## A FIXED POINT THEOREM FOR LOCALLY NONEXPANSIVE MAPPINGS IN NORMED SPACES

## C. WATERS

ABSTRACT. It is shown that global conditions in a recent result of W. A. Kirk can be replaced with the corresponding local conditions in case the domain is connected. Also a remark is made about the proof of the theorem referenced.

1. In this paper we adopt the notation of [2]. Let X be a compact subset of a normed linear space E, and T:  $X \to X$  be a mapping. We let  $\Delta'X$  denote the boundary of X in  $\overline{co}X$ . The mapping T is locally nonexpansive (contractive) if for each  $x \in X$  there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  so that whenever y and z are distinct points in X and y,  $z \in B(x, \varepsilon)$ ,  $||T(y) - T(z)|| \le ||y - z|| (||T(y) - T(z)|| < ||y - z||)$ . The mapping T is called nonexpansive (contractive) if for each  $x \in X$ ,  $\varepsilon$  is unbounded.

A metric space (X, d) is chainable if for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  and points x and y in X, there exists a finite set of distinct points  $x = x_1, \ldots, x_n = y$  in X so that  $d(x_i, x_{i+1}) \le \varepsilon$  for each  $i = 1, \ldots, n - 1$ .

Rosenholtz [3] proved the following lemma.

LEMMA 1. Let (X, d) be a compact and connected metric space. Then for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ and  $x, y \in X$  there exists an  $\varepsilon$ -chain between x and y, and the mapping  $d_{\varepsilon}: X \times X \to R$ , defined by

(1) 
$$d_{\epsilon}(x, y) = \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} d(x_i, x_{i+1}) \middle| x = x_1, \dots, x_n = y \right\}$$

is an  $\varepsilon$ -chain between x and y,

is a metric on X equivalent to d. Furthermore, for each  $x, y \in X$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists an  $\varepsilon$ -chain  $x = x_1, \ldots, x_n = y$  so that

(2) 
$$d_{e}(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} d(x_{i}, x_{i+1}).$$

2.

LEMMA 2. Let X be a compact connected subset of a Banach space. If  $f: X \to X$  is locally nonexpansive on X and locally contractive on  $\Delta X$  there exists  $\delta > 0$  so that f is

©1986 American Mathematical Society 0002-9939/86 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

Received by the editors June 25, 1984 and, in revised form, July 15, 1985.

<sup>1980</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 47H10; Secondary 54H25.

Key words and phrases. Locally contractive, locally nonexpansive, chainable.

nonexpansive and locally contractive on  $\Delta' X$  with respect to  $d_{\delta}$  as defined in (1), and  $d_{\delta}(x, y) = ||x - y||$  if either  $||x - y|| \leq \delta$  or  $[x, y] \subseteq X$ .

**PROOF.** By the compactness of X there exists  $\delta_1 > 0$  so that if  $x, y \in X$  and  $||x - y|| < \delta_1$ , then  $||f(x) - f(y)|| \le ||x - y||$ . Also by the compactness of  $\Delta'X$  there exists  $\delta_2 > 0$  so that for all distinct points  $x, y \in \Delta'X$  with  $||x - y|| < \delta_2$ , ||f(x) - f(y)|| < ||x - y||. Let  $\delta = 2^{-1} \min{\{\delta_1, \delta_2\}}$ . By Lemma 1 we may choose the metric  $d \equiv d_{\delta}$  as defined in (1) to remetrize X. The second assertion in Lemma 2 easily follows from the definition of d and the triangle inequality of the metric induced by the norm. To see the first assertion, let  $x, y \in X$  and  $x = x_1, \ldots, x_n = y$  be a  $\delta$ -chain in X from x to y satisfying (2).

By the local nonexpansiveness of f

(3) 
$$d(f(x), f(y)) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} d(f(x_i), f(x_{i+1})) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} ||f(x_i) - f(x_{i+1})||$$
$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} ||x_i - x_{i+1}|| = d(x, y).$$

Therefore f is nonexpansive with respect to d.

The local contractiveness of f with respect to d follows from the definition of  $\delta$ , and the fact that d(x, y) = ||x - y|| if  $||x - y|| < \delta$ .

LEMMA 3. Let X, f, satisfy the hypotheses of Lemma 2, and d satisfy the conclusion of Lemma 2. If  $x, y \in X$ ,  $x = x_1, ..., x_n = y$  is a  $\delta$ -chain from x to y satisfying (2), and d(f(x), f(y)) = d(x, y), then there does not exist consecutive points of  $x = x_1, ..., x_n = y$  in  $\Delta' X$ .

**PROOF.** Suppose there exists  $j \in \{1, ..., n-1\}$  so that  $x_j, x_{j+1} \in \Delta' X$ . Then by Lemma 2 and (2),

(4) 
$$d(f(x), f(y)) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} d(f(x_i), f(x_{i+1}))$$
$$< \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} d(x_i, x_{i+1}) = d(x, y)$$

But (4) contradicts d(f(x), f(y)) = d(x, y).

**THEOREM 1.** Let X be a compact nonempty connected subset of a Banach space. If  $f: X \to X$  is locally nonexpansive on X and locally contractive on  $\Delta'X$ , then f has a fixed point.

**PROOF.** Let d be the metric as guaranteed in Lemma 2. For a subset A of X, let  $\overline{\delta}(A)$  and  $\delta(A)$  be the d-diameter and norm diameter of A respectively. By [2] we can choose a minimal invariant nonempty subset M of X with minimal d-diameter. By [1] f restricted to M is a d-isometry.

We first show  $\delta(M) = \overline{\delta}(M)$ . By Lemma 2 it is sufficient to show for all  $m_1, m_2 \in M, [m_1, m_2] \subseteq X$ . For  $m_1, m_2 \in M$  let  $m_1 = x_1, \ldots, x_n = m_2$  be a  $\delta$ -chain satisfying (2). If for some  $i = 1, \ldots, n-1, [x_i, x_{i+1}] \notin X$ , we may choose distinct

points  $z_1, z_2 \in [x_i, x_{i+1}] \cap \Delta' X$  so that  $||x_i - x_{i+1}|| = ||x_i - z_1|| + ||z_1 - z_2|| + ||z_2 - x_{i+1}||$ . Then by (1)

(5) 
$$d(f(m_1), f(m_2)) \leq d(f(x_1), f(x_2)) + \dots + d(f(x_1), f(z_1)) + d(f(z_1), f(z_2)) + \dots + d(f(x_{n-1}), f(x_n)) < d(m_1, m_2).$$

But (5) contradicts that f is a d-isometry on M. Hence for i = 1, ..., n - 1,  $[x_i, x_{i+1}] \subseteq X$ .

Let  $\sigma \equiv d(m_1, m_2)$ , and let  $g: [0, \sigma] \to X$  be the arc length parametrization of the polygonal path

(6) 
$$P \equiv \bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} [x_i, x_{i+1}],$$

with  $g(0) = x_1$  and  $g(\sigma) = x_n$ . Let

(7) 
$$A \equiv \left\{ t \in [0,\sigma] | [m_1,g(s)] \subseteq X \text{ for } s \in [0,t] \right\}.$$

The set A is trivially nonempty. Let  $u \equiv \sup\{t | t \in A\}$ . We show  $u = \sigma$ . Let  $\{t_n\}$  be a sequence in A with  $\{t_n\} \uparrow u$ , and  $s \in [0, 1]$ . Then,

(8) 
$$||(1-s)x_1 + sg(u) - (1-s)x_1 - sg(t_n)|| = s||g(u) - g(t_n)||.$$

Since X is closed and g is continuous, (8) implies  $(1 - s)x_1 + sg(u) \in X$ . Hence  $[x_1, g(u)] \subseteq X$ .

If  $u < \sigma$ , we can choose a sequence  $\{t_n\}$  in  $[0, \sigma]$  decreasing to u, and points  $z_n$ ,  $y_n$  in  $[m_1, g(t_n)]$  so that  $||z_n - y_n|| > \delta$  and  $[z_n, y_n] \subset \operatorname{co} X \setminus X$ . If for some  $n y_n$ ,  $z_n$  cannot be chosen so that  $||z_n - y_n|| > \delta$ , we can form a  $\delta$ -chain  $m_1 = y_1, \ldots, y_k = g(t_n)$  along  $[m_1, g(t_n)]$  containing two consecutive boundary points so that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \|y_i - y_{i+1}\| = d(m_1, g(t_n)).$$

Then by (1)  $m_1 = y_1, \ldots, y_k, x_{j+1}, \ldots, x_n = m_2$ , where  $g(t_n) \in [x_j, x_{j+1}]$  is a  $\delta$ -chain satisfying (2) with respect to  $m_1$  and  $m_2$ . Applying Lemma 3 we reach a contradiction. By the compactness of  $\overline{co} X$  we may assume there exist distinct points y, z in  $\overline{co} X$  so that  $z_n \to z$  and  $y_n \to y$ . By the continuity of g and the definition of  $\Delta' X$ 

(9) 
$$[z, y] \subseteq [x_1, g(u)] \cap \Delta' X.$$

Let  $g(u) \in [x_i, x_{i+1}]$ . Then by (1)

(10) 
$$d(m_1, m_2) = ||m_1 - g(u)|| + d(g(u), x_{j+1}) + \cdots + d(x_{n-1}, x_n).$$

By (9) we may choose a  $\delta$ -chain  $m_1 = y_1, \ldots, y_k = g(u)$  in  $[m_1, g(u)]$  containing two consecutive points in  $\Delta' X$  satisfying  $||m_1 - g(u)|| = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} d(y_i, y_{i+1})$ . Then by (10)

(11) 
$$d(f(m_1), f(m_2)) \leq d(f(y_1), f(y_2)) + \dots + d(f(y_{k-1}), f(y_k))$$
  
  $+ d(f(y_k), f(x_{j+1})) + \dots + d(f(x_{n-1}), f(x_n))$   
  $< d(m_1, m_2).$ 

But (11) contradicts that f restricted to M is a d-isometry. Thus  $[m_1, m_2] \subseteq X$ . It now follows that  $\delta(M) = \overline{\delta}(M)$ .

Note that for each pair of points  $m_1$ ,  $m_2$  in M with  $d(m_1, m_2) \ge \delta$  there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  so that if  $y, z \in X$  with  $y \in B_d(m_1, \varepsilon)$  and  $z \in B_d(m_2, \varepsilon)$ , then d(y, z) = ||y - z||. If this is not the case, there exist  $m_1, m_2 \in M$  with  $d(m_1, m_2) \ge \delta$ ; so for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exist  $y_{\varepsilon} \in B_d(m_1, \varepsilon)$ ,  $z_{\varepsilon} \in B_d(m_2, \varepsilon)$  and  $a_{\varepsilon}, b_{\varepsilon} \in [y_{\varepsilon}, z_{\varepsilon}] \cap \operatorname{co} X \setminus X$  so that  $||a_{\varepsilon} - b_{\varepsilon}|| > \delta$ . By the compactness of  $\operatorname{co} X$  we can choose distinct points a, b in X so that  $[a, b] \subseteq [m_1, m_2] \cap \Delta X$ . But then we can form a  $\delta$ -chain from  $m_1$  to  $m_2$  along  $[m_1, m_2]$  containing consecutive points in  $\Delta X$  and apply Lemma 3 to reach a contradiction.

Let  $A \equiv \{(u, v) \in M \times M | d(u, v) \ge \delta\}$ . By the compactness of A we can choose a number  $\varepsilon$  in  $(0, 3^{-1}\delta)$  so that if (u, v) is in A and  $y, z \in X$  with  $y \in B_d(u, \varepsilon)$  and  $z \in B_d(v, \varepsilon)$ , then d(y, z) = ||y - z||. By the definition of  $\varepsilon$  and by the triangle inequality,

(12) for all 
$$u, v \in M$$
, and  $y \in B_d(u, \varepsilon)$  and  $z \in B_d(v, \varepsilon)$ ,  
$$d(y, z) = ||y - z||.$$

If  $\delta(M) \neq 0$ , we can choose distinct points  $m_1, m_2 \in M$  and  $t \in (0, 1)$  so that  $x_0 \equiv (1 - t)m_1 + tm_2$  is in the interior of X with respect to co X and  $d(x_0, m_1) < \epsilon$ . Otherwise we can choose a  $\delta$ -chain satisfying (2) between  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  along  $[m_1, m_2]$ , containing two consecutive points in  $\Delta' X \cap B_b(m_1, \epsilon)$  and contradict  $d(f(m_1), f(m_2)) = d(m_1, m_2)$ .

Let N denote the set of nonnegative integers. Also for each  $x \in X$ , let  $w(x) \equiv \overline{\{f^n(x) | n \in N\}}$ . By the minimality of M,  $w(m_1) = M$  and for each  $n \in N$ ,  $f^n(M) = M$ .

By the normal structure of co M there exist  $y \in co M$ , and a real number r satisfying  $0 < r < \delta(M)$  so that  $||y - m|| \le r$  for all  $m \in M$ .

Since  $x_0$  is in the interior of X with respect to co X and  $y \in co X$ , there exists  $s \in (0, 1)$  so that  $z \equiv (1 - s)x_0 + sy \in B_d(m_1, \varepsilon) \cap X$ . Then for all  $m \in M$ ,

(13) 
$$||z - m|| \leq (1 - s)||x_0 - m|| + s||y - m|| \leq (1 - s)\delta(M) + sr.$$

Let  $\bar{r} \equiv (1 - s)\delta(M) + sr$ . Since  $s \in (0, 1)$ ,  $\bar{r} < \delta(M)$ . Let  $m, m_n \in M$  so that for all  $n \in N$ ,  $f''(m_n) = m$ . Then by the nonexpansiveness of f, and (12) and (13)

(14) 
$$d(f^{n}(z), m) = d(f^{n}(z), f^{n}(m_{n})) \leq d(z, m_{n})$$
$$= ||z - m_{n}|| \leq \bar{r}.$$

We show next that  $\overline{\delta}(w(z)) < \overline{\delta}(M)$ , which will contradict the definition of M and imply  $\overline{\delta}(M) = 0$ .

By the continuity of f it suffices to show for all  $m, n \in N$ ,  $d(f^n(z), f^m(z)) \leq \bar{r}$ . Since  $z \in co M$ , by (14),  $||f^n(z) - z|| \leq \bar{r}$ . By (12) for  $m, n \in N$  with m > n,

(15) 
$$d(f^{m}(z), f^{n}(z)) \leq d(f^{m-n}(z), z) = ||f^{m-n}(z) - z|| \leq \bar{r}.$$

Therefore  $\overline{\delta}(w(z)) \leq \overline{r}$ . But (15) contradicts the definition of M. Hence  $\delta(M) = 0$ . Since  $M \neq \emptyset$ ,  $M = \{m\}$  for some  $m \in X$ . Therefore f(m) = m. 3. We now comment on Theorem (1) in [2]. After choosing a minimal nonempty compact invariant set M with minimal diameter, Kirk claimed  $co M \subseteq X$  by showing for all  $m_1, m_2 \in M$ ,  $[m_1, m_2] \subseteq X$ . Clearly it is not enough to show  $co M \subseteq X$  unless one also shows  $[m_1, m_2] \subseteq M$ . However, one can avoid this situation by choosing an interior point  $x_0$  as in Theorem 1 and then show  $\delta(w(w_0)) < \delta(M)$ .

## References

1. H. Freundenthal and W. Hurewicz, Duhnungen, Verkurzungen, Isometrien, Fund. Math. 26 (1936), 120-122.

2. W. A. Kirk, Remarks on fixed points and boundaries, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 87 (1983), 62-64.

3. I. Rosenholtz, *Evidence of a conspiracy among fixed point theorems*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **52** (1975), 312–318.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MANKATO STATE UNIVERSITY, MANKATO, MINNESOTA 56001