

ALMOST COMPACTNESS AND DECOMPOSABILITY OF INTEGRAL OPERATORS

WALTER SCHACHERMAYER AND LUTZ WEIS

ABSTRACT. Let $(X, \mu), (Y, \nu)$ be finite measure spaces and $1 < q < \infty, 1 < p < q$. An integral operator $\text{Int}(k): L^q(\nu) \rightarrow L^p(\mu)$ becomes compact, if we cut away a suitably chosen subset of X of arbitrarily small measure. As a consequence we prove that $\text{Int}(k)$ may be written as the sum of a Carleman operator and an orderbounded integral operator, where the orderbounded part may be chosen to be compact and of arbitrarily small norm.

1. Introduction. (X, \mathcal{X}, μ) and (Y, \mathcal{Y}, ν) will denote finite measure spaces. For $1 < p, q < \infty$ we call an operator $T: L^q(\nu) \rightarrow L^p(\mu)$ *integral*, if there is a measurable kernel-function $k(x, y)$ on $X \times Y$ such that for $g \in L^q(\nu)$

$$Tg(x) = \int_Y k(x, y)g(y) \, d\nu(y) \quad \mu\text{-a.e.}$$

The integrand is required to be Lebesgue-integrable for μ -a.e. $x \in X$ (cf. [7] or [9]). In this case we write $T = \text{Int}(k)$.

There are two well-behaved subclasses of integral operators: $\text{Int}(k)$ is called *Carleman* if, for μ -a.e. $x \in X, k(x, \cdot) \in L^r(\nu)$ where $r^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$. The operator $\text{Int}(k)$ is called *orderbounded* if it transforms orderbounded sets into orderbounded sets or equivalently if $|k|$ also defines an integral operator from $L^q(\nu)$ to $L^p(\mu)$. In this case we call $\text{Int}(|k|)$ the modulus or absolute value of $\text{Int}(k)$.

Let us specify the following notation. If $g \in L^\infty(\mu)$ we denote by P_g the multiplication operator $f \rightarrow f \cdot g$ on $L^p(\mu)$. If $g = \chi_A$ is a characteristic function we write P_A for P_{χ_A} .

2. Preliminaries. In this section we recall known results for later reference.

2.1. THEOREM (NIKIŠIN, [11, Theorem 4]). Let $0 < q < \infty$ and $T: L^q(\nu) \rightarrow L^0(\mu)$ be a positive, continuous operator. For $\varepsilon > 0$ there is an $A \subseteq X, \mu(X \setminus A) < \varepsilon$ and such that $P_A \circ T$ takes its values in $L^q(\mu)$.

2.2. THEOREM (MAUREY, [10, Proposition 9]). Let $0 < p < q < \infty$ and $T: L^q(\nu) \rightarrow L^p(\mu)$ be a positive, continuous operator. For $r^{-1} = p^{-1} - q^{-1}$ there is a strictly positive function $g \in L^\infty(\mu)$ such that $g^{-1} \in L^r(\mu)$ and $P_g \circ T$ takes its values in $L^q(\mu)$.

We also need a technical result, which follows easily from [9, Theorems 4.7 and 5.12].

Received by the editors January 15, 1980.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 47G05; Secondary 47B10.

Key words and phrases. Integral operator

2.3. LEMMA. Let $1 < q < \infty$, $1 < p < \infty$ and $k(x, y) \geq 0$ be such that $\text{Int}(k)$ defines an operator from $L^q(\nu)$ to $L^p(\mu)$. Let $k_n(x, y) \geq 0$ be such that $k = \sum_{n=1}^\infty k_n$.

(a) $\sum_{n=1}^\infty \text{Int}(k_n)$ converges unconditionally to $\text{Int}(k)$ in the strong operator topology of $B(L^q(\nu), L^p(\mu))$.

(b) If $1 < q < \infty$ and $\text{Int}(k)$ is compact then the above sum converges unconditionally in the norm of $B(L^q(\nu), L^p(\mu))$.

3. Almost compactness of positive integral operators.

3.1. THEOREM. Let $1 < q < \infty$ and $k(x, y) \geq 0$ be such that $\text{Int}(k)$ defines an operator from $L^q(\nu)$ to $L^q(\mu)$. Given $r < \infty$ we may find $g \in L^\infty(\mu)$ such that $g^{-1} \in L^r(\mu)$ and $P_g \circ \text{Int}(k): L^q(\nu) \rightarrow L^q(\mu)$ is compact.

PROOF. Let us start with the easy case $q = \infty$. It is an old result, dating back to Dunford's paper [4] in 1936, that a σ^* -continuous $T: L^\infty(\nu) \rightarrow L^\infty(\mu)$ is integral iff for $\epsilon > 0$ there is $A \subseteq X$, $\mu(X \setminus A) < \epsilon$ and such that $P_A \circ T$ is compact (see also [5] and [12]). So find a partition $(A_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ of X such that $P_{A_n} \circ \text{Int}(k)$ is compact and, given $r < \infty$, find a nullsequence $(\alpha_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ of strictly positive scalars such that $g^{-1} = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \alpha_n^{-1} \chi_{A_n} \in L^r(\mu)$. It is easy to check that $P_g \circ \text{Int}(k)$ is compact.

Now assume that $1 < q < \infty$. Given $r < \infty$ find $1 < p < q$ such that $r^{-1} > p^{-1} - q^{-1}$. The operator $\text{Int}(k)$ is a compact operator from $L^q(\nu)$ to $L^p(\mu)$ (cf. [1] or [9, Theorem 5.4]; compare also [3]). Let $k_n = k \cdot \chi_{(n-1 < k < n)}$ and deduce from 2.3(b) that $\sum_{n=1}^\infty \text{Int}(k_n)$ converges to $\text{Int}(k)$ unconditionally in the norm of $B(L^q(\nu), L^p(\mu))$. So we may find a sequence $0 = n_0 < n_1 < \dots < n_m < \dots$ such that for $m > 2$

$$\left\| \sum_{n=n_{m-1}}^{n_m-1} \text{Int}(k_n) \right\|_{B(L^q, L^p)} < 2^{-m}.$$

Let $\bar{k}_m = m \sum_{n=n_{m-1}}^{n_m-1} k_n$, and $\bar{k} = \sum_{m=1}^\infty \bar{k}_m$. Clearly $\bar{k} \geq k$ but $\text{Int}(\bar{k}) = \sum_{m=1}^\infty \text{Int}(\bar{k}_m)$ is still a continuous (even compact, but we shall not need this) operator from $L^q(\nu)$ to $L^p(\mu)$. We may apply Maurey's factorization theorem (2.2 above) to find $g \in L^\infty(\mu)$ such that $g^{-1} \in L^r(\mu)$ and such that $P_g \circ \text{Int}(\bar{k})$ takes its values in $L^q(\nu)$. From 2.3(a)

$$P_g \circ \text{Int}(\bar{k}) = \sum_{m=1}^\infty m \left(\sum_{n=n_{m-1}}^{n_m-1} \text{Int}(g \cdot k_n) \right),$$

the sum converging unconditionally in the strong operator topology of $B(L^q(\nu), L^q(\mu))$. This implies that the sum

$$P_g \circ \text{Int}(k) = \sum_{m=1}^\infty \left(\sum_{n=n_{m-1}}^{n_m-1} \text{Int}(g \cdot k_n) \right)$$

converges in the norm of $B(L^q(\nu), L^q(\mu))$. As each of the summands is clearly compact the operator $P_g \circ \text{Int}(k): L^q(\nu) \rightarrow L^q(\mu)$ is compact.

3.2. REMARK. The theorem does not hold for $q = 1$. Let $T: L^1(\nu) \rightarrow L^1[0, 1]$ be a positive surjective operator, where (Y, ν) is a purely atomic measure space (i.e.

$L^1(\nu)$ is isometric to l^1). Then T is integral but for every positive $g \in L^\infty(\mu)$, which does not vanish identically, the operator $P_g \circ \text{Int}(k)$ is not compact.

However, we have the following result by duality.

3.3. COROLLARY. *Let $1 < p < \infty$ and $k(y, x) \geq 0$ such that $\text{Int}(k)$ defines an operator from $L^p(\mu)$ to $L^p(\nu)$. Given $r < \infty$ we may find $g \in L^\infty(\mu)$ such that $g^{-1} \in L^r(\mu)$ and $\text{Int}(k) \circ P_g: L^p(\mu) \rightarrow L^p(\nu)$ is compact.*

4. Almost compactness of general integral operators.

4.1. THEOREM. *Let $1 < q < \infty$ and $1 < p < q$ and let $\text{Int}(k): L^q(\nu) \rightarrow L^p(\mu)$ be an integral operator. For $\epsilon > 0$ there is $A \subseteq X$ with $\mu(X \setminus A) < \epsilon$ such that both $P_A \circ \text{Int}(k)$ and its modulus $P_A \circ \text{Int}(|k|)$ are compact operators from $L^q(\nu)$ to $L^q(\mu)$.*

PROOF. Write $k = k_1 - k_2 + ik_3 - ik_4$, where $k_j \geq 0$. Each $\text{Int}(k_j)$ defines a positive continuous operator from $L^q(\nu)$ to $L^0(\mu)$. By Nikišin's theorem (2.1 above) we may find $B_j \subseteq X$, $\mu(X \setminus B_j) < \epsilon/8$ such that $P_{B_j} \circ \text{Int}(k_j)$ is a positive continuous operator from $L^q(\nu)$ to $L^q(\mu)$. It is an easy consequence of Theorem 3.1 that we may find $A_j \subseteq B_j$, $\mu(X \setminus A_j) < \epsilon/4$, such that $P_{A_j} \circ \text{Int}(k_j)$ is compact from $L^q(\nu)$ to $L^q(\mu)$. For $A = \bigcap_{j=1}^4 A_j$, the operator $P_A \circ \text{Int}(k)$ satisfies the requirements.

4.2. REMARK. In the situation of Theorem 4.1, it is not possible to find a big set B on the left-hand side (i.e. from Y) so that $\text{Int}(k) \circ P_B$ is compact. For example let k be the kernel on $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$, $k(x, y) = 2^{n/2} \cdot r_n(y)$ if $x \in [2^{-n}, 2^{-(n-1)}]$, where r_n denotes the n th Rademacher function. Then $\text{Int}(k): L^2[0, 1] \rightarrow L^2[0, 1]$ is such an example.

Theorem 4.1 is a strengthening of the known result of "twosided cutting off", which seems to be due to Korotkov [8].

4.3. REMARK. What happens in the case $p > q$? If $\text{Int}(k): L^q(\nu) \rightarrow L^p(\mu)$ is given, then for $q > 1$ the above theorem applies and provides a compact operator $P_A \circ \text{Int}(k)$ from $L^q(\nu)$ to $L^q(\mu)$. One would like to have the operator compact from $L^q(\nu)$ to $L^p(\mu)$ but this is only possible for few pairs of indices as is shown in the following proposition.

4.4. PROPOSITION. (a) *Let $1 < q < \infty$ and $p = \infty$; for every continuous operator $T: L^q(\nu) \rightarrow L^\infty(\mu)$ and $\epsilon > 0$ there is an $A \subseteq X$ with $\mu(X \setminus A) < \epsilon$ such that $P_A \circ T: L^q(\nu) \rightarrow L^\infty(\mu)$ is compact.*

(b) *On the other hand, for $1 \leq q < p < \infty$ and for $q = 1, p = \infty$ there are integral operators $\text{Int}(k): L^q(\nu) \rightarrow L^p(\mu)$ such that for every $A \subseteq X$, $\mu(A) > 0$ the operator $P_A \circ T: L^q(\nu) \rightarrow L^p(\mu)$ is not compact.*

PROOF. (a) This result was known to A. Grothendieck [6]. Let us phrase it in the terminology of [13]: $L^q(\nu)$ is Asplund for $1 < q < \infty$ hence $T(\text{ball}(L^q(\nu)))$ is equimeasurable, which is just what we have to prove.

(b) For $q = 1$ and $1 < p < \infty$ let T be a positive surjective operator from l^1 (represented as $L^1(\nu)$ over a finite measure space (Y, ν)) onto $L^p[0, 1]$ (resp. onto the subspace $C[0, 1]$ of $L^\infty[0, 1]$, if $p = \infty$).

If $1 < q < p < \infty$ then there are operators of potential type from $L^q[0, 1]$ to $L^p[0, 1]$ that are not compact (cf. [8, p. 147 ff.]). It is clear that an operator of potential type may not be made compact by restricting to a subset of positive measure.

5. Decomposition of integral operators.

5.1. THEOREM. Let $1 < q < \infty$, $1 \leq p < q$ and $\text{Int}(k): L^q(\nu) \rightarrow L^p(\mu)$ an integral operator. Given $\varepsilon > 0$ we may write k as $k^C + k^0$ where $\text{Int}(k^C)$ is a Carleman operator from $L^q(\nu)$ to $L^p(\mu)$ and $\text{Int}(k^0)$ as well as its modulus $\text{Int}(|k^0|)$ are compact operators from $L^q(\nu)$ to $L^q(\mu)$ of norm less than ε .

PROOF. We start with the trivial case $q = \infty$ and $1 < p < \infty$. Every $\text{Int}(k): L^\infty(\nu) \rightarrow L^p(\mu)$ is automatically Carleman, hence we may choose $k^C = k$ and $k^0 = 0$.

Let now $1 < q < \infty$, $1 \leq p < q$. By Theorem 4.1 we may find a partition $(A_i)_{i=1}^\infty$ of X such that for $k_i(x, y) = \chi_{A_i}(x) \cdot k(x, y)$ the operator $\text{Int}(|k_i|)$ is compact from $L^q(\nu)$ to $L^q(\mu)$. By Lemma 2.3 we may find numbers n_i such that

$$\|\text{Int}(|k_i|) - \text{Int}(|k_i| \cdot \chi_{\{|k_i| < n_i\}})\| < \varepsilon/2^i.$$

Let $k_i^C = k_i \cdot \chi_{\{|k_i| < n_i\}}$ and $k_i^0 = k_i - k_i^C$ and define

$$k^C = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} k_i^C \quad \text{and} \quad k^0 = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} k_i^0.$$

It is now easy to verify the asserted properties of k^C and k^0 .

5.2. REMARK. We do not know whether for arbitrary $1 < p, q < \infty$ an integral operator $\text{Int}(k): L^q(\nu) \rightarrow L^p(\mu)$ may be decomposed into a Carleman and an orderbounded part. We know that this is possible in some cases not covered by 5.1. For $p = q = 1$, for example, this is trivially possible as every continuous operator $T: L^1(\nu) \rightarrow L^1(\mu)$ is orderbounded. However, we do not have the full strength of 5.1 in this case. The operator from Remark 3.2 may not be decomposed in such a way as to make the orderbounded part compact or arbitrarily small in norm.

REFERENCES

1. T. Ando, *On compactness of integral operators*, Indag. Math. **24** (1962), 235–239.
2. J. Diestel and J. J. Uhl, *Vector measures*, Math. Surveys, no. 15, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1977.
3. P. G. Dodds, *Compact kernel operators on Banach function spaces*, (preprint).
4. N. Dunford, *Integration and linear operations*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **40** (1936), 474–494.
5. N. E. Gretsky and J. J. Uhl, *Carleman and Korotkov operators on Banach spaces*, (preprint).
6. A. Grothendieck, *Produits tensoriels topologiques*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. No. 16 (1955).
7. P. R. Halmos and V. Sunder, *Bounded integral operators on L^2 spaces*, Ergebnisse der Math., Bd. 96, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1978.
8. V. Korotkov, *On some properties of partially integral operators*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **217** (1974); English transl. in Soviet Math. Dokl. **15** (1974), 1114–1117.
9. M. A. Krasnosel'skiĭ et al., *Integral operators in spaces of summable functions*, Nordhoff, Groningen, 1976.

10. B. Maurey, *Théorèmes de factorisation pour les opérateurs linéaires à valeurs dans L^p* , Asterisque 11, Paris, 1974.
11. E. M. Nikišin, *Resonance theorems and superlinear operators*, Uspehi Mat. Nauk 25 (1970), 125–191; English transl. in Russian Math. Surveys 25 (1970), 125–197.
12. W. Schachermayer, *Integral operators on L^p spaces*, (preprint).
13. C. Stegall, *The Radon-Nikodým property in conjugate Banach spaces. II*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. (to appear)

INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK, JOHANNES KEPLER UNIVERSITÄT, A 4045 LINZ, AUSTRIA

FACHBEREICH MATHEMATIK, UNIVERSITÄT KAISERSLAUTERN, 675 KAISERSLAUTERN, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF GERMANY