

## PAROVIČENKO'S CHARACTERIZATION OF $\beta\omega - \omega$ IMPLIES CH

ERIC K. van DOUWEN AND JAN van MILL<sup>1</sup>

**ABSTRACT.** Parovičenko characterized  $\beta\omega - \omega$  (dually: the field of subsets of  $\omega$  modulo the finite sets) under CH. We show that his characterization implies CH.

**What we do:** It will be convenient to call a space  $X$  a Parovičenko space if  
( $\alpha$ )  $X$  is a zero-dimensional compact space without isolated points with weight  $c$ .

( $\beta$ ) every two disjoint open  $F_\sigma$ 's in  $X$  have disjoint closures, and

( $\gamma$ ) every nonempty  $G_\delta$  in  $X$  has nonempty interior.

We complete the proof of the following theorem, begun by Parovičenko.

**THEOREM.** CH is equivalent to the statement that every Parovičenko space is homeomorphic to  $\beta\omega - \omega$ .

[We leave the translation of this theorem in Boolean algebraic language to the reader.]

Parovičenko proved the implication from CH. We prove the converse implication by constructing two real examples of Parovičenko spaces which are not homeomorphic to each other under  $\neg$ CH.

In [vD] it is shown that several other results about spaces satisfying ( $\beta$ ), which were proved from CH in the literature, also are in fact equivalent to CH.

**How we do it:** Recall that if  $X$  is a space and  $p \in X$ , then  $\chi(p, X)$ , the character of  $p$  in  $X$ , is the minimum cardinality of a neighborhood base for  $p$ . We identify cardinals with initial ordinals.

**EXAMPLE 1.** A Parovičenko space  $S$  having a point  $p$  such that  $\chi(p, S) = \omega_1$ .

Let  $X$  be any Parovičenko space, e.g.  $\beta\omega - \omega$ . There is an  $\omega_1$ -sequence  $\langle U_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1 \rangle$  of clopen sets in  $X$  with  $U_\alpha \subset U_\beta$  if  $\beta < \alpha < \omega_1$  ( $\subset$  denotes proper inclusion). Let  $P = \bigcap_{\alpha < \omega_1} U_\alpha$ , and let  $S = X/P$ , the quotient space obtained from  $X$  by collapsing  $P$  to one point.

One can easily check that  $S$  and  $p = \{P\}$  are as required.

---

Received by the editors December 9, 1977 and, in revised form, February 13, 1978.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 04A30, 06A40, 54D40, 54F65; Secondary 54G05.

Key words and phrases.  $\beta\omega - \omega$ , Parovičenko's characterization, CH.

<sup>1</sup>The second author was supported by the Netherlands Organization for the Advancement of Pure Research (Z. W.O.), Juliana van Stolberglaan 148, 's-Gravenhage, The Netherlands.

EXAMPLE 2. A Parovičenko space  $T$  such that  $\chi(x, T) = c$  for all  $x \in T$ .

We define  $T = \beta(\omega \times {}^c 2) - \omega \times {}^c 2$ , where  ${}^c 2$  denotes the product of  $c$  copies of  $2$ , the two-point discrete space. Clearly  $T$  is compact.

$\omega \times {}^c 2$  is (strongly) zero-dimensional, hence so is  $\beta(\omega \times {}^c 2)$ , [GJ, 16.11]. Also,  $\omega \times {}^c 2$  is a Lindelöf space with weight  $c$ , hence  $\omega \times {}^c 2$  has  $c^\omega = c$  clopen subsets, hence  $\beta(\omega \times {}^c 2)$  has weight  $c$ . It follows that  $T$  is a zero-dimensional space with weight  $\leq c$ . There are several reasons that  $T$  has weight  $\geq c$  and has no isolated points; one is given below.

$T$  satisfies  $(\beta)$ , i.e.  $T$  is an  $F$ -space, since  $\omega \times {}^c 2$  is  $\sigma$ -compact and locally compact, [GJ, 14.27].

$T$  satisfies  $(\gamma)$  since  $\omega \times {}^c 2$  is real compact and locally compact, [FG, 3.1].

For  $\alpha < c$  denote the  $\alpha$ th projection  ${}^c 2 \rightarrow 2$  by  $\pi_\alpha$ . For  $\alpha < c$  and  $i = 0$  or  $1$  define

$$K(\alpha, i) = T \cap \text{cl}(\omega \times \pi_\alpha^{-1}\{i\}).$$

Note that each  $K(\alpha, i)$  is a nonempty clopen subset of  $T$  and that  $K(\alpha, i) = K(\alpha', i')$  iff  $\alpha = \alpha'$  and  $i = i'$ . Define

$$\mathcal{K} = \{K(\alpha, i): \alpha < c, i = 0 \text{ or } 1\}.$$

CLAIM. Any intersection of  $\omega_1$  distinct members of  $\mathcal{K}$  has empty interior.

PROOF OF CLAIM. For symmetry reasons it suffices to prove that  $I = \bigcap_{\alpha < \omega_1} K(\alpha, 0)$  has empty interior. Suppose  $I$  does not have empty interior. Then there is a clopen  $U$  in  $\beta(\omega \times {}^c 2)$  such that  $\emptyset \neq U \cap T \subset I$ . For every  $\alpha < \omega_1$  the set  $U - (\omega \times \pi_\alpha^{-1}\{0\})$  is a compact subset of  $\omega \times {}^c 2$ , and since  $U \cap (\omega \times {}^c 2)$  is not compact because  $U \cap T \neq \emptyset$ , there is an integer  $n_\alpha$  such that  $\emptyset \neq U \cap (\{n_\alpha\} \times {}^c 2) \subset \{n_\alpha\} \times \pi_\alpha^{-1}\{0\}$ . There is an integer  $n$  such that  $A = \{\alpha < \omega_1: n_\alpha = n\}$  is infinite. But then  $\{n\} \times \bigcap_{\alpha \in A} \pi_\alpha^{-1}\{0\}$  is a subset of  $\{n\} \times {}^c 2$  with nonempty interior, which is impossible.

Let  $x \in T$  be arbitrary, and let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a neighborhood base for  $x$ . The family  $\mathcal{F} = \{K \in \mathcal{K}: x \in K\}$  has cardinality  $c$ . For each  $K \in \mathcal{F}$  there is a  $U(K) \in \mathcal{U}$  with  $U(K) \subseteq K$ , hence  $|\mathcal{U}| \geq |\mathcal{F}| = c$  since the claim implies that  $|\{K \in \mathcal{K}: U(K) = U\}| \leq \omega$  for all  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ . It follows that  $\chi(x, T) = c$  since we know already that  $T$  has weight  $\leq c$ . It also follows that  $x$  is not isolated.

REMARKS. (A) If  $S$  is constructed from  $T$ , then  $S$  is homeomorphic to  $\beta\omega - \omega$  iff CH holds. Indeed, every nonempty clopen subspace of  $\beta\omega - \omega$  is homeomorphic to  $\beta\omega - \omega$ , but under  $\neg$ CH no clopen subspace of  $S$  which does not contain  $p$  is homeomorphic to  $S$ .

Note that the fact that  $\chi(p, S) = \omega_1$  does not by itself imply that  $S$  and  $\beta\omega - \omega$  are nonhomeomorphic, since it is consistent with  $\neg$ CH that  $\chi(q, \beta\omega - \omega) = \omega_1$  for some point  $q$  of  $\beta\omega - \omega$ , [K].

(B) We do not know if  $T$  can be homeomorphic to  $\beta\omega - \omega$  under  $\neg$ CH. However, it is easy to see that  $T$  and  $\beta\omega - \omega$  are not homeomorphic under  $\text{MA} + \neg$ CH. For it is well known that MA implies that  $(*)$  any nonempty

intersection of  $< c$  open sets in  $\beta\omega - \omega$  has nonempty interior, e.g. adapt [B, 4.7]. But the claim shows that  $\bigcap_{\alpha < \omega_1} K(\alpha, 0)$  is a nonempty intersection of  $\omega_1$  open sets with empty interior. Alternatively,

$$\left\{ \bigcap_{\alpha < \omega_1} K(\alpha, i(\alpha)) : i(\alpha) = 0 \text{ or } 1 \text{ for } \alpha < \omega_1 \right\}$$

is a cover of  $T$  consisting of  $2^{\omega_1}$  nowhere dense sets. But (\*) implies that  $2^{\omega_1} = c$ , [R, p. 43], and (\*) clearly implies that  $\beta\omega - \omega$  is not the union of  $c$  nowhere dense sets.

(C) It is well known that CH implies that  $\beta\omega - \omega$  has  $2^c$  auto-homeomorphisms, [Ru, 4.7], but it is now known if this can be true under  $\neg$ CH. But clearly  $T$  has  $2^c$  autohomeomorphisms.

(D) The proof that  $\chi(x, T) = c$  for all  $x \in T$  is similar to the proof that  $\chi(x, \beta\omega - \omega) = c$  for some  $x \in \beta\omega - \omega$ , [Po], see e.g. [C, 2.7]. Our use of two spaces is similar to the use of two spaces in Weiss' solution of the Blumberg problem, [W].

(E) Ryszard Frankiewicz has informed us, without giving a proof, that he has shown that Parovičenko's characterization implies  $2^{\omega_1} > c$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [B] D. Booth, *Ultrafilters on a countable set*, Ann. Math. Logic **2** (1970), 1–24.  
 [C] W. W. Comfort, *Ultrafilters: Some old and some new results*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **83** (1977), 417–455.  
 [vD] E. K van Douwen, *A basically disconnected normal space  $\Phi$  with  $|\beta\Phi - \Phi| = 1$* , Canad. J. Math. (to appear).  
 [FG] N. J. Fine and L. Gillman, *Extension of continuous functions in  $\beta N$* , Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **66** (1960), 376–381.  
 [GJ] L. Gillman and M. Jerison, *Rings of continuous functions*, Van Nostrand, Princeton, N. J., 1960.  
 [K] K. Kunen, *On the compactification of the integers*, Notices Amer. Math. Soc. **17** (1970), 299. Abstract 70T-G7.  
 [P] I. I. Parovičenko, *A universal bicomact of weight  $\aleph$* , Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **150** (1963), 36–39 = Soviet Math. Dokl. **4** (1963), 592–595.  
 [Po] B. Pospíšil, *On bicomact spaces*, Publ. Fac. Sci. Univ. Masaryk **270** (1939), 3–16.  
 [R] F. Rothberger, *On some problems of Hausdorff and Sierpiński*, Fund. Math. **35** (1948), 29–46.  
 [Ru] W. Rudin, *Homogeneity problems in the theory of Čech-compactifications*, Duke Math. J. **23** (1955), 409–420.  
 [W] W. A. R. Weiss, *The Blumberg problem*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **230** (1977), 71–85.

INSTITUTE FOR MEDICINE AND MATHEMATICS, MATHEMATICS BUILDING, OHIO UNIVERSITY, ATHENS, OHIO 45701

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN, MADISON, WISCONSIN 53706