

## CURVATURE ESTIMATES FOR COMPLETE AND BOUNDED SUBMANIFOLDS IN A RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLD

YOSHIHISA KITAGAWA

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $M$  be a complete  $n$ -dimensional submanifold in the  $(2n - 1)$ -dimensional Euclidean space, with scalar curvature bounded from below. Baikousis and Koufogiorgos proved that the sectional curvature of  $M$  satisfies  $\sup K_M > \lambda^{-2}$  if  $M$  is contained in a ball of radius  $\lambda$ . We extend this result to the case that the ambient space is a complete simply connected Riemannian manifold of nonpositive curvature.

**1. Introduction.** For  $p < n$ , let  $M$  be a complete  $n$ -dimensional Riemannian submanifold in the  $(n + p)$ -dimensional Euclidean space  $E^{n+p}$ . Under the assumption that the scalar curvature of  $M$  has a lower bound, Baikousis and Koufogiorgos [1] proved that if  $M$  is contained in a ball of radius  $\lambda$ , then the sectional curvature  $K_M$  of  $M$  satisfies  $\sup K_M > \lambda^{-2}$ . In this note we obtain a natural extension of the above inequality when the ambient space is a complete simply connected  $(n + p)$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold of nonpositive curvature. To state our result, we introduce a continuous function  $f: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [1, \infty)$  by

$$(1) \quad f(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } t = 0, \\ t \coth(t) & \text{if } t > 0. \end{cases}$$

**THEOREM.** For  $p < n$ , let  $M$  be a complete  $n$ -dimensional Riemannian submanifold in a  $(n + p)$ -dimensional complete simply connected Riemannian manifold  $\bar{M}$  whose sectional curvature satisfies  $a \leq K_{\bar{M}} \leq b \leq 0$ . If  $M$  is contained in a geodesic ball of radius  $\lambda$  and the scalar curvature of  $M$  has a lower bound, then the sectional curvature  $K_M$  of  $M$  satisfies  $\sup K_M > a + \lambda^{-2}\{f(\sqrt{-b}\lambda)\}^2$ .

The author sincerely thanks Professor S. Tanno for valuable suggestions.

**2. Proof of Theorem.** We denote the Riemannian metric on  $\bar{M}$  (resp.  $M$ ) by  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  (resp.  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ ), the Riemannian connection by  $\bar{\nabla}$  (resp.  $\nabla$ ), the Riemannian curvature tensor by  $\bar{R}$  (resp.  $R$ ) and the second fundamental form with respect to the immersion  $M \subset \bar{M}$  by  $\alpha$ .

Since the scalar curvature of  $M$  has a lower bound, we may assume  $\inf K_M > -\infty$ . Let  $d$  be the distance function on  $\bar{M}$  and choose a point  $\bar{o} \in \bar{M}$  such that  $d(\bar{o}, x) \leq \lambda$  for all  $x \in M$ . We define a smooth function  $F: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by  $F(x) = \{d(\bar{o}, x)\}^2/2$ . Then by [4, Theorem A'] there exists a sequence  $\{x_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  in  $M$  such that

$$(2) \quad \|\text{grad } F(x_k)\| < k^{-1},$$

---

Received by the editors December 4, 1980.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 53C40; Secondary 53C20.

© 1981 American Mathematical Society  
0002-9939/81/0000-0531/\$01.75

$$(3) \quad \nabla^2 F(X, X) < k^{-1} \quad \text{for all unit vectors } X \in T_{x_k} M,$$

$$(4) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} F(x_k) = \sup F,$$

where  $\nabla^2 F$  denotes the Hessian of  $F$  with respect to the Riemannian metric on  $M$ .

LEMMA 1. Let  $\gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow \bar{M}$  be a geodesic in  $\bar{M}$  such that  $\gamma(0) = \bar{o}$  and  $\gamma(1) \in M$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla^2 F(X, X) &\geq \langle \alpha(X, X), \dot{\gamma}(1) \rangle + L^{-2} \langle X, \dot{\gamma}(1) \rangle^2 \\ &\quad + (\|X\|^2 - L^{-2} \langle X, \dot{\gamma}(1) \rangle^2) f(\sqrt{-b} L), \end{aligned}$$

for all vectors  $X$  tangent to  $M$  at  $\gamma(1)$ , where  $L$  is the length of  $\gamma$ .

PROOF. Let  $c(s)$  be the geodesic in  $M$  such that  $\dot{c}(0) = X$  and let  $\gamma_s: [0, 1] \rightarrow \bar{M}$  be the geodesic such that  $\gamma_s(0) = \bar{o}$  and  $\gamma_s(1) = c(s)$ . Then we have  $\nabla^2 F(X, X) = F(c(s))''|_{s=0} = E(\gamma_s)''|_{s=0}$ , where  $E(\gamma_s)$  is the energy of  $\gamma_s$  defined by  $E(\gamma_s) = \int_0^1 \langle \dot{\gamma}_s, \dot{\gamma}_s \rangle / 2$ . Let  $V$  be the variation vector field along  $\gamma$  with respect to the variation  $\{\gamma_s\}$ . Then a calculation shows that

$$E(\gamma_s)''|_{s=0} = \langle \alpha(X, X), \dot{\gamma}(1) \rangle + I(V, V),$$

where  $I(V, V) = \int_0^1 \{ \langle \bar{\nabla}_{\dot{\gamma}} V, \bar{\nabla}_{\dot{\gamma}} V \rangle + \langle \bar{R}(\dot{\gamma}, V) \dot{\gamma}, V \rangle \}$ . Let  $\tilde{M}$  be the  $(n+p)$ -dimensional space form with constant curvature  $b$  and let  $\sigma: [0, 1] \rightarrow \tilde{M}$  be a geodesic with length  $L$ . We construct a vector field  $W$  along  $\sigma$  such that  $\|V\| = \|W\|$ ,  $\|\bar{\nabla}_{\dot{\gamma}} V\| = \|\tilde{\nabla}_{\dot{\sigma}} W\|$  and  $\langle V, \dot{\gamma} \rangle = \langle W, \dot{\sigma} \rangle$ , where  $\tilde{\nabla}$  is the Riemannian connection with respect to the Riemannian metric  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  on  $\tilde{M}$ . Then  $K_{\tilde{M}} \leq b$  implies  $I(V, V) \geq I(W, W)$ . Let  $J$  be the Jacobi field along  $\sigma$  determined by  $J(0) = 0$  and  $J(1) = W(1)$ . Then [2, First lemma, p. 24] implies  $I(W, W) \geq I(J, J)$ . Let  $U$  be the parallel vector field along  $\sigma$  determined by  $U(1) = J(1) - L^{-2} \langle J(1), \dot{\sigma}(1) \rangle \dot{\sigma}(1)$ , and let  $g: [0, 1] \rightarrow R$  be the solution of  $g'' + bL^2 g = 0$  determined by  $g(0) = 0$  and  $g(1) = 1$ . Then we have  $J(t) = g(t)U(t) + \{L^{-2} \langle J(1), \dot{\sigma}(1) \rangle t\} \dot{\sigma}(t)$  and  $g'(1) = f(\sqrt{-b} L)$ . Hence we see that  $I(J, J) = \langle \tilde{\nabla}_{\dot{\sigma}} J, J \rangle|_{t=1} = g'(1) \|U(1)\|^2 + L^{-2} \langle J(1), \dot{\sigma}(1) \rangle^2 = f(\sqrt{-b} L) (\|X\|^2 - L^{-2} \langle X, \dot{\gamma}(1) \rangle^2) + L^{-2} \langle X, \dot{\gamma}(1) \rangle^2$ . Q.E.D.

Let  $\gamma_k: [0, 1] \rightarrow \bar{M}$  be the geodesic such that  $\gamma_k(0) = \bar{o}$  and  $\gamma_k(1) = x_k$ , and let  $\lambda_k$  be the length of  $\gamma_k$ . We set  $\lambda_\infty = \sup\{d(\bar{o}, x) | x \in M\}$ , then (4) implies  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_k = \lambda_\infty > 0$ . Therefore we may assume  $\lambda_k > 0$  for all  $k$ . Let  $X$  be a unit vector in  $T_{x_k} M$ . Then by (3) and Lemma 1 we have

$$k^{-1} > \langle \alpha(X, X), \dot{\gamma}_k(1) \rangle - \lambda_k^{-2} \langle X, \dot{\gamma}_k(1) \rangle^2 \{ f(\sqrt{-b} \lambda_k) - 1 \} + f(\sqrt{-b} \lambda_k).$$

Since  $\langle X, \dot{\gamma}_k(1) \rangle = \langle X, \text{grad } F(x_k) \rangle$ , (2) implies  $\langle X, \dot{\gamma}_k(1) \rangle^2 < k^{-2}$ . Hence we have

$$(5) \quad \|\alpha(X, X)\| > \{ f(\sqrt{-b} \lambda_k) - A_k \} / \lambda_k$$

for all unit vectors  $X \in T_{x_k} M$ , where  $A_k = k^{-1} + k^{-2} \lambda_k^{-2} \{ f(\sqrt{-b} \lambda_k) - 1 \}$ . Since  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \{ f(\sqrt{-b} \lambda_k) - A_k \} = f(\sqrt{-b} \lambda_\infty) > 1$ , we may assume  $f(\sqrt{-b} \lambda_k) - A_k > 0$  for all  $k$ . Hence (5) implies  $\alpha(X, X) \neq 0$  for all nonzero vectors  $X \in T_{x_k} M$ . Now we recall the following lemma [3, p. 28].

LEMMA 2. Let  $\alpha: R^n \times R^n \rightarrow R^p$  be symmetric bilinear and satisfy  $\alpha(X, X) \neq 0$  for all nonzero  $X \in R^n$ . If  $p < n$ , there exist linearly independent vectors  $X, Y \in R^n$  such that  $\alpha(X, Y) = 0$ ,  $\alpha(X, X) = \alpha(Y, Y)$ .

By Lemma 2 there exist linearly independent vectors  $X_k, Y_k$  in  $T_{x_k}M$  such that  $\alpha(X_k, Y_k) = 0$ ,  $\alpha(X_k, X_k) = \alpha(Y_k, Y_k)$ . Hence by the Gauss equation, we have  $\langle R(X_k, Y_k)Y_k, X_k \rangle = \langle \bar{R}(X_k, Y_k)Y_k, X_k \rangle + \|\alpha(X_k, X_k)\| \cdot \|\alpha(Y_k, Y_k)\|$ . Let  $\bar{K}(X_k, Y_k)$  (resp.  $K(X_k, Y_k)$ ) be the sectional curvature of  $\bar{M}$  (resp.  $M$ ) for the plane spanned by  $X_k$  and  $Y_k$ . Then by (5) we see that

$$\begin{aligned} K(X_k, Y_k) &= \bar{K}(X_k, Y_k) + \|\alpha(X_k, X_k)\| \\ &\quad \cdot \|\alpha(Y_k, Y_k)\| (\|X_k\|^2 \|Y_k\|^2 - \langle X_k, Y_k \rangle^2)^{-1} \\ &\geq a + \|\alpha(X_k, X_k)\| \cdot \|\alpha(Y_k, Y_k)\| \cdot \|X_k\|^{-2} \|Y_k\|^{-2} \\ &> a + \lambda_k^{-2} \{ f(\sqrt{-b} \lambda_k) - A_k \}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $k$  go to infinity, we have  $\sup K_M \geq a + \lambda_\infty^{-2} \{ f(\sqrt{-b} \lambda_\infty) \}^2$ . Since  $\lambda_\infty \leq \lambda$  and the function  $t \mapsto t^{-2} \{ f(\sqrt{-b} t) \}^2$  is decreasing, we have  $\sup K_M \geq a + \lambda^{-2} \{ f(\sqrt{-b} \lambda) \}^2$ . This completes the proof of the theorem.

#### REFERENCES

1. C. Baikousis and T. Koufogiorgos, *Isometric immersions of complete Riemannian manifolds into Euclidean space*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **79** (1980), 87–88.
2. J. Cheeger and D. Ebin, *Comparison theorems in Riemannian geometry*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1975.
3. S. Kobayashi and K. Nomizu, *Foundation of differential geometry*, Vol. II, Interscience, New York, 1967.
4. H. Omori, *Isometric immersions of Riemannian manifolds*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **19** (1967), 205–214.

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, TÔHOKU UNIVERSITY, SENDAI, 980 JAPAN