

## CONCERNING EXACTLY $(n, 1)$ IMAGES OF CONTINUA

SAM B. NADLER, JR. AND L. E. WARD, JR.

**ABSTRACT.** A surjective mapping  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is exactly  $(n, 1)$  if  $f^{-1}(y)$  contains exactly  $n$  points for each  $y \in Y$ . We show that if  $Y$  is a continuum such that each nondegenerate subcontinuum of  $Y$  has an endpoint, and if  $2 \leq n < \infty$ , then there is no exactly  $(n, 1)$  mapping from any continuum onto  $Y$ . However, if  $Y$  is a continuum which contains a nonunicoherent subcontinuum, then such an  $(n, 1)$  mapping exists. Therefore, a Peano continuum is a dendrite if and only if for each  $n$  ( $2 \leq n < \infty$ ) there is no exactly  $(n, 1)$  mapping from any continuum onto  $Y$ . We also show that for each positive integer  $n$  there is an exactly  $(n, 1)$  mapping from the Hilbert cube onto itself.

**1. Introduction.** In the early 1940s a sequence of papers appeared which studied the existence of exactly  $(n, 1)$  mappings defined on various classes of continua. (A mapping  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is *exactly*  $(n, 1)$  if  $f^{-1}(y)$  contains exactly  $n$  points, for each  $y \in Y$ .) It was shown by Harrold [5], Roberts [10] and Civin [3] that there is no exactly  $(2, 1)$  mapping defined on a closed  $n$ -cell ( $n = 1, 2, 3$ ), and the problem for  $4 \leq n < \infty$  remains open. Other relevant papers are those of Harrold [6, 7], Gilbert [4], Martin and Roberts [8], Borsuk and Molski [1] and Mioduszewski [9].

A related problem is the following: Which continua are the *images* of some continuum under an exactly  $(n, 1)$  mapping, where  $2 \leq n < \infty$ ? Some partial answers were noted by Harrold [6] who showed that no arc has this property and that an exactly  $(n, 1)$  image of a finite graph must contain a copy of  $S^1$ . We show that if  $Y$  is a continuum each of whose nondegenerate subcontinua has an endpoint and if  $2 \leq n < \infty$ , then there is no exactly  $(n, 1)$  mapping from any continuum onto  $Y$ . We also show that there exist exactly  $(n, 1)$  mappings from continua onto any non-hereditarily unicoherent continuum. Thus we can conclude, if  $Y$  is a Peano continuum and  $2 \leq n < \infty$ , that  $Y$  is a dendrite if and only if there is no  $(n, 1)$  mapping from any continuum onto  $Y$ .

In particular, if  $2 \leq n, m < \infty$ , then an  $m$ -cell is the exactly  $(n, 1)$  image of some continuum (compare [3, 5 and 10]). Actually, we are able to show that the continuum may be taken to be an AR, and this fact permits us to construct an exactly  $(n, 1)$  mapping of the Hilbert cube onto itself.

**2. The main results.** A *continuum* is a compact connected Hausdorff space. An element  $e$  of continuum  $Y$  is an *endpoint* of  $Y$  if  $e$  admits arbitrarily small open

---

Received by the editors March 29, 1982 and, in revised form, June 11, 1982.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 54C10, 54F50, 54F55.

*Key words and phrases.* Exactly  $(n, 1)$  mapping, continuum, dendrite, Hilbert cube.

©1983 American Mathematical Society  
0002-9939/82/0000-0672/\$02.25

neighborhoods with one-point boundary. A *cutpoint* of  $Y$  is an element  $p$  of  $Y$  such that  $Y - \{p\}$  is not connected.

**LEMMA.** *Let  $Y$  be a continuum with an endpoint  $e$  and let  $2 \leq n < \infty$ . If there is an exactly  $(n, 1)$  mapping  $f$  from a continuum  $X$  onto  $Y$ , then there is a proper subcontinuum  $Y_1$  of  $Y$  such that  $f^{-1}(Y_1)$  is connected.*

**PROOF.** Let  $f^{-1}(e) = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  and let  $U_1, U_2, \dots, U_n$  be mutually disjoint open subsets of  $X$  such that  $x_i \in U_i$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , and let  $U = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \{U_i\}$ . Since  $f^{-1}(e) \subset U$  and  $X - U$  is compact, there is an open subset  $V$  of  $Y$  such that  $e \in V$  and  $f^{-1}(\bar{V}) \subset U$ . Since  $e$  is an endpoint of  $Y$ , we may assume  $\bar{V} - V = \{p\}$ . Thus  $p$  is a cutpoint of  $Y$  and  $Y_1 = Y - V$  is a proper subcontinuum of  $Y$ .

Suppose  $f^{-1}(Y_1)$  is not connected; then  $f^{-1}(Y_1) = A \cup B$  where  $A$  and  $B$  are disjoint nonempty closed subsets of  $X$ . Define subsets  $M$  and  $N$  of  $X$  as follows:

$$M = f^{-1}(\bar{V}) \cap \left[ \bigcup \{U_i : f^{-1}(p) \cap A \cap U_i \neq \emptyset\} \right],$$

$$N = f^{-1}(\bar{V}) \cap \left[ \bigcup \{U_i : f^{-1}(p) \cap A \cap U_i = \emptyset\} \right].$$

Clearly,  $M$  and  $N$  are disjoint. Moreover, since  $f^{-1}(\bar{V}) \cap U_i = f^{-1}(\bar{V}) \cap \bar{U}_i$  for each  $i$ , each of the sets  $f^{-1}(\bar{V}) \cap U_i$  is closed and hence  $M$  and  $N$  are closed. Since  $A \subset f^{-1}(Y_1) = f^{-1}(Y - V)$  and  $N \subset f^{-1}(\bar{V})$ , it follows that  $A \cap N \subset f^{-1}(p)$ . By definition of  $N$ ,  $A \cap N \cap f^{-1}(p) = \emptyset$  and hence  $A \cap N = \emptyset$ . Since  $B \subset f^{-1}(Y_1) = f^{-1}(Y - V)$  and  $M \subset f^{-1}(\bar{V})$ , it follows that  $B \cap M \subset f^{-1}(p)$ . Suppose there exists  $q \in B \cap M$ . Then  $q \in f^{-1}(p)$  and, by definition of  $M$ ,  $q \in U_i$  where  $f^{-1}(p) \cap A \cap U_i \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $z \in f^{-1}(p) \cap A \cap U_i$ . Then  $\{q, z\} \subset f^{-1}(p) \cap U_i$  and, since  $q \notin A$ ,  $q$  and  $z$  are distinct. Since  $f^{-1}(p)$  contains only  $n$  points and since the sets  $U_1, U_2, \dots, U_n$  are mutually disjoint, there exists  $U_j$  such that  $f^{-1}(p) \cap U_j = \emptyset$ . Hence,  $f^{-1}(\bar{V}) \cap U_j = f^{-1}(V) \cap U_j$  and thus  $f^{-1}(\bar{V}) \cap U_j$  is both open and closed in  $X$ . Now  $f^{-1}(\bar{V}) \cap U_j \neq X$  and  $f^{-1}(\bar{V}) \cap U_j \neq \emptyset$  since it contains  $x_j$  as an element. This contradicts the hypothesis that  $X$  is connected and hence  $B \cap M = \emptyset$ . However,  $X(A \cup M) \cup (B \cup N)$ , and hence  $A \cup M$  and  $B \cup N$  constitute a separation of  $X$ , a contradiction. Therefore  $f^{-1}(Y_1)$  is connected and the Lemma is proved.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $Y$  be a continuum such that every nondegenerate subcontinuum of  $Y$  has an endpoint. If  $2 \leq n < \infty$ , then there is no exactly  $(n, 1)$  mapping from any continuum onto  $Y$ .*

**PROOF.** Suppose, on the other hand, there exists a continuum  $X$  and an exactly  $(n, 1)$  mapping  $f$  of  $X$  onto  $Y$ . Consider the family  $\{Y_\alpha\}$  of all subcontinua of  $Y$  such that each  $f^{-1}(Y_\alpha)$  is connected, and let  $\mathfrak{N}$  be a maximal nest chosen from this family. Let  $Y_0 = \bigcap \mathfrak{N}$  and let  $X_0 = f^{-1}(Y_0)$ . Clearly,  $X_0$  and  $Y_0$  are continua and  $f|X_0$  is exactly  $(n, 1)$ . In particular,  $Y_0$  cannot be degenerate since  $X_0$  is connected. But then  $Y_0$  has an endpoint and so the Lemma contradicts the maximality of  $\mathfrak{N}$ .

Recall that a *dendrite* is a locally connected metrizable continuum which contains no simple closed curve. It is well known that every nondegenerate dendrite has an endpoint and that every subcontinuum of a dendrite is a dendrite [11]. Therefore, the following corollary is immediate:

**COROLLARY 1.1.** *If  $2 \leq n < \infty$ , then there is no exactly  $(n, 1)$  mapping from any continuum onto a dendrite.*

**THEOREM 2.** *If  $V$  is a continuum which contains a nonunicoherent subcontinuum and if  $1 \leq n < \infty$ , then there is an exactly  $(n, 1)$  mapping from some continuum  $X$  onto  $Y$ .*

**PROOF.** The identity mapping  $1: Y \rightarrow Y$  is  $(1, 1)$  so we may assume  $n > 1$ . By hypothesis, there exist subcontinua  $A$  and  $B$  of  $Y$  such that  $A \cap B$  is not connected; let  $P$  and  $Q$  be disjoint nonempty closed sets such that  $A \cap B = P \cup Q$ . For each  $i = 1, \dots, n-1$  let  $A_i$ ,  $B_i$  and  $Y_i$  be distinct copies of  $A$ ,  $B$  and  $Y$ . We adjoin these sets to  $Y$  as follows: each  $A_i$  is adjoined at  $P$ , each  $B_i$  is adjoined at  $Q$  and each  $Y_i$  is adjoined at  $A \cup B$ . The set  $X = Y \cup [\bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} \{A_i \cup B_i \cup Y_i\}]$  is a continuum in the adjunction topology and the natural mapping which identifies each  $Y_i$  with  $Y$ , each  $A_i$  with  $A$  and each  $B_i$  with  $B$  is exactly  $(n, 1)$ .

**COROLLARY 2.1.** *A Peano continuum  $Y$  is a dendrite if and only if for each  $n$  ( $2 \leq n < \infty$ ) there is no exactly  $(n, 1)$  mapping from any continuum onto  $Y$ .*

**PROOF.** Since a dendrite is hereditarily unicoherent, this result is immediate from Corollary 1.1 and Theorem 2.

Theorem 1 can be used to show that certain continua other than dendrites cannot be exactly  $(n, 1)$  images of any continuum ( $2 \leq n < \infty$ ). For example, the harmonic fan and the  $\sin(1/x)$ -continuum cannot be such images. It would be of interest to know if there is a tree-like continuum which is such an image.

The following corollary extends some of the above results to mappings which are exactly  $n$ -component-to-one, i.e., to mappings  $f$  such that  $f^{-1}(Y)$  has exactly  $n$  components for each  $Y$  in the range of  $f$ .

**COROLLARY 2.2.** *The statements of Theorem 1 and Corollaries 1.1 and 2.1 remain valid for mappings which are exactly  $n$ -component-to-one.*

**PROOF.** It suffices to show that if the continuum  $Y$  is the image of a continuum  $X$  under an exactly  $n$ -component-to-one mapping, then  $Y$  is the image of some continuum  $M$  under an exactly  $(n, 1)$  mapping. If  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is the exactly  $n$ -component-to-one mapping, let  $f = lm$  be the monotone-light factorization of  $f$ . That is, there is a continuum  $M$ , a monotone mapping  $m: X \rightarrow M$ , and a light mapping  $l: M \rightarrow Y$  such that  $f = lm$ . It follows that  $l$  is exactly  $(n, 1)$ .

**3. Exactly  $(n, 1)$  mappings for the Hilbert cube.** In the Introduction we noted that if  $m \leq 3$  then there is no exactly  $(2, 1)$  mapping defined on the  $m$ -cell, but that this problem is unsolved if  $4 \leq m < \infty$ . In this section we give a solution for  $m = \aleph_0$ .

**THEOREM 3.** *For each positive integer  $n$  there is an exactly  $(n, 1)$  mapping from  $Q$ , the Hilbert cube, onto itself.*

**PROOF.** We assume  $n > 1$  since the theorem is obvious if  $n = 1$ . Let  $I$  denote the line segment in Euclidean 3-space which joins  $(0, 0, 0)$  and  $(1, 0, 0)$ . For each  $i = 1, \dots, n-1$  and each  $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  let  $I_{i,j}$  denote the line segment joining  $(2^{-j}, 0, 0)$  and  $(2^{-j}(1 + (i-1)/n), 2^{-j}, 0)$  and let  $D = I \cup \bigcup_{i,j} \{I_{i,j}\}$ . The set  $D$  is a

dendrite lying in the plane  $z = 0$ . Let  $\Sigma(D)$  denote the suspension of  $D$  with vertices  $(1, 0, 1)$  and  $(1, 0, -1)$  and let  $Y$  denote the 2-cell which is the intersection of  $\Sigma(D)$  and the plane  $y = 0$ . Let  $\sigma(Y)$  denote the bounding 1-sphere of  $Y$ , i.e.,  $\sigma(Y)$  is the suspension of the set  $\{(0, 0, 0), (1, 0, 0)\}$ . Let  $A$  be the line segment joining  $(1, 0, 0)$  and  $(2, 0, 0)$  and let  $X = \Sigma(D) \cup A$ . Note that  $X$  is an AR since the suspension of an AR is again an AR and the union of two ARs meeting in a single point is an AR.

There is a natural retraction  $\pi: \Sigma(D) \rightarrow Y$  which has the property that  $\pi^{-1}(y) = y$  if  $y \in \sigma Y$ , and  $\pi^{-1}(y)$  consists of exactly  $n$  points if  $y \in Y - \sigma(Y)$ . (The map  $\pi$  folds each of the sets  $\Sigma(I_{i,j})$  homeomorphically onto the suspension of the segment joining  $(2^{-(j+1)}, 0, 0)$  and  $(2^{-j}, 0, 0)$ .) Define  $f$  from  $X$  onto  $Y$  by  $f| \Sigma(D) = \pi$ ,  $f| A$  wraps the segment  $A$  around  $\sigma Y$  exactly  $n - 1$  times. It follows that  $f$  is an exactly  $(n, 1)$  mapping, and hence  $f \times 1: X \times Q \rightarrow Y \times Q$  is exactly  $(n, 1)$  where 1 denotes the identity map on  $Q$ . By combining 44.1 and 22.1 of [2], it follows that  $X \times Q$  is a Hilbert cube. Clearly,  $Y \times Q$  is a Hilbert cube. The theorem follows.

REMARK. In 2.7 of [6] it was shown that there does not exist an exactly  $(n, 1)$  mapping  $1 < n < \infty$ , from any continuum onto an arc. However, it follows from our proof of Theorem 3 that there do exist exactly  $(n, 1)$  mappings from continua onto  $k$ -cells for any  $k \neq 1$ : For  $k = 2$ ,  $f$  is the desired mapping and, for  $k > 2$ ,  $f$  crossed with the identity map on  $[0, 1]^{k-2}$  suffices. Moreover, for each  $k$  the domain of the mapping is a  $k$ -dimensional AR.

#### REFERENCES

1. K. Borsuk and R. Molski, *On a class of continuous maps*, Fund. Math. **45** (1958), 84–98.
2. T. A. Chapman, *Lectures on Hilbert cube manifolds*, CBMS Regional Conf. Ser. in Math., no. 28, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1975.
3. P. Civin, *Two-to-one mappings of manifolds*, Duke Math. J. **10** (1943), 49–57.
4. P. Gilbert,  *$n$ -to-one mappings of linear graphs*, Duke Math. J. **9** (1942), 475–486.
5. O. G. Harrold, *The non-existence of a certain type of continuous transformation*, Duke Math. J. **5** (1939), 789–793.
6. ———, *Exactly  $(k, 1)$  transformations on connected linear graphs*, Amer. J. Math. **62** (1940), 823–834.
7. ———, *Continua of finite sections*, Duke Math. J. **8** (1941), 682–688.
8. V. Martin and J. H. Roberts, *Two-to-one transformations on 2-manifolds*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **49** (1941), 1–17.
9. J. Mioduszewski, *On two-to-one continuous functions*, Dissertationes Math. (Rozprawy Mat.) **24** (1961), 42.
10. J. H. Roberts, *Two-to-one transformations*, Duke Math. J. **6** (1940), 256–262.
11. G. T. Whyburn, *Analytic topology*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ., vol. 28, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1942.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, WEST VIRGINIA UNIVERSITY, MORGANTOWN, WEST VIRGINIA 26506

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF OREGON, EUGENE, OREGON 97403