

## A NOTE ON INFINITE LOOP SPACE MULTIPLICATIONS

RAINER M. VOGT

**ABSTRACT.** A monoid  $M$  is known to be abelian iff its multiplication  $M \times M \rightarrow M$  is a homomorphism. We prove the corresponding result for homotopy-everything  $H$ -spaces, e.g. infinite loop spaces: For a homotopy-everything  $H$ -space  $X$  each  $n$ -ary operation  $X^n \rightarrow X$  is a homotopy homomorphism, i.e. a homomorphism up to homotopy and all higher coherence conditions.

In [1] and [2] J. M. Boardman and I proved that an  $H$ -space  $X$  is an infinite loop space iff its multiplication enjoys nice properties concerning associativity and commutativity. These properties were described in terms of universal algebra, and the necessary and sufficient condition for  $X$  to be an infinite loop space essentially boils down to the fact that the morphism spaces  $\mathcal{E}(n, 1)$  of the PROP  $\mathcal{E}$  encoding the  $H$ -structure of  $X$  be contractible (for the definition of a PROP see [2, Definition 2.44]). Dropping all unnecessary structure of a PROP, P. May in [4] introduced the simpler notion of an operad and obtained the corresponding result on infinite loop space structures more directly. Using his terminology we call  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $E_\infty$ -PROP if each  $\mathcal{E}(n, 1)$  is contractible and  $\Sigma$ -free if the operation of the symmetric group  $\Sigma_n$  on  $\mathcal{E}(n, 1)$  makes  $\mathcal{E}(n, 1)$  a numerable principal  $\Sigma_n$ -space. An  $E_\infty$ -space  $X$  is an  $H$ -space whose structure is given by an action of an  $E_\infty$ -PROP on  $X$ .

Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be an  $E_\infty$ -PROP and  $X$  an  $\mathcal{E}$ -space. There is a canonical product action of  $\mathcal{E}$  on the  $k$ -fold product  $X^k$ . Each element  $\chi \in \mathcal{E}(k, 1)$  defines a map  $\chi: X^k \rightarrow X$ . It is the purpose of this note to show

**THEOREM.** Suppose  $\mathcal{E}$  is a  $\Sigma$ -free  $E_\infty$ -PROP or each  $\mathcal{E}(n, 1)$  is  $\Sigma_n$ -equivariantly contractible. Let  $\chi \in \mathcal{E}(k, 1)$  and  $X$  be an  $\mathcal{E}$ -space. Then  $\chi: X^k \rightarrow X$  can be extended to a homotopy  $\mathcal{E}$ -map in the sense of [2, Definition 4.2].

T. Lada tried in [3] to prove a result of this kind but only succeeded in the case  $k = 2$  and  $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{Q}$ , the little cubes PROP of [2, 2.49]. His proof is given by a number of explicit formulas depending on the geometry of the spaces of little cubes. Our proof of the theorem is an easy consequence of the theory of [1] and [2]. We use the terminology of [2, Chapter III, IV].

Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be an arbitrary PROP and  $X$  an  $\mathcal{E}$ -space. Then  $\mathcal{E}$  operates on  $X^k$  by

$$\alpha: (X^k)^n \xrightarrow{\tau_{n,k}} (X^n)^k \xrightarrow{\alpha^k} X^k,$$

$\alpha \in \mathcal{E}(n, 1)$ , where  $\tau_{n,k}$  is the homeomorphism

$$((x_{11}, \dots, x_{1k}), \dots, (x_{n1}, \dots, x_{nk})) \rightarrow ((x_{11}, \dots, x_{n1}), \dots, (x_{1k}, \dots, x_{nk})).$$

---

Received by the editors May 17, 1981.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 55P47.

*Key words and phrases*. Homotopy-everything  $H$ -spaces, infinite loop space, homotopy homomorphism.

© 1982 American Mathematical Society  
0002-9939/81/0000-0138/\$1.50

To prove the theorem we need an action of  $HW(\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{L}_1)$  extending  $\chi$  and the actions on  $X^k$  and  $X$ . The idea is to apply the Lifting Theorem [2, Theorem 3.7] with  $\mathcal{V} \subset HW(\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{L}_1)$  being the subcategory generated under composition and  $\oplus$  by  $W(\mathcal{E} \otimes \{0\})$ ,  $W(\mathcal{E} \otimes \{1\})$  and the single morphism  $(\text{id}_1 \otimes (0 \rightarrow 1))$ . To minimize notation we denote the objects  $(n, 0)$  and  $(n, 1)$  in  $\mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{L}_1$  by  $n$  respectively  $n'$ . For  $\mathcal{C}$  we take the PROP  $\mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{L}_1$ , where  $\mathcal{S}$  is the PROP of abelian monoids, i.e.  $\mathcal{S}(n, 1)$  consists of a single point for all  $n$ . We have to construct an appropriate PROP  $\mathcal{D}$  acting on the pair  $(X^k, X)$  extending the  $\mathcal{E}$ -action on  $X^k$  and on  $X$  and the map  $\chi$ , yielding a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} HW(\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{L}_1) & \xrightarrow{\quad \mathcal{V} \quad} & \mathcal{D} \\ \downarrow \epsilon & & \downarrow G \\ \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{L}_1 & \xrightarrow{F} & \mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{L}_1 \end{array}$$

Here  $F$  and  $G$  are the uniquely determined PROP-functors. If each  $\mathcal{D}(n, 1)$ ,  $\mathcal{D}(n, 1')$  and  $\mathcal{D}(n', 1')$  is contractible (respectively  $\Sigma_n$ -equivariantly contractible), and  $\mathcal{E}$  is  $\Sigma$ -free (respectively arbitrary) the theorem is proved.

*Construction of  $\mathcal{D}$ .* It suffices to specify

$$(A) \quad \mathcal{D}(n, 1) := \mathcal{E}(n, 1), \quad \mathcal{D}(n, 1') := \mathcal{E}(nk, 1), \quad \mathcal{D}(n', 1') := \mathcal{E}(n, 1),$$

the composite of a morphism  $\alpha$  in these spaces with a permutation  $\pi \in \Sigma_n$ , and of  $\alpha$  with an  $n$ -fold sum  $\beta_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \beta_n$  with  $\beta_i$  in the appropriate spaces (A). As long as we stick in the full subcategories of objects  $n$  respectively  $n'$  these compositions are given by the composition in  $\mathcal{E}$ . If  $\alpha \in \mathcal{D}(n', 1')$  and  $\beta_i \in \mathcal{D}(n_i, 1')$  the composition is again the one in  $\mathcal{E}$ . It remains to define  $\alpha \circ \pi$  and  $\alpha \circ (\beta_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \beta_n)$  for  $\alpha \in \mathcal{D}(n, 1') = \mathcal{E}(nk, 1)$ ,  $\beta_i \in \mathcal{D}(n_i, 1) = \mathcal{E}(n_i, 1)$ :

$$\alpha \circ \pi = \alpha \circ (\pi \oplus \dots \oplus \pi),$$

$$\alpha \circ (\beta_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \beta_n) = \alpha \circ [(\beta_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \beta_n) \oplus \dots \oplus (\beta_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \beta_n)],$$

where on the right side we have composition in  $\mathcal{E}$  with  $k$  summands  $\pi$  respectively  $(\beta_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \beta_n)$ .

The operation of  $\mathcal{D}$  on the pair  $(X^k, X)$  is given as follows.

$$\alpha \in \mathcal{D}(n, 1) = \mathcal{E}(n, 1) \text{ operates as } \alpha \circ \tau_{n,k}: (X^k)^n \rightarrow X^k,$$

$$\alpha \in \mathcal{D}(n, 1') = \mathcal{E}(nk, 1) \text{ operates as } \alpha \circ \tau_{n,k}: (X^k)^n \rightarrow X,$$

$$\alpha \in \mathcal{D}(n', 1') = \mathcal{E}(n, 1) \text{ operates as } \alpha: X^n \rightarrow X.$$

Obviously,  $\mathcal{D}$  satisfies all the requirements listed above, which proves the theorem.

## REFERENCES

1. J. M. Boardman and R. M. Vogt, *Homotopy-everything H-spaces*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **74** (1968), 1117–1122.
2. ———, *Homotopy invariant algebraic structures on topological spaces*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 347, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1973.
3. T. Lada, *An operad action on infinite loop space multiplication*, Canad. J. Math. **29** (1977), 1208–1216.
4. J. P. May, *The geometry of iterated loop spaces*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 271, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1972.

FACHBEREICH MATHEMATIK, UNIVERSITÄT OSNABRÜCK, 45 OSNABRÜCK, WEST GERMANY