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#### Abstract

Let $H$ be a real Hilbert space, and let $B_{1}(H)$ denote the space of symmetric, bounded operators on $H$ which have numerical range in [ 0,1 ], topologized by the strong operator topology, and let $L$ be a strongly continuous function on $H$ into $B_{1}(H)$. In this paper, methods are given to locate all $z \in H$ which are fixed points of $L$ in the sense that $L(z) z=z$. In particular, if $\boldsymbol{w} \in H$ and if $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are fixed positive rational numbers with $\alpha \in\left[\frac{1}{2}, \infty\right)$, a decreasing sequence of elements of $B_{1}(H)$ is recursively defined, and converges to $Q \in B_{1}(H)$. If $\alpha>\frac{1}{2}$, then $Q$ is idempotent and $z=Q w$ is a fixed point of $L$, and if $\alpha=\frac{1}{2}, \beta>\frac{1}{2}$, then $z=Q^{\beta} w$ is a fixed point of $L$.


1. Introduction. Let $H$ be a real Hilbert space, and let $B_{1}(H)$ denote the space of symmetric, bounded operators on $H$ which have numerical range in [ 0,1 ], topologized by the strong operator topology (that is, the topology of point-wise convergence). It is well known [3], that if $T \in B_{1}(H)$, then there exists a unique $S \in B_{1}(H)$ such that $S^{2}=T$. We represent $S$ by $T^{1 / 2}$. The following theorem is due to John Neuberger [2].

Theorem A. Suppose $w \in H, P$ is an orthogonal projection on $H$, and $L$ is a (strongly) continuous function from $H$ into $B_{1}(H)$. Let $Q_{0}=P$, and set $Q_{n+1}=$ $Q_{n}^{1 / 2} L\left(Q_{n}^{1 / 2} w\right) Q_{n}^{1 / 2}, n=0,1,2, \ldots$. Then $\left\{Q_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ converges to an element $Q \in B_{1}(H)$ for which $z=Q^{1 / 2} w$ is a fixed point of $P$ and a fixed point of $L$ in the sense that $L(z) z=z$.

In this paper, under the same hypotheses as Theorem A , we develop a family of Neuberger-like results to find points $z \in H$ satisfying $L(z) z=z$ and $P(z)=z$. This family includes Neuberger's theorem and has the additional property that "most" of the sequences $\left\{Q_{n}\right\}$ converge to idempotent elements of $B_{1}(H)$. The limit operator of Theorem A need not be idempotent.

Such theorems as those above not only play a valuable role in the search for numerical solutions of partial differential equations, but are also useful, in the finite-dimensional case, in attacking the problem of determining the nonzero fixed points of a function $\phi: R^{n} \rightarrow R^{n}$. In particular, if $x \in R^{n}-$ $\{0\}$, then $x$ is a fixed point of $\phi$ if and only if $A(x) x=x$, where $A$ is the
matrix valued function defined by $A(x)=\left(\|x\|^{-2}\right) \cdot \phi(x) \cdot\left(x^{T}\right)$, where $\phi(x)$. $\left(x^{T}\right)$ is the matrix product of the column vector $\phi(x)$ with the row vector $x^{T}$. In fact, it follows that $\phi(x)=x, x \neq 0$, if and only if $A(x)$ is a nonzero symmetric idempotent. ${ }^{2}$
2. Fixed points of $L(z)$. Recall that an operator is positive if $\langle A x, x\rangle \geqslant 0$ for all $x \in H$, where $\langle$,$\rangle is the inner product of H$. We presume familiarity with the standard properties of positive operators as set forth, for example, in [3]. By invocation of the spectral theorem, or, alternately, by a sequential construction, it is possible to provide, for any $T \in B_{1}(H)$ and any positive integer $n$, a unique operator $T^{1 / n} \in B_{1}(H)$ such that $\left(T^{1 / n}\right)^{n}=T$. This notion extends immediately to arbitrary positive rational powers of $T$ by defining $T^{r / s}=\left(T^{1 / s}\right)^{r}$. Moreover, by again appealing to the spectral theorem, it follows that if $\left\{Q_{j}\right\}$ is a sequence in $B_{1}(H)$ converging strongly to $Q$, and $t$ is an arbitrary positive rational number, then $\left\{Q_{j}^{t}\right\}$ converges strongly to $Q^{t}$. Finally, recall that the usual quasi-order defined for positive operators by $A \leqslant B$ if and only if $B-A$ is positive satisfies an additional anti-symmetry condition, to wit: if $A$ and $B$ are positive and commute, then $A<B$ and $B \leqslant A$ forces $A=B$.

Lemma 1. Let $Q \in B_{1}(H)$ and let $\alpha$ be a positive rational number other than 1. If $Q^{\alpha}=Q$, then $Q=Q^{2}$; that is, $Q$ is an idempotent.

Proof. Let $\alpha=r / s$; the presumed equality is equivalent to $Q^{r}=Q^{s}$. Without loss of generality, assume $r<s$ and that $r$ is the minimal positive power of $Q$ which reoccurs in the sequence $\left\{Q^{n}\right\}$. From the fact that powers of an operator descend in the quasi-order mentioned above, together with the limited anti-symmetry of this relation, it follows that $Q^{t}=Q^{r}$ for all integral $t$ between $r$ and $s$. From $Q^{r}=Q^{r+1}$, it follows that $Q^{t}=Q^{r}$ for all $t \geqslant r$. If $r$ is odd, then $\left(Q^{(r+1) / 2}\right)^{2}=Q^{r+1}=Q^{2 r}=\left(Q^{r}\right)^{2}$. By uniqueness of square roots, $Q^{r}=Q^{(r+1) / 2}$, whence $r=(r+1) / 2$ and $r=1$. If $r$ is even, then $\left(Q^{r / 2}\right)^{2}=Q^{r}=\left(Q^{r}\right)^{2}$, whence $r=r / 2$, which is impossible for positive $r$. Thus $r=1$ and $Q=Q^{2}$.

We are now ready to prove our
Theorem 2. Let $w \in H$, let $P$ be an orthogonal projection on $H$, and let $L$ : $H \rightarrow B_{1}(H)$ be strongly continuous. Let $\alpha, \beta$ be positive rational numbers with $\alpha \in\left[\frac{1}{2}, \infty\right)$. Set $Q_{0}=P$, and let $Q_{n+1}=Q_{n}^{\alpha} L\left(Q_{n}^{\beta} w\right) Q_{n}^{\alpha}, n=0,1,2, \ldots$ Then $\left\{Q_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a decreasing sequence of elements of $B_{1}(H)$ which converge to an element $Q \in B_{1}(H)$ such that
(1) if $\alpha>\frac{1}{2}$, then $Q$ is idempotent and $z=Q w$ satisfies $L(z) z=z$, and $P z=z$, and

[^0](2) if $\alpha=\frac{1}{2}$ and $\beta \geqslant \frac{1}{2}$, then $z=Q^{\beta} w$ satisfies $L(z) z=z$ and $P z=z$.

Proof. Fix $\alpha \geqslant \frac{1}{2}$ and $\beta>0$. Since $Q_{0}=P \in B_{1}(H)$ and the range of $L$ is in $B_{1}(H)$, it follows inductively that $Q_{n} \in B_{1}(H)$ for all $n$. Since $2 \alpha \geqslant 1$, $Q_{n}^{2 \alpha} \leqslant Q_{n}$; moreover, $\left\langle\left(Q_{n}^{2 \alpha}-Q_{n+1}\right) x, x\right\rangle=\left\langle\left(Q_{n}^{2 \alpha}-Q_{n}^{\alpha} L\left(Q_{n}^{\beta} w\right) Q_{n}^{\alpha}\right) x, x\right\rangle$ $=\left\langle Q_{n}^{\alpha}\left(I-L\left(Q_{n}^{\beta} w\right)\right) Q_{n}^{\alpha} x, x\right\rangle=\left\langle\left(I-L\left(Q_{n}^{\beta} w\right)\right) Q_{n}^{\alpha} x, Q_{n}^{\alpha} x\right\rangle$. Thus, since $I-$ $L\left(Q_{n}^{\beta} w\right) \geqslant 0$, it follows that $Q_{n+1} \leqslant Q_{n}^{2 \alpha}$. Hence we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{n+1} \leqslant Q_{n}^{2 \alpha} \leqslant Q_{n}, \quad n=0,1,2, \ldots \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, the sequence $\left\{Q_{n}\right\}$ is monotonically decreasing in the (operator) interval from 0 to $I$. Thus we have by $[3, \mathrm{p} .318]$ that the sequence $\left\{Q_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to an element $Q \in B_{1}(H)$, whence $\left\{Q_{n}^{\alpha}\right\}$ converges to $Q^{\alpha}$ and $\left\{Q_{n}^{\beta}\right\}$ converges to $Q^{\beta}$. Since $L$ is continuous and operator multiplication is jointly continuous in the strong topology on $B_{1}(H)$, we have by uniqueness of limits that $Q=Q^{\alpha} L\left(Q^{\beta} w\right) Q^{\alpha}$. Also, from (*) and the closed graph of the relation $\leqslant$, we have $Q \leqslant Q^{2 \alpha} \leqslant Q$. Thus, since $Q$ and $Q^{2 \alpha}$ commute, we have that $Q=Q^{2 \alpha}$. Moreover, since $P=Q_{0}$, we have $P Q_{n}=Q_{n}$, whence $P Q^{\gamma}=Q^{\gamma}$ for all positive rational $\gamma$.
(i) Suppose $\alpha>\frac{1}{2}$. By Lemma $1, Q=Q^{2}$, from which it follows that $Q=Q^{\gamma}$ for all positive rational $\gamma$, and, in particular, $Q=Q L(Q w) Q$.

Let $z=Q w$, and fix $x \in H$. Then

$$
\langle Q x, x\rangle=\langle Q L(z) Q x, x\rangle=\langle L(z) Q x, Q x\rangle
$$

and since $Q^{2}=Q$, it follows that

$$
0=\langle Q x, Q x\rangle-\langle L(z) Q x, Q x\rangle=\langle(I-L(z)) Q x, Q x\rangle
$$

Therefore, since $I-L(z)$ and hence $(I-L(z))^{1 / 2}$ belong to $B_{1}(H)$, we have that $Q=L(z) Q$. In particular, $z=Q w=L(z) Q w=L(z) z$.
(ii) Suppose $\alpha=\frac{1}{2}, \beta \geqslant \frac{1}{2}$. Let $z=Q^{\beta} w$; then $Q=Q^{1 / 2} L(z) Q^{1 / 2}$ from which

$$
\langle Q x, x\rangle=\left\langle Q^{1 / 2} L(z) Q^{1 / 2} x, x\right\rangle=\left\langle L(z) Q^{1 / 2} x, Q^{1 / 2} x\right\rangle
$$

Since $\langle Q x, x\rangle=\left\langle Q^{1 / 2} x, Q^{1 / 2} x\right\rangle$ also, we have

$$
0=\left\langle Q^{1 / 2} x-L(z) Q^{1 / 2} x, Q^{1 / 2} x\right\rangle=\left\langle(I-L(z)) Q^{1 / 2} x, Q^{1 / 2} x\right\rangle
$$

Now, as in (i), it follows that $Q^{1 / 2}=L(z) Q^{1 / 2}$. In particular,

$$
z=Q^{\beta} w=Q^{1 / 2} Q^{\beta-1 / 2} w=L(z) Q^{1 / 2} Q^{\beta-1 / 2} w=L(z) Q^{\beta} w=L(z) z
$$

That $P z=z$ in both cases is obvious from the fact that $P Q^{\gamma}=Q^{\gamma}$ for all positive rational $\gamma$. This completes the proof.

Given a nonzero element $z \in H$ such that $L(z) z=z$, it is reasonable to ask if our sequences are able to produce $z$. We note now that, by proper selection of $w$ and $P, z$ is attainable from each of our sequences. Specifically, if $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are fixed as in the theorem, then let $w=z$ and let $P$ be the orthogonal projection of $H$ onto the line through $z$. From the construction of the sequence $\left\{Q_{n}\right\}, Q_{1}=P L(z) P$, whence $Q_{1}=P$. It follows immediately
that $Q_{n}=P$ for all $n$ and thus $Q=P$. Hence $z=Q w=P w\left(\right.$ or $z=Q^{\beta} w=$ $P^{\beta} w=P w$ ) is the fixed point yielded by our theorem.

While it is not reasonable to expect the practitioner to guess $P$ so accurately, these remarks do attach the virtue of theoretical completeness to these processes.
3. Examples. (1) Suppose that $\alpha=\frac{1}{2}$ and that $\gamma, \delta \in\left[\frac{1}{2}, \infty\right)$ such that neither of $\gamma, \delta$ is an integral multiple of the other. We show that for fixed $w \in H$ and $P$, the $Q$ and $z$ obtained by using $\gamma$ for $\beta$ need not be the same as those obtained by using $\delta$ for $\beta$. Moreover, the limit operator $Q$ in this case need not be an indempotent, although it can be one. Assume $\delta<\gamma$. Let $k$ be the least positive integer such that $\gamma<k \delta$. Note $2 \leqslant k$ and $(k-1) \delta<\gamma$. Let $a$ be any number in the interval $(0,1)$. Then $a^{k \delta}<a^{\gamma}<a^{(k-1) \delta} \leqslant a^{\delta}$.

Define $L: R \rightarrow[0,1]$ by

$$
L(x)= \begin{cases}1, & x \leqslant a^{\gamma} \\ {\left[(1-a) /\left(a^{\gamma}-a^{(k-1) \delta}\right)\right] \cdot\left(x-a^{\gamma}\right)+1,} & a^{\gamma} \leqslant x \leqslant a^{(k-1) \delta} \\ a, & a^{(k-1) \delta} \leqslant x\end{cases}
$$

Set $P=1, w=1$. Using $\gamma$ for $\beta$ in the theorem yields $Q_{0}=1$ and $Q_{1}=a$. Inductively, $Q_{n}=a$, so that $Q=a$. Hence $z=Q^{\gamma} w=a^{\gamma} \cdot 1=a^{\gamma}$ in this case. On the other hand, using $\delta$ for $\beta$ gives $Q_{0}=1, Q_{1}=a$, but $Q_{2}=$ $a^{2}, \ldots, Q_{k}=a^{k}$. Moreover, $Q_{n}=a^{k}$ for $n \geqslant k$, hence $Q=a^{k}$ and $z=Q^{\delta} w$ $=a^{k \delta} \cdot 1=a^{k \delta}$. By the choices of $a$ and $k$, the exponents $\gamma$ and $\delta$ yield distinct operators and distinct fixed points. Moreover, neither of the limit operators determined by $\gamma$ and $\delta$ is idempotent.
(2) Suppose that $\alpha>\frac{1}{2}$, so that any limiting $Q$ obtained through the theorem is idempotent. We show for fixed $w \in H$ and $P$, that the resulting limit idempotents may vary with the choice of $\beta$, as may the fixed points determined in this manner. To this end, let $\alpha=1$ in the theorem. Let $L$ : $R^{3} \rightarrow B_{1}\left(R^{3}\right)$ be as follows: all image matrices are diagonal, where

$$
\left(\begin{array}{lll}
x & 0 & 0 \\
0 & y & 0 \\
0 & 0 & z
\end{array}\right)
$$

will be represented as $\operatorname{diag}(x, y, z)$. We require

$$
\begin{aligned}
L(1,1,1) & =\operatorname{diag}\left(1, \frac{1}{4}, 1\right), & L\left(1, \frac{1}{2}, 1\right) & =\operatorname{diag}\left(1, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}\right) \\
L\left(1, \frac{1}{4}, 1\right) & =\operatorname{diag}\left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, 1\right), & L(1, y, z) & =\operatorname{diag}(1, y, z)
\end{aligned}
$$

for $(y, z) \in\left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right] \times\left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right]$, and $L(x, y, 1)=\operatorname{diag}(x, y, 1)$ for $(x, y) \in\left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right] \times\left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right]$. The extension theorem of Tietze (cf. [1]) permits a continuous extension of $L$ to all of $R^{3}$ into the diagonal matrices whose entries are in the interval $[0,1]$. Let $P=I_{3}$, the identity operator, and let $w$ be the vector $(1,1,1)$. If $\beta=\frac{1}{2}$, a brief examination of the defining sequence of $Q_{n}$ 's in Theorem 2 shows that the limit idempotent $Q=\operatorname{diag}(1,0,0)$, and
$z=Q w=(1,0,0)$. On the other hand, if $\beta=1$, then limit $Q=\operatorname{diag}(0,0,1)$, and $z=(0,0,1)$.
(3) With notation as in (2), suppose $\beta=1$ is fixed. We show for fixed $w \in H$ and $P$, that the resulting limit idempotents may vary with $\alpha$, as may the fixed points determined in this manner. Letting $P=I_{3}$ and $w=(1,1,1)$ as in (2), we require this time that

$$
\begin{aligned}
L(1,1,1) & =L\left(1, \frac{1}{2}, 1\right)=\operatorname{diag}\left(1, \frac{1}{2}, 1\right) \\
L\left(1, \frac{1}{8}, 1\right) & =L(1,0,0)=\operatorname{diag}(1,0,0) \\
L\left(1, \frac{1}{32}, 1\right) & =L(0,0,1)=\operatorname{diag}(0,0,1)
\end{aligned}
$$

Extending as before, we have a continuous $L$ defined on $R^{3}$ into the diagonal matrices with entries in $[0,1]$. For any choice of $\alpha, Q_{1}=\operatorname{diag}\left(1, \frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$. If $\alpha=1, Q_{2}=\operatorname{diag}\left(1, \frac{1}{8}, 1\right), Q_{3}=Q_{n}=Q=\operatorname{diag}(1,0,0), z=(1,0,0)$. On the other hand, if $\alpha=2$, then $Q_{2}=\operatorname{diag}\left(1, \frac{1}{32}, 1\right), Q_{3}=Q_{n}=Q=\operatorname{diag}(0,0,1)$, $z=(0,0,1)$.

It is easy to see that a slightly more complicated definition of $L$ would yield a single example incorporating the features of all three prior illustrations.
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