $V$ (see [2, p. 446]). If $\gamma>0$, then

$$
\tau_{i}(\gamma y, w)=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \Delta_{i}(\gamma y, w ; t)=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \Delta_{i}\left(y, w ; \frac{t}{\gamma}\right)=\tau_{i}(y, w) .
$$

Fix $y \in S_{1}$ and $w \in V$ which is not in $\operatorname{span}\{y\}$. Let $\gamma_{1}=1$ and $\gamma_{0}=$ $1 /\|y\|$, so that $\gamma_{i} y \in S_{i}$ and hence $\rho_{i}\left(\gamma_{i} y\right)=1$ for $i=0$, 1. Denote $\tau_{i}=\tau_{i}(y$, $w)$. Consider the lines

$$
l_{i}(\beta)=l_{i}(y, w ; \beta)=\left(1-\beta \tau_{i}\right) \gamma_{i} y+\beta w, \quad \beta \text { real. }
$$

If $\beta>0$ is small enough so that $\beta \tau_{i}<1$, we have

$$
\rho_{i}\left(l_{i}(\beta)\right)-1=\beta\left[\Delta_{i}\left(\gamma_{i} y, w ; \frac{\beta}{1-\beta \tau_{i}}\right)-\tau_{i}\right] \geqslant 0 .
$$

If $S_{i}(\beta)=l_{i}(\beta) / \rho_{i}\left(l_{i}(\beta)\right)$ (the radial projection of $l_{i}(\beta)$ onto $S_{i}$ ), then

$$
\frac{1}{\beta} \rho_{i}\left[l_{i}(\beta)-S_{i}(\beta)\right]=\Delta_{i}\left(\gamma_{i} y, w ; \frac{\beta}{1-\beta \tau_{i}}\right)-\tau_{i} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } \beta \rightarrow 0^{+}
$$

i.e. $\rho_{i}\left(l_{i}(\beta)\right)=1+o(\beta)$ as $\beta \rightarrow 0^{+}$. (This means that $l_{i}(\beta)$ is "tangent" to $S_{i}$ at $\gamma_{i} y$ from the $\beta>0$ direction.)

If $\gamma_{i} \tau_{i}<\gamma_{j} \tau_{j}$, then for some $\varepsilon>0, \gamma_{i} \Delta_{i}\left(\gamma_{j} y, w ; t\right)<\gamma_{j} \tau_{j}$ for all $0<t \leqslant \varepsilon$. Let

$$
z=\frac{1}{\gamma_{j}} l_{j}\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon \tau_{j}}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad A=[y, z] .
$$

If $u \in\left(y, z\right.$ ], i.e. $u=l_{j}(\beta) / \gamma_{j}$ for $0<\beta \leqslant \varepsilon /\left(1+\varepsilon \tau_{j}\right)$, then $0<\beta /(1-$ $\left.\beta \tau_{j}\right) \leqslant \varepsilon$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho_{k}(u)-\rho_{k}(y) & =\rho_{k}\left[\left(1-\beta \tau_{j}\right) y+\beta w / \gamma_{j}\right]-\rho_{k}(y) \\
& =\frac{\beta}{\gamma_{k} \gamma_{j}}\left[\gamma_{k} \Delta_{k}\left(\gamma_{j} y, w ; \beta /\left(1-\beta \tau_{j}\right)\right)-\gamma_{j} \tau_{j}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

is negative for $k=i$ and nonnegative for $k=j$, i.e. $\rho_{i}(u)<\rho_{i}(y)$ and $\rho_{j}(u) \geqslant \rho_{j}(y)$ for all $u \in(y, z]$. In the case $i=0$, we thus have $\|u\|<\|y\|$
and $\|x-u\| \geqslant\|x-y\|$ for all $u \in(y, z]$ so that $P_{A} x=y \neq P_{A} 0=P_{A} P_{V} x$, which contradicts (3). While in the case $i=1$, we have $\|u\| \geqslant\|y\|$ and $\|x-u\|<\|x-y\|$ for all $u \in(y, z]$ so that $P_{A} P_{V} x=P_{A} 0=y \neq P_{A} x$, again contradicting (3). Thus we must have $\gamma_{i} \tau_{i}=\gamma_{j} \tau_{j}$, i.e. $\tau_{0}=\|y\| \tau_{1}$ and therefore $l_{1}(\beta)=\|y\| l_{0}(\beta /\|y\|)$. Since $\rho_{1}\left(l_{1}(\beta)\right)=1+o(\beta)$ and $\rho_{0}\left(l_{0}(\beta /\|y\|)\right)=1+o(\beta)$, we have $\rho_{0}\left(l_{1}(\beta)\right)=\|y\|+o(\beta)$ as $\beta \rightarrow 0^{+}$. Therefore, the function

$$
\psi(\beta)=\frac{\rho_{0}\left(l_{1}(\beta)\right)}{\rho_{1}\left(l_{1}(\beta)\right)}, \quad \beta \geqslant 0
$$

(which represents the ratio between the radially corresponding points on $S_{0}$ and $S_{1}$ in the one-sided neighborhood of the $y$-direction determined by $w$ ) satisfies

$$
\psi_{+}^{\prime}(0)=\lim _{\beta \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{\psi(\beta)-\|y\|}{\beta}=0 .
$$

But the same applies to the derivative of the ratio from the other direction, so that the two-sided derivative of this ratio at $y$ is 0 . Since $y$ was any point on $S_{1}$, the ratio is the constant $\left\|y_{0}\right\|$, i.e. $S_{1}=\left\|y_{0}\right\| S_{0}$.

AdDED IN PROOF. The proof of the implication (3) $\Rightarrow$ (1) can be substantially shortened by eliminating everything that comes after the paragraph which shows $E$ is strictly convex, and substituting the following in its place. Recall Hirschfeld's characterization [3]: If $E$ is a strictly convex nonEuclidean 3-dimensional space, there is a one-dimensional subspace $L$ with $P_{L}$ nonlinear. Let $V$ be any 2-dimensional subspace of $E$ containing $L$. Since metric projections onto maximal subspaces are linear, $P_{V}$ and $P_{L} P_{V}$ are linear, which shows that $P_{L} \neq P_{L} P_{V}$. Take any $x$ with $P_{L} x \neq P_{L} P_{V} x$ and let $A=\left[P_{L} x, P_{L} P_{V} x\right] \subset L$. Then $P_{V} P_{A} x=P_{A} x=P_{L} x \neq P_{L} P_{V} x=P_{A} P_{V} x$.
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