KNOTS WITH HEEGAARD GENUS 2 COMPLEMENTS ARE INVERTIBLE

RICHARD P. OSBORNE

ABSTRACT. Let K be a polyhedral oriented knot in S^3 and N(K) be a regular neighborhood of K. If $S^3 \sim N(K)$ can be constructed by attaching a single 2-handle to a genus two handlebody, then there is a homeomorphism of S^3 onto itself mapping K onto itself and reversing the orientation of K.

We prove the title. A somewhat more careful statement is the following.

THEOREM. Let $K \subset S^3$ be a polyhedral knot and let N(K) be a regular neighborhood of K. If $S^3 \sim \mathring{N}(K)$ can be constructed by attaching a single 2-handle to a genus two handlebody, then K is invertible.

PROOF. By a meridian of the knot K we mean a polyhedral disk D in N(K) with $\partial D \subset \partial N(K)$ and $N(K) \sim N(D)$ is homeomorphic with a ball. (N(D)) is a regular neighborhood of D in N(K).) We show how to construct a self-homeomorphism of $S^3 \sim N(K)$ which maps the boundary of a meridian of the knot onto its inverse. It is easy to see that such a homeomorphism can be extended to an involution of S^3 taking the (oriented) knot to its inverse. Let H_2 be a genus two solid handlebody, let D_1 and D_2 be meridian disks for H_2 and let γ be the simple closed curve on H_2 to which a 2-handle B is attached to get $S^3 \sim N(K)$. Let m be the boundary of a meridian of K. Without loss of generality we may assume that $m \subset \partial H_2 \sim \gamma$. Let $h: H_2 \to H_2$ be a rotation of H_2 through 180° about its axis. (Think of the standard picture of H_2 . The axis passes through both holes of the handlebody.) Now h induces the symmetry η as defined in [O & S, p. 248]. Thus h can be assumed to map γ and m onto themselves while reversing their orientations (see also [B & H, §5]). The underlying reason for this is that the rotation inverts the Lickorish twists that generate the homeotopy group of the surface. Clearly then h may be extended to a homeomorphism \tilde{h} which maps B onto itself while reversing orientation. This completes our proof.

Note. This result shows that knots such as 8_{20} and 10_{132} from the table of knots in [Rolf] are invertible. This does not appear evident from the presentations given. These knots are not torus knots or 2-bridge knots. The knot 8_{10} does not have a complement with Heegaard genus 2 but it is invertible. That it does not have such a complement follows from the fact that its second elementary ideal is proper [Fox]. Of course, the result above means that the noninvertible pretzel knots of Trotter

Received by the editors February 18, 1980 and, in revised form, April 21,1980. 1980 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 57M25.

502 R. P. OSBORNE

[Trot] all have complements of Heegaard genus 3. It is certainly not easy to decide whether a given knot has a complement of Heegaard genus 2.

REFERENCES

[O & S] R. P. Osborne and R. S. Stevens, Group presentations corresponding to spines of 3-manifolds. III, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 234 (1977), 245-251.

[B & H] J. S. Birman and H. M. Hilden, Heegaard splittings of branched coverings of S³, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 213 (1974), 315–352.

[Rolf] D. Rolfsen, Knots and links, Publish or Perish, Cambridge, Mass., 1976.

[Fox] R. H. Fox, A quick trip through knot theory, Topology of 3-Manifolds, M. K. Fort, Jr., ed., Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N. J., 1962.

[Trot] H. F. Trotter. Non-invertible knots exist, Topology 2 (1964), 275-280.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, COLORADO STATE UNIVERSITY, FORT COLLINS, COLORADO 80523