

## ON WEYL FRACTIONAL CALCULUS

R. K. RAINA AND C. L. KOUL

**ABSTRACT.** The Weyl fractional calculus is applied in developing the Laplace transform of  $t^q f(t)$ , for all values of  $q$ . Also, a generalized Taylor's formula in Weyl fractional calculus is established. The results are then used in deriving a certain generating function for the  $H$ -function of Fox.

**1. Introduction.** Let  $A$  denote a class of good functions. By a good function  $f$ , we mean (Miller [6, p. 82]) a function which is everywhere differentiable any number of times and if it and all of its derivatives are  $O(x^{-v})$ , for all  $v$  as  $x$  increases without limit.

We define the Weyl fractional derivatives of a function  $g(z)$  as follows: Let  $g \in A$ , then

$$z^{D_q^s} g(z) = \frac{(-1)^q}{\Gamma(-q)} \int_z^\infty (u-z)^{-q-1} g(u) du, \quad \text{for } q < 0. \quad (1)$$

For  $q > 0$ ,

$$z^{D_q^s} g(z) = \frac{d^r}{dz^r} (z^{D_{q-r}^s} g(z)), \quad (2)$$

$r$  being a positive integer such that  $r > q$ .

We recall the definition of  $H$ -function of Fox [3, p. 408] in the form:

$$\begin{aligned} H(z) &= H_{P,Q}^{M,N} \left[ z \left| \begin{matrix} (a_i, \alpha_i)_{1,P} \\ (b_i, \beta_i)_{1,Q} \end{matrix} \right. \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi\omega} \int_L \theta(s) z^s ds, \quad \omega = \sqrt{-1}, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where, for convenience,

$$\theta(s) = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^M \Gamma(b_i - \beta_i s) \prod_{i=1}^N \Gamma(1 - a_i + \alpha_i s)}{\prod_{i=M+1}^Q \Gamma(1 - b_i + \beta_i s) \prod_{i=N+1}^P \Gamma(a_i - \alpha_i s)}, \quad (4)$$

$z \neq 0$ , and an empty product is interpreted as unity. The integers  $M, N, P, Q$ , are such that  $0 < M < Q, 0 < N < P$ ; the coefficients  $\alpha_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, P$ ),  $\beta_i$

Received by the editors March 1, 1978.

*AMS (MOS) subject classifications* (1970). Primary 26A33, 44A10; Secondary 33A35.

*Key words and phrases.* Weyl fractional calculus,  $H$ -function, Laplace transform, generalized Taylor's formula, generating functions.

© 1979 American Mathematical Society  
 0002-9939/79/0000-0060/\$02.25

( $i = 1, \dots, Q$ ), are all assumed to be positive.  $L$  is a suitably chosen contour such that all the poles of  $\theta(s)$  are simple.

The  $H$ -function  $H(z)$  is a very general function and has for its particular cases a number of important special functions. For the conditions of existence of the function (3) and its various special cases, the paper of Gupta and Jain [4, pp. 596–600] may be referred to.

**2. The Laplace transform of  $t^q f(t)$ , for arbitrary  $q$ .**

THEOREM 1. Let  $f(t)$  be such that

$$g(p) = L[f(t); p] \text{ exists and belongs to } A, \tag{5}$$

where  $L[f(t); p]$  denotes the Laplace transform of  $f(t)$ . Then, for all  $q$ ,

$$(-1)^q p^{D^q} g(p) = L[t^q f(t); p]. \tag{6}$$

PROOF. For  $q < 0$ , we have in view of (1)

$$\begin{aligned} (-1)^q p^{D^q} g(p) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(-q)} \int_p^\infty (u - p)^{-q-1} g(u) du \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(-q)} \int_p^\infty \left( (u - p)^{-q-1} \int_0^\infty e^{-ut} f(t) dt \right) du \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(-q)} \int_0^\infty f(t) \left( \int_p^\infty (u - p)^{-q-1} e^{-ut} du \right) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Using Erdélyi [2, p. 202, (11)] to evaluate the inner integral on the R.H.S, we get

$$(-1)^q p^{D^q} g(p) = L[t^q f(t); p], \text{ for } q < 0. \tag{7}$$

For  $q \geq 0$ , invoking the definition (2), we can write:

$$(-1)^q p^{D^q} g(p) = \frac{d^r}{dp^r} \left( \int_0^\infty e^{-pt} t^{q-r} f(t) dt \right). \tag{8}$$

Differentiating under the sign of integral, we again find that

$$(-1)^q p^{D^q} g(p) = L[t^q f(t); p], \text{ for } q \geq 0. \tag{9}$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.

**3. The generalized Taylor’s formula.** We prove the following theorem which may be regarded as a generalization of the familiar Taylor’s formula.

THEOREM 2. Let

- (i)  $a$  be a real number such that  $0 < a \leq 1$ ,
- (ii)  $\eta$  be an arbitrary complex number and
- (iii)  $g(p) \in A$ .

Then

$$g(p + t) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{at^{an+\eta}}{\Gamma(an + \eta + 1)} p^{D^{an+\eta}} g(p) \tag{10}$$

is valid for all  $t$  on the circle  $|t/p| = 1$ .

PROOF. Since  $g \in A$ , we may suppose  $g(z) = z^{-\lambda}f(z)$ . Assume  $f(z) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} C_r z^r$ .

The L.H.S. of (10) then gives  $(p + t)^{-\lambda} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} C_r (p + t)^r$ . Now the R.H.S. of (10) is

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{at^{an+\eta}}{\Gamma(an + \eta + 1)} p^{D_{\infty}^{an+\eta}} \left( p^{-\lambda} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} C_r p^r \right) \\ = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{at^{an+\eta}}{\Gamma(an + \eta + 1)} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} C_r \cdot p^{D_{\infty}^{an+\eta}} p^{-(\lambda-r)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$z^{D_{\infty}^q z^{-\lambda}} = \frac{(-1)^q \Gamma(\lambda + q)}{\Gamma(\lambda)} z^{-\lambda-q}, \tag{11}$$

valid for all  $q$ , the R.H.S. of (10) after a little simplification reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} p^{-\lambda} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} C_r \cdot p^r \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a \binom{\lambda - r + an + \eta - 1}{an + \eta} \left( -\frac{t}{p} \right)^{an+\eta} \\ = p^{-\lambda} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} C_r p^r \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a \binom{-\lambda + r}{an + \eta} \left( \frac{t}{p} \right)^{an+\eta}, \end{aligned}$$

since  $(-1)^r \binom{n}{r} = \frac{(-n)r}{r!}$ .

The inner sum can be simplified by making use of the known formula of Osler [7, p. 46, (5.1)], viz.

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a \binom{p}{an + \eta} t^{an+\eta} = (1 + t)^p, \text{ for } |t| = 1, \tag{12}$$

to get  $\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} C_r (p + t)^{-\lambda+r}$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

**4. Generating functions.** Suppose

$$f(t) = t^{\sigma-1} H_{P,Q}^{M,N} \left[ at^h \left| \begin{matrix} (a_i, \alpha_i)_{1,P} \\ (b_i, \beta_i)_{1,Q} \end{matrix} \right. \right], \quad h > 0. \tag{13}$$

Then from (5) and Gupta [5, p. 83],

$$g(p) = p^{-\sigma} H_{P+1,Q}^{M,N+1} \left[ ap^{-h} \left| \begin{matrix} (1 - \sigma, h), (a_i, \alpha_i)_{1,P} \\ (b_i, \beta_i)_{1,Q} \end{matrix} \right. \right], \tag{14}$$

provided that

$$\operatorname{Re}[\sigma + h(b_i/\beta_i)] > 0 \quad (i = 1, \dots, M), \quad |\arg a| < \frac{1}{2} K\pi,$$

where

$$K = \sum_1^M (\beta_i) - \sum_{M+1}^Q (\beta_i) + \sum_1^N (\alpha_i) - \sum_{N+1}^P (\alpha_i) > 0.$$

Also, we have for arbitrary  $q$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & z^{D_q^q} z^\lambda H_{P,Q}^{M,N} \left[ az^{-h} \left| \begin{matrix} (a_i, \alpha_i)_{1,P} \\ (b_i, \beta_i)_{1,Q} \end{matrix} \right. \right] \\
 &= (-1)^q z^{\lambda-q} H_{P+1,Q+1}^{M,N+1} \left[ az^{-h} \left| \begin{matrix} (1 + \lambda - q, h), (a_i, \alpha_i)_{1,P} \\ (b_i, \beta_i)_{1,Q}, (1 + \lambda, h) \end{matrix} \right. \right], \tag{14a}
 \end{aligned}$$

provided that

$$\operatorname{Re}[-\lambda + h_{\beta_i}^b] > 0 \quad (i = 1, \dots, M), \quad h > 0, \quad |\arg a| < \frac{1}{2} K\pi,$$

$$\text{where } K = \sum_1^M (\beta_i) - \sum_{M+1}^Q (\beta_i) + \sum_1^N (\alpha_i) - \sum_{N+1}^P (\alpha_i) > 0.$$

(14a) can be established easily by using the contour integral representation (3) and (11).

Substituting  $f(t)$  and  $g(p)$  from (13) and (14) respectively in the generalized Taylor's formula (10) and using (14a) appropriately, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (p+t)^{-\sigma} H_{P+1,Q}^{M,N+1} \left[ a'(p+t)^{-h} \left| \begin{matrix} (1-\sigma, h), (a_i, \alpha_i)_{1,P} \\ (b_i, \beta_i)_{1,Q} \end{matrix} \right. \right] \\
 &= p^{-\sigma} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{a(-t/p)^{an+\eta}}{\Gamma(an+\eta+1)} \\
 &\quad \cdot H_{P+1,Q}^{M,N+1} \left[ a'p^{-h} \left| \begin{matrix} (1-\sigma-an-\eta, h), (a_i, \alpha_i)_{1,P} \\ (b_i, \beta_i)_{1,Q} \end{matrix} \right. \right]. \tag{15}
 \end{aligned}$$

Putting  $p = 1, \sigma = 1 - a_1, h = \alpha_1$  and adjusting the other parameters, we get the generating function

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (1+t)^{a_1-1} H_{P,Q}^{M,N} \left[ z(1+t)^{-\alpha_1} \left| \begin{matrix} (a_i, \alpha_i)_{1,P} \\ (b_i, \beta_i)_{1,Q} \end{matrix} \right. \right] \\
 &= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{a(-t)^{an+\eta}}{\Gamma(an+\eta+1)} H_{P,Q}^{M,N} \left[ z \left| \begin{matrix} (a_1 - an - \eta, \alpha_1), (a_i, \alpha_i)_{2,P} \\ (b_i, \beta_i)_{1,Q} \end{matrix} \right. \right]. \tag{16}
 \end{aligned}$$

Obviously for  $\eta = 0$  and  $a = 1$ , (16) corresponds to a known result of Anandani [1, p. 6, (2.1)].

REFERENCES

1. P. Anandani, *On some generating functions for H-functions*, Labdev J. Sci. Tech. India A-10 (1972), 5-8.
2. A. Erdélyi et al., *Tables of integral transforms*, vol. 2, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1954.
3. C. Fox, *The G and H-functions as symmetrical Fourier kernels*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 98 (1961), 395-429.
4. K. C. Gupta and U. C. Jain, *The H-function. II*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. India A 36 (1966), 594-602.

5. K. C. Gupta, Ph.D. Thesis, Rajasthan Univ., 1966.
6. K. S. Miller, *The Weyl fractional calculus*, Fractional Calculus and its Applications, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 457, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1975, pp. 80–89.
7. T. J. Osler, *Taylor's series generalized for fractional derivatives and applications*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 2 (1971), 37–48.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, S.K.N. AGRICULTURE COLLEGE, JOBNER-303329, INDIA

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, M.R. ENGINEERING COLLEGE, JAIPUR-302004, INDIA