STIEFEL-WHITNEY CLASSES IN $H^*BO\langle\phi(r)\rangle$

A. P. BAHRI AND M. E. MAHOWALD¹

ABSTRACT. We determine the Stiefel-Whitney classes in $H^*(BO; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ which are mapped nontrivally by the homomorphism induced by the covering projection $p: BO\langle \phi(r) \rangle \to BO$.

Let $\phi(r)$ be the function defined by $\phi(r) = 8a + 2^b$ where r = 4a + b with $0 \le b \le 3$ and let $BO\langle\phi(r)\rangle$ be the $(\phi(r) - 1)$ -connected covering of BO. It follows from Stong's computation of $H^*(BO\langle\phi(r)\rangle; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ in [4] that the covering map

$$p: BO\langle \phi(r) \rangle \to BO$$

maps the Stiefel-Whitney classes $w_i \in H^*(BO; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ to generators in $H^*BO\langle\phi(r)\rangle$ if i-1 has at least r ones in its dyadic expansion. The remaining classes are mapped to decomposables. In this note we determine which Stiefel-Whitney classes are mapped to nonzero decomposables. In doing so we display a relationship between $H^*BO\langle\phi(r)\rangle$ and the cohomology of certain spaces related to RP^∞ .

Let A denote the mod 2 Steenrod algebra and A, the subalgebra generated by Sq^1 , Sq^2 , Sq^4 , ..., Sq^2 . Let $P = \mathbb{Z}_2[x, x^{-1}]$ be the ring of Laurent polynomials in one variable x of degree +1, made into a module over A by setting

$$Sq^{i}x^{j} = \frac{j(j-1)\cdot\cdot\cdot(j-i+1)}{1\cdot2\cdot\cdot\cdot i}\cdot x^{i+j}.$$

Let $F_{-2,r}$ denote the A_r -submodule of P generated by x^j with j < -2.

THEOREM A. The class p^*w_n is nonzero in $H^*BO\langle\phi(r)\rangle$ if and only if $\Sigma P/F_{-2,r}$ is nonzero in dimension n. The Poincaré series for $\Sigma P/F_{-2,r}$ is

$$\frac{1}{1-t^{2^{r+1}}}(1+t^2)(1+t^{3\cdot 2^{r-1}})\cdot\cdot\cdot(1+t^{(2^r-1)\cdot 2})(1+t^{2^{r+1}-1}).$$

The theorem is a consequence of the following two lemmas and the fact (from [2]) that as \mathbb{Z}_2 -vector spaces

$$\sum P/F_{-2,r} \cong \bigoplus_{j\equiv 0} \bigoplus_{(2^{r+1})} \sum^{j} (A_r \otimes_{A_{r-1}} \mathbf{Z}_2).$$

LEMMA 1. The class p^*w_n is nonzero in $H^*BO\langle \phi(r) \rangle$ if and only if $A \otimes_{A_{r-1}} \mathbb{Z}_2$ is nonzero in dimension n.

LEMMA 2. $A \otimes_{A_{r-1}} \mathbb{Z}_2$ and $\bigoplus_{j\equiv 0 \ (2^{r+1})} \Sigma^j(A_r \otimes_{A_{r-1}} \mathbb{Z}_2)$ are nonzero in exactly the same dimensions.

Received by the editors December 1, 1980.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 55R40, 55R45, 57M10; Secondary 55N22, 55P42, 55S10.

¹Supported in part by a grant from the National Science Foundation.

PROOF OF LEMMA 1. Lemma 1 follows as a corollary to the following theorem which we prove by using a slight generalization of an argument of Giambalvo [1].

THEOREM B. The map $e: A \otimes_{A_{r-1}} \mathbb{Z}_2 \to H^*MO\langle \phi(r) \rangle$ given by evaluation on the Thom class $U \in H^*MO\langle \phi(r) \rangle$ is a monomorphism.

As remarked in [1] it suffices to prove that e is a monomorphism on the primitive elements of $A \otimes_{A_{r-1}} \mathbb{Z}_2$. Since $\chi(A \otimes_{A_{r-1}} \mathbb{Z}_2)^* \cong \mathbb{Z}_2[\xi_1^2, \xi_2^{2^{-1}}, \dots, \xi_{r+1}, \dots]$, where ξ_i is the Milnor basis element of A^* in dimension $2^i - 1$; there are primitives in $A \otimes_{A_{r-1}} \mathbb{Z}_2$ only in degrees 2^r , $3 \cdot 2^{r-1}$, $7 \cdot 2^{r-2}$, ..., $2^{r+1} - 2$ and $2^i - 1$ for i > r + 1. For purely dimensional reasons the first r + 1 primitives must be Sq^2 , $\operatorname{Sq}^{3 \cdot 2^{r-1}}$, ..., $\operatorname{Sq}^{2^{r+1} - 2}$. The remaining primitives $Q^{2^i - 1}$, i > r + 1, are projections of primitives in A. Now

$$\operatorname{Sq}^{j}U = w_{j} \cdot U \quad \text{for } j = 2^{r}, 3 \cdot 2^{r-1}, \dots, 2^{r+1} - 2$$

and

$$Q^{2^{i-1}}U = w_{2^{i-1}} \cdot U + (\text{decomposables}) \cdot U \text{ for } i > r+1.$$

Since the numbers j-1 and 2^i-2 for $i \ge r+1$ all have at least r ones in their dyadic expansion, $\operatorname{Sq}^j U$ and $Q^{2^i-1} U$ are nonzero by Stong's result, proving that e is a monomorphism. To deduce the lemma we need to show that Sq^n is nonzero in $A \otimes_{A_{r-1}} \mathbb{Z}_2$ if and only if there is a monomial of dimension n in $\chi(A \otimes_{A_{r-1}} \mathbb{Z}_2)^*$. To see this recall that there is a right A-module structure on A^* , given by the duality pairing, with the property that

$$\xi_k \chi \operatorname{Sq}^j = \begin{cases} \xi_s & \text{if } j = 2^k - 2^s, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Since χ commutes with the diagonal homomorphism in A, we have

$$\langle (\xi_1^{\varepsilon_1} \xi_2^{\varepsilon_2} \cdots \xi_r^{\varepsilon_r}), \chi \operatorname{Sq}^n \rangle = 1$$
 if $n = \varepsilon_1 + 3\varepsilon_2 + \cdots + (2^t - 1)\varepsilon_t$.

Considering the induced right $\chi(A \otimes_{A_{r-1}} \mathbb{Z}_2)$ -module structure on $\chi(A \otimes_{A_{r-1}} \mathbb{Z}_2)^*$ then yields the result that $\chi \operatorname{Sq}^n$ is nonzero in $\chi(A \otimes_{A_{r-1}} \mathbb{Z}_2)$ if and only if there is a monomial of dimension n in $\chi(A \otimes_{A_{r-1}} \mathbb{Z}_2)^*$ completing the proof of Lemma 1.

PROOF OF LEMMA 2. By only a slight modification of the argument given by Peterson, in [3], to compute $\chi(A \otimes_A, \mathbb{Z}_2)^*$ and the fact that

$$A_r^* = A^* / (\xi_1^{2^{r+1}}, \xi_2^{2^r}, \dots, \xi_{r+1}^2, \xi_{r+2}, \dots),$$

we can show that as \mathbb{Z}_2 -vector spaces

$$\chi(A_r \otimes_{A_{r-1}} \mathbb{Z}_2)^* \cong \Lambda(\xi_1^{2^r}, \xi_2^{2^{r-1}}, \dots, \xi_r^2, \xi_{r+1})$$

where the right-hand side is the exterior algebra over \mathbb{Z}_2 generated by $\xi_1^{2^r}, \ldots, \xi_{r+1}$. We define a map $\lambda \colon \Sigma^{2^{r+1}t}\chi(A_r \otimes_{A_{r-1}} \mathbb{Z}_2) \to \chi(A \otimes_{A_{r-1}} \mathbb{Z}_2)^*$ of vector spaces over \mathbb{Z}_2 , by

$$\lambda(\xi_1^{2'e_1}\xi_2^{2'^{-1}e_2}\cdots\xi_{r+1}^{e_{r+1}})=\xi_1^{2'(e_1+2t)}\xi_2^{2'^{-1}e_2}\cdots\xi_{r+1}^{e_{r+1}}$$

for $\varepsilon_i = 0$ or 1. Since λ is a monomorphism it follows that $A \otimes_{A_{r-1}} \mathbf{Z}_2$ is nonzero in dimension n if $\bigoplus_{i=0}^{r} (2^{r+1})^{i} \sum_{j=1}^{r} A_r \otimes_{A_{r-1}} \mathbf{Z}_2$ is. Conversely we define a map

$$\rho \colon \chi(A \otimes_{A_{r-1}} \mathbf{Z}_2)^* \to \bigoplus_{j \equiv 0 \ (2^{r+1})} \Sigma^j \chi(A_r \otimes_{A_{r-1}} \mathbf{Z}_2)^*$$

by the following procedure. Suppose that

$$\xi_1^{2'a_1}\xi_2^{2^{r-1}a_2}\cdots\xi_{r+1}^{a_{r+1}}\cdots\xi_j^{a_j}, \quad j>r+1, a_i\in\mathbb{Z},$$

is a monomial in $\chi(A \otimes_{A_{r-1}} \mathbb{Z}_2)^*$ of dimension n. Begin by replacing it with the monomial

(3)
$$\xi_1^{2^r a_1 + 2^{r+1} v} \xi_2^{2^{r-1} a_2} \cdots \xi_{r+1}^{\omega}$$

where $\omega = \sum_{i=r+1}^{j} a_i$ and $v = a_{r+2} + 3a_{r+3} + 7a_{r+4} + \cdots + (2^{j-r-1} - 1)a_j$. This monomial is also of dimension n. Next we inductively "reduce" the monomial while at the same time preserving its dimension. If (3) is of the form

$$\xi_1^{2b_1}\xi_2^{2^{r-1}b_2} \cdot \cdot \cdot \xi_i^{2^{r+1-i}b_i}\xi_{i+1}^{2^{r-i}\xi_{i+1}} \cdot \cdot \cdot \xi_{r+1}^{\xi_{r+1}}$$

with $i \le r + 1$, $b_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and each ε_i either 0 or 1 we replace it with the monomial

(4)
$$\xi_1^{2'(b_1+t)+2'^{+1}c}\xi_2^{2'^{-1}(b_2+\epsilon_2)}\xi_3^{2'^{-2}(b_3+\epsilon_3)} \dots$$
$$\xi_{-1}^{2'^{+2-i}(b_{i-1}+\epsilon_{i-1})}\xi_2^{2'^{+1-i}\epsilon_i}\xi_{-1}^{2'^{-i}\epsilon_{i+1}}\dots\xi_{-1}^{\epsilon_{i+1}}$$

where $b_i = \varepsilon_i + 2\varepsilon_{i-1} + 4\varepsilon_{i-2} + \cdots + 2^{i-2}\varepsilon_2 + 2^{i-1}t$, each ε_j is either 0 or 1 and $c = \varepsilon_{i-1} + 3\varepsilon_{i-2} + \cdots + (2^{i-2} - 1)\varepsilon_2 + (2^{i-1} - 1)t$. The monomial (4) also has dimension n. Continuing in this fashion we end up with a monomial of the form

(5)
$$\xi_1^{2^{r_1}+2^{r+1}q}\xi_2^{2^{r-1}e_2}\xi_3^{2^{r-2}e_3}\cdots\xi_{r+1}^{e_{r+1}}$$

with each ε_j zero or one. We define $\rho(\xi_1^{2a_1}\xi_2^{2^{r-1}a_2}\cdots\xi_j^{a_j})$ to be the nonzero monomial $\xi_1^{2^r\epsilon_1}\cdots\xi_{r+1}^{e_{r+1}}$ in $\Sigma^{2^{r+1}q}\chi(A_r\otimes_{A_{r-1}}\mathbf{Z}_2)^*$ of dimension n; so

$$\bigoplus_{j\equiv 0\; (2^{r+1})} \Sigma^j \chi(A_r \otimes_{A_{r-1}} \mathbf{Z}_2)$$

is nonzero in dimension n completing the proof of Lemma 2.

ADDED IN PROOF. Related results about the vanishing of Stiefel-Whitney classes have been proved by R. Stong. See §3 of *Cobordism and Stiefel-Whitney Numbers*, Topology 4 (1965), 241–246.

REFERENCES

- 1. V. Giambalvo, On (8)-cobordism, Illinois J. Math. 15 (1971), 533-541.
- 2. W. H. Lin, D. M. Davis, M. E. Mahowald and J. F. Adams, *Calculation of Lin's Ext groups*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 87 (1980), 459.
 - 3. F. P. Peterson, Lectures on cobordism theory, Kinokuniya Book Store, Tokyo, 1967.
- 4. R. Stong, Determination of $H^*(BO(k, \ldots, \infty))$ and $H^*(BU(k, \ldots, \infty))$, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 104 (1963), 526-544.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, RUTGERS UNIVERSITY, NEW BRUNSWICK, NEW JERSEY 08903

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NORTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY, EVANSTON, ILLINOIS 60201