## ROUGH AND STRONGLY ROUGH NORMS ON BANACH SPACES

## G. GODINI

ABSTRACT. We give equivalent conditions for a norm on a Banach space X to be rough using the sets A(x) defined for each  $x \in X$  by  $A(x) = \{f \in X^* | f(x) = \|x\|, \|f\| = 1\}$ . This enables us to obtain unitary characterizations for rough and strongly rough norms.

Let X be a real Banach space, and for  $x, y \in X$ , let  $\tau(x, y)$  denote the one sided Gateaux differential of  $\|\cdot\|$  at x in the direction y, i.e.,

$$\tau(x, y) = \lim_{t \to 0^+} t^{-1}(\|x + ty\| - \|x\|).$$

For  $x_0 \in X$  and r > 0 we denote  $B_X(x_0, r) = \{x \in X | ||x - x_0|| \le r\}$ ,  $B_X = B_X(0, 1)$  and  $S_X = \{x \in X | ||x|| = 1\}$ . For each  $x \in X$  let us denote  $A(x) = A_X(x) = \{f \in S_{X^*} | f(x) = ||x||\}$ , where  $X^*$  is the dual space of X. For  $f \in S_{X^*}$ , let  $A^{-1}(f) = \{x \in S_X | f(x) = 1\}$  and denote by  $Dom(A^{-1})$  the set  $\{f \in S_{X^*} | A^{-1}(f) \ne \emptyset\}$ .

By the Ascoli-Mazur Theorem [4, p. 447], for  $x, y \in X$  we have

(1) 
$$\tau(x, y) = \max\{f(y) | f \in A(x)\}.$$

DEFINITION 1 [8, 9, p. 120]. A norm of a Banach space X is said to be *rough* if there is an  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that for every  $x \in X$  and  $\delta > 0$ , there exist  $x_1, x_2, u \in X$ ,  $||x_i - x|| < \delta, i = 1, 2, u \in S_X$ , with  $\tau(x_2, u) - \tau(x_1, u) \ge \varepsilon$ .

There are several known characterizations of a Banach space with a rough norm (see e.g., [1, 7, 9, 11, 13]). We add to these characterizations some new ones. In what follows we shall use the following results of [7, Proposition 1, (i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (iv), Proposition 1', (ii)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (iv)], some of them being implicitly contained in [9, p. 122].

PROPOSITION 1 [7, p. 336]. The following properties of a given norm of X are equivalent:

- (i) The norm of X (the dual norm of  $X^*$ ) is not rough.
- (ii) For every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is an  $x \in S_X$  ( $f \in S_{X^*}$ ) such that whenever  $f_n$ ,  $g_n \in S_X$ ,  $\lim f_n(x) = \lim g_n(x) = 1$  ( $x_n, y_n \in S_X$ ,  $\lim f(x_n) = \lim f(y_n) = 1$ ), then

$$\limsup \|f_n - g_n\| \le \varepsilon \quad (\limsup \|x_n - y_n\| \le \varepsilon).$$

For a bounded set  $A \subset X$ , we denote the diameter of A by diam  $A = \dim_X A = \sup\{\|a_1 - a_2\| \mid a_1, a_2 \in A\}$ .

Received by the editors October 19, 1981 and, in revised form, April 23, 1982. 1980 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 46B99.

240 G. GODINI

THEOREM 1. The following properties of a given norm of X are equivalent:

- (i)  $\|\cdot\|$  is rough.
- (ii) There is an  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that for every  $x \in S_X$  and  $\delta > 0$ , there exists  $y \in S_X$ ,  $||y x|| < \delta$  with diam $(A(x) \cup A(y)) \ge \varepsilon$ .

PROOF. (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). Since  $\|\cdot\|$  is rough, choose  $\varepsilon > 0$  given by Definition 1, and let  $\varepsilon' = \varepsilon/2$ ,  $x \in S_X$  and  $0 < \delta < 2$ . By (i) for this  $x \in S_X$  and  $\delta/2$ , there exist  $x_1, x_2, u \in X$ ,  $\|x_i - x\| < \delta/2$ , i = 1, 2,  $u \in S_X$ , with  $\tau(x_2, u) - \tau(x_1, u) \ge \varepsilon$ . By (1) there exist  $f_i \in A(x_i)$ , i = 1, 2, such that  $\tau(x_i, u) = f_i(u)$ , i = 1, 2. Then

$$\varepsilon \le \tau(x_2, u) - \tau(x_1, u) = f_2(u) - f_1(u) \le ||f_1 - f_2||.$$

Since  $x_i \neq 0$ , let  $y_i = x_i / \|x_i\|$ , i = 1, 2. Let  $f \in A(x)$ . Then  $2\varepsilon' \leq \|f_1 - f_2\| \leq \|f_1 - f\| + \|f - f_2\|$  and so we can suppose (interchanging the indices if necessary) that  $\varepsilon' \leq \|f_1 - f\| \leq \text{diam}(A(x) \cup A(y_1))$ , i.e., we have (ii).

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given by (ii) and let  $\varepsilon' = \varepsilon/2$  and  $x \in S_X$ . By (ii), for each n there exists  $y_n \in S_X$ ,  $||y_n - x|| < 1/n$  with  $\operatorname{diam}(A(x) \cup A(y_n)) \ge \varepsilon$ . Let  $f_n, g_n \in A(x) \cup A(y_n)$  such that  $||f_n - g_n|| \ge 3\varepsilon/4$  for each n. Clearly,  $\lim_{n \to \infty} f_n(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} g_n(x) = 1$  and  $\lim \sup ||f_n - g_n|| > \varepsilon'$ , whence by Proposition 1, the norm is rough.

REMARK 1. Using Theorem 1, it is easy to show that the norm of X is rough if and only if there is an  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that for every  $x \in S_X$ ,  $f \in A(x)$  and  $\delta > 0$ , there exists  $y \in S_X$ ,  $||y - x|| < \delta$  with  $\sup_{g \in A(y)} ||f - g|| \ge \varepsilon$ .

COROLLARY 1. The following properties of a given norm of X are equivalent:

- (i)  $\|\cdot\|$  is rough.
- (ii) There is an  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that for every  $x \in S_X$  and  $\delta > 0$ , there exist  $x_1, x_2, u \in S_X$ ,  $||x_i x|| < \delta, i = 1, 2$ , such that  $\tau(x_2, u) + \tau(x_1, -u) \ge \varepsilon$ .
  - (iii) The same as (ii) but with  $x_1 = x_2$  or one of  $x_1, x_2$  equals x.

PROOF. (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). This follows by Definition 1, using the well-known fact [4] that  $-\tau(x_1, u) \le \tau(x_1, -u)$ .

- (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). Using formula (1) we obtain that (ii) implies condition (ii) of Theorem 1, whence the norm is rough.
- (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii). By Theorem 1(i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii), there is an  $\varepsilon' > 0$  such that for every  $x \in S_X$  and  $\delta > 0$ , there exists  $y \in S_X$ ,  $||y x|| < \delta$  with diam $(A(x) \cup A(y)) \ge \varepsilon'$ . Let  $f, g \in A(x) \cup A(y)$  such that  $||f g|| > \varepsilon'/2$ . Then there is an element  $u \in S_X$  with  $(f g)(u) \ge \varepsilon'/2$ . If  $f \in A(x)$  and  $g \in A(y)$  (similarly if  $g \in A(x)$  and  $f \in A(y)$ ), then by (1) we obtain  $\varepsilon'/2 \le f(u) + g(-u) \le \tau(x, u) + \tau(y, -u)$ . In a similar way, if  $f, g \in A(x)$  or  $f, g \in A(y)$  we obtain respectively  $\tau(x, u) + \tau(x, -u) \ge \varepsilon'/2$  or  $\tau(y, u) + \tau(y, -u) \ge \varepsilon'/2$ . Therefore we have (iii) e.g., for  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon'/2$ .

Since (iii) ⇒ (ii) is obvious, this completes the proof of the corollary.

Using a slight modification of [6, Lemma 2] we have

LEMMA 1. Let  $x, y, u \in S_{\chi}$ . If  $\tau(x, u) + \tau(y, -u) = \varepsilon > 0$ , then there is a  $v \in S_{\chi}$  such that  $\tau(x, v) \ge \varepsilon/4$  and  $\tau(y, -v) \ge (\varepsilon/4) - ||x - y||/2$ .

PROOF. By (1) there are  $f \in A(x)$ ,  $g \in A(y)$  such that  $(f - g)(u) = \varepsilon$ . Let w = u - (f + g)(u)x/2. Then  $0 < ||w|| \le 2$ . Let v = w/||w||. We have

$$\tau(x,v) \ge f(v) = \frac{1}{\|w\|} \left( \frac{(f-g)(u)}{2} \right) = \frac{\varepsilon}{2\|w\|} \ge \frac{\varepsilon}{4}.$$

Since  $g \in A(y)$ , we have  $g(x) \ge 1 - ||x - y||$  and so

$$g(-u) + \frac{(f+g)(u)}{2}g(x) \ge \frac{(f-g)(u)}{2} - ||x-y||.$$

Hence

$$\tau(y,-v) \ge g(-v) = \frac{1}{\|w\|} \left( g(-u) + \frac{(f+g)(u)}{2} g(x) \right)$$
$$\ge \frac{1}{\|w\|} \left( \frac{\varepsilon}{2} - \|x-y\| \right) \ge \frac{\varepsilon}{4} - \frac{\|x-y\|}{2}.$$

An immediate consequence of Corollary  $l(i) \Leftrightarrow (iii)$ , Lemma 1, formula (1) and Theorem 1 is

COROLLARY 2. The following properties of a given norm of X are equivalent:

- (i)  $\|\cdot\|$  is rough.
- (ii) There is an  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that for every  $x \in S_X$  and  $\delta > 0$  there exist  $y, u \in S_X$ ,  $||y x|| < \delta$ , such that either  $\tau(y, u) \ge \varepsilon$  and  $\tau(y, -u) \ge \varepsilon$  or  $\tau(x, u) \ge \varepsilon$  and  $\tau(y, -u) \ge \varepsilon \delta$ .

We recall [10, p. 976] that a normed linear space E has property ( $\mathfrak{T}$ ) if each closed bounded convex set  $K \subset E$  is the intersection of the closed balls containing it. We need the following result of [10, Lemma 4.1].

LEMMA 2 [10, p. 979]. Suppose that the normed linear space E has property ( $\mathfrak{T}$ ). If  $f \in S_{E^*}$  and  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ , there exist  $\delta(\varepsilon) > 0$  and  $x \in S_E$  such that  $y \in S_E \cap B_E(x, \delta)$  implies  $A(y) \subset B_{E^*}(f, \varepsilon)$ .

COROLLARY 3. If X has property ( $\mathfrak{I}$ ), then the norm of X is not rough.

PROOF. Use Theorem 1 and Lemma 2.

Though Lemma 2 property characterizes property ( $\Im$ ) [5, p. 114], the converse to Corollary 3 is not always true. Indeed, it is known [12, p. 178] that if a Banach space X is reflexive, then X is an Asplund space (i.e., every continuous convex function defined on an open convex subset of X is Fréchet differentiable on a dense  $G_{\delta}$  subset of its domain); by [7, p. 344] X is an Asplund space if and only if X does not admit any equivalent rough norm. In particular, any norm on a 2-dimensional Banach space is not rough. On the other hand, by [10, p. 981] a 2-dimensional Banach space X has property ( $\Im$ ) if and only if X is smooth.

Due to the terminology, the following result seems surprising. There is a Banach space X whose norm is both rough and smooth.

EXAMPLE. In [10, p. 981] a norm for  $m = (l^1)^*$  (the space of bounded sequences  $y = \{y_i\}$ ) was defined by  $||y|| = \sup |y_i| + (\sum y_i^2/2^i)^{1/2}$  and for  $x = \{x_i\} \in l^1$ ,  $||x|| = \sup \{\sum x_i y_i | y = \{y_i\} \in m, ||y|| = 1\}$ . Then  $X = (l^1, ||\cdot||)$  is a smooth space

242 G. GODINI

[10, p. 981]. Let  $\varepsilon < \frac{1}{8}$  and  $x \in S_X$ . It was shown in [10, p. 982] that for any  $y \in S_{X^*}$  such that (x, y) = 1, there exists a sequence  $\{y^k\} \subset X^*$  such that  $(x, y^k) \to 1$ ,  $\|y^k\| \to 1$  and  $\|y^k - y\| \ge \frac{1}{8}$ . (Note that in the first case in [10, p. 982] it was not used that  $y_i \to 0$  but only that  $|y_i| < \frac{1}{4}$  for i > n.) Now, for  $f_k$ ,  $g_k \in S_{X^*}$  defined by  $f_k = y$ ,  $g_k = y^k / \|y^k\|$ , we have  $\lim_{k \to \infty} f_k(x) = \lim_{k \to \infty} g_k(x) = 1$  and  $\lim\sup_{k \to \infty} \|f_k - g_k\| > \varepsilon$ , whence by Proposition 1, the norm on X is rough.

We remark also that there is a Banach space having a nonrough norm with no point of Gateaux differentiability [7, p. 341].

The characterization given in Theorem 1, helps us to introduce the following concept dual to rough norm.

DEFINITION 2. A norm of a Banach space X is said to have property (dr) if there is an  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that for every  $f \in \text{Dom}(A^{-1})$  and  $\delta > 0$ , there exists  $g \in \text{Dom}(A^{-1})$ ,  $||g - f|| < \delta$  with  $\text{diam}(A^{-1}(f) \cup A^{-1}(g)) \ge \varepsilon$ . When the Banach space is a dual space  $X^*$  and in the above definition f and g are chosen to be  $w^*$ -continuous, then the dual norm of  $X^*$  is said to have property  $(w^*dr)$ .

To prove the next result we need the following extension of Bishop and Phelps theorem given in [2, Theorem 1].

THEOREM 2 [2, p. 181]. Suppose  $x \in S_X$ ,  $f \in S_X$  and  $|f(x) - 1| \le \varepsilon^2/2$  ( $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{2}$ ). Then there exist  $y \in S_X$  and  $g \in A(y)$  such that  $||f - g|| \le \varepsilon$  and  $||x - y|| < \varepsilon + \varepsilon^2$ .

THEOREM 3. Let X be a Banach space. Then:

- (i) The norm of X has property (dr) if and only if the dual norm of  $X^*$  is rough.
- (ii) The norm of X is rough if and only if the dual norm of  $X^*$  has property ( $w^* dr$ ).

PROOF. Suppose the norm of X has property (dr) and let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given by Definition 2. Let  $f \in S_{X^*}$  and  $\delta > 0$ . By Bishop and Phelps theorem there exists  $f_1 \in \text{Dom}(A^{-1})$  such that  $||f_1 - f|| < \delta/2$ . By property (dr) there exists  $f_2 \in \text{Dom}(A^{-1})$ ,  $||f_2 - f_1|| < \delta/2$  with  $\text{diam}(A^{-1}(f_1) \cup A^{-1}(f_2)) \ge \varepsilon$ . Let  $x, y \in A^{-1}(f_1) \cup A^{-1}(f_2)$  such that  $||x - y|| \ge \varepsilon/2$ . Regarding X as a subspace of  $X^{**}$  we have for  $F \in A(f)$  that  $\varepsilon/2 \le ||x - y|| \le ||x - F|| + ||F - y||$ , and so we can suppose that  $||x - F|| \ge \varepsilon/4$ . Since  $||f_i - f|| < \delta$ , i = 1, 2 and  $x \in A(f_i)$  for some i = 1 or 2, it follows that  $\text{diam}(A(f) \cup A(f_i)) \ge \varepsilon/4$ , whence by Theorem 1, the dual norm of  $X^*$  is rough.

Conversely, suppose the dual norm of  $X^*$  is rough and let  $0 < \varepsilon < 2$  be given by Proposition 1(ii). Let  $f \in \text{Dom}(A^{-1})$  and  $0 < \delta < \varepsilon/4$ . By Proposition 1, there exist  $x_n, y_n \in S_X$ ,  $\lim_{n \to \infty} f(x_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} f(y_n) = 1$  such that  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup \|x_n - y_n\| > \varepsilon$ . Let  $n_0$  be such that  $|1 - f(x_{n_0})| < \delta^2/8$ ,  $|1 - f(y_{n_0})| < \delta^2/8$  and  $\|x_{n_0} - y_{n_0}\| \ge \varepsilon$ , and let  $x \in A^{-1}(f)$ . Then  $\varepsilon \le \|x_{n_0} - y_{n_0}\| \le \|x_{n_0} - x\| + \|x - y_{n_0}\|$ , and so we can suppose that  $\|x_{n_0} - x\| \ge \varepsilon/2$ . By Theorem 2, there exist  $z_0 \in S_X$  and  $f_0 \in A(z_0)$  such that  $\|z_0 - x_{n_0}\| < \delta$  and  $\|f - f_0\| < \delta$ . Then  $\varepsilon/2 \le \|x_{n_0} - x\| \le \|x_{n_0} - z_0\| + \|z_0 - x\| < (\varepsilon/4) + \text{diam}(A^{-1}(f) \cup A^{-1}(f_0))$ , and so  $\text{diam}(A^{-1}(f) \cup A^{-1}(f_0)) \ge \varepsilon/4$ , i.e., the norm of X has property (dr).

Since (ii) is obvious, this completes the proof.

REMARK 2. By the above proof of (i) it follows that the norm of X has property (dr) if and only if there exists an  $\epsilon > 0$  such that for every  $f \in \text{Dom}(A^{-1})$ ,

 $x \in A^{-1}(f)$  and  $\delta > 0$ , there exists  $g \in \text{Dom}(A^{-1})$ ,  $||f - g|| < \delta$  with

$$\sup_{y \in A^{-1}(g)} \|x - y\| \ge \varepsilon.$$

There exists a strictly convex Banach space X whose norm has property (dr). Indeed, let  $\|\cdot\|$  be the norm on  $l^1$  defined in the above example. Then  $c_0$  has an equivalent norm whose dual norm on  $c_0^* = l^1$  is  $\|\cdot\|$ . Let X be  $c_0$  endowed with this equivalent norm. Then  $X^*$  being smooth, X is strictly convex and the norm of  $X^*$  being rough, by Theorem 3(i), the norm of X has property (dr).

DEFINITION 3 [7, p. 337]. A norm of a Banach space X is said to be *strongly rough* if there is an  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that for every  $x \in S_X$  there is an element  $u \in S_X$  with  $\tau(x, u) + \tau(x, -u) \ge \varepsilon$ .

REMARK 3. By [7, Proposition 2(i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (ii)] it follows that  $\|\cdot\|$  is strongly rough if and only if there is an  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that for every  $x \in S_X$ , diam  $A(x) \ge \varepsilon$ .

REMARK 4. With a proof similar with that of Corollary 2, one can show that the following properties of a given norm of X are equivalent:

- (i)  $\|\cdot\|$  is strongly rough.
- (ii) There is an  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that for every  $x \in S_X$  there exists  $u \in S_X$  with  $\tau(x, u) \ge \varepsilon$  and  $\tau(x, -u) \ge \varepsilon$ .
- (iii) There is an  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that for every  $x \in S_X$  there exist  $f_1, f_2 \in A(x)$  with  $\{z \in S_X | f_1(z) \ge \varepsilon\} \cap \{z \in S_X | f_2(z) \le -\varepsilon\} \ne \emptyset$ .

We want now to introduce a concept dual to strongly rough norm. As we shall see, this is far from being satisfactory.

DEFINITION 4. A norm of a Banach space X is said to have property (dsr) if there is an  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that for every  $f \in \text{Dom}(A^{-1})$ ,  $\text{diam}(A^{-1}(f)) \ge \varepsilon$ . When the Banach space is a dual space  $X^*$  and in the above definition f is chosen to be  $w^*$ -continuous, then the dual norm of  $X^*$  is said to have property  $(w^* dsr)$ .

It is obvious that the norm of X is strongly rough if and only if the dual norm of  $X^*$  has property ( $w^*$  dsr). A result similar to Theorem 3(i) is no longer true, since for example the space  $c_0$  endowed with the usual norm has property (dsr) while the dual norm of  $c_0^*$  is not strongly rough. We do not have an example of a Banach space X with the following two properties: the norm of X has not the property (dsr) and the dual norm of  $X^*$  is strongly rough.

For each Banach space X, let  $\varepsilon_X = \sup\{\varepsilon \mid \text{diam } A(x) \ge \varepsilon \text{ for each } x \in S_X\}$ . Then clearly this supremum is attained,  $0 \le \varepsilon_X \le 2$ , and we have

$$\varepsilon_X = \inf \{ \operatorname{diam} A(x) \mid x \in S_X \}.$$

The known examples of spaces are with  $\varepsilon_{\chi} = 0$  or 2; these are not the only cases as the next result shows.

THEOREM 4. For each  $\lambda$ ,  $0 < \lambda < 2$ , there exist Banach spaces X with  $\varepsilon_X = \lambda$ .

PROOF. Let  $E = l^1(S)$ , S uncountable, endowed with the usual norm. Let  $f_0 = (\alpha_s)_{s \in S} \in E^*$ , where  $\alpha_{s_0} = 1$  for some fixed  $s_0 \in S$  and  $\alpha_s = 0$  for  $s \neq s_0$ . Choose  $\beta$  such that  $1 - (\lambda/2) < \beta < (2/\lambda) - (\lambda/2)$  and let C be the convex hull of  $B_{E^*}$ ,  $B_{E^*}(\beta f_0, \lambda/2)$  and  $B_{E^*}(-\beta f_0, \lambda/2)$ . Then C is a symmetric  $w^*$ -compact convex

244 G. GODINI

body of  $E^*$ , and it induces an equivalent norm on E by  $|||x||| = \sup\{f(x) | f \in C\}$  for each  $x \in E$ . We have  $||x|| \le |||x||| \le (\beta + \lambda/2)||x||$ ,  $x \in E$ . Let  $X = (E, ||| \cdot |||)$ . Then for each  $x \in S_X$ , the set  $A_X(x)$  intersects either the set  $B_{E^*} \cup B_{E^*}(\beta f_0, \lambda/2)$  or  $B_{E^*} \cup B_{E^*}(-\beta f_0, \lambda/2)$ . Suppose  $A_X(x)$  intersects  $B_{E^*} \cup B_{E^*}(\beta f_0, \lambda/2)$  (the other case is similar). If  $A_X(x) \cap B_{E^*} \ne \emptyset$  then  $A_E(x) \subset A_X(x)$ , and for  $f_1, f_2 \in A_E(x)$  we have  $2||f_1 - f_2||/(2\beta + \lambda) \le |||f_1 - f_2|||$ . Since  $\dim_{E^*} A_E(x) = 2$ , it follows  $4/(2\beta + \lambda) \le \dim_{X^*} A_X(x)$ , whence by our assumption on  $\beta$  we have  $\dim_{X^*} A_X(x) \ge \lambda$ . If  $A_X(x) \cap B_{E^*}(\beta f_0, \lambda/2) \ne \emptyset$  and  $A_X(x) \cap B_{E^*} = \emptyset$ , then  $A_X(x) \subset B_{E^*}(\beta f_0, \lambda/2)$ . Since for each  $f = (\eta_s)_{s \in S} \in E^*$  with  $\eta_{s_0} = 0$  we have ||f|| = |||f|||, it follows  $\dim_{X^*} A_X(x) = \lambda$ . Note that this last case happens e.g., for  $x = (\xi_s)_{s \in S} \in S_X$  where  $\xi_{s_0} = 2/(2\beta + \lambda)$  and  $\xi_s = 0$  for  $s \ne s_0$ . Therefore we have  $\epsilon_X = \lambda$ .

We conclude this note with some questions.

- (1) Is it true that for any Banach space X with  $0 < \varepsilon_X < 2$ , there exists an equivalent norm for which the corresponding  $\varepsilon_X$  equals 2? When  $\varepsilon_X = 0$  such a question has in general a negative answer, since [9, 7, p. 343] if there is an equivalent smooth norm, then there is no equivalent strongly rough norm. On the other hand, in [7, p. 346] the space X = m (which has no equivalent smooth norm [3, p. 522]) has been renormed in such a way that  $\varepsilon_X = 2$ . So we can ask whether this is not a general phenomenon, i.e., whether for any Banach space X with no equivalent smooth norm, there is an equivalent norm such that the corresponding  $\varepsilon_X$  equals 2.
- (2) There exist spaces X with the property that diam  $A(x) = \varepsilon_X$  for all  $x \in S_X$ . For example,  $l^1(S)$ , S uncountable, has this property with  $\varepsilon_X = 2$ , and the smooth spaces with  $\varepsilon_X = 0$ . Is there a Banach space X such that diam  $A(x) = \varepsilon_X$  for all  $x \in S_X$  and  $0 < \varepsilon_X < 2$ ? If the answer is affirmative, is it true that for any  $\lambda$ ,  $0 < \lambda < 2$ , there exists a Banach space X such that  $\varepsilon_X = \lambda$  and diam  $A(x) = \varepsilon_X$  for all  $x \in S_X$ ?

We want to thank Professor V. Zizler for helpful and stimulating conversations related to the subject matter of this paper. We also want to thank the referee for helpful suggestions.

## REFERENCES

- 1. R. Anantharaman, T. Lewis and J. H. M. Whitfield, Smoothability, strong smoothability and dentability in Banach spaces (to appear).
- 2. B. Bollobás, An extension to the theorem of Bishop and Phelps, Bull. London Math. Soc. 2 (1970), 181-182.
- 3. M. M. Day, Strict convexity and smoothness of normed spaces, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 78 (1955), 516-528.
- 4. N. Dunford and J. T. Schwartz, *Linear operators. Part I. General theory*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 7, Interscience, New York and London, 1958.
- 5. J. R. Giles, D. A. Gregory and B. Sims, Characterisation of normed linear spaces with Mazur's intersection property, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 18 (1978), 105-123.
- 6. J. Hagler and F. Sullivan, Smoothness and weak\* sequential compactness, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 78 (1980), 497-503.
- 7. K. John and V. Zizler, On rough norms on Banach spaces, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae 19 (1978), 335-349.
  - 8. J. Kurzweil, On approximation in real Banach spaces, Studia Math. 14 (1954), 214-231.

- 9. E. B. Leach and J. H. M. Whitfield, Differentiable functions and rough norms on Banach spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 33 (1972), 120-126.
- 10. R. R. Phelps, A representation theorem for bounded convex sets, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 11 (1960), 976-983.
- 11. F. Sullivan, Dentability, smoothability and stronger properties in Banach spaces, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 26 (1977), 545-553.
- 12. S. L. Troyanski, On locally uniformly convex and differentiable norms in certain non-separable Banach spaces, Studia Math. 37 (1971), 173-180.
- 13. J. H. M. Whitfield, Rough and strongly rough norms on Banach spaces, Abstracta of the Seventh Winter School Praha, 1979.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INCREST, B-DUL PACII 220, 79622 BUCHAREST, ROMANIA