## A COEFFICIENT PROBLEM OF BOMBIERI CONCERNING UNIVALENT FUNCTIONS

## DAOUD BSHOUTY

ABSTRACT. We answer affirmatively a question raised by Bombieri concerning the behaviour of the coefficients of normalized univalent functions near the Koebe function.

1. Introduction. Let S denote the class of functions  $f(z) = z + a_2 z^2 + \cdots$ , regular and univalent in  $\{z: |z| < 1\}$ . Bombieri [1] has shown that there exist constants  $c_n$  such that

(A) 
$$|\operatorname{Re}(a_n - n)| \leq c_n \operatorname{Re}(2 - a_2).$$

In [2, Problem 6.3] he posed the question whether there exist constants  $d_n$  such that

$$||a_n| - n| \le d_n(2 - |a_2|)$$

This question is answered affirmatively and, in fact, we prove the following version:

(B) 
$$||a_n| - n| \le d_n(\operatorname{Re}(2 - a_2)).$$

The equivalence of the last two inequalities is easily shown by noting that if f(z) is in S, then the rotation  $f_{\varphi}$  is in S, where

$$f_{\varphi}(z)=e^{-iarphi}f(e^{iarphi}z)=z+e^{iarphi}a_2z^2+e^{2iarphi}a_3z^3+\cdots.$$

Inequalities (A) and (B) are equivalent to the following inequalities:

(Aa) 
$$\operatorname{Re} a_n > n - c_n(\operatorname{Re}(2 - a_2)),$$

(Ab) 
$$\operatorname{Re} a_n < n + c_n(\operatorname{Re}(2 - a_2)),$$

(Ba) 
$$|a_n| > n - d_n(\operatorname{Re}(2 - a_2)),$$

(Bb) 
$$|a_n| < n + d_n(\operatorname{Re}(2 - a_2)).$$

These inequalities are interrelated. (Aa) implies (Ba) and (Bb) implies (Ab). (Aa) describes how small Re  $a_n$  can be for functions close to the Koebe function, a result of which is of independent interest. (Ab) is less significant since the stronger local Bierberbach conjecture Re  $a_n < n$  is known to be true for functions close to the Koebe function. As to (Bb), it is more significant, since the corresponding conjecture, namely  $|a_n| < n$  for functions close to the Koebe function, is still unsolved. In fact, Bombieri himself considers this conjecture to be still open (private communication). However, in [4, p. 83], there is an incorrect related statement, as the author claims that by a small rotation of the unit disk one may replace Re  $a_n$  by  $|a_n|$  in the local Bierberbach conjecture. This is certainly false. Indeed, the strongest result of Bombieri stated in [5, p. 26] claims:

Received by the editors April 6, 1983.

<sup>1980</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 30C50.

<sup>©1984</sup> American Mathematical Society 0002-9939/84 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

There are constants  $\alpha_n$  and  $\delta_n$  such that

(C) 
$$\operatorname{Re} a_n < n - \alpha_n (2 - \operatorname{Re} a_2)$$
 if  $n$  even,  $|2 - a_2| < \delta_n$ .

If we apply this to a rotation we get

$$|a_n| < n - \alpha_n |2 - \operatorname{Re} a_2 e^{i(\theta + 2j\pi)/(n-1)}| \quad \text{if $n$ even, $|2 - a_2 e^{i(\theta + 2j\pi)(n-1)}| < \delta_n$,}$$

where  $\theta = -\arg a_n$ , *j* natural. In particular,

$$|a_n| < n - lpha_n (2 - |a_2|) ext{ if } n ext{ even}, \ |2 - a_2 e^{i( heta + 2j\pi)/(n-1)}| < \delta_n.$$

Noting that  $\theta$  depends on the function itself, the class of univalent functions for which

$$|2-a_2e^{i( heta+2\pi j)/(n-1)}|<\delta_n, \hspace{1em} ext{for some natural} \hspace{1em} j,$$

is only a subset of the class of univalent functions for which  $|2 - |a_2|| < \delta_n$ . This is why (Bb) is not implied by (C) applied to a rotation of the function.

In our proof of inequality (B), we will not find explicit bounds for  $d_n$ , although estimates could be obtained from the argument.

**2. Main lemma.** Our approach is a straightforward application of the Löwner differential equation theory. Let T be the set of slit mappings  $f(z) = z + a_2 z^2 + \cdots$ , which can be imbedded in a Löwner chain  $f(z,t) = e^t z + a_2(t)z^2 + \cdots$  in the sense that

(1) 
$$f(z) \equiv f(z,0),$$

where f(z,t) satisfies

(2) 
$$\frac{\partial f(z,t)}{\partial t} = z \frac{\partial f(z,t)}{\partial z} \cdot \frac{1+k(t)z}{1-k(t)z} \quad \text{in } |z| < 1, \ t > 0,$$

and k(t) is a continuous function satisfying |k(t)| = 1. In the topology of uniform convergence on closed subsets of |z| < 1, T is dense in S. Since  $a_j$  is a continuous functional on S, it is enough to prove coefficient inequalities such as (A) and (B) for the class T. Often we will make use of the well-known recursion formulae for  $a_n(t)$  (see, for example, [5, Chapter 6]). We also note the special case  $k(t) \equiv -1$ which gives rise to the chain  $f(z,t) = e^t z/(1-z)^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} ne^t z^n$ .

Our aim is to estimate  $a_n(t)$ . We write

$$k(s)=-e^{i heta(s)}, \qquad -\pi< heta(s)\leq\pi, \quad heta(s) ext{ piecewise continuous}$$

Then

(3)  

$$\operatorname{Re}(2e^{t} - a_{2}(t)) = 2e^{2t} \int_{t}^{\infty} (1 - \operatorname{Cos} \theta(s))e^{-s} ds$$

$$= 4e^{2t} \int_{t}^{\infty} \operatorname{Sin}^{2} \frac{\theta(s)}{2} \cdot e^{-s} ds \leq e^{2t} \int_{t}^{\infty} \theta^{2}(s)e^{-s} ds$$

and

(4)  
$$|\operatorname{Im} a_{2}(t)| = \left| 2e^{2t} \int_{t}^{\infty} \operatorname{Sin} \theta(s) \cdot e^{-s} \, ds \right| \\ \leq 2e^{2t} \int_{t}^{\infty} |\theta(s)| e^{-s/2} \cdot e^{-s/2} \, ds \leq 2e^{3t/2} \left( \int_{t}^{\infty} \theta^{2}(s) e^{-s} \, ds \right)^{1/2}$$

by the Schwarz inequality.

We shall deduce

LEMMA. Let  $n \geq 2$ . There exist constants  $\alpha_n$  and  $\beta_n$  such that

(5)  
$$|\operatorname{Re} a_{n}(t) - ne^{t}| \leq \alpha_{n} e^{2t} \int_{t}^{\infty} \theta^{2}(s) e^{-s} ds,$$
$$|\operatorname{Im} a_{n}(t)| \leq \beta_{n} e^{3t/2} \left( \int_{t}^{\infty} \theta^{2}(s) e^{-s} ds \right)^{1/2}$$

PROOF. This is certainly true for n = 2 with  $\alpha_2 = 1$  and  $\beta_2 = 2$  by (3) and (4). We proceed by induction. We assume these inequalities are true for  $n = 2, 3, \ldots, m-1$ . Now

$$a_m(t) = -2e^{mt} \sum_{\nu=1}^{m-1} \nu \int_t^\infty e^{-ms} k_1(s)^{m-\nu} \cdot a_\nu(s) \, ds$$

and

$$me^{t} = -2e^{mt} \sum_{\nu=1}^{m-1} \nu \int_{t}^{\infty} e^{-ms} (-1)^{m-\nu} \cdot \nu e^{s} \, ds$$

To estimate the required quantities we first note the following:

$$k(s)^{m-\nu}a_{\nu}(s) - (-1)^{m-\nu}\nu e^{s} = (k(s)^{m-\nu} - (-1)^{m-\nu})a_{\nu}(s) + (-1)^{m-\nu}(a_{\nu}(s) - \nu e^{s}),$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}(k(s)^{m-\nu}a_{\nu}(s)-(-1)^{m-\nu}\nu e^{s}) \\ &= (-1)^{m-\nu} \cdot \left( (\operatorname{Re}e^{i(m-\nu)\theta(s)}-1) \cdot \operatorname{Re}a_{\nu}(s) \\ &- \operatorname{Im}e^{i(m-\nu)\theta(s)} \cdot \operatorname{Im}a_{\nu}(s) + (\operatorname{Re}a_{\nu}(s)-\nu e^{s}) \right), \end{aligned}$$

and thus, using (3), (4) and the induction assumption, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\operatorname{Re}(k(s)^{m-\nu}a_{\nu}(s)-(-1)^{m-\nu}\nu e^{s})| \\ &\leq \frac{(m-\nu)^{2}}{4} \cdot \theta^{2}(s) \cdot \left(\nu e^{s}+\alpha_{\nu}e^{2s}\int_{s}^{\infty}\theta^{2}(\sigma)e^{-\sigma}\,d\sigma\right) \\ &+(m-\nu)|\theta(s)|\beta_{\nu}e^{3s/2}\left(\int_{s}^{\infty}\theta^{2}(\sigma)e^{-\sigma}\,d\sigma\right)^{1/2}+\alpha_{\nu}e^{2s}\int_{s}^{\infty}\theta^{2}(\sigma)e^{-\sigma}\,d\sigma \\ &\leq m^{3} \cdot e^{2s}\left\{\left[(1+\theta^{2}(s))\alpha_{\nu}\int_{s}^{\infty}\theta^{2}(\sigma)e^{-\sigma}\,d\sigma+\theta^{2}(s)e^{-s}\right] \\ &+|\theta(s)|\beta_{\nu}e^{-s/2}\left(\int_{s}^{\infty}\theta^{2}(\sigma)e^{-\sigma}\,d\sigma\right)^{1/2}\right\}.\end{aligned}$$

Also note that

$$\operatorname{Im}(k(s)^{m-\nu}a_{\nu}(s)) = \operatorname{Re} k(s)^{m-\nu} \cdot \operatorname{Im} a_{\nu}(s) + \operatorname{Im} k(s)^{m-\nu} \cdot \operatorname{Re} a_{\nu}(s).$$

•

Thus, using the same arguments, we deduce

$$\begin{split} |\mathrm{Im}(k(s)^{m-\nu} \cdot a_{\nu}(s))| \\ &\leq (1+m^2 \cdot \theta^2(s))\beta_{\nu}e^{3s/2} \left(\int_s^{\infty} \theta^2(\sigma)e^{-\sigma}\,d\sigma\right)^{1/2} \\ &+ m|\theta(s)| \left(\nu e^s + \alpha_{\nu}e^{2s}\int_s^{\infty} \theta^2(\sigma)e^{-\sigma}\,d\sigma\right) \\ &\leq m^2 \cdot e^{3s/2} \left\{ (1+\theta^2(s))\beta_{\nu} \left(\int_s^{\infty} \theta^2(\sigma)e^{-\sigma}\,d\sigma\right)^{1/2} \\ &+ |\theta(s)|e^{-s/2} \left(\nu + \alpha_{\nu}e^s\int_{\sigma}^{\infty} \theta^2(\sigma)e^{-\sigma}\,d\sigma\right) \right\}. \end{split}$$

We conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} |\operatorname{Re} a_m(t) - me^t| &\leq 2m^3 e^{mt} \sum_{\nu=1}^{m-1} \nu \int_t^\infty e^{(-m+2)s} \\ &\cdot \left\{ \left[ (1+\theta^2(s))\alpha_\nu \int_s^\infty \theta^2(\sigma)e^{-\alpha} \, d\sigma + \theta^2(s)e^{-s} \right] \right. \\ &+ |\theta(s)|e^{-s/2}\beta_\nu \left( \int_s^\infty \theta^2(\sigma)e^{-\sigma} \, d\sigma \right)^{1/2} \right\} \, ds \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathrm{Im}\,a_m(t)| &\leq 2m^2 e^{mt} \sum_{\nu=1}^{m-1} \nu \int_t^\infty e^{(-m+3/2)s} \\ &\cdot \left[ (1+\theta^2(s))\beta_\nu \left( \int_s^\infty \theta^2(\sigma) e^{-\sigma} \, d\sigma \right)^{1/2} \right. \\ &\left. + |\theta(s)| e^{-s/2} \left( \nu + \alpha_\nu e^s \int_s^\infty \theta^2(\sigma) e^{-\sigma} \, d\sigma \right) \right] \, ds. \end{aligned}$$

The integrals involved in the first inequality are of the form

$$\int_t^\infty e^{(-m+2)s} \gamma_\nu(\theta) \left( \int_s^\infty \theta^2(\sigma) e^{-\sigma} \, d\sigma \right) \, ds, \qquad \int_t^\infty e^{(-m+2)s} \theta^2(s) e^{-s} \, ds$$

and

$$\int_t^\infty e^{(-m+2)s} |\theta(s)| e^{-s/2} \left( \int_s^\infty \theta^2(\sigma) e^{-\sigma} \, d\sigma \right)^{1/2} \, ds,$$

where  $\gamma_{\nu}(\theta) = (1 + \theta^2(s))\alpha_{\nu}$  for  $1 \leq \nu \leq m - 1$ , all of which are bounded by

$$c_{\nu}e^{(-m+2)t}\int_t^{\infty}\theta^2(s)e^{-s}\,ds$$

(here and henceforth, the symbol  $c_{\nu}$  refers to indefinite constants depending on  $\nu$ ). This is easily verified. In the first case note that  $\gamma_{\nu}(\theta) \leq c_{\nu}$  and  $m \geq 3$ , so

$$\int_{t}^{\infty} e^{(-m+2)s} \gamma_{\nu} \left( \int_{s}^{\infty} \theta^{2}(\sigma) e^{-\sigma} d\sigma \right) ds$$
  
$$\leq c_{\nu} \int_{t}^{\infty} e^{(-m+2)s} \left( \int_{t}^{\infty} \theta^{2}(\sigma) e^{-\sigma} d\sigma \right) ds$$
  
$$\leq c_{\nu} e^{(-m+2)t} \int_{t}^{\infty} \theta^{2}(\sigma) e^{-\sigma} d\sigma.$$

In the second case note that  $e^{(-m+2)s} \leq e^{(-m+2)t}$  for  $s \geq t \geq 0$ , whereas in the third case

$$\begin{split} \int_t^\infty e^{(-m+2)s} |\theta(s)| e^{-s/2} \left( \int_s^\infty \theta^2(\sigma) e^{-\sigma} \, d\sigma \right)^{1/2} \, ds \\ &\leq \left( \int_t^\infty \theta^2(\sigma) e^{-\sigma} \, d\sigma \right)^{1/2} \int_t^\infty e^{(-m+2)s} |\theta(s)| e^{-s/2} \, ds \\ &\leq e^{(-m+2)t} \int_t^\infty \theta^2(\sigma) e^{-\sigma} \, d\sigma. \end{split}$$

Note in the last step the Schwarz inequality has been applied to the second factor. The integrals involved in the second inequality are of the form

$$\int_t^\infty e^{(-m+3/2)s} \delta_\nu(\theta) \left(\int_s^\infty \theta^2(\sigma) e^{-\sigma} \, d\sigma\right)^{1/2} \, ds$$

and

$$\int_t^\infty e^{(-m+3/2)s} |\theta(s)| e^{-s/2} \eta_\nu(s) \, ds$$

where

 $\delta_
u( heta) = (1+ heta^2(s))eta_
u \leq c_
u$ 

and

$$\eta_{\nu}(s) = eta_2 \left( 
u + lpha_{
u} e^s \int_s^\infty heta^2(\sigma) e^{-\sigma} \, d\sigma 
ight)^{1/2} \le c_{
u},$$

since  $\theta^2(s) = O(\varepsilon)$ . Both integrals are bounded by

$$c_{\nu}e^{(-m+3/2)t}\left(\int_t^{\infty}\theta^2(s)\theta^{-s}\,ds\right)^{1/2}.$$

To check this for the first integral, just increase its value by integrating inside from t instead of from s. In the second integral use the Schwarz inequality.

Substituting these estimates in the corresponding inequalities, we obtain the conclusion of the Lemma.

**3.** Conclusion. We are ready now for our final result:

THEOREM. There exist constants  $b_n$ ,  $c_n$ ,  $d_n$  such that for  $n \ge 2$ ,

- (6)  $|a_n n| \le b_n (\operatorname{Re}(2 a_2))^{1/2},$
- (7)  $|\operatorname{Re} a_n n| \leq c_n(\operatorname{Re}(2 a_2)),$

DAOUD BSHOUTY

(8) 
$$||a_n| - n| \le d_n(\operatorname{Re}(2 - a_2)).$$

**PROOF.** From (1), we have  $a_n = a_n(0)$ , so

(9)  
$$\operatorname{Re}(2-a_{2}) = 2 \int_{0}^{\infty} (1 - \cos \theta(s)) e^{-s} ds$$
$$= 4 \int_{0}^{\infty} \operatorname{Sin}^{2} \frac{\theta(s)}{2} \cdot e^{-s} ds \ge \frac{4}{\pi^{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \theta^{2}(s) e^{-s} ds$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} |a_n - n| &\leq |\operatorname{Re} a_n - n| + |\operatorname{Im} a_n| \\ &\leq \alpha_n \int_0^\infty \theta^2(s) e^{-s} \, ds + \beta_n \left( \int_0^\infty \theta^2(s) e^{-s} \, ds \right)^{1/2} \quad \text{by (5),} \\ &\leq (\alpha_n \pi + \beta_n) \cdot \left( \int_0^\infty \theta^2(s) e^{-s} \, ds \right)^{1/2} \quad \text{since } \int_0^\infty \theta^2(s) e^{-s} \, ds \leq \pi^2, \end{aligned}$$

which, in conjunction with (9), proves (6). Inequality (7) is now immediate from (5). Inequality (8) is a consequence of the following inequality.

$$||a_n| - n| = \frac{1}{|a_n| + n} \{ (\operatorname{Re} a_n + n) (\operatorname{Re} a_n - n) + (\operatorname{Im} a_n)^2 \} \\ \leq |\operatorname{Re} a_n - n| + (\operatorname{Im} a_n)^2.$$

Then (6) and (7) imply (8) and the Theorem is proved.

REMARK 1. It can be shown that the power 1/2 in the Theorem cannot be relaxed. For starlike functions the exact power is 1. In this case the exact value for  $b_n$  is known [3].

## REFERENCES

- 1. E. Bombieri, Sull'integrazione approssimata dell'equazione differentiale di Löwner e le sue applicazioni alla teoria delle funzioni univalenti, Thesis, Milano, 1963.
- 2. W. K. Hayman, Research problems in function theory, Athlone Press, Belfast, 1967.
- 3. J. A. Hummel, The coefficients of starlike functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 22 (1969), 311-315.
- I. M. Milin, Univalent functions and orthonormal systems, Transl. Math. Mono., Vol. 49, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1977.
- 5. Chr. Pommerenke, Univalent functions, Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, Göttingen, 1975.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TECHNION-ISRAEL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, HAIFA, ISRAEL

388