

ON THE SEGAL CONJECTURE FOR $Z_2 \times Z_2$

DONALD M. DAVIS¹

ABSTRACT. The Segal conjecture regarding the Burnside ring and stable cohomotopy of a finite group G is reduced for the case $G = Z_2 \times Z_2$ to a statement about Ext groups. This statement has since been proved by H. Miller, J. F. Adams and J. H. C. Gonawardena.

The Segal conjecture states that for any finite group G , there is an isomorphism $\hat{\alpha}_G: A(\hat{G}) \rightarrow \pi_s^0(BG)$ from the completed Burnside ring to the zeroth stable cohomotopy group of its classifying space. The conjecture was proved for cyclic groups in [4, 2, and 9]. In this paper we reduce the conjecture for $Z_2 \times Z_2$ to a statement, (1), about Ext groups. This Ext statement was conjectured by Davis in [1] based upon extensive calculations and is proved by Adams, Gunawardena, and Miller in [7].

Let $P = Z_2[x, x^{-1}]$ be made into a module over the mod 2 Steenrod algebra A as in [5], and for $-\infty \leq k \leq n < \infty$ let P_k^n be the subquotient of P which is nonzero in degree k through n , inclusive. If $n = \infty$ or $k = -\infty$, they will usually be omitted from the notation. The suspension $\Sigma^j M$ of a graded module M is defined by $(\Sigma^j M)_{i+j} = M_i$.

STATEMENT 1. There is an epimorphism of A -modules

$$\Sigma P \otimes \Sigma P \xrightarrow{\phi} Z_2 \oplus \Sigma P_0$$

which induces an isomorphism in $\text{Ext}_A(, Z_2)$. In fact

$$\phi(sx^a \otimes sx^b) = \left(\binom{0}{a+1} \binom{0}{b+1}, \binom{a}{a+b+1} sx^{a+b+1} \right).$$

As mentioned above, Statement 1 is proved in [7]. The main result of this paper is

THEOREM 2. *Statement 1 implies that $\hat{\alpha}_{Z_2 \times Z_2}$ is an isomorphism.*

The proof of Theorem 2 mimicks [4]. The main part is to use Statement 1 to calculate $\pi_s^0(RP^\infty \times RP^\infty)$ via the Adams spectral sequence. We begin by deducing from (1) the Ext groups relevant to $[RP_1^\infty \wedge RP_1^\infty, S^0]$, the group of stable homotopy classes of maps.

DEFINITION 3. If M is a (left) A -module, let DM denote the dual module, made into a left A -module using the antiautomorphism χ ; i.e., $(DM)_k = \text{Hom}_{Z_2}(M_{-k}, Z_2)$ with $(\theta \cdot \phi)(m) = \phi(\chi(\theta)m)$.

Received by the editors January 30, 1981.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 55Q10; Secondary 55T15.

Key words and phrases. Segal conjecture, stable cohomotopy groups, Burnside ring.

¹The author was supported by NSF research grant MCS 7900293.

PROPOSITION 4. (i) $D(P_k^n) \approx \Sigma P_{-n-1}^{-k-1}$ if $-\infty < k < n < \infty$.
 (ii) If M has finite type, $\text{Ext}_A^{s,t}(L, M) \approx \text{Ext}_A^{s,t}(DM, DL)$.

PROOF. (i) is well known. If N is a left A -module, let N_R denote the associated right A -module defined using χ . Let M^* denote the dual as in [5, 4.3]. Then $(N_R)^* = DN$. (ii) follows from [5, 4.3] and the symmetry of Tor as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ext}(L, M) &\approx \text{Ext}(L, M^{**}) \approx (\text{Tor}(M^*, L))^* \\ &\approx (\text{Tor}(L_R, DM))^* \approx \text{Ext}(DM, DL). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

COROLLARY 5. $\text{Ext}_A^{s,t}(Z_2, P_1 \otimes P_1) \approx \text{Ext}_A^{s,t}(\Sigma^2 P^{-2} \otimes P^{-2}, Z_2)$.

We will also need

PROPOSITION 6. If M is a bounded-above A -module of finite type, then the epimorphism $\Sigma P \rightarrow Z_2$ induces isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tor}_{s,t}^A(Z_2, \Sigma P \otimes M) &\rightarrow \text{Tor}_{s,t}^A(Z_2, M), \\ \text{Ext}_A^{s,t}(M, Z_2) &\rightarrow \text{Ext}_A^{s,t}(\Sigma P \otimes M, Z_2). \end{aligned}$$

It was observed in [6] that [5, 1.1] implies Proposition 6, proving it first for finite modules by the 5-lemma, and then observing that M in Proposition 6 is a direct limit of finite modules.

PROPOSITION 7. Statement 1 implies (i) $\text{Ext}_A^{s,t}(Z_2, P_1 \otimes P_1) = 0$ if $t - s < 0$, or if $t = s + 1 > 4$, or if $s = t = 0$; (ii) $\text{Ext}_A^{1,1}(Z_2, P_1 \otimes P_1) = Z_2$; (iii) for $s > 2$, $\text{Ext}_A^{s,t}(Z_2, P_1 \otimes P_1) \approx Z_2 \oplus Z_2$ with basis $h_0^{s-1}a$ and $h_0^{s-2}b$.

PROOF. We use Corollary 5 to transform into $\text{Ext}(\ , Z_2)$ and we denote $\text{Ext}_A(M, Z_2)$ by $\text{Ext}(M)$. We use the exact sequence

$$\rightarrow \text{Ext}^{s-1,t}(P_{-1}) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^{s,t}(P^{-2}) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^{s,t}(P) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^{s,t}(P_{-1}) \rightarrow$$

and Proposition 6 to find

$$\text{Ext}^{s,t}(P^{-2} \otimes P) = \begin{cases} Z_2, & t - s = -2, s \geq 1, \\ Z_2, & t - s = -1, s = 1, 2, \\ 0, & t - s < -2, \text{ or } s = 0, \text{ or } t - s = -1, s \geq 3, \end{cases}$$

with the Z_2 's in $t - s = -2$ related by h_0 and those in $t - s = -1$ not related by h_0 . Next we use the exact Ext sequence of $P \otimes P_{-1} \rightarrow P \otimes P \rightarrow P \otimes P^{-2}$ together with Statement 1 and the above calculation of $\text{Ext}(P^{-2} \otimes P)$ to find that in $t - s < 0$,

$$\text{Ext}^{s,t}(P \otimes P_{-1}) \approx \text{Ext}^{s,t}(\Sigma^{-1}(P_{-1} \oplus P_0)).$$

To show $\text{Ext}^{s,t}(P \otimes P^{-2}) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^{s,t}(P \otimes P)$ is nontrivial in this exact sequence when $s = 1$ and $t = -1$ and 0, we use the fact that $\{x^j \otimes x^{-1}: j \in Z\}$ generate $P \otimes P_{-1}$ over A so that $\dim(\text{Ext}^{0,t}(P \otimes P_{-1})) < 1$.

An easy minimal resolution calculation shows that in $t - s < 1$, $\text{Ext}^{s,t}(P_{-1} \otimes P_{-1})$ is zero except for Z_2 in $(s, t) = (0, -2), (0, -1), (0, 0)$, and $(1, 2)$. Since $\{x^{-1} \otimes x^j: j \leq -2\}$ generate the A -module $P_{-1} \otimes P^{-2}$, $\text{Ext}^{0,t}(P_{-1} \otimes P^{-2}) = 0$ if

$t > -2$. These observations and the previous paragraph enable us to calculate the exact Ext sequence of

$$P_{-1} \otimes P_{-1} \rightarrow P_{-1} \otimes P \rightarrow P_{-1} \otimes P^{-2},$$

to obtain

$$\text{Ext}^{s,t}(P_{-1} \otimes P^{-2}) = \begin{cases} Z_2, & t - s = -1, s \geq 1, \\ 0, & t - s < -1, \\ 0, & t - s = 0, s \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

Finally the Ext sequence of $P_{-1} \otimes P^{-2} \rightarrow P \otimes P^{-2} \rightarrow P^{-2} \otimes P^{-2}$ is easily calculated in this range, yielding the proposition. \square

Let λ denote the usual generator of $[RP_1, S^0]$. [4].

COROLLARY 8. *Statement 1 implies $[RP_1^\infty \wedge RP_1^\infty, S^0] \approx Z_2 \oplus Z_2$ with one summand generated by $\lambda \wedge \lambda$ and the other by a filtration 1 map.*

PROOF. We filter $RP_1^\infty \wedge RP_1^\infty$ by subspaces $X_i = RP_1^{2i} \wedge RP_1^{2i}$ so that $[X_i, S^0]$ is finite and, hence, lim^1 -terms vanish, and $[RP_1^\infty \wedge RP_1^\infty, S^0]$ is a Z_2 -module as in [4, p. 456]. Using an analogue of [4, 2.4], one defines a Z_2 -morphism $Z_2 \oplus Z_2 \rightarrow [RP_1^\infty \wedge RP_1^\infty, S^0]$, which is a homeomorphism by the argument of [4, p. 456]. \square

PROPOSITION 9. $A(Z_2 \times Z_2)^\wedge \approx Z \oplus Z_2 \oplus Z_2 \oplus Z_2 \oplus Z_2$.

PROOF. As in [3, pp. 40–47] we find $A(Z_2 \times Z_2)$ additively isomorphic to a free abelian group on five generators $1, a_1, a_2, a_3, b$, with multiplication $a_i^2 = 2a_i, a_i a_j = b$ if $i \neq j$, and augmentation $\epsilon(1) = 1, \epsilon(a_i) = 2, \epsilon(b) = 4$. If $I = \ker \epsilon$, then $I = \langle \{2 - a_i\} \rangle$, and for $n \geq 2, I^n = \langle \{2^{n-1}(2 - a_i)\}, 2^{n-2}(4 - b) \rangle$. There is an isomorphism

$$A(Z_2 \times Z_2)/I^n \xrightarrow{\phi} Z \oplus Z_{2^{n-1}} \oplus Z_{2^{n-1}} \oplus Z_{2^{n-1}} \oplus Z_{2^{n-2}}$$

defined by

$$\begin{aligned} &\phi(c_0 + c_1 a_1 + c_2 a_2 + c_3 a_3 + c_4 b) \\ &= (c_0 + 2c_1 + 2c_2 + 2c_3 + 4c_4, c_1 + c_2, c_2 + c_3, c_3 + 2c_4, c_4). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

PROOF OF THEOREM 2. Let $\tilde{A}(G)^\wedge$ denote $\ker(A(G)^\wedge \rightarrow A(1))$. Lin's theorem [4, 1.1] says that $\tilde{A}(Z_2)^\wedge \rightarrow [RP_1^\infty, S^0]$ is an isomorphism of groups isomorphic to Z_2 . There is a commutative diagram with rows split exact, where α' is defined by restricting $\hat{\alpha}$ to $\ker(p)$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & Z_2 \oplus Z_2 & \xrightarrow{i} & A(Z_2 \times Z_2)^\wedge & \xrightarrow{p} & \tilde{A}(Z_2)^\wedge \oplus \tilde{A}(Z_2)^\wedge \oplus A(1) & \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \alpha' & & \downarrow \hat{\alpha} & & \downarrow \approx & \\ 0 & \rightarrow & [RP_1^\infty \wedge RP_1^\infty, S^0] & \xrightarrow{i'} & [RP_1^\infty \times RP_1^\infty, S^0] & \xrightarrow{p'} & [RP_1^\infty, S^0] \oplus [RP_1^\infty, S^0] \oplus [S^0, S^0] & \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

$\hat{\alpha}_G$ may be defined by representing a G -set S as a homomorphism $G \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathfrak{S}_n$ for some integer n and defining $\hat{\alpha}_G(S)$ to be the class of the composite

$$BG \xrightarrow{B\phi} B\mathfrak{S}_n \rightarrow \coprod_j B\mathfrak{S}_j \xrightarrow{q} \Omega_0^\infty S^\infty$$

where q is as in [8]. The generators \tilde{m} and \tilde{w} of $Z_2 \oplus Z_2$ satisfy $i(\tilde{m}) = [m] - [mi_1] - [mi_2] + 2$ and $i(\tilde{w}) = [w] - [wi_1] - [wi_2] + 4$, where $m: Z_2 \times Z_2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}_2$ sends both T_1 and T_2 nontrivially, $w: Z_2 \times Z_2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}_4$ satisfies $w(T_1) = (1\ 2)(3\ 4)$ and $w(T_2) = (1\ 3)(2\ 4)$, and for $j = 1$ and 2 , $i_j: Z_2 \times Z_2 \rightarrow Z_2 \times Z_2$ is defined by $i_j(T_j) = T_j$, $i_j(T_{3-j}) = 0$.

Since $\hat{\alpha}(w) = \lambda \times \lambda$ by multiplicativity, $\alpha'(w) = \lambda \wedge \lambda$. Since α' is Z_2 -linear, to show it is an isomorphism it will suffice to show $\alpha'(\tilde{m})$ has filtration 1. It is well known that if f is the composite

$$\Sigma^N RP^{N-1} \xrightarrow{\Sigma^{N\lambda}} \Sigma^N \Omega^N S^N \xrightarrow{e} S^N$$

then all functional operations $Sq_f^j g_N$ are nonzero. Since

$$(Bm)^*: H^* RP^\infty \rightarrow H^*(RP^\infty \times RP^\infty)$$

sends x^i to $(x_1 + x_2)^i$, $Sq_f^j \circ Bm(g_N)$ is nonzero in $H^*(RP^\infty \wedge RP^\infty)$ unless $j - 1$ is a 2-power. \square

REFERENCES

1. D. M. Davis, *On the Segal conjecture for $Z_2 \times Z_2$* , Lecture at Oberwolfach Homotopy Theory Conf., Sept., 1980.
2. J. H. C. Gunawardena, *Segal's conjecture for cyclic groups of odd prime order*, J. T. Knight Prize Essay, Cambridge, 1980.
3. E. Laitinen, *On the Burnside ring and stable cohomology of a finite group*, Math. Scand. **44** (1979), 37–72.
4. W. H. Lin, *On conjectures of Mahowald, Segal, and Sullivan*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **87** (1980), 449–459.
5. W. H. Lin, D. M. Davis, M. Mahowald and J. F. Adams, *Calculation of Lin's Ext groups*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **87** (1980), 459–469.
6. H. Miller, *An algebraic analogue of a conjecture of G. W. Whitehead* (to appear).
7. J. F. Adams, J. H. C. Gunawardena and H. Miller, *The Segal conjecture for $(Z_2)^n$* (to appear).
8. S. B. Priddy, *On $\Omega^\infty S^\infty$ and the infinite symmetric group*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 22, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1971, pp. 217–220.
9. D. C. Ravenel, *The Segal conjecture for cyclic groups*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **13** (1981), 42–44.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, LEHIGH UNIVERSITY, BETHLEHEM, PENNSYLVANIA 18015