

## K-THEORY OF AZUMAYA ALGEBRAS

CHARLES A. WEIBEL<sup>1</sup>

**ABSTRACT.** Quillen has defined a  $K$ -theory for symmetric monoidal categories. We show that Quillen's groups agree with the groups  $K_0$ ,  $K_1$ , and  $K_2$  defined by Bass. Finally, we compute the  $K$ -theory of the Azumaya algebras over a commutative ring.

The purpose of this paper is to advertise the  $K$ -theory of symmetric monoidal categories, and to compute the  $K$ -theory of the category of Azumaya  $R$ -algebras. The point is that Quillen's theory (introduced in [6]) is a natural generalization of the "classical" theory for  $K_0$ ,  $K_1$ ,  $K_2$  defined by Bass in [2], [3], [4]. On the other hand, it provides a wealth of examples of infinite loop spaces (see [1], [9], [10], [12] and [13]).

A symmetric monoidal category is a category  $S$  with a unit  $0: * \rightarrow S$  and a product  $\square: S \times S \rightarrow S$  which is commutative and associative up to coherent natural isomorphism; the precise definition may be found in [7]. We shall be especially interested in the following examples (from [2]):

(1) **P**, the fin. gen. projective modules over a ring  $R$ . The product  $\square$  is direct sum, and we consider only isomorphisms.

(2) **FP**, the fin. gen. faithful projective modules over a commutative ring  $R$ . The product  $\square$  is the tensor product, and the arrows are isomorphisms.

(3) **Pic**, the full subcategory of **FP** of rank one projective modules.

(4) **Az**, the Azumaya algebras over a commutative ring  $R$ . The arrows are  $R$ -algebra isomorphisms, and the product is the tensor product. If  $R$  is a field an Azumaya algebra is just a central simple algebra.

In the language of [3, Chapter VII], a symmetric monoidal category is a "category with product  $\square$ ", with the additional condition that there be a special object  $0$  and natural isomorphisms  $0 \square s \cong s \cong s \square 0$  satisfying the coherence conditions on page 159 of [7]. Groups  $K_i^{\det}(S)$  ( $i = 0, 1, 2$ ) were defined and studied in [2], [3] and [4], using only the objects, isomorphisms and product of the category  $S$ .

We will restrict our attention to the category  $SMCat$  of small symmetric monoidal categories and relaxed morphisms. We require in addition that every symmetric monoidal category  $S$  in  $SMCat$  satisfies (i) every arrow is an isomorphism, and (ii) every translation  $s\square: \text{Aut}(t) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(s \square t)$  is an injection. The categories **P**, **FP**, **Pic**, **Az** all belong to  $SMCat$ , as do the categories:

---

Received by the editors November 29, 1979.

*AMS (MOS) subject classifications* (1970). Primary 18F25; Secondary 18D10, 16A16, 55P47.

*Key words and phrases.* Algebraic  $K$ -theory, Azumaya algebra, infinite loop space, symmetric monoidal category.

<sup>1</sup>Supported by NSF grant MCS-79-03537.

(5)  $\mathbf{Quad}^\lambda(A, \Lambda)$ , the category of nonsingular  $(\lambda, \Lambda)$ -quadratic  $A$ -modules defined in [4]. Here  $A$  is a ring with involution,  $\lambda$  is a central element of  $A$  satisfying  $\lambda\bar{\lambda} = 1$ , and  $\Lambda$  is a additive subgroup of  $\{a \in A : a = -\lambda\bar{a}\}$  containing  $\{a - \lambda\bar{a}\}$  and closed under  $r \mapsto ar\bar{a}$ . The product is direct sum. The principal goal of [4] was to calculate the groups  $K_1^{\det}(\mathbf{Quad}^\lambda)$  for various  $(A, \lambda, \Lambda)$ .

(6)  $\mathbf{Ens}$ , the category of finite sets and their isomorphisms, the product being disjoint union. It is easy to see that  $K_0^{\det}(\mathbf{Ens}) = \mathbf{Z}$ ,  $K_1^{\det}(\mathbf{Ens}) = \{\pm 1\}$ ; it is known (see [1]) that the Quillen  $K$ -groups  $K_i(\mathbf{Ens})$  are the “stable stems”  $\pi_i^s = \text{colim } \pi_{n+i}(S^n)$ . The “free module” functor from  $\mathbf{Ens}$  to  $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{Z})$  induces the map  $\pi_*^s \rightarrow K_*(\mathbf{Z})$ .

**1. Quillen  $K$ -theory.** In [6], Quillen defined groups  $K_*(S)$  for every  $S$  in  $SMCat$ . This is achieved by associating to every  $S$  in  $SMCat$  a new symmetric monoidal category  $S^{-1}S$  (not in  $SMCat$ ) properly containing  $S$ . Applying geometric realization yields a topological space  $BS^{-1}S$ ; the groups  $K_*(S)$  are defined to be the homotopy groups  $\pi_*(BS^{-1}S)$ . It is shown in [6] that the groups  $K_*(\mathbf{P})$  coincide with the algebraic  $K$ -groups  $K_*(R)$  of the underlying ring  $R$ .

One pleasing property of these topologically defined groups is that they agree with the classically defined  $K$ -groups. Classically,  $K_0^{\det}(S)$  is the group completion of the abelian monoid of isomorphism classes of objects of  $S$ . Bass (in [2], [3]) defined  $K_1^{\det}(S)$  to be the direct colimit of the groups  $H_1(\text{Aut}_S(s)) = \text{Aut}(s)/[\text{Aut}(s), \text{Aut}(s)]$ .

**PROPOSITION 1.** *Quillen’s groups  $K_i(S)$  agree with Bass’s groups  $K_i^{\det}(S)$  for  $i = 0, 1$ .*

**PROOF.** From [6] we know that  $H_*(BS^{-1}S) = \text{colim } H_*(BS)$ , where the colimit is taken over the directed set of (isomorphism classes of) objects  $s$  in  $S$  under translation. For  $* = 0$  we obtain the  $K_0$  result. Reading this for  $* = 1$  yields  $K_1(S) = \pi_1(BS^{-1}S) = H_1(B_0S^{-1}S) = \text{colim } H_1(B \text{Aut}(s)) = K_1^{\det}(S)$ .

**REMARK.** In [4], Bass defined groups  $K_2^{\det}(S)$ . In the next section we will show that this agrees with the  $K_2(S)$  of Quillen.

Another pleasing property is that the spaces  $BS^{-1}S$  are infinite loop spaces. This follows from the fact that  $\pi_0 BS^{-1}S$  is the group  $K_0^{\det}(S)$  and Proposition 2 below. For example,  $B \mathbf{Ens}^{-1} \mathbf{Ens}$  is the space  $\Omega^\infty \Sigma^\infty$ , and  $B\mathbf{P}^{-1}\mathbf{P}$  is the space  $K_0(R) \times B \text{Gl}(R)^+$  (see page 91 of [1]).

**PROPOSITION 2.** *If  $T$  is a small monoidal category,  $BT$  is a homotopy associative  $H$ -space. If  $T$  is symmetric monoidal,  $BT$  is also homotopy commutative, and  $BT$  is an infinite loop space if and only if  $\pi_0(BT)$  is an abelian group.*

**REMARK.** There is a simple, purely algebraic definition of  $\pi_0(BT)$ . If  $T$  is a small symmetric monoidal category, define  $\pi_0 T$  to be the set of objects of  $T$ , modulo the equivalence relation generated by requiring  $s \sim t$  whenever there is an arrow from  $s$  to  $t$ . The product  $\square$  makes  $\pi_0 T$  an abelian monoid. If  $T$  is in  $SMCat$ ,  $\pi_0 T$  is the monoid of isomorphism classes of objects. Since  $\pi_0 T$  is  $\pi_0(BT)$ , the topological space  $BT$  is an infinite loop space iff  $\pi_0 T$  is a group.

**PROOF.** The functor  $\square: T \times T \rightarrow T$  induces  $B\square: BT \times BT \cong B(T \times T) \rightarrow BT$ , making  $BT$  an  $H$ -space. Associativity (and commutativity in the symmetric case) of  $\square$  up to natural equivalence translates directly into homotopy associativity (and commutativity) of  $BT$ . To determine when  $BT$  is an infinite loop space, we use Segal's machine [12]; this is appropriate since Thomason has shown in [13] that  $BT$  is the initial space of a  $\Gamma$ -space. By [15, p. 461],  $B\square$  has a homotopy inverse iff  $\pi_0(BT)$  is a group, and by [12] this is necessary and sufficient for  $BT$  to be an infinite loop space.

**REMARK.** We could have also used May's machine. In the relevant vocabulary,  $BT$  is an  $A_\infty$  space if  $T$  is monoidal, and  $BT$  is an  $E_\infty$  space if  $T$  is symmetric monoidal. This was shown in [9]. The above formulation of Proposition 2 was shown to me by Z. Fiedorowicz.

The usefulness of Proposition 2 is that some of the  $S$  in  $SMCat$  already have a group for  $\pi_0 S$ . In this case, the natural map  $BS \rightarrow BS^{-1}S$  is a homotopy equivalence (it is an infinite loop space map which is a homology isomorphism). For example, this is true of  $S = \mathbf{Pic}$ . It follows from [2] or [3] that  $B \mathbf{Pic} \simeq \mathbf{Pic}(R) \times BU(R)$ , where  $\mathbf{Pic}(R)$  is the Picard group of the commutative ring  $R$ , and  $U(R)$  is the group of units of  $R$ . We have the

**COROLLARY.**  $K_0 \mathbf{Pic} = \mathbf{Pic}(R)$ ,  $K_1 \mathbf{Pic} = U(R)$ , and the groups  $K_* \mathbf{Pic}$  are zero for  $* > 2$ .

**2. The plus construction and  $K_2$ .** If the category  $S$  has a countable, cofinal subcategory, we can construct a group  $\text{Aut}(S)$  playing the role that  $\text{Gl}(R)$  does for  $\mathbf{P}$ . The construction is given on page 355 of [3], although the constructions of [2, p. 25], [4, p. 197], and [14] may be used where appropriate.

The groups  $\text{Aut}(S)$  are easy to compute in the sample categories given in the introduction. The free modules in  $\mathbf{P}$  and  $\mathbf{FP}$  allow us to take  $\text{Aut}(\mathbf{P}) = \text{Gl}(R)$ ,  $\text{Aut}(\mathbf{FP}) = \text{Gl}_\otimes(R) = \text{colim}\{\text{Gl}_n(R); \alpha \mapsto \alpha \otimes I\}$ .  $\text{Aut}(\mathbf{Pic})$  is just  $U(R)$ . The matrix rings in  $\mathbf{Az}$  allow us to take  $\text{Aut}(\mathbf{Az})$  to be the direct colimit of the  $R$ -algebra automorphisms of the  $M_n(R)$ . We have  $\text{Aut}(\mathbf{Quad}^\lambda(A, \Lambda)) = U^\lambda(A, \Lambda) = \text{colim } U_{2n}^\lambda(A, \Lambda)$  and  $\text{Aut}(\mathbf{Ens}) = \Sigma_\infty = \text{colim } \Sigma_n$ .

**PROPOSITION 3.** *Suppose that  $S$  has a countable, cofinal subcategory, so that  $\text{Aut}(S)$  exists. Then the commutator subgroup  $E$  of  $\text{Aut}(S)$  is a perfect, normal subgroup, so the plus construction may be applied to  $B \text{Aut}(S)$ . The resulting space is the basepoint component of  $BS^{-1}S$ , i.e.,  $BS^{-1}S \simeq K_0(S) \times B \text{Aut}(S)^+$ . Moreover,  $K_1(S) = \text{Aut}(S)/E$ .*

**PROOF.** As  $E$  is a direct colimit, every element of  $E$  is a product of elements, each represented by a commutator  $[\alpha, \beta]$  in some  $\text{Aut}(s)$ . We compute in  $\text{Aut}(s \square s \square s)$  that  $[\alpha, \beta] \square 1 \square 1 = [\alpha \square \alpha^{-1} \square 1, \beta \square 1 \square \beta^{-1}]$ , which represents an element of  $[E, E]$  by the Abstract Whitehead Lemma on page 351 of [3]. This shows that  $E$  is perfect, so that  $f: B \text{Aut}(S) \rightarrow B \text{Aut}(S)^+$  exists and is any acyclic map with  $\ker(\pi_1 f) = E$ . If we copy the telescope construction of [6], we obtain such an acyclic map from  $B \text{Aut}(S)$  to the basepoint component of  $BS^{-1}S$ , proving the proposition.

We are now in a position to compare Quillen's  $K_2$  to Bass's  $K_2^{\det}$ . In Appendix A to [4], Bass defined  $K_2^{\det}(S)$  to be the direct colimit of the groups  $H_0(\text{Aut}(s); [\text{Aut}(s), \text{Aut}(s)])$ .

We remark that when  $\text{Aut}(S)$  exists we have  $K_2^{\det}(S) = H_2(E)$ . This may be seen by reading the proof of (A.6) on page 200 of [4]. In this case,  $K_2^{\det}(S)$  may also be interpreted as the kernel of a universal central extension of the perfect group  $E$  (as in [11]).

**THEOREM 4.** *Quillen's  $K_2(S)$  is the same as Bass's  $K_2^{\det}(S)$ .*

**PROOF.** Any  $S$  in  $SMCat$  is the direct colimit of full subcategories which are countable, and hence for which  $\text{Aut}(S)$  exists. As Bass's and Quillen's groups both commute with direct colimits, we are reduced to proving the theorem when  $\text{Aut}(S)$  exists. In this case we have to show that  $K_2(S) = H_2(E)$ . We will use a modification of the proof of Proposition 4.12 in [9], which is essentially due to D. W. Anderson.

There is a homotopy fibration  $BE \rightarrow B \text{Aut}(S) \rightarrow B(K_1S)$ . Since  $K_1S$  is an abelian group,  $B(K_1S)$  is an Eilenberg-Mac Lane space. The map  $B \text{Aut}(S) \rightarrow B(K_1S)$  factors through an  $H$ -space map  $B \text{Aut}(S)^+ \rightarrow B(K_1S)$  by universality of the plus construction. If  $F$  denotes the fiber of the latter map, there is a map of fibrations:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} BE & \rightarrow & B \text{Aut}(S) & \rightarrow & B(K_1S) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\ F & \rightarrow & B \text{Aut}(S)^+ & \rightarrow & B(K_1S). \end{array}$$

The action of  $K_1S = \pi_1 B(K_1S)$  on  $BE$  is trivial for the same reasons given in [9]: if  $y \in \text{Aut}(s)$  represents  $[\gamma] \in K_1S$  and  $z \in H_*(BE)$ , we can choose a subgroup  $\text{Aut}(t)$  of  $\text{Aut}(s \square t)$  for some  $t$  so that  $z$  is in the image of  $H_*(B[\text{Aut}(t), \text{Aut}(t)])$ . As  $y$  commutes with  $\text{Aut}(t)$ ,  $[\gamma]$  acts trivially on  $z$ . On the other hand, the action of  $K_1S$  on  $H_*(F)$  is trivial because  $F$  is connected and is the fiber of an  $H$ -space map (see [5, p. 16-09]). It follows by the Comparison Theorem (in [8]) that  $H_*(E) = H_*(BE) \rightarrow H_*(F)$  is a homology isomorphism. On the other hand,  $F$  is simply connected, so  $H_2(F) \cong \pi_2(F) \cong \pi_2(B \text{Aut}(S)^+) = K_2(S)$ .

We will need the following result which is implicit in [10, p. 96], and was pointed out in [14]. The proof involves a comparison of the groups  $\text{Gl}(R)$  and  $\text{Gl}_{\otimes}(R)$ .

**PROPOSITION 5.**  $K_*(\mathbf{FP}) = \mathbf{Q} \otimes K_*(\mathbf{P}) = \mathbf{Q} \otimes K_*(R)$  for  $* > 1$ , while  $K_0(\mathbf{FP}) = U^+(\mathbf{Q} \otimes K_0(R))$  in the notation of [3, p. 516].

**3. Azumaya algebras.** In this section we compute the groups  $K_*\mathbf{Az}$ . The computation was inspired by the calculations of [2] and [14]. I am indebted to C. McGibbon and J. Neisendorfer for suggesting the use of the Comparison Theorem in the proof.

There is a functor  $\text{End}: \mathbf{FP} \rightarrow \mathbf{Az}$  in  $SMCat$ , which sends a faithful projective  $R$ -module  $P$  to its endomorphism ring  $\text{End}(P)$ , and sends the automorphism  $\alpha$  of  $P$  to conjugation by  $\alpha$ . This induces a map  $\text{End}: \text{Gl}_{\otimes}(R) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathbf{Az})$ . The following result is proven in [2] and on page 74 of [3]:

PROPOSITION 6 (ROSENBERG-ZELINSKY). *There is an exact sequence*

$$1 \rightarrow U(R) \rightarrow \mathrm{Gl}_{\otimes}(R) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{End}} \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbf{Az}) \rightarrow T \mathrm{Pic}(R) \rightarrow 1,$$

where  $T \mathrm{Pic}(R)$  is the torsion subgroup of  $\mathrm{Pic}(R)$ .

We consider  $T \mathrm{Pic}(R)$  to represent outer automorphisms, and would like a category of inner automorphisms. We define  $\mathbf{In}$  to be the image of  $\mathrm{End}$ .  $\mathbf{In}$  is the monoidal subcategory of  $\mathbf{Az}$  whose objects are the  $\mathrm{End}(P)$ , and whose arrows are “inner” automorphisms. The group  $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbf{In}) = \mathrm{colim} \mathrm{In} \mathrm{Aut}(M_n(R))$  is the group  $\mathrm{PGL}_{\otimes}(R) = \mathrm{Gl}_{\otimes}(R)/U(R)$  (cf. pages 108, 119 of [2] and page 74 of [3]). We thus have short exact sequences of groups  $1 \rightarrow U(R) \rightarrow \mathrm{Gl}_{\otimes}(R) \rightarrow \mathrm{PGL}_{\otimes}(R) \rightarrow 1$  and  $1 \rightarrow \mathrm{PGL}_{\otimes}(R) \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbf{Az}) \rightarrow T \mathrm{Pic}(R) \rightarrow 1$ . The sequence  $\mathbf{Pic} \rightarrow \mathbf{FP} \rightarrow \mathbf{In}$  gives rise to a commutative diagram of spaces

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} BU(R) & \rightarrow & B \mathrm{Gl}_{\otimes}(R) & \rightarrow & B \mathrm{PGL}_{\otimes}(R) \\ \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B_0 \mathbf{Pic}^{-1} \mathbf{Pic} & \rightarrow & B_0 \mathbf{FP}^{-1} \mathbf{FP} & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & B_0 \mathbf{In}^{-1} \mathbf{In}. \end{array}$$

The top row is a fibration, and the bottom row is a sequence of infinite loop spaces and infinite loop maps. The left vertical arrow is a homotopy equivalence of infinite loop spaces by Proposition 2. As the bottom composite is trivial, there is an infinite loop space map from  $B_0 \mathbf{Pic}^{-1} \mathbf{Pic}$  to the fiber  $X$  of the lower right horizontal map  $\alpha$ . Summarizing, there is a map of fibrations

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} BU(R) & \rightarrow & B \mathrm{Gl}_{\otimes}(R) & \rightarrow & B \mathrm{PGL}_{\otimes}(R) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X & \rightarrow & B_0 \mathbf{FP}^{-1} \mathbf{FP} & \rightarrow & B_0 \mathbf{In}^{-1} \mathbf{In} \end{array}$$

in which the map  $BU(R) \rightarrow X$  is an  $H$ -map. Now  $\mathrm{PGL}_{\otimes}(R)$  acts trivially on  $H_*(BU(R))$  because  $U(R)$  is central in  $\mathrm{Gl}_{\otimes}(R)$  (any element of  $\mathrm{PGL}_{\otimes}(R)$  induces the identity map on  $BU(R)$ ). Moreover,  $\pi_1(B_0 \mathbf{In}^{-1} \mathbf{In})$  acts trivially on  $H_*(X)$  because  $\alpha$  is an  $H$ -map and  $X$  is connected (see [5, p. 16-09]). Hence the Comparison Theorem [8, p. 355] applies: as the base and total space maps are homology isomorphisms (by Proposition 3), the infinite loop space map  $BU(R) \rightarrow X$  is a homology isomorphism, hence a homotopy equivalence. We have proven:

THEOREM 7.  $BU(R) \rightarrow B \mathrm{Gl}_{\otimes}(R)^+ \rightarrow B \mathrm{PGL}_{\otimes}(R)^+$  is a homotopy fibration.

COROLLARY 8. For  $* \geq 3$ ,  $K_* \mathbf{In} \cong K_* \mathbf{FP} \cong \mathbf{Q} \otimes K_*(R)$ . If  $\mu(R)$  denotes the roots of unity of  $R$ ,

$$K_2 \mathbf{In} = \mu(R) \oplus K_2 \mathbf{FP} = \mu(R) \oplus (\mathbf{Q} \otimes K_2(R)).$$

Finally,  $K_1 \mathbf{In} = K_1 \mathbf{FP}/\mathrm{im} U(R)$  and  $K_0 \mathbf{In} = U^+(\mathbf{Q} \otimes K_0(R))/\mathrm{im}(\mathrm{Pic}(R))$ .

PROOF. Use the long exact homotopy sequence and the fact that  $\pi_* BU = 0$  for  $* \neq 1$ , as well as Proposition 5. The only subtleties are that in the sequence  $0 \rightarrow K_2 \mathbf{FP} \rightarrow K_2(\mathbf{In}) \rightarrow U(R) \rightarrow K_1 \mathbf{FP}$  the left map splits (by divisibility of  $K_2 \mathbf{FP}$ ) and that the kernel of the right map is the torsion subgroup  $\mu(R)$  of  $U(R)$ .

THEOREM 9. *There is a long exact sequence in K-theory:*

$$\cdots K_{*+1}\mathbf{Az} \rightarrow K_*\mathbf{Pic} \rightarrow K_*\mathbf{FP} \rightarrow K_*\mathbf{Az} \cdots$$

*In particular: for  $* \geq 3$ ,  $K_*\mathbf{Az} = K_*\mathbf{FP} = \mathbf{Q} \otimes K_*(R)$ ,  $K_2\mathbf{Az} = \mu(R) \oplus K_2\mathbf{FP} = \mu(R) \oplus (\mathbf{Q} \otimes K_2(R))$ ,*

$$K_1\mathbf{Az} = T \text{ Pic}(R) \oplus (\mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z} \otimes U(R)) \oplus (\mathbf{Q} \otimes SK_1(R)),$$

*and  $K_0\mathbf{Az} = \text{Br}(R) \oplus U^+(\mathbf{Q} \otimes K_0(R))/\text{im Pic}(R)$ , where  $\text{Br}(R)$  is the Brauer group of  $R$ .*

PROOF. The map  $B \text{ Aut}(\mathbf{In}) \rightarrow B \text{ Aut}(\mathbf{Az})$  is (up to homotopy) a covering space map with fiber the abelian group  $T \text{ Pic}(R)$ . The commutator groups  $[\text{Aut}(\mathbf{In}), \text{Aut}(\mathbf{In})]$  and  $[\text{Aut}(\mathbf{Az}), \text{Aut}(\mathbf{Az})]$  are isomorphic. Hence we can perform a  $T \text{ Pic}$ -equivariant plus construction on  $B \text{ Aut}(\mathbf{In})$ : for every cell we attach, all translates of the cell are also attached. In this way we obtain the model  $B \text{ Aut}(\mathbf{In})^+ / T \text{ Pic}$  for  $B \text{ Aut}(\mathbf{Az})^+$ , and a fibration  $T \text{ Pic}(R) \rightarrow B \text{ Aut}(\mathbf{In})^+ \rightarrow B \text{ Aut}(\mathbf{Az})^+$ . This yields  $K_*\mathbf{Az}$  for  $* \geq 2$ . Bass's analysis of the low-dimensional terms in [2] gives  $K_0, K_1$  and a fibration  $B \text{ Pic}^{-1}\mathbf{Pic} \rightarrow B \text{ FP}^{-1}\mathbf{FP} \rightarrow B \text{ Az}^{-1}\mathbf{Az}$ .

REMARK. We have shown that the commutator subgroup  $E$  of  $\text{PGL}_{\otimes}(R)$  is perfect. In fact, it is the subgroup generated by the images of the elementary matrices in the  $\text{GL}_n(R)$ , so the fact that  $E = [E, E]$  may be deduced from the fact that elementary matrices are commutators in  $\text{GL}_n$ ,  $n \geq 3$ . More interesting is the following consequence of Corollary 8: the torsion subgroup of  $H_2(E)$  is isomorphic to the roots of unity in the ring  $R$ . It would be interesting to find an explicit description of this isomorphism, especially for  $R = \mathbf{C}$ .

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. I would like to thank Z. Fiedorowicz for explaining the relation of monoidal categories and infinite loop spaces to me. I would also like to thank C. McGibbon and J. Neisendorfer for suggesting the use of the Comparison Theorem, circumventing a much more complicated approach.

#### REFERENCES

1. J. F. Adams, *Infinite loop spaces*, Ann. of Math. Studies, no. 90, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N. J., 1978.
2. H. Bass, *Lectures on topics in algebraic K-theory*, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay, 1967.
3. ———, *Algebraic K-theory*, Benjamin, New York, 1968.
4. ———, *Unitary algebraic K-theory*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 343, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1973.
5. H. Cartan, *Séminaire H. Cartan 1959/60*, exposé 16, École Norm. Sup., Paris, 1961.
6. D. Grayson, *Higher algebraic K-theory: II (after D. Quillen)*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 551, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1976.
7. S. Mac Lane, *Categories for the working mathematician*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1971.
8. ———, *Homology*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1967.
9. J. P. May,  *$E_{\infty}$  spaces, group completions, and permutative categories*, New Developments in Topology, (Proc. Sympos. Algebraic Topology; Oxford, 1972), London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., No. 11, Cambridge Univ. Press, London, 1974, pp. 61–93.
10. ———,  *$E_{\infty}$  ring spaces and  $E_{\infty}$  ring spectra*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 657, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977.

11. J. Milnor, *Introduction to algebraic K-theory*, Ann. of Math. Studies, no. 72, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N. J., 1971.
12. G. Segal, *Categories and cohomology theories*, Topology **13** (1974), 293–312.
13. R. W. Thomason, *Homotopy colimits in the category of small categories*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **85** (1979), 91–109.
14. C. A. Weibel, *KV-theory of categories*, (preprint 1979).
15. G. Whitehead, *Elements of homotopy theory*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1978.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA, PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYLVANIA 19104

*Current address:* Department of Mathematics, Rutgers University, New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903