

CONTINUITY OF THE SPECTRUM AND SPECTRAL RADIUS

GERARD J. MURPHY

ABSTRACT. Let A be a Banach algebra containing an element x . Topological conditions on the spectrum of x are given which are necessary and sufficient to ensure the continuity of the spectrum or spectral radius at x .

1. Introduction. In this paper \mathbb{C} denotes the field of complex numbers, and \mathbf{K} the metric space of nonempty compact subsets of \mathbb{C} endowed with the Hausdorff metric Δ . If $K_1, K_2 \in \mathbf{K}$, then

$$\Delta(K_1, K_2) = \max\left(\sup_{\lambda \in K_2} d(\lambda, K_1), \sup_{\lambda \in K_1} d(\lambda, K_2)\right)$$

where $d(\lambda, K_1) = \inf_{\mu \in K_1} |\lambda - \mu|$.

A will denote a Banach algebra, which we will always assume is unital without loss of generality. For $x \in A$, $\sigma(x)$ and $r(x)$ denote the spectrum of x and spectral radius of x respectively. We are interested in determining the points in A at which the spectrum $\sigma: A \rightarrow \mathbf{K}$, $x \rightarrow \sigma(x)$ or the spectral radius $r: A \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, $x \rightarrow r(x)$ are continuous. Newburgh [5] initiated the study of this problem in 1951. A famous example, due to Kakutani [4, pp. 248–249], shows that the spectral radius is discontinuous at certain elements in the C^* -algebra $B(H)$ of all bounded linear operators on a separable Hilbert space H . Recently Conway and Morrel [3] have given necessary and sufficient conditions for the continuity of σ and r at T in $B(H)$. Their results depend on a deep theorem of Apostol and Morrel [1, Theorem 3.1] a special case of which we now state, as it will be used in the sequel.

THEOREM 1. *If T is a normal operator on H , and S is a closed subset of \mathbb{C} which meets all the components of $\sigma(T)$, then there is a sequence T_n in $B(H)$ converging to T in norm for which $\sigma(T_n) \subseteq S$ ($n > 0$).*

Let A be a Banach algebra, $x \in A$, and U an open subset of \mathbb{C} . There are a number of results, due essentially to Newburgh [5] which we shall need later.

THEOREM 2. *If $U \supseteq \sigma(x)$, then there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $\|y - x\| < \delta$ implies that $U \supseteq \sigma(y)$.*

(This property of σ is referred to as *upper semicontinuity*.)

Received by the editors June 18, 1980.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46H05.

© 1981 American Mathematical Society
0002-9939/81/0000-0371/\$01.75

THEOREM 3. *If U contains a component of $\sigma(x)$, then there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $\|y - x\| < \delta$ implies that U contains a component of $\sigma(y)$.*

It is trivial to see that r is always upper semicontinuous, and that, since $r(x) = \Delta(\sigma(x), \{0\})$, if σ is continuous at x , so is r . An interesting result due to Aupetit [2] states that r is uniformly continuous on A if and only if $A/\text{rad}(A)$ is commutative ($\text{rad}(A)$ denotes the Jacobson radical of A).

2. Continuity of r and σ . Throughout this section A is a (unital) Banach algebra, $x \in A$, and T a normal operator on a separable infinite dimensional Hilbert space H . Let $K \in \mathbf{K}$, and put $\alpha(K) = \sup\{\inf_{\lambda \in \omega} |\lambda| : \omega \text{ is a component of } K\}$, and $r(K) = \sup_{\lambda \in K} |\lambda|$. So $r(K) > \alpha(K)$. We assume $\sigma(T) = K$.

DEFINITION 1. The set K is an r -set (resp. σ -set) if for every Banach algebra A , and x in A with $\sigma(x) = K$, the spectral radius r (resp. the spectrum σ) is continuous at x in A . A well-known result of Newburgh [5] can be restated by saying that if K is totally disconnected it is a σ -set.

(Note that this is true for the spectrum $\sigma(S)$ of a compact or Riesz operator S on a Banach space X , so that σ is continuous at S in the Banach algebra $B(X)$ of bounded linear operators on X .)

Assume K is a member of \mathbf{K} .

PROPOSITION 1. *The following are equivalent statements:*

- (i) K is an r -set;
- (ii) $\alpha(K) = r(K)$;
- (iii) T is a point of continuity of r in $B(H)$.

PROOF. (ii) \Rightarrow (i). If $\alpha(K) = r(K)$ and $K = \sigma(x)$ for x in some Banach algebra A then, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, let $U = \{\lambda \in \mathbf{C} : |\lambda| > r(x) - \varepsilon\}$. As there is a component ω of K with $\inf_{\lambda \in \omega} |\lambda| > \alpha(K) - \varepsilon = r(x) - \varepsilon$, so $U \supseteq \omega$, and hence by Theorem 3, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $\|y - x\| < \delta$ implies that U contains a component of $\sigma(y)$. Therefore $r(y) > r(x) - \varepsilon$. This proves the lower semicontinuity of r at x . As upper semicontinuity is automatic, it follows that r is continuous at x . Thus we have shown that K is an r -set.

(i) \Rightarrow (iii) by definition.

(iii) \Rightarrow (ii). Assume that (iii) holds and that $\alpha(K) < r(K)$. (We can always construct a normal T with $\sigma(T) = K$, simply by choosing a diagonal operator whose diagonal entries are dense in K .) Now every component ω of K meets the set $\Delta = \{\lambda \in \mathbf{C} : |\lambda| < \rho\}$ where ρ is chosen such that $\alpha(K) < \rho < r(K)$. Hence by Theorem 1, there is a sequence T_n in $B(H)$ converging to T in norm with $\sigma(T_n) \subseteq \Delta$. Thus $r(T_n) \leq \rho$ ($n > 0$). But this is impossible by the continuity of r at T . This contradiction shows $\alpha(K) = r(K)$, and so (iii) \Rightarrow (ii). The proof of implication (iii) \Rightarrow (ii) is a simplification of a special case of the proof of Theorem 2.6 in [3].

For $K \in \mathbf{K}$, let $K_0 = \{\lambda \in K : \text{the component of } \lambda \text{ in } K \text{ is } \{\lambda\}\}$. (Thus $K = K_0$ if and only if K_0 is totally disconnected.) As before, T is a normal operator on H and $\sigma(T) = K$. \bar{K}_0 denotes the closure of K_0 in \mathbf{C} .

PROPOSITION 2. *The following are equivalent statements:*

- (i) K is a σ -set;
- (ii) $K = \overline{K_0}$;
- (iii) for each $\varepsilon > 0$ and for each $\lambda \in K$, $B(\lambda, \varepsilon) = \{ \mu \in \mathbb{C} : |\mu - \lambda| < \varepsilon \}$ contains a component of K ;
- (iv) T is a point of continuity of σ in $B(H)$.

PROOF. That (iii) \Rightarrow (ii) follows from some elementary topology. (This fact is also pointed out in [3, p. 19].)

(ii) \Rightarrow (i). Let A be any Banach algebra, and suppose that $\sigma(x) = K$. Then for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in K$ such that $B(\lambda_1, \varepsilon/2) \cup \dots \cup B(\lambda_n, \varepsilon/2) \supseteq K$. Hence as $\overline{K_0} = K$, there exist $\mu_i \in K_0$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) such that $|\lambda_i - \mu_i| < \varepsilon/2$. Thus there exist $\delta_i > 0$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) such that $\|y - x\| < \delta_i$ implies that $B(\lambda_i, \varepsilon/2)$ contains a component of $\sigma(y)$ (this follows from Theorem 3, and the fact that $\{\mu_i\}$ is a component of $\sigma(x) = K$). Thus if $\delta = \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} \delta_i$ then for $\|y - x\| < \delta$ and $\lambda \in \sigma(x)$ we have $|\lambda - \lambda_i| < \varepsilon/2$ for some i , $1 \leq i \leq n$, and, for each i , $|\lambda_i - \lambda'_i| < \varepsilon/2$ for some λ'_i in $\sigma(y)$, as $B(\lambda_i, \varepsilon/2)$ contains a component of $\sigma(y)$.

Hence $|\lambda - \lambda'_i| < \varepsilon$, or $d(\lambda, \sigma(y)) < \varepsilon$. Thus $\sup_{\lambda \in \sigma(x)} d(\lambda, \sigma(y)) < \varepsilon$ for $\|y - x\| < \delta$.

But by the upper semicontinuity property, there exists $\delta_0 < \delta$, $\delta_0 > 0$, such that $\|y - x\| < \delta_0$ implies that $\sup_{\lambda \in \sigma(y)} d(\lambda, \sigma(x)) < \varepsilon$. Thus $\Delta(\sigma(y), \sigma(x)) < \varepsilon$ for $\|y - x\| < \delta_0$, showing that σ is continuous at x . Hence K is a σ -set. (This argument is a generalization of one due to Newburgh [5] proving that totally disconnected sets are σ -sets.)

(i) \Rightarrow (iv) by definition.

(iv) \Rightarrow (iii). Assume that T is a point of continuity of σ and let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\lambda \in K = \sigma(T)$. If $B(\lambda, \varepsilon)$ does not contain a component of K , then $S = \mathbb{C} \setminus B(\lambda, \varepsilon)$ is a closed set meeting all the components of $\sigma(T)$, so by Theorem 1, there is a sequence T_n in $B(H)$ with T_n converging to T in norm, but $\sigma(T_n) \subseteq S$ ($n > 0$). Hence $\Delta(\sigma(T_n), \sigma(T)) > d(\lambda, \sigma(T_n)) > \varepsilon$ ($n > 0$). But as σ is continuous at T , $\Delta(\sigma(T_n), \sigma(T)) \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$). This contradiction shows $B(\lambda, \varepsilon)$ contains a component of $\sigma(T)$, and so (iv) implies (iii). (This result is a simplified proof of a special case of Theorem 3.1 in [3].)

Finally, it is easy to exhibit $K \in \mathbb{K}$ such that $K_0 \neq K = \overline{K_0}$ and to exhibit K such that $\alpha(K) = r(K)$ but no component of K lies on the circle $\{\lambda : |\lambda| = r(K)\}$. (For example take $K = \{1 - 1/n : n > 1\} \cup \{x + iy : x + y = 1; x, y > 0\}$.)

REFERENCES

1. C. Apostol and B. Morrel, *On uniform approximation of operators by simple models*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **26** (1977), 427–442.
2. B. Aupetit, *Characterisation spectrale des algèbres de Banach commutatives*, Pacific J. Math. **63** (1976), 23–35.
3. J. Conway and B. Morrel, *Operators that are points of spectral continuity*, Integral Equations Operator Theory **2** (1979), 174–198.
4. P. Halmos, *A Hilbert space problem book*, Van Nostrand, Princeton, N.J., 1967.
5. J. Newburgh, *The variation of spectra*, Duke Math. J. **18** (1951), 165–176.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, TRINITY COLLEGE, DUBLIN, IRELAND

Current address: Department of Mathematics, Dalhousie University, Halifax, Nova Scotia, Canada