

THE EISENBUD-EVANS  
GENERALIZED PRINCIPAL IDEAL THEOREM  
AND DETERMINANTAL IDEALS

WINFRIED BRUNS

ABSTRACT. In [2] Eisenbud and Evans gave an important generalization of Krull's Principal Ideal Theorem. However, their proof, using maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules, may have limited the validity of their theorem to a proper subclass of all local rings. (Hochster proved the existence of maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules for local rings which contain a field, cf. [4]). In the first section we present a proof which is simpler and guarantees the Generalized Principal Ideal Theorem for all local rings. The main result of the second section was conjectured in [2]. Under a hypothesis typically being satisfied for the most important fitting invariant of a module, it improves the Eagon-Northcott bound [1] on the height of a determinantal ideal considerably. Finally we will discuss the implications of a recent theorem of Faltings [3] on determinantal ideals.

**1. The Generalized Principal Ideal Theorem.** We recall some notations from [2]. Let  $R$  be a commutative noetherian ring, and  $M$  a finitely generated  $R$ -module. The order ideal  $M^*(x)$  of an element  $x \in M$  is given by

$$M^*(x) := \{f(x) : f \in M^*\},$$

where  $M^*$  denotes the dual  $\text{Hom}_R(M, R)$  of  $M$ . Since  $M$  is finitely presented, the formation of  $M^*(x)$  commutes with flat ring extensions, in particular with localizations, completions, and the adjunction of indeterminates. The rank of  $M$  is the maximum of  $\dim_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}} M_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ ,  $\mathfrak{p}$  ranging over the minimal primes of  $R$ . For all unexplained notations and terminology we refer the reader to [7].

Theorem 1 below extends Theorem 1.1 of [2] to all (local) rings  $R$ . It was named "Generalized Principal Ideal Theorem" because one recovers Krull's Principal Ideal Theorem for elements  $x_1, \dots, x_m \in R$  from it by specializing  $M$  to  $R^m$  and  $x$  to  $(x_1, \dots, x_m) \in R^m$ . (Theorem 1 was called the "Eisenbud-Evans Principal Ideal Conjecture" in [5].)

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $R$  be a noetherian ring,  $M$  a finitely generated  $R$ -module, and  $x \in M$ . If there is a prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $R$  with  $x \in \mathfrak{p}M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ , then*

$$\text{ht } M^*(x) < \text{rank } M.$$

**PROOF.** It is enough to prove  $\text{ht } M_q^*(x) < \text{rank } M_q$  for a prime ideal  $\mathfrak{q}$  of  $R$  (with  $x \in \mathfrak{q}R_{\mathfrak{q}}$ ): By the way rank  $M$  was defined, it cannot increase under localization, and  $\text{ht } M^*(x) < \text{ht } M_q^*(x)$  simply because  $(M^*(x))_{\mathfrak{q}} = M_q^*(x)$ .

---

Received by the editors July 23, 1980 and, in revised form, November 6, 1980.  
1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 13C05.

© 1981 American Mathematical Society  
0002-9939/81/0000-0404/\$02.50

Let us first assume that there is a prime ideal  $\mathfrak{q}$  of  $R$  such that  $M_{\mathfrak{q}}$  is a free  $R_{\mathfrak{q}}$ -module and  $x \in \mathfrak{q}M_{\mathfrak{q}}$ . Then  $\text{ht } M_{\mathfrak{q}}^*(x) < \text{rank } M_{\mathfrak{q}}$  by Krull's Principal Ideal Theorem since  $M_{\mathfrak{q}}^*$  is generated by rank  $M_{\mathfrak{q}}$  elements.

In the general case we may assume that  $R$  is local with maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$ . We may even suppose that  $R$  is a complete local ring, height and rank being stable under completion. Finally we can factor out a minimal prime ideal  $\mathfrak{q}$  of  $R$  for which  $\text{ht } M^*(x) = \text{ht}(M^*(x) + \mathfrak{q})/\mathfrak{q}$ , cf. [2]. So we only need to prove the theorem for universally catenarian local domains.

There are elements  $e_1, \dots, e_m \in M$  such that  $x = a_1e_1 + \dots + a_me_m$  with  $a_i \in \mathfrak{p}$ . Let  $S$  denote the localization of  $R[T_1, \dots, T_m]$  with respect to the maximal ideal generated by  $\mathfrak{p}$  and the indeterminates  $T_1, \dots, T_m$ . The ideal

$$\mathfrak{r} := S(a_1 + T_1) + \dots + S(a_m + T_m)$$

is a prime ideal of  $S$  with  $\mathfrak{r} \cap R = \{0\}$ . Thus

$$(M \otimes S)_{\mathfrak{r}} = M_{(0)} \otimes S_{\mathfrak{r}}$$

is a free  $S_{\mathfrak{r}}$ -module ( $M_{(0)}$  denotes the localization of  $M$  with respect to the zero-ideal of  $R$ ). The element

$$y := (a_1 + T_1)e_1 + \dots + (a_m + T_m)e_m$$

is contained in  $\mathfrak{r}(M \otimes S)$ . By what has been shown above,  $\text{ht}(M \otimes S)^*(y) < \text{rank } M \otimes S = \text{rank } M$ .

$S$  is a catenarian ring. Consequently, there is a prime ideal  $\mathfrak{q}$  of  $S$  containing  $(M \otimes S)^*(y)$  as well as  $T_1, \dots, T_m$ , such that  $\text{ht } \mathfrak{q} < \text{rank } M + m$ . Then  $\mathfrak{q}$  must also contain a minimal prime ideal  $\tilde{\mathfrak{q}}$  of  $(M \otimes S)^*(x) = M^*(x)S$ . All minimal prime ideals of  $M^*(x)S$  are extended from prime ideals of  $R$ . Therefore

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{q}} \subset \tilde{\mathfrak{q}} + ST_1 \subset \dots \subset \tilde{\mathfrak{q}} + ST_1 + \dots + ST_m$$

is a strictly ascending chain of prime ideals, whence

$$\text{ht } M^*(x) = \text{ht } M^*(x)S < \text{ht } \tilde{\mathfrak{q}} < \text{rank } M.$$

The depth (or grade) of an ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$  with respect to an (arbitrary)  $R$ -module  $N$ , i.e., the length of a maximal  $N$ -sequence contained in  $\mathfrak{a}$ , is bounded above by  $\text{ht } \mathfrak{a}$ . Therefore Theorem 1 implies the corresponding inequality for depth. Being immediate consequences of Theorem 2.1 of [2], Corollaries 1.2 and 1.3 of [2] become valid for all local rings.

**2. Determinantal ideals.** As above, let  $R$  be a commutative noetherian ring. The ideal generated by the determinants of the  $t \times t$  submatrices of an  $m \times n$  matrix  $\varphi$  over  $R$  is denoted by  $I_t(\varphi)$  (with the usual conventions,  $I_t(\varphi) = R$  for  $t < 0$  and  $I_t(\varphi) = 0$  for  $t > \min(m, n)$ ). We define the  $k$ th fitting invariant  $F_k(M)$  of a finitely generated  $R$ -module  $M$  [6] to be the ideal  $I_{n-k}(\varphi)$  where  $\varphi$  represents a homomorphism  $R^m \rightarrow R^n$  such that  $M = \text{Coker } \varphi$ . The fitting invariants determine the level sets of the (locally constant) function assigning to each prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  the minimal number of generators of the  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module  $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ :  $\mathfrak{p} \supset F_k(M)$  whenever  $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$  cannot be spanned by fewer than  $k + 1$  elements.

Let us say that  $M$  has *f-rank*  $r$  if  $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a free  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module of constant rank  $r$  for all associated primes  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $R$ . The *f-rank* of  $M$  is denoted by  $\text{frk } M$ . (This is the definition of rank proposed in [8].) In general, not every module has an *f-rank*. However, when  $R$  is an integral domain or  $M$  has a finite free resolution, then  $\text{frk } M$  is defined, and, in the latter case, given by the Euler characteristic of a finite free resolution. The reader will check that  $\text{frk } M = r$  if and only if  $F_r(M)$  contains a nonzero divisor and  $F_{r-1}(M) = 0$ . Furthermore, in case  $\text{frk } M = r$ , a localization  $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a free  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module if and only if  $\mathfrak{p} \not\supseteq F_r(M)$ . This property renders  $F_r(M)$  the most important of all fitting invariants and explains a great deal of our interest in a bound on  $\text{ht } I_t(\varphi)$  under the condition  $I_{t+1}(\varphi) = 0$ .

The classical bound on the height of determinantal ideals was given by Eagon and Northcott in [1, Theorem 3]:

$$\text{ht } I_t(\varphi) \leq \text{EN}(m, n, t) := (m - t + 1)(n - t + 1)$$

for  $t = 1, \dots, \min(m, n)$ , regardless of any hypothesis on  $\varphi$  (except, of course,  $I_t(\varphi) \neq R$ ). The “generic” case, in which  $\varphi$  is a matrix of indeterminates over the integers, demonstrates that the Eagon-Northcott bound is optimal in general. One then has  $\text{ht } I_t(\varphi) = \text{EN}(m, n, t)$  and, hence,  $\text{ht } I_t(\varphi)/I_{t+1}(\varphi) = m + n - 2t + 1$ . The last equation presumably led Eisenbud and Evans to conjecture the following theorem [2, Conjecture 2.6]:

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $R$  be a commutative noetherian ring, and  $\varphi$  an  $m \times n$  matrix over  $R$ . If  $I_t(\varphi) \neq R$  and  $I_{t+1}(\varphi) = 0$ , then*

$$\text{ht } I_t(\varphi) \leq m + n - 2t + 1.$$

**PROOF.** We use induction on  $t$ , and may restrict ourselves to complete local integral domains  $R$  and ideals  $I_t(\varphi)$  primary to the maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  of  $R$ . Let

$$\varphi = \begin{bmatrix} x_{11} & \dots & x_{1n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ x_{m1} & \dots & x_{mn} \end{bmatrix}.$$

If there is an  $x_{ij} \notin \mathfrak{m}$ , then one reduces the assertion to the case  $t - 1$  by applying elementary row and column operations to  $\varphi$ . So we may assume that all  $x_{ij} \in \mathfrak{m}$ , and, by induction on  $n$ , that there is a prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p} \neq \mathfrak{m}$  containing  $I_t(\varphi')$ , where

$$\varphi' = \begin{bmatrix} x_{11} & \dots & x_{1n-1} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ x_{m1} & \dots & x_{mn-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

We claim  $\text{ht } I_t(\varphi') \leq n - t$ . Consider  $\varphi$  as a map  $R^m \rightarrow R^n$  and, correspondingly,  $\varphi'$  as a map  $R^m \rightarrow R^{n-1}$ . Let  $M := \text{Coker } \varphi$  and  $M' := \text{Coker } \varphi'$ .  $M'$  is isomorphic to  $M/R\bar{e}_n$ ,  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  denoting the elements of the canonical basis of  $R^n$ . Since  $I_t(\varphi) \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ ,  $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$  needs exactly  $n - t$  generators. So does  $M'_{\mathfrak{p}}$  because  $I_t(\varphi') \subset \mathfrak{p}$ . Necessarily  $\bar{e}_n \in \mathfrak{p}M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ , and  $\text{ht } M^*(\bar{e}_n) \leq \text{rank } M = n - t$  by Theorem 1. Regarding

the determinantal relations of the columns of  $\varphi$  as elements of  $R^n$  which vanish on  $\text{Im } \varphi$  (the submodule of  $R^n$  generated by the rows of  $\varphi$ ) we conclude  $I_t(\varphi) \subset M^*(\bar{e}_n)$  and obtain the claim.

In complete local domains the equation  $\text{ht } \alpha + \dim R/\alpha = \dim R$  holds for all ideals  $\alpha$ . Consequently Theorem 2 is settled once we have shown that  $\dim R/I_t(\varphi) = \text{ht } I_t(\varphi)/I_t(\varphi) \leq m - t + 1$ .

**LEMMA.** *Let  $R$  be a local ring, and  $\varphi$  an  $m \times n$  matrix over  $R$ , whose last column consists of elements in the maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  of  $R$ . Let  $\varphi'$  be the matrix formed by the first  $n - 1$  columns of  $\varphi$ . If  $I_t(\varphi') = 0$ , then*

$$\text{ht } I_t(\varphi) \leq m - t + 1.$$

The lemma just extends Theorem 2.1 of [2] to all local rings. The following hint will enable the reader to prove it. Consider the transpose of  $\varphi$  and adjoin a column to it:

$$\tilde{\varphi} := \begin{bmatrix} x_{11} & \cdots & x_{m1} & 0 \\ \vdots & & & \vdots \\ x_{1n-1} & \cdots & x_{mn-1} & 0 \\ x_{1n} & \cdots & x_{mn} & -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Now  $\tilde{\varphi}$  and  $\varphi$  are related in the same way as  $\varphi$  and  $\varphi'$  in the proof of Theorem 2, and  $\bar{e}_{m+1} = x_{1n}\bar{e}_1 + \cdots + x_{mn}\bar{e}_m \in \mathfrak{m}M$ , the notations corresponding to those above.

**COROLLARY 1.** *Let  $R$  be as in Theorem 2,  $\varphi$  an  $m \times n$  matrix over  $R$ , and  $\psi$  a  $u \times v$  submatrix of  $\varphi$  such that all coefficients of  $\varphi$  outside  $\psi$  generate a proper ideal of  $R$ . If  $I_t(\varphi) \neq R$ , then*

$$\text{ht } I_t(\varphi)/I_{t+k}(\psi) \leq \text{EN}(m, n, t) - \text{EN}(u, v, t + k)$$

for all  $k = 0, \dots, \min(u, v) - t + 1$ .

**PROOF.** After the by now usual reduction to the case of a complete local domain, one applies Theorem 2 inductively to obtain the assertion in the case  $\varphi = \psi$ . Then one uses the lemma to complete the proof by induction on  $(m + n) - (u + v)$ .

Corollary 1, essentially predicted in [2], generalizes the Eagon-Northcott bound, to which it specializes for  $\varphi = \psi$ ,  $t + k = \min(m, n) + 1$ . It does not say (in general):  $\text{ht } I_t(\varphi) > \text{EN}(m, n, t)$  implies  $\text{ht } I_{t+k}(\psi) > \text{EN}(u, v, t + k)$ . The corresponding statement for  $\dim R - \dim R/I_t(\varphi)$  and  $\dim R - \dim R/I_{t+k}(\psi)$ , however, is always true (cf. [2, proof of Corollary 2.4]). Again the reader should observe that the inequalities for height imply the corresponding inequalities for depth.

We now return to the interpretation of determinantal ideals as fitting invariants. For a closed subset  $A$  of  $\text{Spec } R$  we put

$$\text{codim } A := \min\{\text{ht } \mathfrak{p} : \mathfrak{p} \in A\}.$$

For every finitely generated  $R$ -module  $M$

$$\text{Nf } M := \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R : M_{\mathfrak{p}} \text{ is not a free } R_{\mathfrak{p}}\text{-module}\}$$

is a closed subset of  $\text{Spec } R$  and consists of the prime ideals  $\mathfrak{p} \supset F_r(M)$  in case  $\text{frk } M = r$ , as was noted above.

**COROLLARY 2.** *Let  $R$  be as in Theorem 2, and  $M$  a finitely generated  $R$ -module with an  $f$ -rank. Let  $N$  be a second syzygy of  $M$ . If  $M$  is not free, then*

$$\text{codim Nf } M < \text{frk } M + \text{frk } N + 1.$$

**PROOF.** Consider an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow R^m \xrightarrow{\varphi} R^n \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

and put  $t := n - \text{frk } M$ . Then  $I_t(\varphi) \neq R$ ,  $I_{t+1}(\varphi) = 0$ ,  $\text{frk } N = m - t$ , and the conclusion follows from Theorem 2.

It would be extremely interesting to construct modules over regular local rings for which the bound in Corollary 2 is attained. It is easy to write down examples with rank  $N = 0$  (equivalently,  $\text{proj dim } M = 1$ ), and rather nontrivial ones with rank  $N = 1$  can be found in [9], but we know of no such modules with rank  $M > 1$  and rank  $N > 1$ .

In our last corollary  $\mu(N)$  shall denote the minimal number of generators of an  $R$ -module  $N$ .

**COROLLARY 3.** *Let  $R$  be as in Theorem 2,  $M$  a torsion-free  $R$ -module with an  $f$ -rank. Then*

$$\text{codim Nf } M < \mu(M) + \mu(M^*) - 2(\text{frk } M) + 1.$$

**PROOF.** Let  $m := \mu(M)$ ,  $n := \mu(M^*)$ , and choose generators  $x_1, \dots, x_m$  of  $M$  and  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  of  $M^*$ . Let  $\varphi$  be the  $m \times n$  matrix  $(f_j(x_i))$ . Then  $\text{Im } \varphi = M/U$ , where  $U$  is the kernel of the natural homomorphism  $M \rightarrow M^{**}$ . Since  $M$  has an  $f$ -rank,  $U$  is a torsion module and thus  $U = 0$ . It is easy to check that  $\text{Nf } M = \text{Nf Coker } \varphi$ ,  $\text{frk Coker } \varphi = \mu(M^*) - \text{frk } M$ , and  $\text{frk ker } \varphi = \mu(M) - \text{frk } M$ . Now the conclusion follows at once from Corollary 2.

Theorem 3, which is a consequence of a theorem of Faltings [3], gives a better bound on  $\text{ht } I_t(\varphi)$ , provided  $R$  is regular and  $t$  is small compared to  $m$  or  $n$ .

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $R$  be a regular local ring, and  $\varphi$  an  $m \times n$  matrix over  $R$ . If  $I_t(\varphi) \neq R$  and  $I_{t+1}(\varphi) = 0$ , then*

$$\text{ht } I_t(\varphi) < \max(n, m - t + 1).$$

**PROOF.** Localizing with respect to a minimal prime ideal of  $I_t(\varphi)$ , we may suppose  $I_t(\varphi)$  primary to the maximal ideal of  $R$ . Regard  $\varphi$  as a map of  $R^m \rightarrow R^n$ , and put  $M := \text{Coker } \varphi$ . If  $\dim R > n$ , then by Satz 1 of [3],  $n - t$  among the residues  $\bar{e}_1, \dots, \bar{e}_n$  of the canonical basis of  $R^n$ , say,  $\bar{e}_{t+1}, \dots, \bar{e}_n$ , generate a free direct summand of rank  $n - t$  in every localization  $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ ,  $\mathfrak{p}$  nonmaximal. Therefore  $M' := M/R\bar{e}_{t+1} + \dots + R\bar{e}_n$  has finite length. Now  $M'$  is isomorphic to  $\text{Coker } \varphi'$ ,  $\varphi'$  consisting of the first  $t$  columns of  $\varphi$ .  $I_t(\varphi')$  is again primary to the maximal ideal of  $R$ , hence  $\dim R < m - t + 1$  by Theorem 2 (for the classical case of maximal minors).

Faltings gives his theorem in a more general setting. For complete local domains the inequality of Theorem 3 becomes

$$\text{ht } I_t(\varphi) < \max(m + \text{embdim } R - \dim R, n - t + 1),$$

$\text{embdim } R$  denoting the embedding dimension of  $R$ , i.e., the minimal number of generators of the maximal ideal of  $R$ . For the most general case cf. [3].

#### REFERENCES

1. J. A. Eagon and D. G. Northcott, *Ideals defined by matrices and a certain complex associated with them*, Proc. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A **269** (1962), 188–204.
2. D. Eisenbud and E. G. Evans, Jr., *A generalized principal ideal theorem*, Nagoya Math. J. **62** (1976), 41–53.
3. G. Faltings, *Ein Kriterium für vollständige Durchschnitte*, Invent. Math. **62** (1981), 383–402.
4. M. Hochster, *Deep local rings*, preprint, Aarhus, 1973.
5. \_\_\_\_\_, *Principal ideal theorems*, Ring Theory (Waterloo, 1978), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 734, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1979.
6. I. Kaplansky, *Commutative rings*, rev. ed., The University of Chicago Press, Chicago and London, 1974.
7. H. Matsumura, *Commutative algebra*, Benjamin, New York, 1970.
8. G. Scheja and U. Storch, *Differentielle Eigenschaften der Lokalisierungen analytischer Algebren*, Math. Ann. **197** (1972), 137–170.
9. U. Vetter, *Zu einem Satz von G. Trautmann über den Rang gewisser kohärenter analytischer Moduln*, Arch. Math. (Basel) **24** (1973), 158–161.

FACHBEREICH NATURWISSENSCHAFTEN/MATHEMATIK, UNIVERSITÄT OSNABRÜCK, ABTEILUNG VECHTA,  
VECHTA, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF GERMANY