

CYCLIC VECTORS FOR BACKWARD HYPONORMAL WEIGHTED SHIFTS

SHELLEY WALSH¹

ABSTRACT. A unilateral weighted shift T on a Hilbert space H is an operator such that $Te_n = w_n e_{n+1}$ for some orthonormal basis $\{e_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ and weight sequence $\{w_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$. If we assume $w_n > 0$, for all n , and let $\beta(n) = w_0 \cdots w_{n-1}$ for $n > 0$ and $\beta(0) = 1$, then T is unitarily equivalent to $f \mapsto zf$ on the weighted space $H^2(\beta)$ of formal power series $\sum_{n=0}^\infty \hat{f}(n)z^n$ such that $\sum_{n=0}^\infty |\hat{f}(n)|^2 [\beta(n)]^2 < \infty$. Regarding T as multiplication for z on the space $H^2(\beta)$, it is shown that, if $w_n \uparrow 1$ and f is analytic in a neighborhood of the unit disk, then either f is cyclic for T^* or f is contained in a finite-dimensional T^* -invariant subspace. This was shown—by different methods—for the unweighted shift operator by Douglas, Shields, and Shapiro [2]. It is also shown that every finite-dimensional T^* -invariant subspace is of the form

$$\left((z - \alpha_1)^{n_1} \cdots (z - \alpha_k)^{n_k} H^2(\beta) \right)^\perp,$$

for some $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k$ in the unit disk and n_1, \dots, n_k positive integer.

1. Introduction and notation. Let U be the unilateral shift on the Hardy space H^2 . It follows from Beurling's theorem that a function f is noncyclic for U^* if and only if $f \in (\varphi H^2)^\perp$ for some inner function φ . But this is not a very useful condition for determining whether a given function is cyclic for U^* . In [2], Douglas, Shields and Shapiro give a much more useful characterization (Theorem 2.2.1), which has as one of its consequences Theorem 2.2.4, which states that if f is analytic in a neighborhood of the unit disk then f is either cyclic or a rational function. Since the functions which are contained in a finite-dimensional U^* -invariant subspace are precisely the rational functions, Theorem 2.2.4 can be restated as follows.

THEOREM 0. *If f is analytic in a neighborhood of the unit disk, then either f is cyclic for U^* or f is contained in a finite-dimensional U^* -invariant subspace.*

The main result of this paper is that this is true for any hyponormal weighted shift with unit norm. This is proved in §2; in §3 the finite-dimensional invariant subspaces for hyponormal weighted shifts are characterized.

Throughout this paper T will be a hyponormal weighted shift with unit norm. We use the weighted space notation used in [3], and we assume that T is the operator on $H^2(\beta)$ defined by $Tf = zf$. If S is an operator, $\sigma(S)$, $\sigma_p(S)$, and $\sigma_{ap}(S)$ will denote

Received by the editors December 10, 1984 and, in revised form, January 29, 1985.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 47B37; Secondary 47B20.

¹This paper includes part of the author's dissertation [4] written under Professor Sarason at the University of California-Berkeley, while a member of the Technical Staff of Hughes Aircraft Company, Ground Systems Group, and a holder of a Howard Hughes Fellowship. It was presented to the American Mathematical Society on January 9, 1985 at the 91st annual meeting.

its spectrum, point spectrum, and approximate spectrum, respectively. If $f \in H^2(\beta)$, then $[f]_*$ will be the smallest T^* -invariant subspace containing f .

Since T is hyponormal with unit norm, we have $w_n \uparrow 1$, so $[\beta(n)]^{1/n} \rightarrow 1$. It follows that any function in $H^2(\beta)$ is analytic on the unit disk. If $|\alpha| < 1$ and n is a nonnegative integer, let

$$K_{\alpha, n} = \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} \frac{j \cdots (j-n+1)}{[\beta(j)]^2} \bar{\alpha}^{j-n} z^j.$$

Then $K_{\alpha, n} \in H^2(\beta)$ and, for any f in $H^2(\beta)$, we have

$$\langle f, K_{\alpha, n} \rangle = \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} j \cdots (j-n+1) \hat{f}(j) \alpha^{j-n} = f^{(n)}(\alpha).$$

Since the function $K_{\alpha, 0}$ will be used particularly often, when it is convenient we will call it K_{α} .

2. The main result.

THEOREM 1. *If T is a hyponormal unilateral weighted shift with unit norm and f is analytic in a neighborhood of the unit disk, then either f is cyclic or f is contained in a finite-dimensional T^* -invariant subspace.*

We prove this by way of the following lemmas.

LEMMA 1. *If $|\alpha| < 1$ and $f \in H^2(\beta)$, then*

- (i) $(T^* - \bar{\alpha})f = 0$ if and only if f is a constant multiple of K_{α} ;
- (ii)

$$(T^* - \bar{\alpha}) \left(\frac{1}{n!} K_{\alpha, n} \right) = \frac{1}{(n-1)!} K_{\alpha, n-1} \quad \text{for any } n > 0.$$

PROOF. (i) For any g in $H^2(\beta)$, we have $\langle g, (T^* - \bar{\alpha})K_{\alpha} \rangle = \langle (z - \alpha)g, K_{\alpha} \rangle = 0$, so $(T^* - \bar{\alpha})K_{\alpha} = 0$. Conversely, suppose $(T^* - \bar{\alpha})f = 0$. Since the polynomials are dense in $H^2(\beta)$ and $(T - \alpha)$ is bounded below (by Proposition 8.13 in [1]), if g is in $H^2(\beta)$, then the function $(g - g(\alpha))/(z - \alpha)$ is also in $H^2(\beta)$. Thus if $g \in H^2(\beta)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle g, f \rangle &= \left\langle \frac{g - g(\alpha)}{z - \alpha} (z - \alpha), f \right\rangle + g(\alpha) \langle 1, f \rangle \\ &= \left\langle \frac{g - g(\alpha)}{z - \alpha}, (T^* - \bar{\alpha})f \right\rangle + g(\alpha) \langle 1, f \rangle = g(\alpha) \langle 1, f \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $f = \langle 1, f \rangle K_{\alpha}$.

(ii) If $g \in H^2(\beta)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle g, (T^* - \bar{\alpha}) \left(\frac{1}{n!} K_{\alpha, n} \right) \right\rangle &= \left\langle (z - \alpha)g, \frac{1}{n!} K_{\alpha, n} \right\rangle = \frac{1}{n!} ((z - \alpha)g)^{(n)}(\alpha) \\ &= \frac{1}{(n-1)!} g^{(n-1)}(\alpha) = \left\langle g, \frac{1}{(n-1)!} K_{\alpha, n-1} \right\rangle. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 2. *If M is an invariant subspace for T^* then*

$$\sigma_{\text{ap}}(T^*|M) \cap \{|z| < 1\} = \sigma_p(T^*|M).$$

PROOF. Let $\bar{\alpha} \in \sigma_{\text{ap}}(T^*|M)$ with $|\alpha| < 1$. Then there exists a sequence of functions $\{f_n\}$ in M such that $\|f_n\| = 1$ and $\|(T^* - \bar{\alpha})f_n\| \rightarrow 0$. Let $f_n = c_n K_\alpha + g_n$, where $g_n \perp K_\alpha$. Then $\|(T^* - \bar{\alpha})g_n\| \rightarrow 0$. The subspace $(T^* - \bar{\alpha})\{K_\alpha\}^\perp$ is the range of $T^* - \bar{\alpha}$, which is closed by Proposition 8.13 of [1], so $T^* - \bar{\alpha}: \{K_\alpha\}^\perp \rightarrow (T^* - \bar{\alpha})\{K_\alpha\}^\perp$ is invertible. This implies $T^* - \bar{\alpha}$ is bounded below on $\{K_\alpha\}^\perp$, so $\|g_n\| \rightarrow 0$. Since $\|f_n\|$ and $\|g_n\|$ are bounded, the sequence $\{c_n\}$ is bounded, so it has a convergent subsequence $\{c_{n_k}\}$. Let $c = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} c_{n_k}$. Then $f_{n_k} \rightarrow cK_\alpha$, so $\bar{\alpha} \in \sigma_p(T^*|M)$. \square

LEMMA 3. *If $f \in H^2(\beta)$ and there is a constant C such that $\|q(T^*)f\| \leq C\|q\|$ for all polynomials q , then*

$$\sigma(T^*|[f]_\star) \cap \{|z| < 1\} = \sigma_p(T^*|[f]_\star).$$

PROOF. Let $\bar{\alpha} \in \sigma(T^*|[f]_\star)$ with $|\alpha| < 1$. By Lemma 2, if $\bar{\alpha} \in \sigma_{\text{ap}}(T^*|[f]_\star)$, then $\bar{\alpha} \in \sigma_p(T^*|[f]_\star)$, so assume $\bar{\alpha}$ is in the compression spectrum. Then there exists a nonzero function g in $[f]_\star \ominus (T^* - \bar{\alpha})[f]_\star$. Let $g^*(z) = \overline{g(\bar{z})}$, and let $\{q_n\}$ be a sequence of polynomials such that $q_n \rightarrow g^*$ (in $H^2(\beta)$). Then

$$\|q_n(T^*)f - q_m(T^*)f\| \leq C\|q_n - q_m\| \rightarrow 0$$

as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$, so $\{q_n(T^*)f\}$ converges. Let $h = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_n(T^*)f$. Then $h \in [f]_\star$ and, for any nonnegative integer k , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (T^* - \alpha)h, z^k \rangle &= \langle h, (z - \alpha)z^k \rangle = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle q_n(T^*)f, (z - \alpha)z^k \rangle \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle f, (z - \alpha)z^k \overline{q_n(\bar{z})} \rangle = \langle f, (z - \alpha)z^k g \rangle \\ &= \langle (T^* - \bar{\alpha})T^{*k}f, g \rangle = 0, \end{aligned}$$

so $(T^* - \bar{\alpha})h = 0$.

If $h = 0$, then, for any nonnegative integer k , we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \langle h, z^k \rangle = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle q_n(T^*)f, z^k \rangle \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle f, q_n(\bar{z})z^k \rangle = \langle f, gz^k \rangle = \langle T^{*k}f, g \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

so $g \perp [f]_\star$, contradicting the assumption that g is a nonzero function in $[f]_\star$. Thus $h \neq 0$, so $\bar{\alpha} \in \alpha_p(T^*|[f]_\star)$.

COROLLARY 1. *If T is subnormal and $f \in H^\infty(\beta)$, then*

$$\sigma(T^*|[f]_\star) \cap \{|z| < 1\} = \alpha_p(T^*|[f]_\star).$$

PROOF. Let M_f be the operator on $H^2(\beta)$ defined by $M_f g = fg$. Then M_f is bounded. Let q be a polynomial and let $q^*(z) = \overline{q(\bar{z})}$. Then since T is subnormal, $q^*(T)$ is also subnormal, so, in particular, it is hyponormal, so

$$\begin{aligned} \|q(T^*)f\| &= \|(q^*(T))^*f\| \leq \|q^*(T)f\| \\ &= \|q^*(z)f\| \leq \|M_f\| \|q^*\| = \|M_f\| \|q\|. \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 4. Let f be a nonzero function in $H^2(\beta)$, such that

$$R^{2n} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{[\beta(k+n)]^4}{[\beta(k)]^2} |\hat{f}(k+n)|^2 \rightarrow 0$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for some $R > 1$. If $1/R < r < 1$, then the intersection $\sigma(T^*[[f]_*]) \cap \{|z| < r\}$ is nonempty.

PROOF. Suppose $\sigma(T^*[[f]_*]) \cap \{|z| < r\} = \emptyset$. Then $(T^*[[f]_*])^{-1}$ exists and $\sigma((T^*[[f]_*])^{-1}) \subseteq \{|z| < 1/r\}$. Hence, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(T^*[[f]_*])^{-n}\|^{1/n} \leq 1/r$ so, since $1/r < R$, there exists N such that $\|(T^*[[f]_*])^{-n}\|^{1/n} \leq R$, for all $n \geq N$. Thus, for $n \geq N$, we have $\|(T^*[[f]_*])^{-n}\| \leq R^n$. In particular,

$$\|f\| = \|(T^*[[f]_*])^{-n} T^{*n} f\| \leq R^n \|T^{*n} f\|,$$

so

$$\|f\|^2 \leq R^{2n} \|T^{*n} f\|^2 = R^{2n} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{[\beta(k+n)]^4}{[\beta(k)]^2} |\hat{f}(k+n)|^2 \rightarrow 0$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, contradicting the assumption that f is nonzero. \square

If $f \in H^2(\beta)$ and $R > 0$, let f_R be the function defined by $f_R(z) = f(Rz)$.

LEMMA 5. Let $f \in H^2(\beta)$ and $R > 1$. If $f_R \in H^2(\beta)$ and $|\alpha| < 1$, then

$$((T^* - \bar{\alpha})f)_R \in H^2(\beta).$$

PROOF. Let $h = (T^* - \bar{\alpha})f$. Then

$$\hat{h}(n) = \hat{f}(n+1) \frac{\beta(n+1)}{\beta(n)} - \bar{\alpha} \hat{f}(n),$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\hat{h}(n)|^2 R^{2n} [\beta(n)]^2 &\leq 2 \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} [\beta(n+1)]^2 |\hat{f}(n+1)|^2 R^{2n} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + |\alpha|^2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} [\beta(n)]^2 |\hat{f}(n)|^2 R^{2n} \right) < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

so $h_R \in H^2(\beta)$. \square

LEMMA 6. If $|\alpha| < 1$ and $K_{\alpha, n} \in [(T^* - \bar{\alpha})^n f]_*$, then $K_{\alpha, n+m} \in [f]_*$.

PROOF. By induction it suffices to show that if $K_{\alpha, n} \in [(T^* - \bar{\alpha})f]_*$, then $K_{\alpha, n+1} \in [f]_*$. If $K_{\alpha, n} \in [(T^* - \bar{\alpha})f]_*$, then $K_{\alpha, n} \in [f]_*$, so since

$$(1/n!)(T^* - \bar{\alpha})^n K_{\alpha, n} = K_{\alpha}$$

(by Lemma 1), it follows that $K_{\alpha} \in [f]_*$. Let Q be the orthogonal projection from $[f]_*$ to $[f]_* \cap \{K_{\alpha}\}^{\perp}$. Since $K_{\alpha, n} \in [(T^* - \bar{\alpha})f]_*$, there exists a sequence of polynomials $\{q_k\}$ such that $q_k(T^*)(T^* - \bar{\alpha})f \rightarrow K_{\alpha, n}$ (as $k \rightarrow \infty$). Let $f_k = Qq_k(T^*)f$. Then $f_k \in [f]_*$ and $(T^* - \bar{\alpha})f_k \rightarrow K_{\alpha, n}$.

The sequence $\{\langle 1, f_k \rangle\}$ is bounded, since $|\langle 1, f_k \rangle| \leq \|f_k\|$ and $T^* - \bar{\alpha}$ is bounded below on $\{K_\alpha\}^\perp$. Hence, it has a convergent subsequence $\{\langle 1, f_{k_j} \rangle\}$. Let $d = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \langle 1, f_{k_j} \rangle$. If $g \in H^2(\beta)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle g, f_{k_j} \rangle &= \left\langle \frac{g - g(\alpha)}{z - \alpha} (z - \alpha), f_{k_j} \right\rangle + \langle g(\alpha), f_{k_j} \rangle \\ &= \left\langle \frac{g - g(\alpha)}{z - \alpha}, (T^* - \bar{\alpha}) f_{k_j} \right\rangle + g(\alpha) \langle 1, f_{k_j} \rangle \\ &\rightarrow \left(\frac{g - g(\alpha)}{z - \alpha} \right)^{(n)} (\alpha) + dg(\alpha) \\ &= \frac{1}{n+1} g^{(n+1)}(\alpha) + dg(\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $f_{k_j} \rightarrow (1/(n+1))K_{\alpha, n+1} + dK_\alpha$ weakly as $j \rightarrow \infty$, so $K_{\alpha, n+1} \in [f]_*$. \square

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. Let f be a function analytic in a neighborhood of the unit disk and not contained in a finite-dimensional T^* -invariant subspace. Then there exists $R > 1$ such that $f_R \in H^2(\beta)$.

Let q be a polynomial with $q(z) = \sum_{k=0}^N a_k z^k$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|q(T^*)f\|^2 &= \left\| \sum_{n=0}^N a_n \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{[\beta(k+n)]^2}{[\beta(n)]^2} \hat{f}(k+n) z^n \right\|^2 \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{k=0}^N a_k \frac{[\beta(k+n)]^2}{[\beta(n)]^2} \hat{f}(k+n) \right|^2 [\beta(n)]^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^N |a_k| \frac{[\beta(k+n)]^2}{[\beta(n)]^2} |\hat{f}(k+n)| \right)^2 [\beta(n)]^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^N |a_k|^2 [\beta(k)]^2 \right) \\ &\quad \times \left(\sum_{k=0}^N |\hat{f}(k+n)|^2 \frac{[\beta(k+n)]^4}{[\beta(k)]^2 [\beta(n)]^4} \right) [\beta(n)]^2 \\ &= \|q\|^2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^N |\hat{f}(k+n)|^2 \frac{[\beta(k+n)]^4}{[\beta(k)]^2 [\beta(n)]^2}. \end{aligned}$$

So to show that there exists a constant C such that $\|q(T^*)f\| \leq C\|q\|$ for any polynomial q , it is enough to show that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |\hat{f}(k+n)|^2 \frac{[\beta(k+n)]^4}{[\beta(k)]^2 [\beta(n)]^2} < \infty.$$

Let $1 < R' < R$. Then there is a constant C_1 such that $1/\beta(n) \leq C_1(R')^n \leq C_1(R')^{n+k}$ for all nonnegative integers n and k . Then since $[\beta(k+n)]^2/[\beta(k)]^2 \leq 1$,

we get

$$|\hat{f}(k+n)|^2 \frac{[\beta(k+n)]^4}{[\beta(k)]^2[\beta(n)]^2} \leq C_1^2 |\hat{f}(k+n)|^2 [\beta(k+n)]^2 (R')^{2(k+n)}.$$

Since $R' < R$, there is a constant C_2 such that $(n+1)(R')^{2n} \leq C_2 R^{2n}$, so

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |\hat{f}(k+n)|^2 [\beta(k+n)]^2 (R')^{2(k+n)} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1) |\hat{f}(n)|^2 [\beta(n)]^2 (R')^{2n} \\ &\leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\hat{f}(n)|^2 [\beta(n)]^2 C_2 R^{2n} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Fix $1/R < r < 1$ and let $1/r < R_1 < R_2 < R$. Then for sufficiently large n , we have $|\hat{f}(n)| \leq 1/R_2^n$, so for such an n ,

$$\begin{aligned} R_1^{2n} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{[\beta(k+n)]^4}{[\beta(k)]^2} |\hat{f}(k+n)|^2 &\leq R_1^{2n} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{[\beta(k+n)]^4}{[\beta(k)]^2} \left(\frac{1}{R_2}\right)^{2(k+n)} \\ &= \left(\frac{R_1}{R_2}\right)^{2n} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{[\beta(k+n)]^4}{[\beta(k)]^2} \left(\frac{1}{R_2}\right)^{2k} \leq \left(\frac{R_1}{R_2}\right)^{2n} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{R_2}\right)^{2k} \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, so by Lemmas 3 and 4, the intersection $\{|z| < r\} \cap \sigma_p(T^*|[f]_{\star})$ is nonempty.

Choose α_0 such that $\bar{\alpha}_0 \in \{|z| < r\} \cap \sigma_p(T^*|[f]_{\star})$. If $\alpha_k, k = 0, \dots, m-1$, are defined, let $f_m = (T^* - \bar{\alpha}_0) \cdots (T^* - \bar{\alpha}_{m-1})f$. Since f is not contained in a finite-dimensional T^* -invariant subspace, it follows that $f_m \neq 0$. By Lemma 5 we have $(f_m)_R \in H^2(\beta)$ so, again by Lemmas 3 and 4, the intersection $\{|z| < r\} \cap \sigma_p(T^*|[f_m]_{\star})$ is nonempty, so choose α_m such that $\bar{\alpha}_m \in \{|z| < r\} \cap \sigma_p(T^*|[f_m]_{\star})$. In this way we obtain a sequence $\{\alpha_k\}$ of points in the disk $\{|z| < r\}$.

Suppose α occurs in $\{\alpha_k\}$ at least j times, and let N be the positive integer such that the j th occurrence of α in $\{\alpha_k\}$ is α_N . Then

$$K_{\alpha} \in [f_{N-1}]_{\star} = \left[\prod_{k=0}^{N-1} (T^* - \bar{\alpha}_k) f \right]_{\star} \subseteq [(T^* - \bar{\alpha})^{j-1} f]_{\star},$$

so, by Lemma 6, the function $K_{\alpha, j-1}$ is in $[f]_{\star}$, and $g^{(j-1)}(\alpha) = 0$ for all g in $[f]_{\star}^{\perp}$. Since this holds for any α occurring in $\{\alpha_k\}$ and any j such that α occurs at least j times in $\{\alpha_k\}$, any function g in $[f]_{\star}^{\perp}$ has zeros at each α_k , with multiplicities according to the number of occurrences in $\{\alpha_k\}$. Since $\{\alpha_k\}$ is an infinite sequence contained in $\{|z| < r\}$, this implies $[f]_{\star}^{\perp} = \{0\}$, so f is cyclic.

3. Finite-dimensional T^* -invariant subspaces.

THEOREM 2. *Every finite-dimensional T^* -invariant subspace is of the form*

$$\left((z - \alpha_1)^{k_1} \cdots (z - \alpha_n)^{k_n} H(\beta) \right)^{\perp}$$

for some $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ in the open unit disk and k_1, \dots, k_n positive integers.

PROOF. Let M be a finite-dimensional T^* -invariant subspace. Then $T^*|_M$ is an operator on the finite-dimensional space M , so it can be put in Jordan form. Thus M is the direct sum of invariant subspaces Y such that $T^*|_Y$ has Jordan form

$$\begin{pmatrix} \bar{\alpha} & & 0 \\ 1 & & \\ 0 & 1 & \bar{\alpha} \end{pmatrix}$$

for some α in \mathbf{C} , and since $\|T^*\| = 1$, we have $|\alpha| < 1$. This means that Y has a basis f_0, \dots, f_k such that $(T^* - \bar{\alpha})f_0 = 0$ and $(T^* - \bar{\alpha})f_i = f_{i-1}$ for $i > 0$. I will show that

$$f_i = \sum_{j=0}^i \frac{1}{j!} \langle 1, f_{i-j} \rangle K_{\alpha, j}.$$

The proof is by induction on i . For any $g \in H^2(\beta)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle g, f_0 \rangle &= \left\langle \frac{g - g(\alpha)}{z - \alpha} (z - \alpha), f_0 \right\rangle + \langle g(\alpha), f_0 \rangle \\ &= \left\langle \frac{g - g(\alpha)}{z - \alpha}, (T^* - \bar{\alpha})f_0 \right\rangle + \langle 1, f_0 \rangle g(\alpha) = \langle 1, f_0 \rangle g(\alpha), \end{aligned}$$

so $f_0 = \langle 1, f_0 \rangle K_{\alpha, 0}$. For any $g \in H^2(\beta)$ we have

$$\langle g, f_i \rangle = \left\langle \frac{g - g(\alpha)}{z - \alpha} (z - \alpha), f_i \right\rangle + \langle g(\alpha), f_i \rangle.$$

The first term is

$$\left\langle \frac{g - g(\alpha)}{z - \alpha}, (T^* - \bar{\alpha})f_i \right\rangle = \left\langle \frac{g - g(\alpha)}{z - \alpha}, f_{i-1} \right\rangle,$$

so if

$$f_{i-1} = \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \frac{1}{j!} \langle 1, f_{(i-1)-j} \rangle K_{\alpha, j},$$

then it becomes

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\langle \frac{g - g(\alpha)}{z - \alpha}, \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \frac{1}{j!} \langle 1, f_{(i-1)-j} \rangle K_{\alpha, j} \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \frac{1}{j!} \langle 1, f_{(i-1)-j} \rangle \left(\frac{g - g(\alpha)}{z - \alpha} \right)^{(j)} (\alpha) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \frac{1}{j!} \langle 1, f_{(i-1)-j} \rangle g^{(j+1)}(\alpha) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^i \frac{1}{j!} \langle 1, f_{i-j} \rangle g^{(j)}(\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

Since the second term is $g(\alpha)\langle 1, f_i \rangle = (1/0!)\langle 1, f_{i-0} \rangle g^{(0)}(\alpha)$, we get

$$\langle g, f_i \rangle = \sum_{j=0}^i \frac{1}{j!} \langle 1, f_{i-j} \rangle g^{(j)}(\alpha),$$

so

$$f_i = \sum_{j=0}^i \frac{1}{j!} \langle 1, f_{i-j} \rangle K_{\alpha, j}.$$

Since it is possible to solve for each $K_{\alpha, i}$ in terms of the f_i 's, the set $\{K_{\alpha, 0}, \dots, K_{\alpha, k}\}$ is a basis for Y . Since M is the direct sum of spaces like Y , it follows that

$$M = \left((z - \alpha_1)^{k_1} \dots (z - \alpha_n)^{k_n} H^2(\beta) \right)^\perp$$

for some $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ in the open unit disk and k_1, \dots, k_n positive integers. \square

Using Theorem 2, Theorem 1 can be restated as follows.

THEOREM 1'. *If f is analytic in a neighborhood of the unit disk and f is not a linear combination of finitely many functions of the form $K_{\alpha, n}$, where $|\alpha| < 1$ and n is a nonnegative integer, then f is cyclic for T^* .*

REFERENCES

1. J. B. Conway, *Subnormal operators*, Pitman, Boston, Mass., 1981.
2. R. G. Douglas, H. S. Shapiro and A. L. Shields, *Cyclic vectors and invariant subspaces for the backward shift operator*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **20** (1970), 37-76.
3. A. L. Shields, *Weighted shift operators and analytic function theory*, Topics in Operator Theory, Math Surveys, vol. 13 (C. Pearcy, ed.), Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1974, pp. 49-128.
4. S. Walsh, *Cyclic vectors for the backward Bergman shift*, Dissertation, Univ. of California, 1984.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94720

Current address: Department of Mathematics, Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana 47907