

APPLICATIONS OF THE JOINT ANGULAR FIELD OF VALUES

GEORGE PHILLIP BARKER

ABSTRACT. Let A_1, \dots, A_m be $n \times n$ hermitian matrices and let \mathcal{H}_n be the real space of $n \times n$ hermitian matrices. If $\text{span}\{A_1, \dots, A_m\} = \mathcal{H}_n$, then the extreme rays of the joint angular field of values of $\{A_1, \dots, A_m\}$ are determined. Then this cone is used to give necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of hermitian matrices B_1, \dots, B_m such that $A_1 \otimes B_1 + \dots + A_m \otimes B_m$ preserves the cone of the positive semidefinite matrices where $A \otimes B$ is the dyad product $A \otimes B(H) = (\text{tr } BH)A$.

1. Introduction. Let V be a finite-dimensional real vector space of dimension n with an inner product $(\ , \)$. A subset $K \subset V$ is called a (convex) *cone* iff for all $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ and $x, y \in K$ we have $\alpha x + \beta y \in K$. We call K *closed* if it is a closed set in the natural topology of V . The cone K is *pointed* iff $K \cap (-K) = \{0\}$ (i.e., K contains no nonzero subspace) and *full* iff K has nonempty interior. In finite-dimensional spaces it is well known that K is full iff $K - K = V$. A *face* F of a cone K is a subcone such that $x, y - x \in K$ and $y \in F$ implies $x \in F$. The dimension of a face F , $\dim F$, is the dimension of the linear span of F . If $\dim F = 1$ we call F an extreme ray of K . If $S \subset K$, then $\phi(S)$ denotes the least face (with respect to inclusion) containing S . When $S = \{x\}$, we write simply $\phi(x)$, and if $\phi(x)$ is an extreme ray we call x an *extremal*.

With any cone K we associate two special cones (cf. [8, Chapter 7]). Set

$$K' = \{y \in V : (y, x) \geq 0, \forall x \in K\}.$$

Next if $\text{Hom}(V)$ denotes the vector space of linear mappings of V , then define

$$\Pi(K) = \{A \in \text{Hom}(V) : AK \subset K\}.$$

Let $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ denote the vector space of $n \times n$ matrices with complex entries and \mathbb{C}^n the space of column vectors. Denote by A^* or x^* the conjugate transpose of A or x . $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ is an inner product space under $(A, B) = \text{tr } B^*A$. If A and B are hermitian matrices, then (A, B) is real. Thus the set \mathcal{H}_n of hermitian matrices is a real inner product space. The set \mathcal{P}_n of positive semidefinite matrices is a closed pointed full cone in \mathcal{H}_n [1]. In fact, \mathcal{P}_n is self-dual; that is, $\mathcal{P}'_n = \mathcal{P}_n$, and the faces of \mathcal{P}_n are known [1, 3]. In particular, the extreme rays are the faces determined by rank one orthogonal projections. The identity matrix I is in the interior of $\mathcal{P}'_n = \mathcal{P}_n$ so that

$$\mathcal{B} = \{P \in \mathcal{P}_n : \text{tr } P = 1 = (I, P)\}$$

Received by the editors April 13, 1983 and, in revised form, September 28, 1983.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 15A57, 47D20, 52A20.

Key words and phrases. Numerical range, positive semidefinite matrices, linear transformations of hermitian matrices.

is a compact convex cross section of \mathcal{P}_n . That is, \mathcal{B} is a compact convex subset of \mathcal{P}_n and for every nonzero $P \in \mathcal{P}_n$ there is a unique $\alpha > 0$ such that $\alpha P \in \mathcal{B}$.

An as yet unsolved problem is to determine the set of all linear transformations of \mathcal{X}_n which leave \mathcal{P}_n invariant, that is, describe $\Pi(\mathcal{P}_n)$ (cf. [5 and 6]). Work on this problem led to an extension of the classical field of values for a single complex matrix to a kind of joint field of values for a set of complex matrices (cf. [2] and §3). In the application we shall take the matrices to be hermitian, but this is not necessary (cf. §2).

Recall that the classical (Hausdorff-Topelitz) field of values for $A \in M_n(\mathbf{C})$ is the set

$$W(A) = \{x^*Ax : x^*x = 1, x \in \mathbf{C}^n\}.$$

$W(A)$ is a compact convex subset of \mathbf{C} , and if A is hermitian then $W(A)$ is an interval on the real axis.

PROPOSITION 1. $W(A) = \{\text{tr } PA : P \in \mathcal{B}\}$.

PROOF. Since the trace is a continuous linear functional and \mathcal{B} is a compact set, then $\{\text{tr } PA : P \in \mathcal{B}\}$ is a compact convex set which contains $W(A)$.

On the other hand each $P \in \mathcal{B}$ is a convex combination of the extreme points of \mathcal{B} . Since \mathcal{B} is a cross section of \mathcal{P}_n determined by a positive linear functional, the extreme points of \mathcal{B} are the intersection of the extreme rays of \mathcal{P}_n with the affine hyperplane

$$\{H \in \mathcal{X}_n : (H, I) = 1\}.$$

If P is such an extreme point, then P is a nonnegative multiple of a rank one orthogonal projection, say $P = \alpha x x^*$ where $x^*x = 1$. But since $P \in \mathcal{B}$, then $1 = (P, I) = \alpha x^*x = \alpha$. Thus for any $Q \in \mathcal{B}$ we have

$$Q = \sum_j \alpha_j x_j x_j^*$$

where for all j , $x_j^*x_j = 1$, $\alpha_j \geq 0$, and $\sum \alpha_j = 1$. Thus for any $a \in M_n(\mathbf{C})$,

$$\text{tr } QA = \text{tr} \left[\left(\sum_j \alpha_j x_j x_j^* \right) A \right] = \sum_j \alpha_j x_j^* A x_j \in W(A).$$

The equality follows.

2. The joint angular field of values. Let A_1, \dots, A_m be $n \times n$ complex matrices. The set

$$\{(x^*A_1x, \dots, x^*A_mx) : x^*x = 1\}$$

is usually taken to be the joint spacial numerical range of the A_j [4, p. 137]. It is known [4, p. 138] that for $m \geq 2$ this set is generally not convex. In [4] it is asserted that for hermitian matrices the joint spacial numerical range is a convex subset of \mathbf{R}^n . Unfortunately, this remark is false as the example on p. 138 of [4] can be used to show. For if A, B are complex matrices with Toeplitz decompositions $A = H + iK$, $B = L + iM$ in which H, K, L, M are hermitian, then the convexity of the joint numerical range of H, K, L, M in \mathbf{R}^4 entails the convexity of the joint

numerical range of A and B in \mathbf{C}^2 . Direct numerical examples can also be given. Motivated by Proposition 1 we define the *joint field of values* of A_1, \dots, A_m to be

$$W(A_1, \dots, A_m) = \{(\text{tr } PA_1, \dots, \text{tr } PA_m) : P \in \mathcal{B}\}.$$

This set is a compact convex set and is the convex hull of the joint numerical range mentioned previously. It is also related to the k -numerical ranges of Fillmore and Williams [7].

In the remainder of the paper we shall be concerned with applications to hermitian matrices, so from this point we assume $A_1, \dots, A_m \in \mathcal{H}_n$. Thus $W(A_1, \dots, A_m) \subset \mathcal{R}^m$. Let $J(A_1, \dots, A_m)$ be the cone in \mathbf{R}^m generated by $W(A_1, \dots, A_m)$. When $0 \notin W(A_1, \dots, A_m)$ then (e.g. [9, Lemma 1]) the cone $J(A_1, \dots, A_m) = \{\alpha v : \alpha \geq 0, v \in W(A_1, \dots, A_m)\}$ is closed. We call $J(A_1, \dots, A_m)$ the *joint angular field of values* of A_1, \dots, A_m . In [2] this set was defined using a different cross section \mathcal{B}_1 of \mathcal{P}_n . However, since \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{B}_1 are both cross sections of \mathcal{P}_n , it is easy to check that they give rise to the same angular field of values.

NOTATION. Let $A_1, \dots, A_m \in \mathcal{H}_n$. When the matrices are clear from the context, we shall write J for $J(A_1, \dots, A_m)$, W for $W(A_1, \dots, A_m)$, and let $S = \text{span}\{A_1, \dots, A_m\}$.

From [2] we have the following result.

THEOREM 2. *Let $A_1, \dots, A_m \in \mathcal{H}_n$ with $0 \notin W$. The extreme rays of J are among the rays determined by the points $(x^*A_1x, \dots, x^*A_mx)$ where $x^*x = 1, x \in \mathbf{C}^n$. Furthermore, if $\{A_1, \dots, A_m\}$ spans \mathcal{H}_n , then J is pointed; J is full iff $\{A_1, \dots, A_m\}$ is linearly independent.*

In order to discuss the extreme rays of J we want them “all to be there”, that is, we want J to be closed. The hypothesis $0 \notin W$ in Theorem 2 will insure this. This condition will also occur in Theorem 3. However, the closure of J is not needed for Theorem 6 of [2] (which is restated below as Theorem 4), and so it is not assumed in Theorem 5 either. We can refine somewhat our knowledge of the extremal structure of the angular field of values. For convenience we take $m > 1$ and $n > 1$.

THEOREM 3. *Let $m > 1, n > 1$ and $A_1, \dots, A_m \in \mathcal{H}_n$. Then $0 \notin W$ iff $S \cap \text{int } \mathcal{P}_n \neq \emptyset$. Furthermore, if $S = \mathcal{H}_n$, then every vector of the form $(\text{tr } PA_1, \dots, \text{tr } PA_m)$, where $P \in \mathcal{P}_n$ is of rank one, is an extremal of J .*

PROOF. Let L be a subspace of \mathbf{R}^m and let K be a closed pointed full cone in \mathbf{R}^m . An easy extension of the Gordan-Stiemke theorem (cf. [8]) asserts that $L \cap \text{int } K \neq \emptyset$ iff $L^\perp \cap K' = \{0\}$. If we take $K = \mathcal{P}_n$ which is self-dual and $L = S$, then we have

$$S \cap \text{int } \mathcal{P}_n \neq \emptyset \quad \text{iff} \quad S^\perp \cap \mathcal{P}_n = \{0\}.$$

If $0 \notin W$, then clearly $S^\perp \cap \mathcal{P}_n = \{0\}$. Conversely, if $S \cap \text{int } \mathcal{P}_n \neq \emptyset$, then for some real scalars $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$ we have $\sum \alpha_j A_j \in \text{int } \mathcal{P}_n$. But if $0 \in W$, then for some $P \in \mathcal{P}_n, P \neq 0$, we have $(\text{tr } PA_1, \dots, \text{tr } PA_m) = 0$. But then

$$\sum \alpha_j \text{tr } PA_j = \left\langle P, \sum \alpha_j A_j \right\rangle = 0,$$

which cannot happen since $\sum \alpha_j A_j$ is positive definite.

Now suppose $S = \mathcal{K}_n$. From Theorem 2 we know that every extremal is of the desired form, so it suffices to show that every vector of the form $z = (\text{tr } PA_1, \dots, \text{tr } PA_m)$ with $\text{rank } P = 1$ is an extremal. Suppose for some z as above there exist $P_1, P_2 \in \mathcal{P}_n$ with $z_j = (\text{tr } P_j A_1, \dots, \text{tr } P_j A_m)$ and $z = z_1 + z_2$. But then $P - (P_1 + P_2) \in S^\perp = \{0\}$, so that $P = P_1 + P_2$. Since P is an extremal of \mathcal{P}_n , we have $P_j = \alpha_j P$, $j = 1, 2$, where $\alpha_j \geq 0$. Thus z must be an extremal of J .

EXAMPLE. Let

$$A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then $J(A_1, A_2) = \{(x_1, x_2) : x_j \geq 0\}$. Each extremal of J is of the appropriate form, but $\text{span}\{A_1, A_2\} \neq \mathcal{K}_2$.

3. Applications to $\Pi(\mathcal{P}_n)$. Recall from the introduction that $\Pi(\mathcal{P}_n)$ is the set of all (real) linear transformation T of \mathcal{K}_n such that $T(\mathcal{P}_n) \subset \mathcal{P}_n$. Following [2 and 8] we can represent each $T \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{K}_n)$ by a tensor product. Specifically, we use $H \otimes K$ to denote the dyad rather than the Kronecker product, so that for $H, K, P \in \mathcal{K}_n$ we have $H \otimes K(P) = (\text{tr } KP)H$. Therefore for each $T \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{K}_n)$, there are $H_1, \dots, H_m, K_1, \dots, K_m \in \mathcal{K}_n$ such that $T = \sum_j H_j \otimes K_j$, and $T \in \Pi(\mathcal{P}_n)$ iff for all $P \in \mathcal{P}_n$

$$T(P) = \sum_j (\text{tr } K_j P) H_j \in \mathcal{P}_n.$$

We state from [2] the connection with the joint angular field of values.

THEOREM 4. Let $H_1, \dots, H_m, K_1, \dots, K_m \in \mathcal{K}_n$. Then

$$T = \sum H_j \otimes K_j \in \Pi(\mathcal{P}_n) \quad \text{iff} \quad J(K_1, \dots, K_m) \subset J(H_1, \dots, H_m)'.$$

If $T = \sum H_j \otimes K_j$, we may renumber the matrices so that $\{H_1, \dots, H_t\}$ is a maximal linearly independent subset of $\{H_1, \dots, H_m\}$. Then we can represent T as

$$T = \sum_{j=1}^t H_j \otimes L_j$$

where the L_j are obvious real linear combinations of the K_i which are also hermitian matrices. Thus it is reasonable to restrict ourselves to linearly independent sets of hermitian matrices. Recall that $S = \text{span}\{A_1, \dots, A_m\}$.

THEOREM 5. Let $m > 1, n > 1$ and let A_1, \dots, A_m be linearly independent elements of \mathcal{K}_n . Then there are $B_1, \dots, B_m \in \mathcal{K}_n$ not all zero such that

$$T = \sum A_j \otimes B_j \in \Pi(\mathcal{P}_n) \quad \text{iff} \quad S \cap \mathcal{P}_n \neq \{0\}.$$

LEMMA. Let $A_1, \dots, A_m \in \mathcal{K}_n$. If $J(A_1, \dots, A_m)' = \{0\}$, then $S \cap \mathcal{P}_n = \{0\}$. If A_1, \dots, A_m are linearly independent and $S \cap \mathcal{P}_n = \{0\}$, then $J(A_1, \dots, A_m)' = \{0\}$.

DISCUSSION. For $A_1, \dots, A_m \in \mathcal{K}_n$ with the corresponding S, W, J and J' , note that $J' = \{0\}$ iff the closure of J is \mathbf{R}^m . Since J is a convex set in a finite-dimensional space, this is equivalent to $J = \mathbf{R}^m$, which is equivalent to $0 \in \text{int } W$.

Thus the hypotheses of the Lemma are not exactly the same as those of Theorem 3 where we are concerned with $S \cap \text{int } \mathcal{P}_n$.

PROOF.

$$\begin{aligned} (y_1, \dots, y_m) \in J(A_1, \dots, A_m)' &\Leftrightarrow y_1 \text{tr } PA_1 + \dots + y_m \text{tr } PA_m \geq 0 \quad \forall P \in \mathcal{P}_n \\ &\Leftrightarrow \text{tr } [P(y_1 A_1 + \dots + y_m A_m)] \geq 0 \quad \forall P \in \mathcal{P}_n \\ &\Leftrightarrow y_1 A_1 + \dots + y_m A_m \in S \cap \mathcal{P}_n. \end{aligned}$$

Thus if $S \cap \mathcal{P}_n \neq \{0\}$, then $J(A_1, \dots, A_m)' \neq \{0\}$. On the other hand, if $S \cap \mathcal{P}_n = \{0\}$ and A_1, \dots, A_m are linearly independent, then $y_1 A_1 + \dots + y_m A_m \in \mathcal{P}_n$ implies $y_1 = \dots = y_m = 0$, so that $J(A_1, \dots, A_m)' = \{0\}$.

PROOF OF THEOREM 5. If there are B_1, \dots, B_m not all zero such that $\sum A_j \otimes B_j \in \Pi(\mathcal{P}_n)$, then $J(B_1, \dots, B_m) \neq \{0\}$, so by Theorem 4 $J(A_1, \dots, A_m)' \neq \{0\}$. Thus from the Lemma $S \cap \mathcal{P}_n \neq \{0\}$.

Conversely, suppose $\sum y_j A_j \in S \cap \mathcal{P}_n$, $\sum y_j A_j \neq 0$. Then not all the y_j are zero. Let $B_j = y_j I$. For any $P \in \mathcal{B}$ we have $\text{tr } P = 1$, so that

$$\sum A_j \otimes B_j(P) = \sum y_j A_j \in \mathcal{P}_n.$$

Since every nonzero element of \mathcal{P}_n is a positive multiple of some $P \in \mathcal{B}$, the result follows.

REFERENCES

1. G. P. Barker and D. Carlson, *Cones of diagonally dominant matrices*, Pacific J. Math. **57** (1975), 15-31.
2. G. P. Barker, R. Hill and R. D. Haertel, *On the completely positive and positive semidefinite preserving cones*, Linear Algebra Appl. **56** (1984), 221-229.
3. A. Berman and A. Ben-Israel, *More on linear inequalities with applications to matrix theory*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **33** (1971), 482-496.
4. F. F. Bonsall and J. Duncan, *Numerical ranges*. II, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1973.
5. M. D. Choi, *Completely positive linear maps on complex matrices*, Linear Algebra Appl. **10** (1975), 285-290.
6. J. de Pillis, *Linear transformations which preserve hermitian and positive semidefinite operators*, Pacific J. Math. **23** (1967), 129-137.
7. P. A. Fillmore and J. P. Williams, *Some convexity theorems for matrices*, Glasgow Math. J. **12** (1971), 110-117.
8. Ju. M. Glazman and Ju. I. Ljubic, *Finite dimensional linear analysis*, M.I.T. Press, Cambridge, Mass., 1974.
9. B.-S. Tam, *Some results of polyhedral cones and simplicial cones*, Linear and Multilinear Algebra, **4** (1977), 281-284.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI, KANSAS CITY, MISSOURI 64110