

## MAPPING THEOREMS ON MESOCOMPACT SPACES

KUO-SHIH KAO AND LI-SHENG WU

ABSTRACT. In this paper we prove two mapping theorems on mesocompact spaces:  
(1) Perfect mappings preserve mesocompactness; (2) Closed mappings preserve normal mesocompactness.

The main results of this paper are two mapping theorems on mesocompact spaces. Mesocompactness was defined in J. R. Boone [4] and studied by V. J. Mancuso [10] and J. R. Boone [4, 5]. Mancuso [10] intended to prove that perfect mappings preserve mesocompactness, but his proof was incorrect. J. R. Boone [5] noticed the error in Mancuso's proof but he gave a proof only for a special case (the domains of the mappings were assumed to be normal). Our Theorem 1 solves the Mancuso problem. Boone [6] studied  $k$ -quotient mappings and proved that  $k$ -quotient, closed mappings preserve normal mesocompactness. Our Theorem 2 improves the foregoing result by omitting the condition " $k$ -quotient" in the statement.

In this paper, normal spaces are assumed to be  $T_1$ , and all mappings are continuous and surjective. Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a collection of subsets of  $X$ , the union  $\cup \{U: U \in \mathcal{U}\}$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{U}^*$ . For any  $B \subset X$ , let  $(\mathcal{U})_B = \{U \in \mathcal{U}: U \cap B \neq \emptyset\}$  and  $(\mathcal{U})_{\{x\}}$  is replaced by  $(\mathcal{U})_x$ . For the meanings of concepts used without definition in this paper, see [8 and 9].

DEFINITION 1. A collection  $\mathcal{U}$  of subsets of  $X$  is called compact-finite, if for each compact subset  $K \subset X$ ,  $(\mathcal{U})_K$  is finite.

DEFINITION 2 [4]. A topological space  $X$  is called mesocompact if every open cover of the space has a compact-finite open refinement.

It is well known that

$$\text{paracompact} \rightarrow \text{mesocompact} \rightarrow \text{metacompact}$$

and none of the implications can be reversed.

DEFINITION 3. Let  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $\mathcal{V}$  be two collections of subsets of  $X$ , we say that  $\mathcal{U}$  is a compactwise  $W$ -refinement of  $\mathcal{V}$ , if  $\mathcal{U}^* = \mathcal{V}^*$  and for each compact subset  $K \subset X$ , the collection  $(\mathcal{U})_K$  is a partial refinement of some finite subcollection  $\mathcal{V}'$  of  $\mathcal{V}$ .

In the proofs of the following lemmas and Proposition 1, we use the techniques invented by Junnila [8 and 9].

---

Received by the editors September 13, 1982 and, in revised form, January 19, 1983.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 54D20, 54C10.

*Key words and phrases*. Paracompact, metacompact, isocompact, semiopen cover, directed cover, compact-covering mapping, pseudo-open mapping.

©1983 American Mathematical Society  
0002-9939/83 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

LEMMA 1. Let  $\{\mathcal{U}_n\}_{n \in N}$  be a sequence of open covers of  $X$  such that for each  $n \in N$ ,  $\mathcal{U}_{n+1}$  is a compactwise  $W$ -refinement of  $\mathcal{U}_n$ . Then  $\mathcal{U}_1$  has an open refinement  $\mathcal{V} = \bigcup_{n=2}^\infty \mathcal{V}_n$  such that each  $\mathcal{V}_n$  is a compact-finite collection.

PROOF. Because each  $\mathcal{U}_{n+1}$  is also a pointwise  $W$ -refinement of  $\mathcal{U}_n$ , by [8, Proposition 2.2],  $\mathcal{U}_1$  has an open refinement  $\mathcal{V} = \bigcup_{n=2}^\infty \mathcal{V}_n$ , such that for each  $n \in N$  and  $B \subset X$ , if  $(\mathcal{U}_{n+1})_B$  is a partial refinement of a subcollection  $\mathcal{U}'$  of  $\mathcal{U}_n$ , then  $|(\mathcal{V}_{n+1})_B| \leq |\mathcal{U}'|$ . Now for each compact subset  $K \subset X$ , there exists a finite subcollection  $\mathcal{U}'$  of  $\mathcal{U}_n$  such that  $(\mathcal{U}_{n+1})_K$  is a partial refinement of  $\mathcal{U}'$ , so  $|(\mathcal{V}_{n+1})_K| \leq |\mathcal{U}'| < \infty$ , that is,  $\mathcal{V}_n$  is compact-finite for each  $n \geq 2$ .

LEMMA 2. If an open cover of a topological space has a compact-finite semiopen refinement, then the cover has an open compactwise  $W$ -refinement.

PROOF. The proof is similar to the proof of [9, Lemma 1.2].

PROPOSITION 1. The following conditions are mutually equivalent for a topological space:

- (1) The space is mesocompact.
- (2) Every open cover of the space has a compact-finite semiopen refinement.
- (3) Every open cover of the space has an open compactwise  $W$ -refinement.
- (4) Every directed open cover of the space has a closure-preserving closed refinement which is refined by the collection consisting of all compact subsets.

PROOF. (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) is obvious. (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) follows from Lemma 2.

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). By Lemma 1, every open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $X$  has an open refinement  $\mathcal{W} = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty \mathcal{W}_n$ , each  $\mathcal{W}_n$  is compact-finite. For each  $n \in N$ , let  $R_n = \bigcup_{k=1}^n \mathcal{W}_k^*$ , then  $\mathcal{R} = \{R_n : n \in N\}$  is a directed open cover of  $X$ . Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be an open compactwise  $W$ -refinement of  $\mathcal{R}$ . Let  $F_0 = \emptyset$  and for each  $n \in N$ , let  $F_n = \{x \in X : \text{St}(x, \mathcal{P}) \subset R_n\}$ , note that each set  $F_n$  is closed. For each  $n \in N$ , let  $\mathcal{V}_n = \{W - F_{n-1} : W \in \mathcal{W}_n\}$ , it is easily seen that  $\mathcal{V} = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty \mathcal{V}_n$  is an open refinement of  $\mathcal{U}$  [8, Theorem 3.1, proof (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i)].

Let  $V \subset X$  be any compact subset. Because  $\mathcal{R}$  is directed, there exists an integer  $n(K)$  such that  $\text{St}(K, \mathcal{P}) \subset R_{n(K)}$ , that is  $K \subset F_{n(K)}$ . Then we have

$$|(\mathcal{V})_K| \leq \sum_{n=1}^\infty |(\mathcal{V}_n)_K| = \sum_{n=1}^{n(K)} |(\mathcal{V}_n)_K| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{n(K)} |(\mathcal{W}_n)_K| < \infty.$$

Therefore  $\mathcal{U}$  is compact-finite.

(1)  $\Rightarrow$  (4). Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be any directed open cover of  $X$ , then  $\mathcal{U}$  has a compact-finite open refinement  $\mathcal{V}$ . For each  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ , let  $F(U) = \{x \in X : \text{St}(x, \mathcal{V}) \subset U\}$ , then  $\mathcal{F} = \{F(U) : U \in \mathcal{U}\}$  is a closure-preserving closed refinement of  $\mathcal{U}$  [8, Lemma 2.3, proof (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i)]. Suppose  $K \subset X$  is a compact subset. Since  $\mathcal{U}$  is directed, there exists some  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ , such that  $\text{St}(K, \mathcal{V}) \subset U$ , that is  $K \subset F(U)$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{F}$  is refined by the collection consisting of all compact subsets.

(4)  $\Rightarrow$  (3). By [8, Theorem 3.1],  $X$  is metacompact. Suppose  $\mathcal{U}$  is any open cover of  $X$ , then  $\mathcal{U}$  has a point-finite open refinement  $\mathcal{V}$ . Let  $\mathcal{V}'$  be the collection consisting of

all finite unions of sets from  $\mathcal{V}$ . As a directed open cover of  $X$ ,  $\mathcal{V}$  has a closure-preserving closed refinement  $\mathcal{F}$  which is refined by the collection consisting of all compact subsets. For each  $x \in X$ , let  $W(x) = \bigcap (\mathcal{V})_x - \bigcup \{F \in \mathcal{F}: x \notin F\}$ .  $\mathcal{W} = \{W(x): x \in X\}$  is an open cover of  $X$ . For each  $F \in \mathcal{F}$ , let  $\mathcal{V}_F$  be the finite subcollection of  $\mathcal{V}$  such that  $F \subset \bigcup \mathcal{V}_F$ . Then  $(\mathcal{W})_F$  is a partial refinement of  $\mathcal{V}_F$  [8, Lemma 2.3, Proof (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii)].

Now for each compact subset  $K \subset X$ , there exists an  $F(K) \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $K \subset F(K)$ , so  $(\mathcal{W})_K$  is a partial refinement of a finite subcollection  $\mathcal{V}_{F(K)}$  of  $\mathcal{V}$ , that is,  $\mathcal{W}$  is an open compactwise  $W$ -refinement of  $\mathcal{V}$  as well as of  $\mathcal{W}$ .

**DEFINITION 4 (MICHAEL [12]).** A mapping  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is called compact-covering if, whenever  $K$  is a compact set in  $Y$ , there exists a compact set  $C$  in  $X$  such that  $f(C) = K$ .

**PROPOSITION 2.** *The image of a mesocompact space under a closed and compact-covering mapping is mesocompact.*

**PROOF.** Let  $\mathcal{V} = \{V_\beta\}_{\beta \in B}$  be a directed open cover of  $Y$ , then  $\mathcal{W} = \{f^{-1}(V_\beta)\}_{\beta \in B}$  is a directed open cover of  $X$ . By Proposition 1(4),  $\mathcal{W}$  has a closure-preserving closed refinement  $\mathcal{F} = \{F_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ , which is refined by the collection consisting of all compact subsets of  $X$ . Since  $f$  is closed and compact-covering,  $\{f(F_\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in A}$  is a closure-preserving closed refinement of  $\mathcal{V}$ , and is refined by the collection consisting of all compact subsets of  $Y$ . By Proposition 1(4),  $Y$  is mesocompact.

Since every perfect mapping is compact-covering (e.g. [13]), we obtain the following theorem.

**THEOREM 1.** *The image of a mesocompact space under a perfect mapping is mesocompact.*

**DEFINITION 5 (BACON [3]).** A topological space is called isocompact if every countably compact closed subset of  $X$  is compact.

E. Michael [11] proved that if  $X$  is a paracompact space and  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  a closed mapping, then  $f$  is also a compact-covering mapping. In his proof, the paracompactness is used only for turning a countably compact closed subset of a normal space to a compact one. We have the following lemma.

**LEMMA 3.** *A closed mapping  $f$  from a normal isocompact space  $X$  onto a space  $Y$  is also a compact-covering mapping.*

**THEOREM 2.** *The image of a normal mesocompact space under a closed mapping is normal mesocompact.*

**PROOF.** Let  $f$  be a closed mapping from a normal mesocompact space  $X$  onto a space  $Y$ . It is well known that  $Y$  is normal. Because mesocompact space is isocompact (Arens-Dugundji [1]), so by Lemma 3,  $f$  is also a compact-covering mapping. By Proposition 2,  $Y$  is mesocompact.

**REMARK.** Junnila [9] proved that the image of a paracompact space under a pseudo-open and compact mapping is metacompact in order to answer the question of Arhangel'skii [2] affirmatively. Junnila's proof for the paracompact case can easily be modified to cover the mesocompact case. On the other hand, the image of a

metacompact space under an open and compact mapping is not necessarily metacompact (Chaber [7]).

#### REFERENCES

1. R. Arens and J. Dugundji, *Remark on the concept of compactness*, Portugal. Math. **9** (1950), 141–143.
2. A. V. Arhangel'skii, *The intersection of topologies, and pseudo-open compact mappings*, Soviet Math. Dokl. **17** (1976), 160–163.
3. P. Bacon, *The compactness of countably compact spaces*, Pacific J. Math. **32** (1970), 587–592.
4. J. R. Boone, *Some characterizations of paracompactness in  $k$ -spaces*, Fund. Math. **72** (1971), 145–155.
5. \_\_\_\_\_, *A note on mesocompact and sequentially mesocompact spaces*, Pacific J. Math. **44** (1973), 69–74.
6. \_\_\_\_\_, *On  $k$ -quotient mappings*, Pacific J. Math. **51** (1974), 369–377.
7. J. Chaber, *Metacompactness and the class MOBI*, Fund. Math. **91** (1976), 211–217.
8. H. J. K. Junnila, *Metacompactness, paracompactness and interior-preserving open covers*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **249** (1979), 373–375.
9. \_\_\_\_\_, *Paracompactness, metacompactness and semi-open covers*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **73** (1979), 244–248.
10. V. J. Mancuso, *Mesocompactness and related properties*, Pacific J. Math. **33** (1970), 345–355.
11. E. Michael, *A note on closed maps and compact sets*, Israel J. Math. **2** (1964), 173–176.
12. \_\_\_\_\_,  *$\aleph_0$ -spaces*, J. Math. Mech. **15** (1966), 983–1002.
13. F. Siewicz and V. J. Mancuso, *Relations among certain mappings and conditions for their equivalence*, Topology Appl. **1** (1971), 33–41.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KIANGSU TEACHERS' COLLEGE, SUCHOW, KIANGSU, CHINA