INDECOMPOSABILITY OF IDEALS IN GROUP RINGS

M. M. PARMENTER¹

ABSTRACT. Let H be a subgroup of G and let I be the (two-sided) ideal of $\mathbb{Z}G$ generated by $\omega(\mathbb{Z}H)$. In this note, we show that I is indecomposable as an ideal in $\mathbb{Z}G$. This extends a result of Linnell [1] and simplifies his argument somewhat.

If H is a group, we will denote the augmentation ideal of the integral group ring $\mathbf{Z}H$ by $\omega(\mathbf{Z}H)$. In this very brief note, we prove the following result.

THEOREM. Let H be a subgroup of G and let I be the (two-sided) ideal of $\mathbb{Z}G$ generated by $\omega(\mathbb{Z}H)$. Then I is indecomposable as an ideal in $\mathbb{Z}G$.

The case where H is a normal subgroup of G was recently proved by Linnell [1]. Our argument is somewhat simpler and, of course, extends to arbitrary subgroups.

PROOF OF THEOREM. Suppose $I=P\oplus Q$ is a decomposition as an ideal of ${\bf Z}G$.

First consider the case where H is a torsion subgroup of G and let $h \in H$. Then h-1=p+q where $p \in P$, $q \in Q$ and pq=qp=0.

Hence, for some k, $(1 + p + q)^k = h^k = 1$.

Thus, $k(p+q)+\binom{k}{2}(p^2+q^2)+\cdots+(p^k+q^k)=0$. Since $P\cap Q=0$, we conclude that $(1+p)^k=(1+q)^k=1$. Therefore, 1+p and 1+q are units of finite order in $\mathbb{Z}G$. Since (1+p)+(1+q)=1+h, either 1+p or 1+q must have a nonzero identity coefficient. By [3, Corollary 2.1.3] and the fact that p and q are contained in $\omega(\mathbb{Z}G)$, we conclude that either 1+p=1 or 1+q=1. Hence $h-1\in P$ or $h-1\in Q$. Because $(h_1-1)(h_2-1)\neq 0$ if $h_1\neq h_2$ and $h_1,h_2\neq 1$, we conclude that I=P or I=Q, and we are done.

When H is not torsion, we copy part of the argument in [1]. Let F be the torsion subgroup of the finite conjugate subgroup of G and let $\pi\colon \mathbf{Z}G\to\mathbf{Z}F$ be the natural projection. Since $H\not\subseteq F$, we have $\pi(I)=\mathbf{Z}F$. By [2, Theorems 4.2.12 and 4.3.16], we conclude that $\mathbf{Z}F=\pi(I)=\pi(P)\oplus\pi(Q)$ with $\pi(P)\neq 0\neq \pi(Q)$. However, this contradicts the fact that $\mathbf{Z}F$ is indecomposable [2].

REFERENCES

- P. A. Linnell, Indecomposability of the augmentation ideal as a two-sided ideal, J. Algebra 82 (1983), 328-330.
- 2. D. S. Passman, The algebraic structure of group rings, Interscience, New York, 1977.
- 3. S. K. Sehgal, Topics in group rings, Dekker, New York, 1978.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MEMORIAL UNIVERSITY OF NEWFOUNDLAND, ST. JOHN'S, NEWFOUNDLAND A1B 3X7, CANADA

Received by the editors October 19, 1983.

¹⁹⁸⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 16A26.

¹This work supported in part by NSERC Grant A-8775.