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#### Abstract

In this paper we give a simpler proof of an extension theorem for completely bounded maps defined on subspaces of $C^{*}$-algebras, a new proof of a theorem of Wittstock [8, Satz 4.5], and a series of propositions by extending the techniques of $[\mathbf{7}]$ to work in the case of a $C$-bimodule action on the $C^{*}$ algebras involved.


1. Introduction. A question of Kadison [5] asks whether or not every bounded homomorphism from a $C^{*}$-algebra into the algebra of operators on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{L}(H)$ is similar to a *-homomorphism. Hadwin $[\mathbf{3}]$ has shown that a bounded unital homomorphism from a $C^{*}$-algebra into $\mathcal{L}(H)$ is similar to a $*$-homomorphism if and only if the homomorphism belongs to the span of the completely positive maps. Wittstock [8] and Paulsen [6] proved that the span of the completely positive maps from a $C^{*}$-algebra into an injective $C^{*}$-algebra is identical with the set of completely bounded maps. Together these two results prove that a bounded unital homomorphism from a $C^{*}$-algebra into $\mathcal{L}(H)$ is similar to a $*$-homomorphism if and only if it is completely bounded. Recently, Paulsen $[\boldsymbol{7}]$ proved that a bounded linear operator on a Hilbert space is similar to a contraction if and only if it is completely polynomially bounded. This gives a partial answer to problem 6 of [4]. Therefore, the set of completely bounded maps between $C^{*}$-algebras has been shown to play an important role in the study of several problems in $C^{*}$-algebras and operator theory.
2. Completely bounded maps. In this section we obtain an extension theorem and a new proof of a theorem of Wittstock for completely bounded maps.

Let $A$ and $B$ be $C^{*}$-algebras. By a subspace of $A$ we mean a (not necessarily closed) complex linear subspace. Let $M_{n}$ denote the $C^{*}$-algebra of complex $n \times n$ matrices. If $\mathcal{L}$ is a subspace of $A$ and $L: \mathcal{L} \rightarrow B$ is a linear map, then we set $L_{n}=L \otimes I_{n}: \mathcal{L} \otimes M_{n} \rightarrow B \otimes M_{n}$ by

$$
L_{n}(a \otimes b)=L(a) \otimes b .
$$

The map $L$ is positive if $L(a) \geq 0$ whenever $a \in \mathcal{L}$ and $a \geq 0$, and is completely positive if $L_{n}$ is positive for all $n=1,2, \ldots . L$ is completely bounded if $\sup _{n}\left\|L_{n}\right\|$ is finite, and we let

$$
\|L\|_{\mathrm{cb}}=\sup _{n}\left\|L_{n}\right\| .
$$

If $\|L\|_{\mathrm{cb}} \leq 1$, then $L$ is called a complete contraction (or completely contractive). If $\mathcal{L} \subseteq A$ is a linear subspace with $\mathcal{L}=\mathcal{L}^{*}$ and $L: \mathcal{L} \rightarrow B$ is linear, then we define

[^0]a linear map $L^{*}: \mathcal{L} \rightarrow B$ by
$$
L^{*}(a)=L\left(a^{*}\right)^{*} .
$$

Let $\operatorname{Re}(L): \mathcal{L} \rightarrow B$ and $\operatorname{Im}(L): \mathcal{L} \rightarrow B$ be defined by

$$
\operatorname{Re}(L)=\frac{1}{2}\left(L+L^{*}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{Im}(L)=\frac{1}{2 i}\left(L-L^{*}\right)
$$

so that

$$
L=\operatorname{Re}(L)+i \operatorname{Im}(L)
$$

with $\operatorname{Re}(L)^{*}=\operatorname{Re}(L), \operatorname{Im}(L)^{*}=\operatorname{Im}(L)$ and $\operatorname{Im}(L)=\operatorname{Re}(-i L)$.
Definition 2.1. Let $A, B$, and $C$ be unital $C^{*}$-algebras. Let $C$ be a subalgebra of $A$ and $B$ with $I_{C}=I_{A}$ and $I_{C}=I_{B}$. Let $\phi: A \rightarrow B$ be a linear map. We call $\phi$ a $C$-bihomomorphism [8, Satz 4.2] provided

$$
\phi\left(c_{1} a c_{2}\right)=c_{1} \phi(a) c_{2}, \quad \text { for all } c_{1}, c_{2} \in C .
$$

Definition 2.2. Let $A$ and $B$ be $C^{*}$-algebras, and let $\psi_{i}: A \rightarrow B$ be completely bounded maps for $i=1,2$. We define $\psi_{1} \leq \psi_{2}$ provided $\psi_{2}-\psi_{1}$ is completely positive.

A $C^{*}$-algebra $A$ is called injective if it has Arveson's extension property. We know that a $C^{*}$-algebra $A$ is injective if and only if there is a completely positive projection of $\mathcal{L}(H)$ onto $A[\mathbf{2}]$. Hence $A \otimes M_{n}$ is injective, for $n=1,2, \ldots$, when $A$ is injective.

Lemma 2.3. Let $A, B$ and $C$ be unital $C^{*}$-algebras. Let $C$ be a subalgebra of $A$ and $B$ with $I_{C}=I_{A}$ and $I_{C}=I_{B}$, and let $\phi_{i}: A \rightarrow B$ be $C$-bihomomorphisms for $i=1,2,3,4$. If the map $\psi: A \otimes M_{2} \rightarrow B \otimes M_{2}$, defined by

$$
\psi\left(\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right)\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\phi_{1}(a) & \phi_{2}(b) \\
\phi_{3}(c) & \phi_{4}(d)
\end{array}\right)
$$

is completely positive, then:
(1) $\psi$ is a $C \oplus C$-bihomomorphism;
(2) $\phi_{1}$ and $\phi_{4}$ are completely positive $C$-bihomomorphisms;
(3) $\phi_{2}=\phi_{3}^{*}$ is a completely bounded $C$-bihomomorphism;
(4) $\pm \operatorname{Re} \lambda \phi \leq\left(\phi_{1}+\phi_{4}\right) / 2$ for all complex numbers $\lambda$ with $|\lambda|=1$;

$$
\begin{align*}
& \|\psi\|_{\mathrm{cb}}\left(\phi_{1}\right)_{n}\left(\left(a_{i j}\right)\left(a_{i j}\right)^{*}\right) \geq\left(\left(\phi_{2}\right)_{n}\left(\left(a_{i j}\right)\right)\right)\left(\left(\phi_{2}\right)_{n}\left(\left(a_{i j}\right)\right)\right)^{*},  \tag{5}\\
& \|\psi\|_{\mathrm{cb}}\left(\phi_{4}\right)_{n}\left(\left(a_{i j}\right)^{*}\left(a_{i j}\right)\right) \geq\left(\left(\phi_{2}\right)_{n}\left(\left(a_{i j}\right)\right)\right)^{*}\left(\left(\phi_{2}\right)_{n}\left(\left(a_{i j}\right)\right)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

for $\left(a_{i j}\right) \in A \otimes M_{n}$ and $n=1,2,3, \ldots$.
Proof. Since $C$ is a subalgebra of $A$, we can make $A \otimes M_{2}$ a $C \oplus C$-bimodule by identifying

$$
c_{1} \oplus c_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
c_{1} & 0 \\
0 & c_{2}
\end{array}\right) \quad \text { for } c_{1}, c_{2} \in C
$$

It is easy to see that (1) holds. Observe that

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \psi\left(\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & a \\
a & a
\end{array}\right)\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\phi_{1}(a) & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

and

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \psi\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a & a \\
a & a
\end{array}\right)\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0 \\
0 & \phi_{4}(a)
\end{array}\right)
$$

We know that $\phi_{1}$ and $\phi_{4}$ are completely positive $C$-bihomomorphisms. Thus (2) holds. Since

$$
\psi\left(\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & a \\
a & a
\end{array}\right)\right)^{*}=\left(\psi\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & a \\
a & a
\end{array}\right)\right)^{*}
$$

and

$$
\psi_{n}\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \left(b_{i j}\right) \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \left(\phi_{2}\right)_{n}\left(\left(b_{i j}\right) \cdot\right) \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

We have that

$$
\phi_{2}=\phi_{3}^{*} \quad \text { and } \quad\left\|\left(\phi_{2}\right)_{n}\left(\left(b_{i j}\right)\right)\right\| \leq\left\|\psi\left(I_{A \otimes M_{2}}\right)\right\|\left\|\left(b_{i j}\right)\right\|
$$

Thus (3) holds. Note that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 1 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)\right)\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc} 
\pm \lambda & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\right) \psi\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a & a \\
a & a
\end{array}\right)\right)\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc} 
\pm \bar{\lambda} & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\right)\left(\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right)\right) \\
=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\phi_{1}(a)+\phi_{4}(a) \pm \lambda \phi_{2}(a) \pm\left(\lambda \phi_{2}\right)^{*}(a) & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

for all complex numbers $\lambda$ with $|\lambda|=1$, so that $\pm \operatorname{Re} \lambda \phi_{2} \leq\left(\phi_{1}+\phi_{4}\right) / 2$. thus (4) holds. By the Schwarz inequality for completely positive maps, we have

$$
\left.\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\|\psi\|_{\mathrm{cb}} \psi_{n}\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \left(a_{i j}\right) \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \left(a_{i j}\right) \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)\right)^{*} \\
& =\|\psi\|_{\mathrm{cb}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\left(\phi_{1}\right)_{n}\left(\left(a_{i j}\right)\left(a_{i j}\right)^{*}\right) & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
& \geq\left(\psi _ { n } \left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \left(a_{i j}\right) \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)\right.\right.
\end{array}\right)\right)^{*} \psi_{n}\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \left(a_{i j}\right) \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)\right) .
$$

Similarly, we have the other inequality. Thus the lemma is proved. The following is new proof of a theorem of Wittstock [8, Satz 4.5]:

THEOREM 2.4. Let $A, B$, and $C$ be unital $C^{*}$-algebras with $B$ injective. Let $C$ be a subalgebra of $A$ and $B$ with $I_{C}=I_{A}$ and $I_{C}=I_{B}$, let $\mathcal{L}$ be a complex subspace of $A$ with $c_{1} \mathcal{L} c_{2} \subseteq \mathcal{L}$ for all $c_{1}, c_{2} \in C$, and let $L: \mathcal{L} \rightarrow B$ be a completely bounded $C$-bihomomorphism. Then there exists a completely bounded extension $L: A \rightarrow B$ of $L$ which is a $C$-bihomomorphism with $\|\tilde{L}\|_{\mathrm{cb}}=\|L\|_{\mathrm{cb}}$.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\|L\|_{\mathrm{cb}}=1$. Let

$$
S=\left\{\left(\begin{array}{cc}
c_{1} & a \\
b^{*} & c_{2}
\end{array}\right): c_{1}, c_{2} \in C \text { and } a, b \in \mathcal{L}\right\},
$$

and define $\phi: S \rightarrow B \otimes M_{2}$ by

$$
\phi\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}
c_{1} & a \\
b^{*} & c_{2}
\end{array}\right)\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
c_{1} & L(a) \\
L(b)^{*} & c_{2}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Let $\varepsilon$ be a fixed positive number and

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
H & E \\
E^{*} & K
\end{array}\right)
$$

a positive element in $S \otimes M_{n}$. Then
$\left(\begin{array}{cc}(H+\varepsilon)^{-1 / 2} & 0 \\ 0 & (K+\varepsilon)^{-1 / 2}\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}H+\varepsilon & E \\ E^{*} & K+\varepsilon\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}(H+\varepsilon)^{-1 / 2} & 0 \\ 0 & (K+\varepsilon)^{-1 / 2}\end{array}\right) \geq 0$.
By [2, p. 162], we have

$$
\left\|L_{n}\left((H+\varepsilon)^{-1 / 2} E(K+\varepsilon)^{-1 / 2}\right)\right\| \leq\left\|(H+\varepsilon)^{-1 / 2} E(K+\varepsilon)^{-1 / 2}\right\| \leq 1
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & L_{n}\left((H+\varepsilon)^{-1 / 2} E(K+\varepsilon)^{-1 / 2}\right) \\
\left(L_{n}\left((H+\varepsilon)^{-1 / 2} E(K+\varepsilon)^{-1 / 2}\right)\right)^{*} & 1
\end{array}\right) \\
& \quad=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & (H+\varepsilon)^{-1 / 2} L_{n}(E)(K+\varepsilon)^{-1 / 2} \\
(K+\varepsilon)^{-1 / 2} L_{n}(E)^{*}(H+\varepsilon)^{-1 / 2} & 1
\end{array}\right) \\
& \quad \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
H+\varepsilon & L_{n}(E) \\
L_{n}(E)^{*} & K+\varepsilon
\end{array}\right) \geq 0
$$

Since $\varepsilon$ can be arbitrary small, we have

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
H & L_{n}(E) \\
L_{n}(E)^{*} & K
\end{array}\right) \geq 0 .
$$

Thus the map $\phi$ is completely positive. Since $B \otimes M_{2}$ is injective, we know that $\phi$ has an extension $\tilde{\phi}: A \otimes M_{2} \rightarrow B \otimes M_{2}$ which is a unital completely positive map. Let $E^{\prime}$ be a Hermitian element in $\left(A \otimes M_{2}\right) \otimes M_{n}$ and $H^{\prime}$ a Hermitian element in $(C \oplus C) \otimes M_{n}$ with $H^{\prime} \pm E^{\prime} \geq 0$. Since $A \otimes M_{2}$ is a $C \oplus C$-bimodule, this implies that

$$
\tilde{\phi}_{n}\left(H^{\prime} \pm E^{\prime}\right)=H^{\prime} \pm \tilde{\phi}_{n}\left(E^{\prime}\right) \geq 0
$$

By [8, Satz 4.2], $\tilde{\phi}$ is a $C \oplus C$-bihomomorphism. We now define $\tilde{L}: A \rightarrow B$ by setting $\tilde{L}(a)$ to be the (1,2)-entry of

$$
\tilde{\phi}\left(\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & a \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)\right)
$$

For $a \in \mathcal{L}$ we have

$$
\tilde{\phi}\left(\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & a \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & L(a) \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

Hence $\tilde{L}$ does extend $L$. Furthermore, since $\tilde{\phi}$ is a unital completely positive map and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\tilde{L}_{n}\left(\left(a_{i j}\right)\right)\right\| & \leq\left\|\left(\begin{array}{cc}
* & \tilde{L}_{n}\left(\left(a_{i j}\right)\right) \\
* & *
\end{array}\right)\right\|=\left\|\tilde{\phi}_{n}\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \left(a_{i j}\right) \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)\right)\right\| \\
& \leq\|\tilde{\phi}\|\left\|\left(a_{i j}\right)\right\|,
\end{aligned}
$$

we obtain

$$
\left\|\tilde{L}_{n}\right\| \leq 1
$$

Hence

$$
\|\tilde{L}\|_{\mathrm{cb}}=\|L\|_{\mathrm{cb}}
$$

Finally, it is easy to see that $\tilde{L}$ is a $C$-bihomomorphism. Thus the theorem is proved.

Theorem 2.5. Let $A, B$, and $C$ be unital $C^{*}$-algebras with $B$ injective. Let $C$ be a subalgebra of $A$ and $B$ with $I_{C}=I_{A}$ and $I_{C}=I_{B}$, and let $L: A \rightarrow B$ be a nonzero completely bounded $C$-bihomomorphism. Then there exist unital completely positive $C$-bihomomorphisms $\phi_{i}: A \rightarrow B$, for $i=1,2$, such that the map $\psi: A \otimes$ $M_{2} \rightarrow B \otimes M_{2}$, defined by

$$
\psi\left(\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right)\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\|L\|_{\mathrm{cb}} \phi_{1}(a) & L(b) \\
L^{*}(c) & \|L\|_{\mathrm{cb}} \phi_{2}(d)
\end{array}\right)
$$

is a completely positive $C \oplus C$-bihomomorphism.
PROOF. Let

$$
S=\left\{\left(\begin{array}{cc}
c_{1} & a \\
b^{*} & c_{2}
\end{array}\right): c_{1}, c_{2} \in C \text { and } a, b \in A\right\}
$$

and define $\phi: S \rightarrow B \otimes M_{2}$ by

$$
\phi\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}
c_{1} & a \\
b^{*} & c_{2}
\end{array}\right)\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
c_{1} & \left(\frac{L}{\|L\|_{\mathrm{cb}}}\right)(a) \\
\left(\frac{L}{\|L\|_{\mathrm{cb}}}\right)(b)^{*} & c_{2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

As in the proof of Theorem 2.4, we may extend $\phi$ to be a unital completely positive $C \oplus C$-bihomomorphism $\tilde{\phi}$ on all of $A \otimes M_{2}$. Let $b, c^{*} \in A$. We know that

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & b \\
c^{*} & 0
\end{array}\right) \in S
$$

and

$$
\phi\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & b \\
c & 0
\end{array}\right)\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \left(\frac{L}{\|L\|_{\mathrm{cb}}}\right)(a) \\
\left(\frac{L}{\|L\|_{\mathrm{cb}}}\right)^{*}(c) & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

Let $a^{\prime}$ be a positive element in $A$ with $I_{A} \geq a^{\prime}$. Then

$$
0 \leq \tilde{\phi}\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a^{\prime} & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)\right) \leq \tilde{\phi}\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}
I_{c} & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
I_{c} & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

Let

$$
\tilde{\phi}\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a^{\prime} & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
p & q \\
r & s
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Then

$$
0 \leq\left(\begin{array}{cc}
p & q \\
r & s
\end{array}\right) \leq\left(\begin{array}{cc}
I_{c} & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

which implies $q=r=s=0$. Thus

$$
\tilde{\phi}\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a^{\prime} & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
* & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

Since each element $a$ in $A$ is a finite linear combination of positive elements in $A$, we have

$$
\tilde{\phi}\left(\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
* & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

Similarly,

$$
\tilde{\phi}\left(\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
0 & a
\end{array}\right)\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
0 & *
\end{array}\right)
$$

Now we can define

$$
\tilde{\phi}\left(\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\phi_{1}(a) & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \tilde{\phi}\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0 \\
0 & a
\end{array}\right)\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0 \\
0 & \phi_{2}(a)
\end{array}\right)
$$

Then $\phi_{1}$ and $\phi_{2}$ are unital completely positive maps. Thus

$$
\tilde{\phi}\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right)\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\phi_{1}(a) & \frac{L}{\|L\|_{\mathrm{cb}}}(b) \\
\left(\frac{L}{\|L\|_{\mathrm{cb}}}\right)^{*}(c) & \phi_{2}(d)
\end{array}\right)
$$

Let $\psi=\|L\|_{\mathrm{cb}} \tilde{\phi}$. It is easy to see that $\phi_{1}$ and $\phi_{2}$ are $C$-bihomomorphisms. Thus the theorem is proved.

Using Theorem 2.5, we know that $\operatorname{Re} L$ and $\operatorname{Im} L$ are dominated by the same completely positive map in the following corollary.

Corollary 2.6. Let $A, B$ and $C$ be unital $C^{*}$-algebras with $B$ injective. Let $C$ be a subalgebra of $A$ and $B$ with $I_{C}=I_{A}$ and $I_{C}=I_{B}$, and let $L: A \rightarrow B$ be a completely bounded $C$-bihomomorphism. Then there exist unital completely positive $C$-bihomomorphisms $\phi_{i}: A \rightarrow B$ for $i=1,2$, such that
(1) $\pm \operatorname{Re} \lambda L \leq\|L\|_{\mathrm{cb}}\left(\phi_{1}+\phi_{2}\right) / 2$ for all complex numbers $\lambda$ with $|\lambda|=1$, and (2)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \|L\|_{\mathrm{cb}}^{2}\left(\phi_{1}\right)_{n}\left(\left(a_{i j}\right)\left(a_{i j}\right)^{*}\right) \geq\left(L_{n}\left(\left(a_{i j}\right)\right)\right)\left(L_{n}\left(\left(a_{i j}\right)\right)\right)^{*} \\
& \|L\|_{\mathrm{cb}}^{2}\left(\phi_{2}\right)_{n}\left(\left(a_{i j}\right)^{*}\left(a_{i j}\right)\right) \geq\left(L_{n}\left(\left(a_{i j}\right)\right)\right)^{*}\left(L_{n}\left(\left(a_{i j}\right)\right)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

for $\left(a_{i j}\right) \in A \otimes M_{n}$ and $n=1,2, \ldots$
Proof. By Theorem 2.5 and Lemma 2.3, we have (1) and (2).
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