

## ON MULTIPLIERS OF SEGAL ALGEBRAS

M. DUTTA AND U. B. TEWARI

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $T$  be a multiplier of a Segal algebra  $S$  on a locally compact abelian group  $G$ . We prove that  $T^2(S)$  is closed if and only if  $T$  is a product of an idempotent and an invertible multiplier. We also show that the techniques developed in the proof of this theorem can be used to obtain some other known results.

**1. Introduction.** Let  $S$  be a Segal algebra on a locally compact abelian group  $G$  with dual group  $\Gamma$ . (For definition and examples of Segal algebras, see [4].) A bounded linear operator  $T$  on  $S$  is called a multiplier if for all  $f, g \in S$ ,  $T(f * g) = f * (Tg) = (Tf) * g$ . If  $T$  is a multiplier of  $S$  then there exists a bounded continuous function  $\hat{T}$  on  $\Gamma$  such that for all  $f \in S$  and for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$   $\widehat{Tf}(\gamma) = \hat{T}(\gamma)\hat{f}(\gamma)$  and  $\|\hat{T}\|_\infty \leq \|T\|$ . The set of multipliers of  $S$ , denoted by  $M(S)$ , forms a commutative Banach algebra of operators under the operator norm (for a detailed discussion of multipliers, see [2]).

In §2, we prove the following theorem.

**THEOREM 1.**  $T^2(S)$  is closed if and only if  $T$  is a product of an idempotent and an invertible multiplier.

In [1], the special case of this theorem for  $S = L^1(G)$  is proved. The proof immediately generalizes to all Banach algebras satisfying the special hypothesis mentioned in §4 of [1]. Our result, obtained by similar methods, is stronger as there are Segal algebras which do not satisfy this hypothesis. For example, we can take  $G = T$ , the circle group, and take

$$S = \left\{ f \in L^1(T) : \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |n\hat{f}(n)| < \infty \text{ with } \|f\|_S = \|f\|_{L^1(T)} + \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |n\hat{f}(n)| \right\}.$$

In §3, we apply the results of §2 to prove the following theorems.

**THEOREM 2.** If  $T$  is an isometric multiplier on  $S$  then  $T$  is surjective and for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ,  $|\hat{T}(\gamma)| = 1$ .

**THEOREM 3.** If  $G$  is noncompact then the only compact multiplier of  $S$  is the zero operator.

Both of these results have been earlier proved by other methods (see [3]).

**2.** Before proving Theorem 1, we shall prove a few lemmas.

---

Received by the editors September 29, 1977.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 43A22.

Key words and phrases. Locally compact abelian groups, Segal algebra, multiplier.

© American Mathematical Society 1978

LEMMA 1. *If  $I$  is an ideal in  $S$  and  $T(I)$  is closed for some  $T \in M(S)$  then  $\hat{T}$  is bounded away from zero on  $W = \Gamma \setminus \text{hull } T(I) = \Gamma \setminus (\hat{T}^{-1}(0) \cup \text{hull } I)$ .*

PROOF. Since  $T$  is continuous, we can take  $I$  to be closed without loss of generality. Now, by the open mapping theorem there exists  $K > 0$  such that for any  $f \in T(I)$  we can find  $g \in I$  such that  $f = Tg$  and  $\|g\|_s \leq K\|f\|_s$ .

Let  $\gamma \in W$ . Consider  $\gamma$  as an element of  $S^*$ , the dual of  $S$  and let  $\|\gamma\| = K_1 > 0$ . Then there exists  $f_1 \in S$  such that  $\hat{f}_1(\gamma) = 1$  and  $\|f_1\|_s \leq 2/K_1$ . Choose  $V \subset W$  such that  $V$  is a compact neighbourhood of  $\gamma$ . (Note that  $W$  is open.) Choose  $f_2 \in L^1(G)$  such that  $\hat{f}_2(\gamma) = 1$ ,  $\|f_2\|_{L^1(G)} = 1$  and  $\hat{f}_2$  is supported in  $V$ . This is possible by 2.6.1 of [6]. For  $f = f_2 * f_1$ , we have  $f \in S$ ,  $\|f\|_s \leq \|f_2\|_{L^1(G)}\|f_1\|_s \leq 2/K_1$ ,  $\hat{f}(\gamma) = 1$  and  $\hat{f}$  is supported in  $V$ .

Since  $T(I)$  is a closed ideal ( $T(I)$  is an ideal since  $T$  is a multiplier) and  $\hat{f}$  has compact support disjoint from  $\text{hull } T(I)$ , we get  $f \in T(I)$ . Hence we can find  $g \in I$ , such that  $f = Tg$  and  $\|g\|_s \leq K\|f\|_s \leq 2K/K_1$ . Therefore,

$$1 = \hat{f}(\gamma) = \hat{T}(\gamma)\hat{g}(\gamma) = |\hat{T}(\gamma)| |\hat{g}(\gamma)|$$

$$\leq |\hat{T}(\gamma)| \|\gamma\| \|g\|_s \leq |\hat{T}(\gamma)| 2K.$$

Therefore,  $|\hat{T}(\gamma)| \geq 1/2K$ .

Since  $\gamma$  is an arbitrary element of  $W$  we conclude that  $\hat{T}$  is bounded away from zero on  $W$ . This completes the proof.

LEMMA 2. *Let  $T, T_1$  be in  $M(S)$  such that  $\hat{T}_1(\gamma) = (\hat{T}(\gamma))^{-1}$  for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  satisfying  $\hat{T}(\gamma) \neq 0$ . Then  $T$  is a product of an idempotent and an invertible multiplier.*

PROOF. Let  $T_2 = T_1^2 T$ ,  $E = T_1 T$  and  $K = \hat{T}^{-1}(0)$ . Then we see that

$$\hat{T}_2(\gamma) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{on } K, \\ (\hat{T}(\gamma))^{-1} & \text{outside } K, \end{cases}$$

and  $\hat{E} = \chi_{K^c}$ , the characteristic function of the complement of  $K$ . Thus  $E$  is an idempotent. Let  $T' = T + \mathbf{1} - E$ , where  $\mathbf{1}$  is the identity operator. It is easy to check that  $T_2 T = T_1^2 T^2 = E^2 = E$ ,  $T_2 E = T_2$  and  $TE = T$ . Therefore

$$T'(T_2 + \mathbf{1} - E) = (T + \mathbf{1} - E)(T_2 + \mathbf{1} - E)$$

$$= E + T_2 - T_2 + T - T + \mathbf{1} - E = \mathbf{1}.$$

Hence  $T'$  is invertible. Finally we note that  $T = ET = E(T + \mathbf{1} - E) = ET'$ . Thus  $T$  is a product of an idempotent and an invertible multiplier. This completes the proof.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. The proof of 'if' part is trivial. For the 'only if' part, let  $T$  be a multiplier such that  $T^2(S)$  is closed. Let  $K = \hat{T}^{-1}(0)$ . Then  $\text{hull } T^2(S) = \text{hull } T(S) = K$ . Now  $T(S)$  is an ideal and  $T^2(S) = T(T(S))$  is closed. Hence by Lemma 1,  $\hat{T}$  is bounded away from zero on  $K^c$ , the complement of  $K$ . Hence  $K$  is open and closed and therefore  $K$  is a set of

spectral synthesis. Since  $T^2(S)$  is closed, we have  $T^2(S) = k(K) = \{f \in S: \hat{f} = 0 \text{ on } K\}$ . But  $T^2(S) \subset T(S) \subset k(K)$  and therefore  $T^2(S) = T(S) = k(K)$ . Also, if  $f \in k(K)$  and  $Tf = 0$  then  $f = 0$  by uniqueness of Fourier transform. Hence  $T'$ , the restriction of  $T$  to  $k(K)$  is a continuous bijection of  $k(K)$  onto  $k(K)$ . Therefore,  $T'^{-1} = T_0$  is continuous. Also, for all  $f \in k(K)$

$$\widehat{T_0 f}(\gamma) = \begin{cases} (\hat{T}(\gamma))^{-1} \hat{f}(\gamma) & \text{on } K^c, \\ 0 & \text{on } K. \end{cases}$$

Consider  $T_1 = T_0^2 \circ T$ .  $T_1$  is a bounded linear map from  $S$  into  $S$  such that

$$\widehat{T_1 f}(\gamma) = \begin{cases} (\hat{T}(\gamma))^{-1} \hat{f}(\gamma) & \text{on } K^c, \\ 0 & \text{on } K. \end{cases}$$

Thus  $T_1 \in M(S)$  and  $T_1$  and  $T$  satisfy the hypothesis of Lemma 2. Therefore  $T$  is a product of an idempotent and an invertible multiplier and the proof is complete.

**3. PROOF OF THEOREM 2.** Let  $T$  be an isometric multiplier of  $S$ . Then  $T^2(S)$  is closed. Thus by Theorem 1,  $T = ET'$ , where  $E$  is an idempotent and  $T'$  is an invertible multiplier. Let  $K = \hat{E}^{-1}(0)$ . Then  $K$  is open and closed. If  $K$  is nonempty, choose  $f \in S$  such that  $f \neq 0$  and  $\hat{f}$  is supported in  $K$ . Then  $Ef = 0$  and therefore  $Tf = 0$ . But this contradicts the fact that  $T$  is an isometry. Thus  $K$  is empty and hence  $\hat{E}(\gamma) = 1$  for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . Therefore  $E = 1$  and  $T = T'$  is invertible and hence surjective.

To prove the rest of the assertion, let  $T_1$  be the inverse of  $T$ . Then  $T_1 \in M(S)$  and for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ,  $\hat{T}_1(\gamma) = (\hat{T}(\gamma))^{-1}$ . Hence for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ,  $|\hat{T}(\gamma)| \leq \|T\| = 1$  and  $|\hat{T}(\gamma)|^{-1} = |\hat{T}_1(\gamma)| \leq \|T_1\| = 1$ . Therefore  $|\hat{T}(\gamma)| = 1$  for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  and this completes the proof.

**PROOF OF THEOREM 3.** Let  $T$  be a compact multiplier of a Segal algebra  $S$  on a noncompact group  $G$ . Let us take any complex number  $\lambda \neq 0$ . Then the range of  $T - \lambda 1$ , where  $1$  is the identity operator, is closed by Theorem 4.23 of [5]. Since  $T - \lambda 1$  is also a multiplier it follows from Lemma 1 that  $K = \{\gamma \in \Gamma: \hat{T}(\gamma) = \lambda\} = \text{hull}((T - \lambda 1)S)$  is open and closed. Let  $k(K^c) = \{f \in S: \hat{f}(\gamma) = 0 \text{ for all } \gamma \notin K\}$ . Consider  $T'$ , the restriction of  $T$  to  $k(K^c)$ . Obviously  $T' = \lambda 1$  on  $k(K^c)$ . Now,  $T'$  is compact and therefore  $k(K^c)$  is finite dimensional. Let its dimension be  $n$ . Suppose  $K$  is nonempty. Since  $G$  is noncompact,  $\Gamma$  is nondiscrete. Hence any nonempty open set in  $\Gamma$  has infinite number of points. Therefore we can find  $(n + 1)$  points  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n+1}$  all belonging to  $K$  and compact neighbourhoods  $V_1, V_2, \dots, V_{n+1}$  of  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n+1}$  respectively such that  $V_i \cap V_j = \emptyset$  for  $i \neq j$  and  $V_i \subset K$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n + 1$ . Choose  $f_i \in S$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n + 1$  such that  $\hat{f}_i(x_i) = 1$  and support of  $\hat{f}_i \subset V_i$ . Obviously  $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^{n+1}$  forms a linearly independent set of  $k(K^c)$ . But this contradicts the fact that the dimension of  $k(K^c)$  is  $n$ . Hence  $K$  is empty and therefore  $\hat{T}(\gamma) \neq \lambda$  for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . Since  $\lambda$  is an arbitrary nonzero complex number we conclude that  $\hat{T}(\gamma) = 0$  for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ .

Hence  $T = 0$  and this completes the proof.

4. We note that the arguments of §2 apply to any regular, commutative, semisimple, tauberian Banach algebra  $A$  satisfying the condition that for each neighbourhood  $V$  of any element  $\gamma$  of the maximal ideal space  $\Gamma$  of  $A$  there is a multiplier  $T$  of  $A$  with Gelfand transform  $\hat{T}$  supported by  $V$ ,  $\hat{T}(\gamma) = 1$  and  $\|T\| < K$ , for a fixed constant  $K$ . This condition is less restrictive than that mentioned in §4 of [1] and hence as has been noted already in §1, our results are stronger. For any such Banach algebra Theorem 2 will also hold. As has been proved in [3], Theorem 2 is actually true for any regular, commutative, semisimple, tauberian Banach algebra. Theorem 3 can likewise be generalised to any such Banach algebra satisfying the above condition and whose maximal ideal space  $\Gamma$  has no isolated points.

#### REFERENCES

1. I. Glicksberg, *When is  $\mu * L_1$  closed?*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **160** (1971), 419–425.
2. R. Larsen, *An introduction to the theory of multipliers*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1971.
3. K. Parthasarathy, *Segal algebras-some explorations*, Doctoral Dissertation, Indian Institute of Technology, Kanpur, 1977.
4. H. Reiter,  *$L^1$ -algebra and Segal algebras*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 231, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1971.
5. W. Rudin, *Functional analysis*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1973.
6. \_\_\_\_\_, *Fourier analysis on groups*, Interscience Tracts in Pure and Appl. Math., no. 12, Interscience, New York, 1962.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, KANPUR-208016, INDIA