

## PRODUCTS OF CW-COMPLEXES

YOSHIO TANAKA

ABSTRACT. We show that Liu's characterization for the product  $K \times L$  to be a CW-complex is independent of the usual axioms of set theory.

**1. Introduction.** The concept of CW-complex due to J. H. C. Whitehead [8] is well known. Recall that a space  $K$  is a *CW-complex*, if it is a complex with cells  $\{e_\alpha\}$  such that each  $e_\alpha$  is contained in a finite subcomplex, and  $K$  has the weak topology with respect to a closed cover  $\{\bar{e}_\alpha\}$ ; that is,  $F \subset K$  is closed in  $K$  if  $F \cap \bar{e}_\alpha$  is closed for each  $\bar{e}_\alpha$ . Every Whitehead complex introduced by C. H. Dowker [1] is a CW-complex with cells  $\{e_\alpha\}$  such that each  $\bar{e}_\alpha$  is a subcomplex.

Let  $K$  be a CW-complex with cells  $\{e_\alpha\}$ . Then  $K$  is called *locally finite*; *locally countable*, if for each  $x \in K$ , there is respectively a finite; countable subcomplex  $A$  of  $K$  with  $x \in \text{int } A$ . Hence  $K$  is locally finite; locally countable, if and only if a closed cover  $\{\bar{e}_\alpha\}$  of the space  $K$  is so respectively.

Liu Ying-ming [3], assuming the continuum hypothesis (CH), gave the following necessary and sufficient condition for the product of two CW-complexes to be a CW-complex.

(CH). Let  $K$  and  $L$  be CW-complexes. Then  $K \times L$  is a CW-complex if and only if  $K$  or  $L$  is locally finite, or  $K$  and  $L$  are locally countable.

On the other hand, assuming (CH), we gave a necessary and sufficient condition for the product of two closed images of metric spaces to be a  $k$ -space [5]. G. Gruenhage [2] showed that this characterization is equivalent to a certain set-theoretic axiom weaker than (CH).

In this paper, analogously we shall show that Liu's result is in fact equivalent to this set-theoretic axiom. And also, if  $K = L$ , this result is valid without any set-theory beyond ZFC. These are affirmative answers to the questions in [6]. Many of the results in this paper were also obtained by Zhou Hao-xuan in his paper [9]. The author wishes to thank him for his translation of Liu's paper [3].

**2. Results.** First of all, we shall recall the well-known examples below. Let  $S_\omega$  be a *sequential fan*; that is, it is the quotient space obtained from the topological sum of  $\omega$  convergent sequences by identifying all the limit points.  $S_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha > \omega$ , are similarly defined replacing " $\omega$ " by " $\alpha$ ". We also need another canonical example  $S_2$ . That is,  $S_2$  is the space  $(N \times N) \cup N \cup \{0\}$  with each point of  $N \times N$  isolated,  $N$  is the set

---

Received by the editors July 13, 1981 and, in revised form, January 5, 1982.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 54E60; Secondary 54B10.

*Key words and phrases*. CW-complex,  $k$ -space,  $BF(\alpha)$ .

©1982 American Mathematical Society  
0002-9939/82/0000-0175/\$03.50

of natural numbers. A basis of neighborhoods of  $n \in N$  consists of all sets of the form  $\{n\} \cup \{(m, n) : m \geq m_0\}$ . And  $U$  is a neighborhood of 0 if and only if  $0 \in U$  and  $U$  is a neighborhood of all but finitely many  $n \in N$ . We remark that  $S_\omega$  is the perfect image of  $S_2$  by identifying all points of a compact subst  $N \cup \{0\}$  of  $S_2$ . This will be used later.

In [7], we showed that the metrizable of certain quotient images of metric spaces can be characterized by whether or not they contain copies of  $S_\omega$  and  $S_2$ . As for CW-complexes, we have the following by invoking [6, Proposition 2.3] and the well-known fact that every CW-complex is locally finite if and only if it is metrizable.

LEMMA 1. *Let  $K$  be a CW-complex. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (1)  $K$  is metrizable.
- (2)  $K$  is locally finite.
- (3)  $K$  contains no closed copy of  $S_\omega$  and no  $S_2$ .

Now, we consider the products of CW-complexes in terms of a certain set-theoretic axiom weaker than (CH).

Let  ${}^N N$  be the set of all functions from  $N$  into  $N$ . For  $f, g \in {}^N N$ , we define  $f \leq g$  if and only if  $\{n \in N; f(n) > g(n)\}$  is finite. For infinite cardinal  $\alpha$ , by  $BF(\alpha)$  we mean the following assertion:

$BF(\alpha)$ : If  $F \subset {}^N N$  has cardinality less than  $\alpha$ , then there exists  $g \in {}^N N$  such that  $f \leq g$  for all  $f \in F$ .

It is well known that Martin's Axiom implies that  $BF(\alpha)$  holds for all  $\alpha$  less than or equal to the continuum. It is easy to show that (CH) implies that  $BF(\omega_2)$  is false. As for  $BF(\alpha)$ , G. Gruenhage [2] gave the following equivalence in terms of products of  $k$ -spaces,  $\alpha^+$  denotes the least cardinal greater than  $\alpha$ . Recall that a space  $X$  is a  $k$ -space if it has the weak topology with respect to the cover consisting of all compact subsets of  $X$ .

(\*)  $BF(\alpha^+)$  holds if and only if  $S_\omega \times S_\alpha$  is a  $k$ -space.

To apply this result (\*) to the products of CW-complexes, let  $I_\alpha$  be the quotient space obtained from the topological sum of  $\alpha$  closed unit intervals  $[0, 1]$  by identifying all the zero points. Then the result (\*) suggests the following.

LEMMA 2.  $BF(\alpha^+)$  holds if and only if  $I_\omega \times I_\alpha$  is a CW-complex.

PROOF. If  $BF(\alpha^+)$  holds, then it follows from the proof of [2, Lemma 1] that  $I_\omega \times I_\alpha$  is sequential, hence a CW-complex by [6, Proposition 2.5]. If  $I_\omega \times I_\alpha$  is a CW-complex, hence a  $k$ -space, then  $S_\omega \times S_\alpha$  is a  $k$ -space, for  $S_\omega \times S_\alpha$  is closed in  $I_\omega \times I_\alpha$ . Hence, by the result (\*),  $BF(\alpha^+)$  holds.

LEMMA 3. *Suppose that  $e$  is a cell of a CW-complex  $K$  such that  $x \in e$  and each neighborhood of  $x$  in  $e$  meets at least  $\omega_1$  many boundaries of cells of  $K$ . Suppose that  $L$  is a CW-complex which is not locally finite. Then  $K \times L$  is not a CW-complex if  $BF(\omega_2)$  is false.*

PROOF. Since  $e$  is first countable, there is a decreasing local base  $\{G_n; n \in N\}$  of  $x$  in  $e$ . By the hypothesis, there exist pairwise disjoint collections  $\Omega_n, n \in N$ , of cells of  $K$  such that  $|\Omega_n| = \omega_1$ , and boundary of each cell of  $\Omega_n$  meets  $G_n$ . For each  $e \in \Omega_n$ , let  $x(e) \in \partial e \cap G_n$ , and let  $\{S_n(e); n \in N\}$  be a decreasing local base of  $x(e)$  in  $\bar{e}$ . Then there exists a subset  $\{x(e, n); n \in N\}$  of  $e$  with  $x(e, n) \in S_n(e)$ . Now, since the CW-complex  $L$  is not locally finite, by Lemma 1,  $L$  contains a closed copy of  $S_\omega$  or  $S_2$ . Suppose that  $K \times L$  is a CW-complex, hence a  $k$ -space. If  $L$  contains a closed copy of  $S_\omega$ , then  $K \times S_\omega$  is a  $k$ -space. If  $L$  contains a closed copy  $S_2$ , then  $K \times S_2$  is a  $k$ -space. But,  $S_\omega$  is the perfect image of  $S_2$ , so  $K \times S_\omega$  is the perfect image of  $K \times S_2$ . Thus  $K \times S_\omega$  is a  $k$ -space. Hence, in any case,  $K \times S_\omega$  is a  $k$ -space.

Now, since  $BF(\omega_2)$  is false, there is a collection  $\{f_\alpha; f_\alpha: N \rightarrow N, \alpha < \omega_1\}$  such that if  $f: N \rightarrow N$ , then there exists  $\alpha < \omega_1$  with  $f_\alpha(n) > f(n)$  for infinitely many  $n \in N$ . Since each  $\Omega_n$  has cardinality of  $\omega_1$ , we can put  $\Omega_n = \{e_\alpha^n, \alpha < \omega_1\}$ . For each  $j \in N$ , let

$$H_j = \bigcup_{\alpha < \omega_1} \{(x(e_\alpha^j, n), (n, m)); f_\alpha(n) \geq m\},$$

and  $H = \bigcup_{j \in N} H_j$ , where  $(n, m)$  is the  $m$ th term of the  $n$ th sequence in  $S_\omega$ . Then it is easy to show that  $H \cap C$  is finite for each compact subset  $C$  of  $K \times S_\omega$ , because each compact subset of  $K(S_\omega)$  meets only finitely many cells of  $K$  (sequences in  $S_\omega$ ). Since  $K \times S_\omega$  is a  $k$ -space,  $H$  is closed in  $K \times S_\omega$ . We obtain a contradiction by showing that  $(x, \infty) \in \bar{H}$ , where  $\infty$  is the nonisolated point in  $S_\omega$ . This contradiction implies that  $K \times L$  is not a CW-complex. Thus it remains to show that  $(x, \infty) \in \bar{H}$ . Let  $W = U \times V$  be a neighborhood of  $(x, \infty)$  in  $K \times S_\omega$ , and let  $G_1 \subset U$ . For each  $n \in N$ , since  $V$  is a neighborhood of  $\infty$  in  $S_\omega$ , there exists  $n' \in N$  such that  $n' > n$  and  $(n, m) \in V$  if  $m \geq n'$ . Let  $f: N \rightarrow N$  be defined by  $f(n) = n'$ . Then there exists  $\alpha_0 < \omega_1$  such that  $f_{\alpha_0}(n) > f(n)$  for infinitely many  $n \in N$ . Since  $x(e_{\alpha_0}^1, n) \rightarrow x(e_{\alpha_0}^1)$   $\in U$ , and  $U$  is open in  $K$ , there exists  $n_0 \in N$  with  $x(e_{\alpha_0}^1, n_0) \in U$  and  $f_{\alpha_0}(n_0) > f(n_0)$ . Then,  $(x(e_{\alpha_0}^1, n_0), (n_0, f_{\alpha_0}(n_0))) \in H_1 \cap (U \times V)$ . This implies that  $(x, \infty) \in \bar{H}$ .

LEMMA 4 [3, LEMMA 3]. *Let  $K$  be a CW-complex. Suppose that for  $x \in K$  and a cell  $e$  of  $K$  with  $x \in e$ , there is a neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$  in  $e$  such that  $|\{e \in K; \partial e \cap U \neq \emptyset\}| \leq \omega$ . Then  $K$  is locally countable at  $x$ .*

Now we are ready for the main result concerning products.

THEOREM 5. *Let  $K$  and  $L$  be CW-complexes. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (1)  $BF(\omega_2)$  is false.
- (2)  $K \times L$  is a CW-complex if and only if  $K$  or  $L$  is locally finite, otherwise  $K$  and  $L$  are locally countable.

PROOF. (2)  $\rightarrow$  (1): Suppose that  $BF(\omega_2)$  holds. Then, by Lemma 2,  $I_\omega \times I_{\omega_1}$  is a CW-complex. But, in this case, the "only if" part does not hold. Hence  $BF(\omega_2)$  is false.

(1)  $\rightarrow$  (2): The “if” part of (2) is well known. Indeed, it essentially follows from results of [8, (H)] and [4, Lemma 2.1]. So we prove the “only if” part. Suppose that  $K$  is not locally countable and also  $L$  is not locally finite. Since  $K$  is not locally countable, by Lemma 4 there is  $x \in e$  such that every neighborhood  $x$  in  $e$  meets at least  $\omega_1$  many boundaries of cells of  $K$ . Thus, since  $BF(\omega_2)$  is false,  $K \times L$  is not a CW-complex by Lemma 3. This is a contradiction. Hence  $L$  is locally finite if  $K$  is not locally countable. Similarly,  $K$  is locally finite if  $L$  is not locally countable. That completes the proof.

LEMMA 6. *Suppose that  $e; \tau$  is respectively a cell of a CW-complex  $K; L$  such that  $x \in e; y \in \tau$ , and each neighborhood of  $x$  in  $e; y$  in  $\tau$  meets at least  $\omega_1$  many boundaries of cells of  $K; L$ . Then  $K \times L$  is not a CW-complex.*

PROOF. For each  $\alpha < \omega_1$ , let  $f_\alpha: \omega_1 \rightarrow N$  be a function such that  $f_\alpha$  restricted to  $\alpha$  is a one-to-one map onto  $N$ . Let  $\{G_n; n \in N\}$ , and  $\{x(e_\alpha^j, n); j, n \in N, \alpha < \omega_1\}$  be the same as in the proof of Lemma 3. Similarly define  $\{G'_n; n \in N\}$  and  $\{y(\tau_\alpha^j, n); j, n \in N, \alpha < \omega_1\}$  in  $L$ . For each  $j \in N$ , let  $M_j = \bigcup_{\alpha, \beta < \omega_1} \{(x(e_\alpha^j, n), y(\tau_\beta^j, f_\beta(\alpha))); n < f_\beta(\alpha)\}$ , and  $M = \bigcup_{j \in N} M_j$ . Let us now suppose that  $K \times L$  is a CW-complex, hence a  $k$ -space. Then  $M$  is closed in  $K \times L$ , because  $M \cap C$  is finite for each compact subset  $C$  of  $K \times L$ . However, we have a contradiction that  $(x, y) \in \overline{M} - M$  by referring to the proof of [2, Lemma 5], hence  $K \times L$  is not a CW-complex. Indeed, to show  $(x, y) \in \overline{M}$ , let  $U \times V$  be a neighborhood of  $(x, y)$  in  $K \times L$ , and  $G_l \subset U, G'_l \subset V$ . Then there is a function  $g: \omega_1 \rightarrow N$  such that for each  $\alpha < \omega_1$ ,  $\{x(e_\alpha^l, n); n \geq g(\alpha)\} \subset U$  and  $\{y(\tau_\alpha^l, n); n \geq g(\alpha)\} \subset V$ . Thus there is  $n_0 \in N$  and an uncountable subset  $A$  of  $\omega_1$  with  $g(\alpha) = n_0$  if  $\alpha \in A$ . Let  $\gamma$  be an element of  $A$  which has infinitely many predecessors in  $A$ . Hence there is  $\delta \in A$  with  $\delta < \gamma$  and  $f_\gamma(\delta) = m > n_0$ . Thus  $(x(e_\delta^l, n_0), y(\tau_\gamma^l, f_\gamma(\delta))) \in M \cap (U \times V)$ . Hence  $M \cap (U \times V) \neq \emptyset$ , which implies  $(x, y) \in \overline{M}$ .

By the previous lemma and Lemma 4, we have

THEOREM 7. *If  $K \times L$  is a CW-complex, then  $K$  or  $L$  is locally countable. When  $K = L$ , the converse holds.*

REMARK. Let  $K$  be a CW-complex. For each infinite cardinal  $\alpha$ , let us call  $K$  locally  $\alpha$ , if  $\alpha = \min\{\gamma; \text{for any } x \in K, \text{ there is a subcomplex } A \text{ consisting of } \leq \gamma \text{ many cells with } x \in \text{int } A\}$  (hence,  $\alpha = \min\{\gamma; \text{for any } x \in K, \text{ there is a neighborhood of } x \text{ which meets } \leq \gamma \text{ many closed cells } \bar{e}\}$ ).

Then we have the following analogue to Theorem 5 by Theorem 7, Lemma 2, and slight modifications of Lemmas 3 and 4 (cf. [9, Theorem 2.7]).

THEOREM.  *$BF(\alpha^+)$  is false if and only if whenever  $K \times L$  is CW-complex,  $K$  or  $L$  is locally finite, otherwise one of  $K, L$  is locally countable and another is locally  $< \alpha$ .*

## REFERENCES

1. C. H. Dowker, *Topology of metric complexes*, Amer. J. Math. **74** (1952), 557–577.
2. G. Gruenhagen, *K-spaces and products of closed images of metric spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **80** (1980), 478–482.
3. Liu Ying-ming, *A necessary and sufficient condition for the products of CW-complexes*, Acta Math. Sinica **21** (1978), 171–175. (Chinese)
4. J. Milnor, *Construction of universal bundles. I*, Ann. of Math. **63** (1956), 272–284.
5. Y. Tanaka, *A characterization for the product of closed images of metric spaces to be a k-space*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **74** (1979), 166–170.
6. ———, *Products of spaces of countable tightness*, Topology Proc. **6** (1981), 115–133.
7. ———, *Metrizability of certain quotient spaces*, Fund. Math. (to appear).
8. J. H. C. Whitehead, *Combinatorial homotopy. I*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **55** (1949), 213–245.
9. Zhou Hao-xuan, *Weak topology and J. Whitehead's problem* (preprint).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TOKYO GAKUGEI UNIVERSITY, 4-1-1 NUKUIKITA-MACHI, KOGANEI-SHI, TOKYO, JAPAN