ON INEQUALITIES OF PERIODIC FUNCTIONS AND THEIR DERIVATIVES

Z. DITZIAN

ABSTRACT. The inequality $||f||_B \le a_k ||f^{(k)}||_B$ is proved for many spaces of periodic functions. An analogue for sequences is also given.

1. In his paper [6] in 1939 Northcott proved for periodic functions for which $\int_0^{2\pi} f(x) dx = 0$ and $f, \dots, f^{(k-1)}$ are absolutely continuous that

(1.1)
$$\|f\|_{\infty} \le a_k \|f^{(k)}(\cdot)\|_{\infty}$$
 where $a_k = \frac{4}{\pi} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i^{-k-1}$ for k odd and $a_k = \frac{4}{\pi} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{i+1} i^{-k-1}$ for k even

and for each k, a_k is best possible and is actually achieved. Bellman [2] later showed that

$$|| f(\cdot) ||_{\gamma_r} \le a_k || f^{(k)}(\cdot) ||_{\gamma_r}$$

holds. Here the constants a_k are the same as in (1.1), r > 1 is an integer, and f is any 2π -periodic function such that $\int_0^{2\pi} f(x) dx = 0$, $f^{(k-1)}$ is absolutely continuous, and $f^{(k)} \in L_{2r}$. The constants a_k in (1.2) are not best possible in general. For r = 1 the best constant is 1 by Wirtinger's inequality [1]. We will prove that if $L_{2r}(T)$ $(T \equiv [0, 2\pi]$ for f(x) satisfying $f(0) = f(2\pi)$) is replaced by $L_p(T)$, $p \ge 1$, we still have

(1.3)
$$|| f(\cdot) ||_{p} \le a_{k} || f^{(k)}(\cdot) ||_{p}$$

and for each k, a_k is best possible for L_1 . We shall show that there is a whole class of Banach spaces for which an analogous result to (1.3) is valid.

In 1954 K. Fan, O. Taussky and J. Todd [4] proved a discrete analogue of the above that for a real finite sequence $x_1, \ldots, x_n, n \ge 2$, satisfying $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i = 0$

(1.4)
$$\max |x_i| \le \frac{n}{4} \max |x_{i+1} - x_i| \quad \text{for even } n \text{ and}$$
$$\max |x_i| \le \frac{n^2 - 1}{4} \max |x_{i+1} - x_i| \quad \text{for odd } n$$

Received by the editors April 5, 1982.

1980 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 26D10, 26D15.

464 Z. DITZIAN

and the (1.4) estimate is achieved and therefore is best possible. They proved also that for any positive integer r and defining $x_i \equiv x_i$ if $j = i \pmod{n}$,

(1.5)
$$\left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} |x_{i}|^{r} \right\}^{1/r} \ge \frac{n-1}{2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} |x_{i+1} - x_{i}|^{r} \right)^{1/r} \text{ and}$$

$$\left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} |x_{i}|^{r} \right\}^{1/r} \le \frac{n^{2}-1}{12} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} |x_{i-1} - 2x_{i} + x_{i+1}|^{r} \right)^{1/r}$$

(where x, may be complex). We shall prove for $1 \le p < \infty$

(1.6)
$$\left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} |x_{i}|^{p} \right\}^{1/p} \leq b_{n}^{k} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} |\Delta^{k} x_{i}|^{p} \right\}^{1/p}$$

where b_n is n/4 or $(n^2 - 1)/4$ for even or odd n respectively.

The constants are better than those in (1.5), p is not required to be an integer, and k can be any integer, not just 1 or 2.

2. The inequality on periodic functions. Using Northcott's result and a technique established in proving inequalities of Kolmogorov type, see [3], we have

THEOREM 2.1. Suppose f is a 2π periodic function satisfying $\int_0^{2\pi} f(x) dx = 0$ and for which $f, \ldots, f^{(k-1)}$ are absolutely continuous and $f^{(k)} \in L_p(T)$ for some $p, 1 \le p < \infty$. Then

(2.1)
$$||f||_p \le a_k ||f^{(k)}||_p$$
 where a_k is given by (1.1).

PROOF. Let $F(x) = \int_0^{2\pi} f(x+t)g(t) dt$ where $g \in L_q$, $q^{-1} + p^{-1} = 1$, and $\|g\|_q = 1$. Obviously F(x) satisfies $\int_0^{2\pi} F(x) dx = 0$ and $F^{(i)}(x)$ is absolutely continuous for i < k and $F^{(k)}(x)$ is continuous. Therefore, $|F(0)| \le ||F(x)||_{\infty} \le a_k ||F^{(k)}(\cdot)||_{\infty} \le a_k ||f^{(k)}||_p$. Choosing g so that $|F(0)| = |\int_0^{2\pi} f(t)g(t) dt| = ||f||_p$, we complete the proof.

In fact the following more general theorem can be proved equally easily. The theorem is applicable to Banach space B on which a group of isometries S(t) is defined satisfying $S(2\pi) = S(0) = I$. We will say that $f \in B$ is in D(A) if the strong limit of $(S(\eta) - I)f/\eta$ as $\eta \to 0 +$ exists, and $f \in D(A^k)$ if $f \in D(A^{k-1})$ and s- $\lim_{\eta \to 0^+} (S(\eta) - I)A^{k-1}f/\eta$ exists. We say $f \in D_w(A^k)$ if the limit exists in the weak sense and $f \in D_w(A^k)$ if the limit exists in the weak's sense (which is only possible if $B = X^*$).

THEOREM 2.2. Let B be a Banach space, S(t) a group of isometries and $f \in D(A^k)$ or $f \in D_w(A^k)$ or $f \in D_w(A^k)$, and suppose $\int_0^{2\pi} T(t) f dt = 0$ in the weak or weak* sense. Then

(2.2)
$$||f||_{B} \le a_{k} ||A^{k}f||_{B}$$
 where a_{k} is given by (1.1).

PROOF. For $f \in D(A^k)$ or $f \in D_w(A^k)$ choose $g \in B^*$ such that $||g||_{B^*} = 1$ and write $F(x) = \langle S(x)f, g \rangle$. Obviously $F(x), \dots, F^{(k-1)}(x)$ are absolutely continuous, F(x) periodic and $\int_0^{2\pi} F(x) dx = 0$, and therefore

$$|\langle f, g \rangle| = |F(0)| \le ||F||_{\infty} \le a_k ||F^{(k)}(\cdot)||_{\infty} \le a_k ||A^k f||.$$

Choosing g so that $|\langle f, g \rangle| \ge ||f||_B - \varepsilon$, we complete the proof of this case. For $f \in D_{w^*}(A^k)$ we choose $g \in X$, $X^* = B$, and proceed similarly.

REMARK. There are many spaces satisfying the above, $L_p(T)$ Sobolev spaces, $L'_p(T)$, Orlicz spaces on T and others. The weak* limit would be of interest for the space of functions of bounded variation or duals of Sobolev spaces.

THEOREM 2.3. For $f \in L_1$ or $\alpha \in B.V$. where $\int_0^{2\pi} f(x) dx = 0$ or $\int_0^{2\pi} d\alpha(x) = 0$ respectively we have $\|f\|_1 \le a_k \|f^{(k)}\|_1$ or $\|\alpha\|_{B.V} \le a_k \|\alpha^{(k)}\|_{B.V}$ or $\|f\|_1 \le a_k \|f^{(k-1)}\|_{B.V}$ where a_k is as defined in (1.1) and this a_k is best possible here.

PROOF. The inequality was proved in Theorem 2.2. What is left is to show that a_k is best possible.

The extremum is achieved on a variant of the function given by Northcott. We will use notation and known results from a well-known paper of Kolmogorov [5].

$$f_k(x) = \frac{4}{\pi} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\sin((2m+1)x - (\pi/2)k)}{(2m+1)^{k+1}}.$$

Obviously $f_0(x) = f_k^{(k)}(x)$, $||f_0||_{\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{V}, [T]} = 4$ and

$$||f_k||_{\mathbf{B},\mathbf{V},[T]} = 4\frac{4}{\pi} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2m+1)^{k+1}}$$

for k odd and

$$||f_k||_{\mathbf{B.V.}[T]} = 4\frac{4}{\pi} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^m}{(2m+1)^{k+1}}$$

for k even (see description of f_n [5, p. 236]). For $\alpha(x) = f_k(x)$ the equality is achieved. We can, therefore, approximate $f \in L_1$ by $f(x) = (1/h) \int_x^{x+h} d\alpha(t)$ ($\alpha(t) = f_k(t)$ here) and for small enough h the inequality is almost achieved. For $\alpha(t) \in B.V.(T)$, $\|\alpha\|_{B.V.} \le a_k \|\alpha^{(k)}\|_{B.V.}$ and $\|f\|_{L_1} \le a_k \|f^{(k-1)}\|_{B.V.}$ and a_k is best possible and the critical element is in the space. For $\|f\|_{L_1(T)} \le a_k \|f^{(k)}\|_{L_1(T)}$, a_k is best possible and the critical element is not in the space.

3. The discrete case. In [4] the following theorem was proved.

THEOREM A. If n real numbers
$$x_1, \dots, x_n$$
 satisfy $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i = 0$, then $\max |x_i| \le b_n \max |x_{i+1} - x_i|$

where $b_n = n/4$ for even n and $b_n = (n^2 - 1)/4n$ for odd n and b_n are best possible.

REMARK 3.1. We can replace real x_i by complex z_i . If z_1, \ldots, z_n satisfies $\sum_{i=1}^n z_i = 0$, so do $z_i e^{i\theta}, \ldots, z_n e^{i\theta}$. We now choose θ such that $|z_i| = z_i e^{i\theta} = \max |z_i|$ (0 if there is more than one l possible, choose the first one) and let $x_i \equiv \operatorname{Re} z_i e^{i\theta}$. The sequence x_1, \ldots, x_n satisfies the conditions of Theorem A and therefore,

$$\max |x_i| = |z_i| = \max |z_i| \le b_n \max |\operatorname{Re}(z_{i+1} - z_i)e^{i\theta}| \le b_n \max |z_{i+1} - z_i|$$
.

466 Z. DITZIAN

We can also prove

THEOREM 3.2. For x_1, \ldots, x_n , n complex numbers, $n \ge 2$, satisfying $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i = 0$ we have $\max |x_i| \le b_n^k \max |\Delta^k x_i|$ and $(\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^p)^{1/p} \le b_n^k (\sum_{i=1}^n |\Delta^k x_i|^p)^{1/p}$ for $p \ge 1$ where b_n is given in Theorem A, $\Delta x_i \equiv x_{i+1} - x_i$, $\Delta^k x_i \equiv \Delta(\Delta^{k-1} x_i)$ and $x_j \equiv x_i$ if $j = i \pmod n$.

PROOF. The inequality $\max |x_i| \le b_n^k \max |\Delta^k x_i|$ follows Theorem A and Remark 3.1 repreated k times since $\sum_{i=1}^n \Delta^i x_i = 0$. For any sequence y_1, \ldots, y_n such that $(\sum_{i=1}^n |y_i|^q)^{1/q} = 1$, $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$, or in case p = 1, $\max |y_i| = 1$ we can write $z_i = \sum_{j=1}^n x_{j+i} y_j$. Obviously $\sum z_i = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n x_{j+i} y_j = 0$ and $|z_i| \le (\sum_{j=1}^n |x_j|^p)^{1/p}$ while $|\Delta^k z_i| \le (\sum_{j=1}^n |\Delta^k x_j|^p)^{1/p}$.

We use the first part of the proof and write

$$|z_i| \le b_n^k \max |\Delta^k z_i| \le b_n^k \left(\sum_{j=1}^n |\Delta^k x_j|^p\right)^{1/p}$$

and choosing y_i appropriately $|z_i| = (\sum_{j=1}^n |x_j|^p)^{1/p}$, our theorem follows.

One can prove in a similar way the following somewhat more general theorem.

THEOREM 3.3. Let B be an n-dimensional Banach space of complex sequences, $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$, such that $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i = 0$, $x_j \equiv x_i$, $j = i \pmod n$ and $\|\{x_{i+1}\}\| = \|\{x_i\}\|$. Then $\|\{x_i\}\|_B \le b_n^k \|\{\Delta^k x_i\}\|_B$ where b_n is given in Theorem A.

REFERENCES

- 1. E. F. Beckenbach and R. Bellman, Inequalities, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1961.
- 2. R. Bellman, A note on periodic functions and their derivatives, J. London Math. Soc. 18 (1943), 140-142.
- 3. Z. Ditzian, Some remarks on inequalities of Landau and Kolmogorov, Aequationes Math. 12 (1975), 145-51.
- 4. K. Fan, O. Taussky and J. Todd, Discrete analogues of inequalities by Wirtinger, Monatsh. Math. Physik 59 (1955), 73-90.
- 5. A. Kolmogorov, On inequalities between upper bounds of the successive derivatives of an arbitrary function on an infinite interval, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. no. 4 (1949) (Russian original 1939).
- 6. D. G. Northcott, Some inequalities between periodic functions and their derivatives, J. London Math. Soc. 14 (1939), 198-202.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA, EDMONTON (T6G 2G1), CANADA