

## THE ASYMPTOTIC-NORMING AND THE RADON-NIKODYM PROPERTIES ARE EQUIVALENT IN SEPARABLE BANACH SPACES

N. GHOUSSOUB AND B. MAUREY

ABSTRACT. We show that the asymptotic-norming and the Radon-Nikodym properties are equivalent, settling a problem of James and Ho [9]. In the process, we give a positive solution to two questions of Edgar and Wheeler [6] concerning Cech-complete Banach spaces. We also show that a separable Banach space with the Radon-Nikodym property semi-embeds in a separable dual whenever it has a norming space not containing an isomorphic copy of  $l_1$ . This gives a partial answer to a problem of Bourgain and Rosenthal [3].

**Introduction.** Let  $X$  be a Banach space. We recall that  $X$  is said to have the *point of continuity property* (resp. *the Radon-Nikodym property*) if every weakly closed bounded subset of  $X$  has a point of weak to norm continuity (resp. a denting point). A separable Banach space  $X$  is said to have the *asymptotic norming property* if there exists a separable Banach space  $Y$  such that  $X$  is (isomorphic to) a subspace of  $Y^*$  which verifies the following property:

$$(A.N.P.) \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{if } (x_n) \subseteq X, x_n \xrightarrow{w^*} y^* \text{ and } \|x_n\| \rightarrow \|y^*\|, \\ \text{then } \lim_n \|x_n - y^*\| = 0. \end{array}$$

In [9], James and Ho introduced the asymptotic norming property, proved that it implies the Radon-Nikodym property and asked whether the two properties are equivalent. To prove this conjecture we recall that Davis and Johnson [5] showed that for every separable subspace  $X$  of  $Y^*$ , the latter can be renormed in such a way that the conclusion of (A.N.P.) holds provided  $y^*$  is assumed to be in  $X$ . The only missing ingredient in the equivalent norm is then the term that forces  $y^*$  to be in  $X$ . On the other hand, the authors proved in [7] the following

**THEOREM 1 [7].** *Let  $X$  be a separable Banach space. Then  $X$  has the point of continuity property (resp. the Radon-Nikodym property) if and only if  $X$  embeds isometrically in the dual of a separable Banach subspace  $Y$  of  $X^*$  in such a way that  $Y^* \setminus X = \bigcup_n K_n$  where each  $K_n$  is weak\*-compact (resp. weak\*-compact and convex) in  $Y^*$ .*

It is easy to see that if the convex  $K_n$ 's can be chosen to be a strictly positive distance away from  $X$ , then the distance of the elements of  $Y^*$  to the  $K_n$ 's (made into a suitable seminorm) would give the missing ingredient that forces  $y^*$  to be in  $X$ .

---

Received by the editors July 16, 1984.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46G10, 46B22.

*Key words and phrases.* Asymptotic-norming and Radon-Nikodym properties, semi-embeddings.

©1985 American Mathematical Society  
0002-9939/85 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

The problem of the existence of such  $K_n$ 's coincide with a question of Edgar and Wheeler [6] on strongly Čech-complete Banach spaces. The following theorem gives a positive answer to these questions.

**THEOREM (1) BIS.** *Let  $X$  be a separable Banach space. Then  $X$  has the point of continuity property (resp. the Radon-Nikodym property) if and only if  $X$  embeds in the dual of a separable Banach space  $Y$  in such a way that  $Y^* \setminus X = \bigcup_n K_n$  where each  $K_n$  is weak\*-compact (resp. weak\*-compact and convex) satisfying  $d(K_n, X) > 0$ .*

The proof will be broken into several lemmas. We shall need the following notations and terminology: If  $C$  is a subset of a dual space  $Y^*$  we shall denote by  $\overline{C}^*$  (resp.  $\overline{C}$ ) its weak\*-closure (resp. its norm closure). The distance between two subsets  $C$  and  $D$  of  $Y^*$  will be denoted by  $d(C, D) = \inf\{\|x - y\|; x \in C, y \in D\}$ . If  $\Delta$  is any metric on  $Y^*$ ,  $l \in Y^*$  and  $\rho > 0$ , then  $B_\Delta(l, \rho)$  will be the  $\Delta$ -open ball  $\{y \in Y; \Delta(y, l) < \rho\}$ . If  $\Delta$  is induced by the norm we shall simply write  $B(l, \rho)$ . The closed unit ball of a Banach space  $Z$  will be denoted  $B_Z$ .

If now  $X$  is a subspace of  $Y^*$  and  $L$  is a  $w^*$ -compact subset of  $Y^*$  which is disjoint from  $X$ , we shall say that  $L$  is  $\rho$ -bad with respect to  $X$  for some  $\rho > 0$  if

- (i) for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the set  $L_\varepsilon = \{l \in L; d(l, X) \leq \varepsilon\}$  is  $w^*$ -dense in  $L$ ;
- (ii) the set  $L^\rho = \{l \in L; d(l, X) \geq \rho\}$  is also  $w^*$ -dense in  $L$ .

**LEMMA (1).** *Let  $X$  be a subspace of the dual of a separable Banach space  $Y$ , such that  $\overline{B}_X^* = B_{Y^*}$ . Let  $L$  be a  $w^*$ -compact subset of  $\theta \cdot B_{Y^*}$  ( $\theta < 1$ ) which is  $\rho$ -bad with respect to  $X$  for some  $\rho > 0$ . Let  $K$  be a  $w^*$ -compact subset of  $B_{Y^*}$  which is disjoint from  $X$ . Then for each  $\varepsilon$  such that  $0 < \varepsilon < 1 - \theta$  and each  $w^*$ -open subset  $V$  of  $B_{Y^*}$  with  $V \cap L \neq \emptyset$ , there exist  $l \in L$ ,  $x \in X$  such that*

- (i)  $\|x\| \leq \varepsilon$ ,
- (ii)  $l + x \in V$ ,
- (iii)  $l + x \notin K$ ,
- (vi)  $d(l + x, X) \geq \rho - \varepsilon$ .

**PROOF.** Choose  $l_1$  in  $L$  such that  $l_1 \in V$  and  $d(l_1, X) < \varepsilon/2$ . Consider  $x_1$  and then  $(y_n)$  in  $X$  such that  $l_1 = w^*\text{-lim}_n(x_1 + y_n)$  with  $\|y_n\| \leq \varepsilon/2$ . Note that  $\|x_1\| \leq \theta + \varepsilon/2$ , hence  $x_1 + y_n \in B_X$ . Choose now  $n_0$  such that  $x_1 + y_{n_0} \in V$ . We have that  $x_1 + y_{n_0} \notin K$ . On the other hand,  $w^*\text{-lim}_n(l_1 - y_n + y_{n_0}) = x_1 + y_{n_0}$  and  $\|l_1 - y_n + y_{n_0}\| \leq 1$ , hence, for a large enough  $n_1$ , we have  $(l_1 - y_{n_1} + y_{n_0}) \in V$  and  $(l_1 - y_{n_1} + y_{n_0}) \notin K$ .

Since  $L$  is  $\rho$ -bad, choose  $(l_m) \subseteq L$ ,  $d(l_m, X) \geq \rho$  such that  $l_1 = w^*\text{-lim}(l_m)$ . That is, for a large enough  $m_0$ , we have  $(l_{m_0} - y_{n_1} + y_{n_0}) \in V$  and  $(l_{m_0} - y_{n_1} + y_{n_0}) \notin K$ . Now take  $l = l_{m_0}$  and  $x = (-y_{n_1} + y_{n_0})$ . They clearly verify the claimed properties.

**LEMMA (2).** *Let  $X$  be a separable subspace of the dual of a separable Banach space  $Y$  such that  $B_X$  is a  $w^*$ - $G_\delta$ ,  $w^*$ -dense in  $B_{Y^*}$ . Then for each  $\theta < 1$ ,  $\theta B_{Y^*}$  contains no  $\rho$ -bad  $w^*$ -compact sets with respect to  $X$  for any  $\rho > 0$ .*

**PROOF.** Write  $B_{Y^*} \setminus B_X = \bigcup_n K_n$  where  $(K_n)$  is an increasing sequence of  $w^*$ -compact sets. Let  $\Delta$  be a distance defining the  $w^*$ -topology on  $B_{Y^*}$  and let  $(z_n)$  be a dense sequence in  $B_X$ . Suppose  $L$  is a weak\*-compact subset of  $\theta \cdot B_{Y^*}$  ( $\theta < 1$ ) which is  $\rho$ -bad with respect to  $X$  for some  $\rho > 0$ .

Let  $l_0$  be any point in  $L$  and let  $V_0 = B_\Delta(l_0, 1)$  and  $0 < \varepsilon_0 < \inf(1 - \theta, \rho/2)$ . Use Lemma (1) to obtain  $x_0 \in X$ ,  $\|x_0\| \leq \varepsilon_0$  and  $l'_0 \in L$  such that  $l'_0 + x_0 \in V_0$ ,  $l'_0 + x_0 \notin K_0$  and  $d(l'_0 + x_0, X) \geq \rho - \varepsilon_0 > \rho/2$ .

Now set  $L_1 = L + x_0$ ,  $\theta_1 = \theta + \varepsilon_0 < 1$  and  $l_1 = l'_0 + x_0$ .

Note that  $L_1$  is also  $\rho$ -bad with respect to  $X$  and  $L_1 \subseteq \theta_1 \cdot B_{Y^*}$ . Let  $V'_1$  be a  $w^*$ -open subset of  $V_0$  containing  $l_1$  such that  $\overline{V'_1} \cap (K_0 \cup \overline{B^*}(z_0, \rho/2)) = \emptyset$ . Set  $V_1 = V'_1 \cap B_\Delta(l_1, 1/2)$  and  $\varepsilon_1 < \inf(1 - \theta_1, \rho/2)$  and apply again Lemma 1 to obtain  $x_1 \in X$ ,  $\|x_1\| \leq \varepsilon_1$ ,  $l'_1 \in L_1$ ,  $l'_1 + x_1 \in V_1$ ,  $l'_1 + x_1 \notin K_1$  and  $d(l'_1 + x_1, X) \geq \rho - \varepsilon_1 > \rho/2$ .

By induction, we get a decreasing sequence  $(V_n)$  of  $w^*$ -open subsets of  $B_{Y^*}$  and a sequence  $(l_n)$  of vectors such that

- (i)  $l_n \in V_n$  for each  $n$ ,
- (ii)  $\text{diam}_\Delta(\overline{V_n^*}) \leq 2^{-n}$ ,
- (iii)  $\overline{V_n^*} \cap (K_{n-1} \cup (\bigcup_{j=0}^{n-1} \overline{B^*}(z_j, \rho/2))) = \emptyset$ .

It follows that the  $w^*$ -limit  $l_\infty$  of  $(l_n)$  can neither be in  $B_X$  nor in any of the  $K_n$ 's, which is obviously a contradiction since  $l_\infty \in B_{Y^*}$ .

LEMMA (3). *Let  $X$  be a separable subspace of the dual of a separable Banach space  $Y$  such that  $B_X$  is a  $w^*$ - $G_\delta$ ,  $w^*$ -dense in  $B_{Y^*}$ . Let  $L$  be a subset of  $Y^*$  which is disjoint of  $X$ . Then:*

(i) *If  $L$  is  $w^*$ -compact, there exists a  $w^*$ -open set  $V$  such that  $L \cap V \neq \emptyset$  and  $d(L \cap \overline{V^*}, X) > 0$ .*

(ii) *If  $L$  is  $w^*$ -compact and convex, there exists a  $w^*$ -open half-space  $V$  such that  $L \cap V \neq \emptyset$  and  $d(L \cap \overline{V^*}, X) > 0$ .*

PROOF. We first claim that there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that the set  $L_\varepsilon = \{l \in L; d(l, X) \leq \varepsilon\}$  is not  $w^*$ -dense in  $L$ . Indeed, suppose not. We can assume without loss that  $L \subseteq B_{Y^*}/2$ . Now note that  $L = \bigcup_n L^n$  where  $L^n = \{l; d(l, X) \geq 1/n\}$  since  $L \cap X = \emptyset$ . It follows that there exists  $m$  such that  $\overline{L^m}^*$  has a nonempty interior  $V_0$  in the  $w^*$ -topology relative to  $L$ . It follows that  $\overline{V_0}^*$  is a  $1/m$ -bad set with respect to  $X$  which clearly contradicts Lemma (2).

In case (i) we take  $V$  to be a  $w^*$ -open subset of  $Y^*$  such that  $V \cap L = L \setminus \overline{L_\varepsilon}^*$  which is nonempty.

In case (ii), note that  $\overline{L_\varepsilon}^*$  is also convex, hence, any  $w^*$ -open half-space  $V$  that separates any point  $l$  in  $L \setminus \overline{L_\varepsilon}^*$  from  $\overline{L_\varepsilon}^*$  will do the job.

LEMMA (4). *Let  $X$  be a separable subspace of the dual of a separable Banach space  $Y$  such that  $B_X$  is a  $w^*$ - $G_\delta$  set which is  $w^*$ -dense in  $B_{Y^*}$ . Let  $L$  be a subset of  $Y^*$  which is disjoint of  $X$ . Then:*

(i) *If  $L$  is  $w^*$ -compact, there exists a countable collection of  $w^*$ -compact sets  $(L_n)$  whose union is  $L$  such that  $d(L_n, X) > 0$  for each  $n$ .*

(ii) *If  $L$  is  $w^*$ -compact and convex, there exists a countable collection of  $w^*$ -compact convex sets whose union is  $L$  such that  $d(L_n, X) > 0$  for each  $n$ .*

PROOF. (i) By transfinite induction, we define a decreasing family  $(K_\alpha)$  of  $w^*$ -compact subsets of  $L$  in the following manner:

(a)  $K_0 = L$ .

(b) If  $\alpha = \beta + 1$  and  $K_\beta$  nonempty, apply Lemma (3) to  $K_\beta$  to obtain a  $w^*$ -open set  $V_\beta$  such that  $K_\beta \cap V_\beta \neq \emptyset$  and  $d(K_\beta \cap \overline{V_\beta^*}, X) > 0$ . Set  $K_\alpha = K_\beta \setminus V_\beta$ .

(c) If  $\alpha$  is a limit ordinal, set  $K_\alpha = \bigcap_{\beta < \alpha} K_\beta$ .

Since  $L$  is  $w^*$ -metrizable there exists  $\gamma < \Omega$  (the first uncountable ordinal) such that  $K_\gamma = \emptyset$ . It is clear that  $L = \bigcup_{\alpha < \gamma} K_\alpha \cap \bar{V}_\alpha^*$  and  $L_\alpha = K_\alpha \cap \bar{V}_\alpha^*$  is a strictly positive distance away from  $X$  for each  $\alpha < \gamma$ .

(ii) If  $L$  is also convex, then  $V$  can be taken to be a  $w^*$ -open half-space by Lemma (3), hence each  $L_\alpha = K_\alpha \cap \bar{V}_\alpha^*$  is then  $w^*$ -compact and convex.

The following is now immediate:

**THEOREM (1) TER.** *Let  $X$  be a separable subspace of the dual of a separable Banach space  $Y$  such that  $B_X$  is  $w^*$ -dense in  $B_{Y^*}$ . If  $Y^* \setminus X = \bigcup_n K_n$  where each  $K_n$  is  $w^*$ -compact (resp.  $w^*$ -compact and convex), then  $Y^* \setminus X = \bigcup_n K'_n$  where each  $K'_n$  is  $w^*$ -compact (resp.  $w^*$ -compact and convex) such that  $d(K'_n, X) > 0$ .*

**PROOF OF THEOREM (1) BIS.** If  $X$  is a separable Banach space with the point of continuity property, apply Theorem (1) to get a separable Banach subspace  $Y$  of  $X^*$  such that  $X$  is a subspace of  $Y^*$  verifying  $Y^* \setminus X = \bigcup_n K_n$  where each  $K_n$  is  $w^*$ -compact. It follows that  $B_X$  is a  $w^*$ - $G_\delta$ ,  $w^*$ -dense subset of  $B_{Y^*}$ . Apply now Theorem (1) ter to get the conclusion.

If  $X$  has the Radon-Nikodym property, each  $K_n$  is then convex, and Theorem (1) ter applies again and gives the claimed result.

The following corollary answers two questions of Edgar and Wheeler [6]:

**COROLLARY (5).** (a) *A separable Banach space  $X$  has the point of continuity property and its dual  $X^*$  is separable if and only if  $X^{**} \setminus X$  is the countable union of  $w^*$ -compact sets  $(K_n)$  such that  $d(K_n, X) > 0$ .*

(b) *A separable Banach space  $X$  has the Radon-Nikodym property and its dual  $X^*$  is separable if and only if  $X^{**} \setminus X$  is the countable union of  $w^*$ -compact convex sets  $(K_n)$  such that  $d(K_n, X) > 0$ .*

**PROOF.** In view of the results of [6 and 7] the space  $Y$  mentioned in Theorem (1) can be taken in this case to be the separable dual  $X^*$ .

Theorem (1) bis and the proof of Theorem 4.14 of [6] applied to  $Y$  instead of  $X^*$  gives the following

**COROLLARY (6).** *A separable Banach space  $X$  has the point of continuity property if and only if there exists a separable Banach space  $Y$  and a family of norm one vectors  $\{y_{n,i}; 1 \leq i \leq m_n, n \in \mathbf{N}\}$  in  $Y$  such that*

$$X = \left\{ y^* \in Y^*; \lim_n \max_{1 \leq i \leq m_n} |y^*(y_{n,i})| = 0 \right\}.$$

The following settles a question of James and Ho [9]:

**THEOREM (2).** *A separable Banach space  $X$  has the Radon-Nikodym property if and only if it has the asymptotic-norming property.*

**PROOF.** Suppose that  $X$  has the Radon-Nikodym property. Apply Theorem (1) bis to obtain a separable Banach space  $Y$  such that  $X$  is a subspace of  $Y^*$  verifying  $Y^* \setminus X = \bigcup_n K_n$  where each  $K_n$  is  $w^*$ -compact convex and  $d(K_n, X) \geq \varepsilon_n > 0$ . Following Davis and Johnson [5], let  $(E_n)_n$  be an increasing sequence of

finite-dimensional subspaces of  $X$  such that  $X = \overline{\bigcup_n E_n}$  and define the seminorm  $\|x\| = \sum_n 2^{-n}d(x, E_n)$ . Now let  $\|!_n$  be the seminorm defined by

$$\|!_n = d(x, \mathbf{R}_+K_n) + d(x, -\mathbf{R}_+K_n)$$

and set  $\|! = \sum_n 2^{-n}\|!_n$ . Finally, let  $\|x\|_1 = \|x\| + \|x\| + \|!x\|$ . Note that  $\|x\| \leq \|x\|_1 \leq 7\|x\|$  for each  $x$  in  $Y^*$  and that  $\|\cdot\|_1$  is  $w^*$ -lower semicontinuous, hence, it is a dual norm on  $Y^*$ .

Suppose now that  $(x_n) \subseteq X$ ,  $y^* \in Y^*$  such that  $\|x_n\|_1 \rightarrow \|y^*\|_1$  and  $w^*\text{-}\lim_n(x_n) = y^*$ . Since each piece of the norm is  $w^*$ -lower semicontinuous we get that  $\|x_n\| \rightarrow \|y^*\|$ ,  $\|!x_n\| \rightarrow \|!y^*\|$  and  $d(x_n, \mathbf{R}_+K_m) \rightarrow d(y^*, \mathbf{R}_+K_m)$  for each  $m$ .

We claim that  $y^* \in X$ . Indeed, if not, then there exists an  $m$  such that  $y^* \in K_m$  and  $\lim_n d(x_n, \mathbf{R}_+K_m) = d(y^*, \mathbf{R}_+K_m) = 0$ .

We can then suppose that  $\|x_n - \lambda_n k_n\| \leq 1/n$  for some  $\lambda_n \in \mathbf{R}_+$  and  $k_n \in K_m$ . This gives

$$1/n \geq \|x_n - \lambda_n k_n\| = \lambda_n \|x_n/\lambda_n - k_n\| \geq \lambda_n \varepsilon_m.$$

It follows that  $\lambda_n \rightarrow 0$  and  $\|x_n\| \rightarrow 0$ , a contradiction. Since  $y^*$  is now in  $X$ , the Davis-Johnson norm insures that  $\lim_n \|x_n - y^*\| = 0$ .

The converse was proved by James and Ho [9]. We sketch an easier proof based on martingales and already used by Davis et al. [4]. Let  $D$  be a countable dense set in the unit ball of  $Y$ . Let  $(\phi_n)$  be an  $X$ -valued bounded martingale. Let  $\phi_\infty$  be a  $w^*$ -limit of  $(\phi_n)$  which is valued in  $Y^*$ . For each  $y \in D$ , the real-valued martingale  $y(\phi_n)$  converges to  $y(\phi_\infty)$  outside a set  $\Omega_y$  of measure zero.

By a lemma of Neveu [11], the martingale  $\|\phi_n\| = \sup_{y \in D} |y(\phi_n)|$  converges to  $\sup_{y \in D} |y(\phi_\infty)| = \|\phi_\infty\|$  outside a set  $\Omega_0$  of measure zero. Since  $X$  has the asymptotic norming property with respect to  $Y$ , we get that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\phi_n - \phi_\infty\| = 0$  outside the set  $\Omega_0 \cup \bigcup_{y \in D} \Omega_y$  which is of measure zero.

Recall that a bounded linear operator  $T$  from a Banach space  $X$  into a space  $Y$  is said to be a semi-embedding if it is one-to-one and if the image of the unit ball of  $X$  by  $T$  is norm closed in  $Y$ . In [3], Bourgain and Rosenthal showed that the  $\mathcal{L}_\infty$ -spaces constructed by Bourgain and Delbaen [2] do not semi-embed in separable duals even though they enjoy the Radon-Nikodym property. On the other hand, they show that the Radon-Nikodym spaces constructed by Johnson and Lindenstrauss [10] do semi-embed in separable duals even though they do not embed in such spaces. The following theorem gives a sufficient condition that guarantees such semi-embeddings for Radon-Nikodym spaces. It gives a partial solution to a question of Bourgain and Rosenthal [3].

**THEOREM (3).** *If  $X$  is a separable Banach space with a norming space not containing an isomorphic copy of  $l_1$ , then  $X$  has the Radon-Nikodym property if and only if it semi-embeds in a separable dual.*

First we need the following

**LEMMA (5).** *Let  $Y$  be a separable Banach space not containing an isomorphic copy of  $l_1$ . If  $X$  is a separable subspace of  $Y^*$  with the Radon-Nikodym property such that  $B_X$  is  $w^*$ -dense in  $B_{Y^*}$ , then the orthogonal of  $X$  in  $Y^{**}$  is  $w^*$ -separable.*

**PROOF.** By a theorem of Bourgain [1],  $B_X$  is then  $w^*$ -dentable in  $Y^*$ ; that is, every norm closed convex subset of  $B_X$  contains  $w^*$ -open slices with arbitrarily

small diameters. Now, we proceed as in Lemma III.1 of [7]: Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$  and define inductively a decreasing family of norm-closed convex subsets  $(F_\alpha)$  of  $B_X$  in the following way:

- (i)  $F_0 = B_X$ .
- (ii) If  $\alpha = \beta + 1$  and  $F_\beta \neq \emptyset$ , use the  $w^*$ -dentability to find a  $w^*$ -open slice  $S_\beta$  of  $F_\beta$  such that  $\text{diam}(S_\beta) < \varepsilon$ . Set  $F_\alpha = F_\beta \setminus S_\beta$ .
- (iii) If  $\alpha$  is a limit ordinal, let  $F_\alpha = \bigcap_{\beta < \alpha} F_\beta$ .

Since  $B_X$  is separable, there exists  $\gamma < \Omega$  (the first uncountable ordinal) such that  $F_\gamma = \emptyset$  and  $F_\beta \neq \emptyset$  for  $\beta < \gamma$ . Let  $K_\alpha$  be the  $w^*$ -closure of  $F_\alpha$  in  $Y^*$  and let  $H_\alpha$  be the  $w^*$ -open half-space such that  $S_\alpha = H_\alpha \cap F_\alpha$ . It is clear that

$$B_X \subseteq \bigcap_{\alpha \leq \gamma} \left( K_\alpha \cup \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} H_\beta \right).$$

Moreover, if  $x$  belongs to the set on the right-hand side, then  $x \in K_\beta \cap H_\beta$  for some  $\beta < \gamma$  which implies that  $d(x, B_X) \leq \varepsilon$ . It follows that if we repeat the construction for each  $\varepsilon = 1/n$  we then get

$$B_X = \bigcap_n \bigcap_{\alpha \leq \gamma_n} \left( K_{\alpha,n} \cup \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} H_{\beta,n} \right).$$

Since  $Y$  is separable, write that  $K_{\alpha,n} = \bigcap_m L_{\alpha,n,m}$  where each  $L_{\alpha,n,m}$  is a  $w^*$ -open half-space in  $Y^*$ . It follows that  $Y^* \setminus B_X$  and hence  $Y^* \setminus X$  is a countable union of  $w^*$ -compact convex subsets  $(K_n)$  of  $Y^*$ . By Theorem (1) ter, we can suppose that  $d(K_n, X) > \varepsilon_n > 0$ . If  $\pi$  is now the quotient map from  $Y^*$  onto  $Y^*/X$ , we obtain that  $0 \notin \overline{\pi(K_n)}$  for each  $n$ , hence, there exists  $f_n$  in  $(Y^*/X)^* = X^\perp$  such that  $f_n > \varepsilon_n$  on  $\overline{\pi(K_n)}$ . It is now clear that  $X = \{y^* \in Y^*; f_n(y^*) = 0; \forall n \in \mathbf{N}\}$  and that  $X^\perp$  is  $w^*$ -separable.

PROOF OF THEOREM (3). Since  $l_1$  does not embed in  $Y$ , use Odell and Rosenthal's theorem [12] to find for each  $n$  a sequence  $(g_{n,m})$  in  $Y$  that converges pointwise on  $Y^*$  to  $f_n$ . The space  $X$  can now be written as  $\{y^* \in Y^*; \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} g_{n,m}(y^*) = 0; \forall n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ . We may suppose that  $\|g_{n,m}\| \leq 1$  for each  $n, m \in \mathbf{N}$ . Define now for each  $n \geq 1$ , the operator  $T_n: l_1 \rightarrow Y$  by  $T_n(\alpha_m)_m = \sum_m \alpha_m g_{n,m}$  and let  $T_0: l_2 \rightarrow Y$  be a dense range operator. Let  $T: l_2 \oplus (\sum_n \oplus l_1)_{l_2} \rightarrow Y$  be the unique linear operator whose restrictions to the factor spaces are those given by the sequence  $\{T_0, (2^{-n}T_n)_{n \geq 1}\}$ . Let  $T^*: Y^* \rightarrow l_2 \oplus (\sum_n \oplus l_\infty)_{l_2}$  be the dual operator which is one-to-one since  $T_0^*$  is. We claim that  $T^*$  is valued in  $l_2 \oplus (\sum_n \oplus c)_{l_2}$  and that  $T^*(B_X)$  is norm-closed. Indeed, we have for each  $n \geq 1$  and each  $y^* \in Y^*$ ,  $(T_n^*(y^*)) = (g_{n,m}(y^*))_m$  which is convergent to  $f_n(y^*)$ . Moreover, if  $x_l \in B_X$  and  $\lim_l T^*x_l = z$ , then  $z = T^*y^*$  where  $y^*$  is a  $w^*$ -limit of  $(x_l)$  in  $Y^*$  since  $T^*$  is one-to-one and  $w^*$ -to- $w^*$  continuous. Moreover, since for each  $n$ ,  $g_{n,m}(x_l) \rightarrow g_{n,m}(y^*)$  uniformly in  $m$ , we get that  $f_n(x_l) \rightarrow f_n(y^*)$  and  $f_n(y^*) = 0$  for each  $n$ . It follows that  $y^* \in B_X$ .

The operator  $T$  has a separable adjoint, hence the Stegall factorization theorem [13] applies and we get a separable Banach space  $Z$  with a separable dual such that  $T = U \circ V$  where  $U: Z \rightarrow Y$  and  $V: l_2 \oplus (\sum_n \oplus l_1)_{l_2} \rightarrow Z$ . Note now that  $U^*(B_X)$  is norm-closed in  $Z^*$  since  $T^*(B_X)$  is closed in  $l_2 \oplus (\sum_n \oplus l_\infty)_{l_2}$ . Hence  $U^*$  is a semi-embedding of  $X$  into the separable dual  $Z^*$ .

REMARK. The proof of Theorem (1) bis relies heavily on the fact that the ball of  $X$  is a  $w^*$ - $G_\delta$  in  $Y^*$ . Actually the local statement is not true. In a forthcoming paper, we construct a  $w^*$ - $G_\delta$  subset  $C$  of a dual space whose complement is not decomposable into a countable union of  $w^*$ -compact sets which are a strictly positive distance away from  $C$ . This question is closely related to the problem of minimizing a certain class of functions on the set  $C$ . We shall deal with these questions in [8].

## REFERENCES

1. J. Bourgain, *Sets with the Radon-Nikodym property in conjugate Banach spaces*, Studia Math. **66** (1978), 199–205.
2. J. Bourgain and F. Delbaen, *A special class of  $L^\infty$ -spaces*, Acta Math. **145** (1980), 155–176.
3. J. Bourgain and H. P. Rosenthal, *Applications of the theory of semi-embeddings to Banach space theory*, J. Funct. Anal. **52** (1983), 149–188.
4. W. Davis, N. Ghoussoub and J. Lindenstrauss, *A lattice renorming theorem and applications to vector-valued processes*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **263** (1981), 531–540.
5. W. Davis and W. B. Johnson, *A renorming of non-reflexive Banach spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **37** (1973), 486–487.
6. C. A. Edgar and R. F. Wheeler, *Topological properties of Banach spaces*, Pacific J. Math. **115** (1984), 317–350.
7. N. Ghoussoub and B. Maurey,  *$G_\delta$ -embeddings in Hilbert space*, J. Funct. Anal. (to appear).
8. ———,  *$H_\delta$ -embeddings in Hilbert space and optimization on  $G_\delta$ -sets*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. (to appear).
9. R. C. James and A. Ho, *The asymptotic-norming and Radon-Nikodym properties for Banach spaces*, Ark. Mat. **19** (1981), 53–70.
10. W. B. Johnson and Y. Lindenstrauss, *Examples of  $L^1$ -spaces*, Ark. Mat. **18** (1980), 101–106.
11. J. Neveu, *Discrete parameter martingales*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1975.
12. T. Odell and H. P. Rosenthal, *A double dual characterization of separable Banach spaces containing  $l_1$* , Israel J. Math. **20** (1975), 375–384.
13. C. Stegall, *The Radon-Nikodym property in conjugate Banach spaces. II*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **264** (1981), 507–519.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA, VANCOUVER,  
BRITISH COLUMBIA, CANADA

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITÉ DE PARIS VII, PARIS, FRANCE