

FINITE RANK PERTURBATIONS OF SINGULAR SPECTRA

JAMES S. HOWLAND¹

ABSTRACT. Let T be selfadjoint, and V nonnegative of finite rank, with the range of V cyclic for T . Then the singular parts of T and $H = T + V$ are supported on two sets S_T and S_H such that the multiplicity of T on $S_T \cap S_H$ is less than the rank of V .

1. Introduction. In [3], Donoghue, following earlier work of Aronszajn, proved

1. THEOREM. *Let ϕ be a cyclic vector for a selfadjoint operator T . For real $c \neq 0$, the singular parts of T and $H = T + c\langle \cdot, \phi \rangle \phi$ are supported on disjoint sets.*

To generalize this result to perturbations of rank higher than one is not completely straightforward, as a consideration of matrix examples will easily show. A certain generalization to nonnegative perturbations was given by the author in [4]. The criterion of that paper will be applied here to prove the following result:

2. THEOREM. *Let T be selfadjoint, V a nonnegative operator of finite rank, and $H = T + V$. Assume that the range of V is cyclic for T . Let μ_T and $n_T(\lambda)$ be a scalar spectral measure and multiplicity function of T , and define*

$$G = \{\lambda: n_T(\lambda) = \text{rank } V\}.$$

Then there exist sets S_T and S_H supporting the singular parts of T and H such that $S_T \cap G$ and S_H are disjoint.

Note that $n_T(\lambda)$ cannot exceed the rank of V when the range of V is cyclic.

This has the following corollary, which is interesting even for matrices.

3. COROLLARY. *Let T be selfadjoint, V nonnegative of finite rank, and the range of V cyclic for T . If λ is an eigenvalue of T with multiplicity equal to the rank of V , then λ is not an eigenvalue of $H = T + V$.*

For related work, see also [1, 2, 5].

2. Proofs. Note that the basic Hilbert space \mathcal{H} is separable because the range $V\mathcal{H}$ of V is cyclic. Multiplicity theory is therefore applicable.

For $\varepsilon > 0$, define the function

$$\delta_\varepsilon(t) = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{\varepsilon}{t^2 + \varepsilon^2}.$$

As observed by Donoghue [3, §1], the singular part of T is supported by the measurable set

$$S_T = \left\{ \lambda: \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \langle \delta_\varepsilon(T - \lambda)x, x \rangle = \infty \text{ for some } x \in V\mathcal{H} \right\}$$

and similarly for H .

Received by the editors March 4, 1985 and, in revised form, July 5, 1985.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 47A55.

¹Supported by NSF Grant MCS-82-02115-01.

Let $v_1 \geq v_2 \geq \dots \geq v_r > 0$ be the nonzero eigenvalues of V , and ϕ_1, \dots, ϕ_r the corresponding normalized eigenvectors, which therefore are an orthonormal basis of $V\mathcal{X}$. Because $V\mathcal{X}$ is cyclic, one may choose

$$\mu_T(S) = \sum_{j=1}^r \langle E_T[S]\phi_j, \phi_j \rangle$$

as the scalar spectral measure, where E_T is the spectral measure of T . Thus, $\langle E_T(d\lambda)\phi_i, \phi_j \rangle$ is absolutely continuous with respect to μ_T . Define $M_{ij}(\lambda)$ μ_T -a.e. to be the Radon-Nikodým derivative

$$\langle E_T(d\lambda)\phi_i, \phi_j \rangle = M_{ij}(\lambda)\mu_T(d\lambda)$$

and $M(\lambda)$ to be the nonnegative matrix $M(\lambda) = \{M_{ij}(\lambda)\}_{i,j=1,\dots,r}$. We shall regard $M(\lambda)$ as an operator on the space $V\mathcal{X}$. Let $m(\lambda)$ be the smallest eigenvalue of $M(\lambda)$:

$$m(\lambda) = \inf\{\langle M(\lambda)u, u \rangle : |u|^2 = 1, u \in V\mathcal{X}\},$$

where $|u|$ is the norm of u in \mathcal{X} . Since u may be restricted to a countable dense set, $m(\lambda)$ is measurable. One has

$$(1) \quad M(\lambda) \geq m(\lambda)P$$

where P is the projection onto $V\mathcal{X}$. Note also that

$$(2) \quad m(\lambda) \leq 1.$$

Clearly, for all Borel sets S , $\langle E_T[S]\phi_i, \phi_i \rangle \leq \mu_T(S)$ so that $M_{ii}(\lambda) \leq 1$ μ_T -a.e., and hence

$$m(\lambda) \leq \min_{1 \leq i \leq r} M_{ii}(\lambda) \leq 1.$$

4. LEMMA. *One has*

$$n_T(\lambda) = \text{rank } M(\lambda) \quad \mu_T\text{-a.e.}$$

PROOF. This undoubtedly follows from the readers' favorite version of multiplicity theory. The *author's* favorite version is the Kato-Kuroda construction of direct integrals by spectral forms [6, 7]. In that terminology, let $\mathcal{X} = V\mathcal{X}$, and

$$f(\lambda, u) = \sum_{ij=1}^r u_i \bar{u}_j M_{ij}(\lambda) \equiv \langle M(\lambda)u, u \rangle$$

for $u = u_1\phi_1 + \dots + u_r\phi_r \in \mathcal{X}$. Then (f, \mathcal{X}) is a spectral form for T with respect to μ_T , and the direct integral

$$\mathcal{X} \cong \int_{\sigma(T)}^{\oplus} \mathcal{X}(\lambda)\mu_T(d\lambda)$$

diagonalizes T , where $\mathcal{X}(\lambda)$ is the (completion of) the quotient space $\mathcal{X}/\{u \in \mathcal{X} : f(\lambda, u) = 0\}$. In this case, no completion is needed, since $\mathcal{X}(\lambda)$ is the finite-dimensional space $V\mathcal{X}/\ker M(\lambda)$ whose dimension is $\text{rank } M(\lambda)$. \square

The theorem of [4] will now be recalled. Let \mathcal{K} be another Hilbert space, and $A: \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ bounded. Let T be selfadjoint, and assume that $A\mathcal{K}$ is cyclic for T .

5. PROPOSITION. *The singular part of $H = T + AA^*$ is supported on the complement of the set of points λ for which there is an $\eta > 0$ such that*

$$(3) \quad A^* \delta_\varepsilon(T - \lambda)A \geq \eta I$$

for all sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$.

Note that I in (3) is the identity on \mathcal{K} , not \mathcal{H} . Note also that Proposition 5 implies Theorem 1 if one takes $\mathcal{K} = \mathbf{C}$ (the complex numbers) and $A^* = c^{1/2} \langle \cdot, \phi \rangle$ (not A , as the misprint in [4] has it). Note finally that although T was assumed bounded in [4], the proof there goes through *unchanged* for unbounded T .

To prove Theorem 2, factor $V = AA^*$ through the space $\mathcal{K} = V\mathcal{H} = V^{1/2}\mathcal{H}$ by defining $A: V^{1/2}\mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ as $Au = V^{1/2}u$. Then $A^*: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow V^{1/2}\mathcal{H}$ is also $A^*u = V^{1/2}u$, and $V = AA^*$. The identity I in (3) is now the projection P onto $V\mathcal{H}$.

For fixed λ and $u \in V\mathcal{H}$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \langle A^* \delta_\varepsilon(T - \lambda)Au, u \rangle &= \langle \delta_\varepsilon(T - \lambda)V^{1/2}u, V^{1/2}u \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^r u_i \bar{u}_j v_i^{1/2} v_j^{1/2} \langle \delta_\varepsilon(T - \lambda)\phi_i, \phi_j \rangle \\ &\geq v_r \langle \delta_\varepsilon(T - \lambda)u, u \rangle = v_r \int \delta_\varepsilon(t - \lambda) \langle M(t)u, u \rangle \mu_T(dt) \\ &\geq v_r \|u\|^2 \int \delta_\varepsilon(t - \lambda) m(t) \mu_T(dt) \end{aligned}$$

where (1) was used at the last step. Let F be the set of all λ for which

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \int \delta_\varepsilon(t - \lambda) m(t) \mu_T(dt) = \infty.$$

By (2), $F \subset S_T$, while by Proposition 5, its complement F^c supports the singular part of H . (In fact, by the proof in [5], $S_H \subset F^c$.)

Now [3, §1] F supports the singular part of the measure $m(t)\mu_T(dt)$. The measure $\chi_G(t)\mu_T(dt)$ has the same null sets, because $G = \{t: m(t) > 0\}$, and its singular part is supported by $S_T \cap G$. Thus F and $S_T \cap G$ differ only by a set of μ_T -measure zero. The result is then obtained by replacing S_T by $S'_T = S_T \sim (G \cap S_T \cap F^c)$. \square

REFERENCES

1. R. Bouldin, *On the perturbation of the singular spectrum*, Pacific J. Math. **34** (1970), 569–583.
2. R. W. Carey and J. D. Pincus, *Unitary equivalence modulo the trace class for selfadjoint operators*, Amer. J. Math. **98** (1976), 481–514.
3. W. F. Donoghue, *On the perturbation of spectra*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **18** (1965), 559–579.
4. J. S. Howland, *On a theorem of Aronszajn and Donoghue on singular spectra*, Duke Math. J. **41** (1974), 141–143.
5. —, *On the Kato-Rosenblum Theorem*, Pacific J. Math. (to appear).
6. T. Kato and S. T. Kuroda, *The abstract theory of scattering*, Rocky Mountain J. Math. **1** (1971), 127–171.
7. —, *Theory of simple scattering and eigenfunction expansions*, Functional Analysis and Related Fields (F. Browder, ed.), Springer-Verlag, New York, 1970, pp. 99–131.