

NO HOMOGENEOUS TREE-LIKE CONTINUUM CONTAINS AN ARC

CHARLES L. HAGOPIAN¹

ABSTRACT. In 1960 R. H. Bing [4, p. 210] asked the following question. "Is there a homogeneous tree-like continuum that contains an arc?" We answer this question in the negative. This result generalizes Bing's theorem [4, p. 229] that every atriodic homogeneous tree-like continuum is arcless.

1. Introduction. Is every homogeneous tree-like continuum a pseudo-arc? This classic unsolved problem is one of the principal obstacles to the classification of homogeneous 1-dimensional continua [8].

Suppose there exists a homogeneous tree-like continuum M that is not a pseudo-arc. F. B. Jones [14] proved that M is indecomposable. Bing [3] proved that M is not arc-like. C. E. Burgess [6] proved that M is not circle-like. It follows from results of Burgess [7] and I. W. Lewis [15] that M is not k -junctioned and that M has a proper subcontinuum that is not a pseudo-arc. In this paper we prove that M does not contain an arc.

2. Definitions and related results. A space is *homogeneous* if for each pair x, y of its points there is a homeomorphism of the space onto itself that takes x to y .

A *chain* is a finite collection $\{L_i: 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ of open sets such that $L_i \cap L_j \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $|i - j| \leq 1$. If $n > 2$ and L_1 also intersects L_n , the collection is called a *circular chain*. Each L_i is called a *link*.

A collection \mathcal{R} of sets is *coherent* if for each nonempty proper subcollection \mathcal{S} of \mathcal{R} , an element of \mathcal{S} intersects an element of $\mathcal{R} \setminus \mathcal{S}$.

Following Bing [2, p. 653], we define a finite coherent collection \mathcal{T} of open sets to be a *tree chain* if no three elements of \mathcal{T} have a point in common and no subcollection of \mathcal{T} is a circular chain.

A *continuum* is a nondegenerate compact connected metric space.

A continuum M is *arc-like* (*circle-like*, *tree-like*, respectively) if for each positive number ϵ there is a chain (circular chain, tree chain) covering M such that each element has diameter less than ϵ .

A continuum is *decomposable* if it is the union of two of its proper subcontinua; otherwise, it is *indecomposable*. If a continuum does not have a decomposable

Received by the editors October 19, 1982 and, in revised form, December 3, 1982.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 54F15, 54F20; Secondary 54F50, 54F55.

Key words and phrases. Homogeneity, tree-like continuum, arcless continuum, tree chain, hereditarily indecomposable continuum, pseudo-arc, dog-chases-rabbit principle.

¹ The author was partially supported by NSF Grant MCS 8205282.

subcontinuum it is *hereditarily indecomposable*. A continuum M is a *triod* if M has a subcontinuum H such that $M \setminus H$ is the union of three nonempty disjoint open sets. If a continuum does not contain a triod it is *atriodic*. Since the plane does not contain uncountably many disjoint triods [17], every indecomposable homogeneous plane continuum is atriodic [10, Lemma 1].

Every homogeneous tree-like plane continuum is hereditarily indecomposable [11]. In fact, no homogeneous tree-like atriodic continuum has a decomposable subcontinuum [13, Corollary 2]. Furthermore, every homogeneous hereditarily indecomposable continuum is tree-like [18]. It would be interesting to know if every homogeneous tree-like continuum is hereditarily indecomposable [4, p. 210].

3. Preliminaries. Henceforth M is a homogeneous continuum with metric ρ .

Let ε be a positive number. A homeomorphism h of M onto M is called an ε -*homeomorphism* if $\rho(x, h(x)) < \varepsilon$ for each point x of M .

Notation. Let x be a point of M . We denote the set $\{y \in M: \text{an } \varepsilon\text{-homeomorphism of } M \text{ onto } M \text{ takes } x \text{ to } y\}$ by $W(x, \varepsilon)$.

LEMMA. *For every positive number ε and every point x of M , the set $W(x, \varepsilon)$ is open in M .*

PROOF. This lemma follows from a short argument [10, Lemma 4, proof] involving E. G. Effros' topological transformation group theorem [9, Theorem 2.1].

Notation. Let x be a point of M . Let r and s be numbers such that $0 < r < s$. We denote the set $\{y \in M: r \leq \rho(x, y) \leq s\}$ by $A(x, r, s)$. The set $\{y \in M: \rho(x, y) \leq r\}$ is denoted by $B(x, r)$. We denote $\{y \in M: \rho(x, y) = r\}$ by $C(x, r)$.

4. Our result. In [4, p. 221] Bing proved that every homogeneous tree-like continuum has a proper subcontinuum that is not an arc. He then used this theorem to prove [4, p. 229] that no homogeneous tree-like atriodic continuum contains an arc. He also asked, "Is there a homogeneous tree-like continuum that contains an arc?" [4, p. 210]. We use the dog-chases-rabbit principle [5, p. 123] to answer Bing's question in the negative.

THEOREM. *If M is a homogeneous tree-like continuum, then M does not contain an arc.*

PROOF. Assume M contains an arc. Let r_1, r_2, \dots be a strictly decreasing sequence of numbers that converges to 0. For each positive integer i , let $X_i = \{x \in M: x \text{ belongs to an arc in } M \text{ that has both endpoints in } C(x, r_i)\}$.

It follows from the homogeneity of M that

$$(1) \quad M = \bigcup \{X_i: i = 1, 2, \dots\}.$$

For each i ,

$$(2) \quad X_i \text{ is in the interior of } X_{i+1} \text{ relative to } M.$$

To see this let x be a point of X_i , let $\varepsilon = (r_i - r_{i+1})/2$, and note that the open set $W(x, \varepsilon)$ of our Lemma is in X_{i+1} .

It follows from (1), (2), and the compactness of M that $X_j = M$ for some positive integer j . Assume without loss of generality that

$$(3) \quad r_j > 10.$$

For each i , let Y_i be the set of all points x of M with the following property. There exist arcs J and K in $B(x, 9 + 1/i)$ from x to $C(x, 9 + 1/i)$ such that

$$\rho(J \cap A(x, 2 - 1/i, 9 + 1/i), K \cap A(x, 2 - 1/i, 9 + 1/i)) > 1/i.$$

It follows from (3) that

$$(4) \quad M = \bigcup \{Y_i : i = 1, 2, \dots\}.$$

For each i ,

$$(5) \quad Y_i \text{ is in the interior of } Y_{i+1} \text{ relative to } M.$$

To see this let x be a point of Y_i . Let $\epsilon = (1/i - 1/(i + 1))/2$. For each point y of $B(x, \epsilon)$,

$$\rho(A(y, 2 - 1/(i + 1), 9 + 1/(i + 1)), M \setminus A(x, 2 - 1/i, 9 + 1/i)) \geq \epsilon.$$

It follows that the open set $W(x, \epsilon)$ is in Y_{i+1} . Hence (5) is true.

It follows from (4), (5) and the compactness of M that for some positive integer k ,

$$(6) \quad Y_k = M.$$

Let \mathfrak{T} be a tree chain covering M such that each element of \mathfrak{T} has diameter less than $1/k$. Let x_0 be a point of M . By (3), $C(x_0, 9) \neq \emptyset$. Let \mathcal{C}_0 be a chain in \mathfrak{T} that goes from x_0 to a point x_1 of $C(x_0, 9)$.

By (6), there exist arcs J and K in $B(x_1, 9)$ from x_1 to $C(x_1, 9)$ such that

$$(7) \quad \rho(J \cap A(x_1, 2, 9), K \cap A(x_1, 2, 9)) > 1/k.$$

Note that

$$(8) \quad \text{either } J \text{ or } K \text{ misses } A(x_1, 3, 9) \cap \bigcup \mathcal{C}_0.$$

To see this assume the contrary. Let \mathcal{J} be a subchain of \mathcal{C}_0 that goes from a point of $J \cap A(x_1, 3, 9)$ to x_1 . Let \mathcal{K} be a subchain of \mathcal{C}_0 that goes from a point of $K \cap A(x_1, 3, 9)$ to x_1 . Either \mathcal{J} is a subchain of \mathcal{K} or \mathcal{K} is a subchain of \mathcal{J} . Assume without loss of generality that \mathcal{J} is a subchain of \mathcal{K} . Since \mathfrak{T} is a tree chain, \mathcal{K} intersects each link of \mathcal{J} . Hence the first link of \mathcal{J} intersects both $J \cap A(x_1, 2, 9)$ and $K \cap A(x_1, 2, 9)$, and this contradicts (7). Thus (8) is true.

Assume without loss of generality that

$$(9) \quad J \cap A(x_1, 3, 9) \cap \bigcup \mathcal{C}_0 = \emptyset.$$

Let x_2 be the endpoint of J that belongs to $C(x_1, 9)$. Let \mathcal{C}_1 be the chain in \mathfrak{T} that goes from x_1 to x_2 . Since \mathfrak{T} is a tree chain,

$$(10) \quad \text{each link of } \mathcal{C}_1 \text{ intersect } J.$$

Let \mathfrak{D}_1 be the collection of links of \mathcal{C}_1 that intersect $A(x_1, 4, 9)$.

The sets $\bigcup \mathfrak{D}_1$ and $\bigcup \mathcal{C}_0$ are disjoint; for otherwise, by (9) and (10), there exists a circular chain in $\mathcal{C}_0 \cup \mathcal{C}_1$, and this contradicts the fact that \mathfrak{T} is a tree chain. Hence $\mathfrak{D}_1 \setminus \mathcal{C}_0 \neq \emptyset$.

We proceed inductively. Let n be a positive integer. Assume that for each positive integer m less than or equal to n , we have defined points x_m and x_{m+1} of M and subcollections \mathcal{C}_m and \mathfrak{D}_m of \mathfrak{T} such that

- (11_m) $x_{m+1} \in C(x_m, 9),$
- (12_m) \mathcal{C}_m is a chain from x_m to $x_{m+1},$
- (13_m) \mathfrak{D}_m is the collection of links of \mathcal{C}_m that intersect $A(x_m, 4, 9),$
- (14_m) $(\bigcup \mathfrak{D}_m) \cap (\bigcup \bigcup \{\mathcal{C}_i : 0 \leq i < m\}) = \emptyset,$ and
- (15_m) $\mathfrak{D}_m \setminus \bigcup \{\mathcal{C}_i : 0 \leq i < m\} \neq \emptyset.$

By (6), there exist arcs J' and K' in $B(x_{n+1}, 9)$ from x_{n+1} to $C(x_{n+1}, 9)$ such that

(16) $\rho(J' \cap A(x_{n+1}, 2, 9), K' \cap A(x_{n+1}, 2, 9)) > 1/k.$

It follows from (16) and the argument for (8) that either J' or K' misses $A(x_{n+1}, 3, 9) \cap \bigcup \mathcal{C}_n.$ Assume without loss of generality that

(17) $J' \cap A(x_{n+1}, 3, 9) \cap \bigcup \mathcal{C}_n = \emptyset.$

Let x_{n+2} be the endpoint of J' that belongs to $C(x_{n+1}, 9).$ Let \mathcal{C}_{n+1} be the chain in \mathfrak{T} from x_{n+1} to $x_{n+2}.$ Since \mathfrak{T} is a tree chain,

(18) each link of \mathcal{C}_{n+1} intersects $J'.$

Let \mathfrak{D}_{n+1} be the collection of links of \mathcal{C}_{n+1} that intersect $A(x_{n+1}, 4, 9).$

Since \mathfrak{T} is a tree chain, it follows from (17) and (18) that

(19) $(\bigcup \mathfrak{D}_{n+1}) \cap (\bigcup \mathcal{C}_n) = \emptyset.$

Furthermore,

(20) $(\bigcup \mathfrak{D}_{n+1}) \cap (\bigcup \bigcup \{\mathcal{C}_i : 0 \leq i < n\}) = \emptyset.$

To see this suppose an element L of \mathfrak{D}_{n+1} intersects $\bigcup \bigcup \{\mathcal{C}_i : 0 \leq i < n\}.$ Let \mathcal{E} be a chain in $\bigcup \{\mathcal{C}_i : 0 \leq i < n\}$ such that L intersects one end link of \mathcal{E} and x_n belongs to the other end link of $\mathcal{E}.$ Let \mathfrak{F} be the subchain of \mathcal{C}_{n+1} with the property that x_{n+1} belongs to one end link of \mathfrak{F} and the other end link of \mathfrak{F} is $L.$

By (14_n), links of \mathcal{E} intersect links of \mathcal{C}_n only in $B(x_n, 4).$ By (19), links of \mathfrak{F} intersect links of \mathcal{C}_n only in $B(x_{n+1}, 4).$ Therefore, since $B(x_n, 4)$ and $B(x_{n+1}, 4)$ are disjoint, there exists a circular chain in $\mathcal{C}_n \cup \mathcal{E} \cup \mathfrak{F}.$ This contradicts the fact that \mathfrak{T} is a tree chain. Hence (20) is true.

By (19) and (20), $\mathfrak{D}_{n+1} \setminus \bigcup \{\mathcal{C}_i : 0 \leq i \leq n\} \neq \emptyset.$ This completes the inductive step.

For each positive integer $m,$ there exist points x_m and x_{m+1} of M and subcollections \mathcal{C}_m and \mathfrak{D}_m of \mathfrak{T} that satisfy (11_m)–(15_m). Consequently \mathfrak{T} is infinite, and this contradicts the fact that \mathfrak{T} is a tree chain. Therefore M does not contain an arc.

COMMENTS. This argument can be modified to prove that no homogeneous tree-like continuum contains two continua with only one point in common. However the question of whether every homogeneous tree-like continuum is hereditarily indecomposable remains open.

A continuum is a solenoid if and only if it is homogeneous and all of its proper subcontinua are arcs [12]. No tree-like continuum contains a solenoid. Perhaps every homogeneous continuum that contains an arc contains a solenoid.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT. The author wishes to thank Mark Marsh, Jim Rogers, and Eldon Vought for several interesting conversations about homogeneous continua.

ADDENDUM. Recently Lewis [16] proved that if there is a homogeneous hereditarily indecomposable tree-like continuum that is not a pseudo-arc, then there is one with no arc-like subcontinua.

REFERENCES

1. R. H. Bing, *A homogeneous indecomposable plane continuum*, Duke Math. J. **15** (1948), 729–742.
2. _____, *Snake-like continua*, Duke Math. J. **18** (1951), 653–663.
3. _____, *Each homogeneous nondegenerate chainable continuum is a pseudo-arc*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **10** (1959), 345–346.
4. _____, *A simple closed curve is the only homogeneous bounded plane continuum that contains an arc*, Canad. J. Math. **12** (1960), 209–230.
5. _____, *The elusive fixed point property*, Amer. Math. Monthly **76** (1969), 119–132.
6. C. E. Burgess, *Chainable continua and indecomposability*, Pacific J. Math. **9** (1959), 653–659.
7. _____, *Homogeneous continua which are almost chainable*, Canad. J. Math. **13** (1961), 519–528.
8. _____, *Homogeneous 1-dimensional continua*, General Topology and Modern Analysis, Academic Press, New York, 1981, pp. 169–175.
9. E. G. Effros, *Transformation groups and C^* -algebras*, Ann. of Math. (2) **81** (1965), 38–55.
10. C. L. Hagopian, *Homogeneous plane continua*, Houston J. Math. **1** (1975), 35–41.
11. _____, *Indecomposable homogeneous plane continua are hereditarily indecomposable*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **224** (1976), 339–350.
12. _____, *A characterization of solenoids*, Pacific J. Math. **68** (1977), 425–435.
13. _____, *Atriodic homogeneous continua*, Pacific J. Math. (to appear).
14. _____, F. B. Jones, *Certain homogeneous unicoherent indecomposable continua*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **2** (1951), 855–859.
15. I. W. Lewis, *Almost chainable homogeneous continua are chainable*, Houston J. Math. **7** (1981), 373–377.
16. _____, *The pseudo-arc of pseudo-arcs is unique*, preprint.
17. R. L. Moore, *Concerning triodic continua in the plane*, Fund. Math. **13** (1929), 261–263.
18. J. T. Rogers, Jr., *Homogeneous, hereditarily indecomposable continua are tree-like*, preprint.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 95819