

DOUBLE COMMUTANTS OF C_0 CONTRACTIONS. II

MITSURU UCHIYAMA

ABSTRACT. In [13], it was shown that if T is a C_0 contraction with finite defect indices $\infty > \delta_{T^*} > \delta_T$, then $\{T\}'' = \{\phi(T): \phi \in H^\infty\}$. In this note we shall extend this result to $\infty > \delta_{T^*} > \delta_T$ and show that $\{T\}''$ and H^∞ is isometric isomorphic, and moreover such an operator is reflexive.

Introduction. In this note we use the notations, introduced in [9], without explanation. For a bounded linear operator T on a separable Hilbert space H , the collection of all subspaces of H invariant for T is denoted by $\text{Lat } T$, and the weakly closed algebra generated by T and I is denoted by A_T . An operator T is called reflexive if every bounded operator A satisfying $\text{Lat } A \supseteq \text{Lat } T$ belongs to A_T .

When T is a special C_0 contraction, the A_T and $\{T\}''$ were investigated by some mathematicians (for unilateral shift see [2] and [11], for C_0 contraction see [1], [8], [14]).

In place of C_0 contraction T with defect indices $\delta_{T^*} = n$, $\delta_T = m$ (necessarily $n \geq m$) we can consider $S(\theta)$ on $H(\theta)$, which is defined by $H(\theta) = H_n^2 \ominus \theta H_m^2$ and $S(\theta)h = P_{H(\theta)}\lambda h(\lambda)$ for h in $H(\theta)$. In this case, for every ϕ in H^∞ , $\phi(S(\theta))$ determined by

$$\phi(S(\theta))h = P_{H(\theta)}\phi h \quad \text{for } h \text{ in } H(\theta)$$

belongs to $A_{S(\theta)}$ ([9] and [10]).

If $n = m < \infty$, then $S(\theta)$ is of class C_0 . Let $\theta = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ be 2×1 constant matrix valued function. Then $S(\theta)$ formally defined by the above equation is a unilateral shift. In this note we show that if $n > m$, then $S(\theta)$ has several properties in common with a unilateral shift.

Preliminaries. For an $n \times m$ ($\infty \geq n > m$) inner function, Nordgren ([5] for $\infty > n > m$) and Sz.Nagy ([6] for $\infty > m$) showed that there are an $n \times m$ normal inner function $N = \text{diag}(\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_m)$ (cf. (1) of [12]), and bounded $n \times n$ matrices Δ , and Δ^a over H^∞ and $m \times m$ matrices Λ and Λ^a over H^∞ satisfying

$$\Delta\theta = N\Lambda, \quad \Delta\Delta^a = \Delta^a\Delta = \eta_1 I_n \quad \text{and} \quad \Lambda\Lambda^a = \Lambda^a\Lambda = \eta_2 I_m$$

for some η_i in H^∞ such that $\eta_i \wedge \nu_m = 1$ ($i = 1, 2$). Setting

Received by the editors August 12, 1977 and, in revised form, October 12, 1977.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 47A45.

Key words and phrases. C_0 contraction, canonical functional model, double commutant, operator valued inner function, reflexive.

© 1979 American Mathematical Society
0002-9939/79/0000-0213/\$02.75

$Xh = P_{H(N)}\Delta h$ for h in $H(\theta)$, and
 $Yf = P_{H(\theta)}\eta_2\Delta^af$ for f in $H(N)$,
 it is well known that $X: H(\theta) \rightarrow H(N)$ and $Y: H(N) \rightarrow H(\theta)$ are injective,
 and that $XS(\theta) = S(N)X$ and $YS(N) = S(\theta)Y$.

For such X and Y , it is obvious that

$$XY = \eta(S(N)), \quad YX = \eta(S(\theta)), \quad \text{where } \eta = \eta_1\eta_2.$$

Similarly there are injective X' and Y' such that $X'S(\theta) = S(N)X'$,
 $Y'S(N) = S(\theta)Y'$, $X'Y' = \eta'(S(N))$, $Y'X' = \eta'(S(\theta))$, where η' and $\eta\nu_m$
 are relatively prime functions in H^∞ .

We can obtain, in virtue of [6], the same results about the hyperinvariant
 subspaces of $S(\theta)$ with $\infty \geq n > m$ as [12]. In particular, we have

LEMMA 1. $\phi(S(\theta))$ is injective, if and only if $\phi \wedge \nu_m = 1$ (see Corollary 2 of
 [12]).

LEMMA 2. $\phi(S(\theta))H(\theta)$ is dense in $H(\theta)$, if and only if ϕ is outer (cf.
 Corollary 1 of [12]).

LEMMA 3. $\{S(N)\}'' = \{\phi(S(N)): \phi \in H^\infty\}$.

PROOF. Since

$$H(N) = \sum_{i=1}^m \oplus H(\nu_i) \oplus \sum_{i=m+1}^n H^2 \quad \text{and} \\ S(N) = \sum_{i=1}^m \oplus S(\nu_i) \oplus \sum_{i=m+1}^n \oplus S,$$

where S is a unilateral shift on H^2 , it is clear that if $A \in \{S(N)\}''$, then
 $A = \sum_{i=1}^n \oplus A_i$ for $A_i \in \{S(\nu_i)\}''$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, m$) and $\sum_{i=m+1}^n \oplus A_i \in$
 $\{\sum_{i=m+1}^n S\}''$. From [10], it follows that $A_i = \phi_i(S(\nu_i))$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$,
 and from [2], we can deduce that $\sum_{i=m+1}^n \oplus A_i = \phi I_{n-m}$. Define a B in
 $\{S(N)\}'$ by

$$B \sum_{i=1}^n \oplus h_i = \sum_{i=1}^m \oplus P_i h_{m+1} \oplus \sum_{i=m+1}^n \oplus h_i,$$

where P_i is a projection onto i th component of $H(N)$. Then

$$AB \sum_{i=1}^n \oplus h_i = \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \oplus P_i \phi_i h_{m+1} \right) \oplus \left(\sum_{i=m+1}^n \oplus \phi h_i \right)$$

and

$$BA \sum_{i=1}^n \oplus h_i = \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \oplus P_i \phi h_{m+1} \right) \oplus \left(\sum_{i=m+1}^n \oplus \phi h_i \right).$$

Therefore $AB = BA$ implies that $P_i \phi_i h = P_i \phi h$ for every h in H^2 .
 Consequently we have $\phi_i(S(\nu_i)) = \phi(S(\nu_i))$ and hence $A = \phi(S(N))$.

LEMMA 4. $S(N)$ is reflexive. Moreover if $\text{Lat } A \supseteq \text{Lat } S(N)$, then $A =$
 $\phi(S(N))$ for some ϕ in H^∞ .

PROOF. Since each component space of $H(N)$ reduces $S(N)$, it also reduces A , that is, A has the form $A = \sum_{i=1}^n \oplus A_i$. Since $\text{Lat } A_i \supseteq \text{Lat } S$ for $i = m+1, m+2, \dots$, from [11] we have $A_i = \phi_i I$. Now $v_{i+1}/v_i \in H^\infty$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, m-1$) implies that $H(v_1) \subseteq H(v_2) \subseteq \dots \subseteq H(v_m) \subseteq H^2$. Therefore, setting $L_{ij} = \{(P_i x \oplus P_j x): x \in H^2\}$, L_{ij} belongs to $\text{Lat } S(N)$. If $i, j \geq m+1$, then $AL_{ij} \subseteq L_{ij}$ implies $\phi_i = \phi_j$. If $i \leq m < j$, then $AL_{ij} \subseteq L_{ij}$ implies that for every x in $H(v_i)$ there is a y in H^2 such that $(A_i x \oplus \phi_j x) = (P_j y \oplus y)$. From this it follows that $A_i = \phi_j(S(v_i))$ and hence $A = \phi(S(N))$ for some ϕ in H^∞ .

REMARK. Lemma 3 is valid for $n = m < \infty$, but Lemma 4 is not generally valid for $n = m < \infty$.

LEMMA 5. $\{S(\theta)\}'' = \{D: \eta(S(\theta))D = \phi(S(\theta)) \text{ for some } \phi \text{ in } H^\infty\}$.

PROOF. For arbitrary D in $\{S(\theta)\}''$ and any B in $\{S(N)\}'$, set $K = XDYB - BXDY$. Then, since YBX belongs to $\{S(\theta)\}'$ and $XY = \eta(S(N))$ belongs to $\{S(N)\}''$, it follows that

$$YK = YXDYB - YBXDY = DYXYB - DYBXY = 0,$$

which implies $K = 0$. Consequently, from Lemma 3, there is a ϕ in H^∞ such that $XDY = \phi(S(N))$. Because

$$\eta(S(\theta))D\eta(S(\theta)) = YXDYX = Y\phi(S(N))X = \eta(S(\theta))\phi(S(\theta)),$$

from Lemma 1, we have $\eta(S(\theta))D = \phi(S(\theta))$. Conversely if $\eta(S(\theta))D = \phi(S(\theta))$, then for every C in $\{S(\theta)\}'$ it follows that

$$\eta(S(\theta))DC = \phi(S(\theta))C = C\phi(S(\theta)) = C\eta(S(\theta))D = \eta(S(\theta))CD.$$

Hence we have $DC = CD$.

LEMMA 6. If $XDY = \phi(S(N))$ and $X'DY' = \phi'(S(N))$ for ϕ, ϕ' in H^∞ , then D belongs to $\{S(\theta)\}''$.

PROOF. By the proof of Lemma 5, we have

$$D\eta(S(\theta)) = \phi(S(\theta)) \quad \text{and} \quad D\eta'(S(\theta)) = \phi'(S(\theta)).$$

Consequently, for arbitrary C in $\{S(\theta)\}'$, we have

$$DC\eta(S(\theta)) = D\eta(S(\theta))C = \phi(S(\theta))C = C\phi(S(\theta)) = CD\eta(S(\theta)),$$

and similarly $DC\eta'(S(\theta)) = CD\eta'(S(\theta))$. Since η and η' are relatively prime, the ranges of $\eta(S(\theta))$ and $\eta'(S(\theta))$ span a dense set in $H(\theta)$. Thus we have $DC = CD$.

Main results.

THEOREM 1. If $\infty \geq n > m$, then for every D in $\{S(\theta)\}''$ there is a unique ϕ in H^∞ such that $D = \phi(S(\theta))$. In this case $\|\phi(S(\theta))\| = \|\phi\|_\infty$.

THEOREM 2. If $\infty \geq n > m$, then $A_{S(\theta)} = \{D: \text{Lat } D \supseteq \text{Lat } S(\theta)\} = \{S(\theta)\}'' = \{\phi(S(\theta)): \phi \in H^\infty\}$. In particular, $S(\theta)$ is reflexive.

PROOF OF THEOREM 2. Assume that Theorem 1 is right. Since

$$A_{S(\theta)} \subseteq \{D: \text{Lat } D \supseteq \text{Lat } S(\theta)\}$$

and

$$\{S(\theta)\}'' = \{\phi(S(\theta)): \phi \in H^\infty\} \subseteq A_{S(\theta)},$$

we must only show that if $\text{Lat } D \supseteq \text{Lat } S(\theta)$, then D belongs to $\{S(\theta)\}''$. $S(\theta)Y = YS(N)$ implies that if L belongs to $\text{Lat } S(N)$, $\overline{Y}L$ belongs to $\text{Lat } S(\theta)$. Therefore

$$XDYL \subseteq XD\overline{YL} \subseteq X\overline{YL} \subseteq \overline{XYL} = \overline{\eta(S(N))L} \subseteq L.$$

From Lemma 4, we have $XDY = \phi(S(N))$. And similarly we have $X'DY' = \phi'(S(N))$. Thus by Lemma 6 we can conclude the proof.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. Let D belong to $\{S(\theta)\}''$. Then from Lemma 5 and Lemma 1 we can assume that $\phi_1(S(\theta))D = \phi_2(S(\theta))$, where ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 are relatively prime functions in H^∞ . Thus, from the lifting theorem, there are an $n \times n$ matrix valued bounded function $\Gamma = (\gamma_{ij})$ over H^∞ , and an $m \times n$ matrix valued bounded function $\Omega = (\omega_{ij})$ over H^∞ such that

$$\Gamma\theta H_m^2 \subseteq \theta H_m^2, \quad D = P_{H(\theta)}\Gamma|H(\theta), \quad \|D\| = \|\Gamma\|_\infty = \sup_\lambda \|\Gamma(\lambda)\|, \quad (1)$$

and

$$\phi_2 I_n - \phi_1 \Gamma = \theta \Omega. \quad (2)$$

Since θ is inner, there is an $m \times m$ submatrix θ_a of θ such that $\det \theta_a \neq 0$ (cf. [7]). Since τ is a unitary operator on an n -dimensional space then $S(\tau\theta)$ and $S(\theta)$ are unitarily equivalent, we can assume that the determinant of the first $m \times m$ submatrix of θ is not 0. Set $\theta = (\theta_{ij})$ and let $\theta_a = (0_{a(ij)})$ be an $m \times m$ submatrix of θ such that $1 \leq a(1) < a(2) < \cdots < a(m)$. For such a submatrix θ_a we fix a natural number $k(a)$ satisfying $k(a) \neq a(i)$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$. Let $\theta'_a = (\theta'_{a(ij)})$ be the classical adjoint matrix of θ_a . Then by the same technique as the proof of Theorem 1 of [13], from (2), we have

$$-\phi_1 \theta'_a \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_{a(1)k(a)} \\ \vdots \\ \gamma_{a(m)k(a)} \end{bmatrix} = (\det \theta_a) \begin{bmatrix} \omega_{1k(a)} \\ \vdots \\ \omega_{mk(a)} \end{bmatrix},$$

and hence

$$-\phi_1(\theta_{k(a)1}, \dots, \theta_{k(a)m})\theta'_a \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_{a(1)k(a)} \\ \vdots \\ \gamma_{a(m)k(a)} \end{bmatrix} = (\det \theta_a)(\phi_2 - \phi_1 \gamma_{k(a)k(a)}). \quad (3)$$

From (3), by simple calculation, we have

$$\phi_1 \det \begin{bmatrix} \theta_{a(1)1}, \dots, & \theta_{a(1)m}, & \gamma_{a(1)k(a)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \theta_{a(m)1}, \dots, & \theta_{a(m)m}, & \gamma_{a(m)k(a)} \\ \theta_{k(a)1}, \dots, & \theta_{k(a)m}, & \gamma_{k(a)k(a)} \end{bmatrix} = \phi_2 \det \theta_a. \quad (4)$$

This implies that the inner factor of ϕ_1 is a divisor of $\bigwedge_a \det \theta_a$. But $\phi_1 \wedge \nu_m = 1$ implies that $\phi_1 \wedge (\bigwedge_a \det \theta_a) = 1$. Thus ϕ_1 is outer. For a submatrix θ_a satisfying $1 \leq a(1) < \dots < a(m) \leq m+1$, there is a unique $k(a)$ such that $1 \leq k(a) \leq m+1$ and $k(a) \neq a(i)$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$. Conversely, for every $1 \leq k \leq m+1$, there is a unique θ_a such that $1 \leq a(1) < \dots < a(m) \leq m+1$ and $k(a) = k$. Thus setting $\xi_{k(a)}(\lambda) = \det \theta_a(\lambda)$, (4) implies that for every k : $1 \leq k \leq m+1$,

$$|\phi_2(\lambda)|^2 |\xi_k(\lambda)|^2 = |\phi_1(\lambda)|^2 \left| \det \begin{bmatrix} \theta_{11}, \dots, & \theta_{1m}, & \gamma_{1k} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \theta_{m1}, \dots, & \theta_{mm}, & \gamma_{mk} \\ \theta_{m+11}, \dots, & \theta_{m+1m+1}, & \gamma_{m+1k} \end{bmatrix} (\lambda) \right|^2. \quad (5)$$

From (5) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & |\phi_2(\lambda)|^2 \sum_{k=1}^{m+1} |\xi_k(\lambda)|^2 \\ &= |\phi_1(\lambda)|^2 \left\| \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_{11}(\lambda), & \gamma_{21}(\lambda), \dots, & \gamma_{m+11}(\lambda) \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \gamma_{1m+1}(\lambda), & \gamma_{2m+1}(\lambda), \dots, & \gamma_{m+1m+1}(\lambda) \end{bmatrix} \right\|^2 \\ & \quad \cdot \left\| \begin{bmatrix} \xi_1(\lambda) \\ \vdots \\ (-1)^m \xi_{m+1}(\lambda) \end{bmatrix} \right\|^2 \\ &\leq |\phi_1(\lambda)|^2 \|{}^t \Gamma_{m+1}(\lambda)\|^2 \left(\sum_{k=1}^{m+1} |\xi_k(\lambda)|^2 \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $\Gamma_{m+1}(\lambda)$ is the first submatrix of $\Gamma(\lambda)$ of order $m+1$, and ${}^t \Gamma_{m+1}(\lambda)$ denotes the transposed matrix of $\Gamma_{m+1}(\lambda)$. Since by the assumption $\xi_{m+1}(\lambda) \neq 0$ a.e., it follows that

$$|\phi_2(\lambda)|^2 \leq |\phi_1(\lambda)|^2 \|{}^t \Gamma_{m+1}(\lambda)\|^2 < |\phi_1(\lambda)|^2 \|\Gamma\|_\infty^2. \quad (6)$$

Thus there is a ϕ in H^∞ such that $\phi_2 = \phi\phi_1$ and $\|\phi\|_\infty \leq \|\Gamma\|_\infty = \|D\|$ (cf. [3]). Hence we have $D = \phi(S(\theta))$ (see [13]). Moreover, since $\|D\| < \|\phi\|_\infty$ is clear, it follows that $\|D\| = \|\phi\|_\infty$.

Assume that $\phi(S(\theta)) = \psi(S(\theta))$ for ϕ and ψ in H^∞ . This implies that there is an $m \times n$ matrix valued bounded function $\Omega'(\lambda)$ over H^∞ such that

$$\phi I_n - \psi I_n = \theta \Omega'. \quad (2)'$$

By the same way above we can deduce, from (2)', the next relation

$$-\phi(\theta_{k(a)1}, \dots, \theta_{k(a)m})\theta'_a \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = (\det \theta_a)(\phi - \psi). \quad (3)'$$

Since there is a submatrix θ_a such that $\det \theta_a(\lambda) \neq 0$ a.e., we have $\phi(\lambda) = \psi(\lambda)$ a.e.. Thus we can conclude the proof.

Corollaries. From the theorems above we obtain several results.

COROLLARY 1. $\phi(S(\theta))$ is boundedly invertible, if and only if ϕ is invertible in H^∞ .

PROOF. Suppose $\phi(S(\theta))D = D\phi(S(\theta)) = 1$. Then D belongs to $\{S(\theta)\}''$. Thus $D = \psi(S(\theta))$ for some ψ in H^∞ . Since $I = (\phi\psi)(S(\theta))$, we have $1 = \phi\psi$. The converse assertion is obvious.

COROLLARY 2. $\phi(S(\theta))$ is not compact for every ϕ in H^∞ .

PROOF. If $\phi(S(\theta))$ is compact, then $(\phi\eta)(S(N)) = X\phi(S(\theta))Y$ is compact. In particular, the multiplication by $\phi\eta$ on H^2 , i.e. the analytic Toeplitz operator $T_{\phi\eta}$, is compact. But this is impossible (see [2]).

COROLLARY 3.

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_p(S(\theta)) &= \{z: |z| < 1, \nu_m(z) = 0\}. \\ \sigma_r(S(\theta)) &= \{z: |z| < 1, \nu_m(z) \neq 0\}. \\ \sigma_c(S(\theta)) &= \{z: |z| = 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. First from Lemma 1 $z \in \sigma_p(S(\theta))$, if and only if $\lambda - z$ and $\nu_m(\lambda)$ are not relatively prime, that is, $\nu_m(z) = 0$. Next, from Lemma 2, $z \in \sigma_r(S(\theta))$, if and only if $\nu_m(z) \neq 0$ and $(\lambda - z)$ is not outer, that is, $|z| < 1$ (cf. [4]). Finally, $z \in \sigma_c(S(\theta))$ if and only if $(\lambda - z)$ is invertible. Thus it is clear $\sigma_c(S(\theta)) = \{z: |z| = 1\}$.

REMARK. Let $\theta = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ be a 2×1 matrix valued inner function. Then $\phi(S(\theta))$ is an analytic Toeplitz operator T_ϕ , and $\nu_m = 1$. In this case all corollaries above are well known.

COROLLARY 4. V is a Banach space isometry of $\{S(\theta)\}''$ onto itself if and only if for $|a| = 1$, $|b| = 1$, $|c| < 1$,

$$V\phi(S(\theta)) = a\phi(b(S(\theta) - c)(1 - \bar{c}S(\theta))^{-1}).$$

In particular if $V(1) = 1$, then V is multiplicative.

PROOF. If V is defined by the above equation, then it is clear that

$$V\phi(S(\theta)) = a\left(\phi\left(b \frac{\lambda - c}{1 - \bar{c}\lambda}\right)\right)(S(\theta)).$$

Therefore V is a linear mapping on $\{S(\theta)\}''$.

$$\left\|a\left(\phi\left(b \frac{\lambda - c}{1 - \bar{c}\lambda}\right)\right)(S(\theta))\right\| = |a| \left\|\phi\left(b \frac{\lambda - c}{1 - \bar{c}\lambda}\right)\right\|_{\infty} = \|\phi\|_{\infty} = \|\phi(S(\theta))\|.$$

Thus V is isometric. Conversely suppose V a Banach space isometry of $\{S(\theta)\}''$ onto itself. Setting $V\phi(S(\theta)) = \phi_V(S(\theta))$, $V_0: \phi \rightarrow \phi_V$ is a Banach space isometry on H^{∞} . Therefore $(V_0\phi)(\lambda) = a(\phi(\mu))(\lambda)$, where μ is a conformal mapping of the open unit disc onto itself (cf. [4]). Consequently V has the form given above. The rest is trivial.

The author wishes to thank Professor B.Sz.-Nagy and the referee for their kind advice.

REFERENCES

1. H. Bercovici, C. Foiaş and B. Sz.-Nagy, *Compléments à l'étude des opérateurs de classe C_0* , Acta Sci. Math. **37** (1975), 313–322.
2. A. Brown and P. R. Halmos, *Algebraic properties of Toeplitz operators*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **213** (1964), 89–102.
3. R. G. Douglas, *Banach algebra techniques in operator theory*, Academic Press, New York, 1972.
4. K. Hoffman, *Banach spaces of analytic functions*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N. J., 1962.
5. E. A. Nordgren, *On quasi equivalence of matrices over H^{∞}* , Acta Sci. Math. **34** (1973), 301–310.
6. B. Sz.-Nagy, *Diagonalization of matrices over H^{∞}* , Acta Sci. Math. **38** (1976), 223–238.
7. B. Sz.-Nagy and C. Foiaş, *Jordan model for contraction of class C_0* , Acta Sci. Math. **36** (1974), 305–322.
8. ———, *Commutants and bicommutants of operators of class C_0* , Acta Sci. Math. **38** (1976), 311–315.
9. ———, *Harmonic analysis of operators on Hilbert space*, North-Holland, Amsterdam; Akademiai Kiadó, Budapest, 1970.
10. D. Sarason, *Generalized interpolation in H^{∞}* , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **127** (1967), 179–203.
11. ———, *Invariant subspaces and unstarred operator algebras*, Pacific. J. Math. **17** (1966), 511–517.
12. M. Uchiyama, *Hyperinvariant subspaces for contractions of class C_0* , Hokkaido Math. J. **6** (1977), 260–272.
13. ———, *Double commutants of C_0 contractions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **69** (1978), 283–288.
14. P. Y. Wu, *Commutants of $C_0(N)$ contractions*, Acta Sci. Math. **38** (1976), 193–202.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FUKUOKA UNIVERSITY OF EDUCATION, MUNAKATA, FUKUOKA, 811-41, JAPAN