

## A NOTE ON ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOR OF SOLUTIONS TO A HETEROGENEOUS NONLINEAR REACTION-DIFFUSION SYSTEM

SINING ZHENG

**ABSTRACT.** A result on asymptotic behavior of solutions to a heterogeneous nonlinear reaction-diffusion system with homogeneous Neumann boundary condition is obtained, which improves the results in [5].

**1. Introduction.** The large time behavior of solutions to systems of nonlinear reaction-diffusion equations, namely, the decay to the spatially homogeneous solutions, was studied by E. Conway, D. Hoff, and J. Smoller [2]. The corresponding heterogeneous case was considered by Y. Su. [5]. This paper improves the main result of [5].

We consider the heterogeneous system

$$(1.1) \quad u_t = (1/\varepsilon^2)D\Delta u + f(x, t, u), \quad (x, t) \in \Omega \times \mathbf{R}^+,$$

with initial condition

$$(1.2) \quad u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad x \in \bar{\Omega},$$

and homogeneous Neumann boundary condition

$$(1.3) \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial n}(x, t) = 0, \quad (x, t) \in \partial\Omega \times \mathbf{R}^+,$$

where  $u \in \mathbf{R}^n$ ,  $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^m$  is a bounded domain,  $\partial\Omega$  is the boundary of  $\Omega$ ,  $\partial/\partial n$  represents the outward normal derivative on  $\partial\Omega$ ,  $D$  is an  $n \times n$  matrix,  $f: \Omega \times \mathbf{R}^+ \times \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$  is a nonlinear vector function, and  $\varepsilon > 0$  is a small parameter concerning the diffusion strength.

We will approximate the solutions of (1.1)–(1.3) by corresponding spatially homogeneous solutions and give the estimate of the “error”.

**2. Main result.** We need the following assumptions:

(A-1) System (1.1) admits a bounded invariant region [1]  $\Sigma \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ .

(A-2) The diffusion matrix  $D$  is positive definite, i.e.,

$$\langle Dv, v \rangle \geq d|v|^2 \quad \forall v \in \mathbf{R}^n,$$

where  $d > 0$  is the smallest (positive) eigenvalue of  $D$ .

(A-3)  $f(x, t, u) \in C^{1,0,1}(\Omega \times \mathbf{R}^+ \times \mathbf{R}^n)$ , i.e.,  $f$  has bounded first order derivatives with respect  $x \in \Omega$  and  $u \in \Sigma$ .

---

Received by the editors May 15, 1985 and, in revised form, August 12, 1985.  
1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 35K60; Secondary 35B40.

©1986 American Mathematical Society  
0002-9939/86 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

Denote

$$\begin{aligned} M &= \max\{|df_u|: u \in \Sigma, x \in \bar{\Omega}, t \in \mathbf{R}^+\}, \\ N(t) &= \max\{|df_x|: u \in \Sigma, x \in \bar{\Omega}\}, \\ \bar{u}(t) &= \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} u(x, t) dx, \\ \bar{f}(t, u) &= \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} f(x, t, u) dx, \\ \sigma &= d\lambda - M\varepsilon^2, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\lambda > 0$  is the principal eigenvalue of  $-\Delta$  on  $\Omega$  with homogeneous Neumann boundary condition,  $|\Omega|$  is the measure of  $\Omega$ .

Our main result is

**THEOREM.** *Assume (A-1)–(A-3) hold,  $u_0(x) \in \Sigma$  and  $\sigma > 0$ . Then the solution  $u(x, t)$  of (1.1)–(1.3) satisfies*

$$(2.1) \quad \|u(x, t) - \bar{u}(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq \lambda^{-1/2} \|\nabla u_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)} e^{-\sigma t/2\varepsilon^2} + \lambda^{-1/2} N(t) (\varepsilon^2/\sigma) |\Omega|^{1/2}, \quad t \in \mathbf{R}^+,$$

and  $\bar{u}(t)$  satisfies

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{d\bar{u}(t)}{dt} = \bar{f}(t, \bar{u}) + p(t), & t \in \mathbf{R}^+, \\ \bar{u}(0) = \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} u_0(x) dx, \end{cases}$$

where

$$(2.3) \quad |p(t)| \leq M\lambda^{-1/2} |\Omega|^{-1/2} \|\nabla u_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)} e^{-\sigma t/2\varepsilon^2} + MN(t) \lambda^{-1/2} \sigma^{-1} \varepsilon^2, \quad t \in \mathbf{R}^+.$$

**PROOF.** Here we will use the following facts, the proof of which can be found in Appendix A of [2]:

For  $w \in W_2^2(\Omega)$ ,  $\partial w/\partial n|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$ , we have

$$(2.4) \quad \|\Delta w\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \geq \lambda \|\nabla w\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2,$$

$$(2.5) \quad \|\nabla w\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \geq \lambda \|w - \bar{w}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2.$$

Define

$$\varphi(t) = \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi'(t) &= \int_{\Omega} \langle \nabla u, \nabla u_t \rangle dx = \int_{\Omega} \left\langle \nabla u, \nabla \left( \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} D\Delta u + f \right) \right\rangle dx \\ &= - \int_{\Omega} \left\langle \Delta u, \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} D\Delta u \right\rangle dx + \int_{\Omega} \langle \nabla u, df_u \cdot \nabla u + df_x \rangle dx \\ &\leq - \frac{d\lambda}{\varepsilon^2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx + M \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \delta \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \frac{N^2}{4\delta} |\Omega| \\ &= 2 \left( M - \frac{d\lambda}{\varepsilon^2} + \delta \right) \varphi(t) + \frac{N^2}{4\delta} |\Omega| \\ &= 2 \left( -\frac{\sigma}{\varepsilon^2} + \delta \right) \varphi(t) + \frac{N^2}{4\delta} |\Omega|. \end{aligned}$$

Put

$$\psi(t) = \varphi(t) + \left[ 2 \left( -\frac{\sigma}{\varepsilon^2} + \delta \right) \right]^{-1} \frac{N^2}{4\delta} |\Omega|.$$

Then we have

$$\psi'(t) \leq 2(-\sigma/\varepsilon^2 + \delta)\psi(t)$$

and hence

$$\psi(t) \leq \psi(0)e^{2(-\sigma/\varepsilon^2 + \delta)t}.$$

Take  $\delta = \sigma/2\varepsilon^2$ , then  $2(-\sigma/\varepsilon^2 + \delta) = -\sigma/\varepsilon^2 < 0$ . So

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(t) &\leq \left( \varphi(0) - \frac{N^2\varepsilon^4}{2\sigma^2} |\Omega| \right) e^{-\sigma t/\varepsilon^2} + \frac{N^2\varepsilon^4}{2\sigma^2} |\Omega| \\ &\leq \varphi(0) e^{-\sigma t/\varepsilon^2} + \frac{N^2\varepsilon^4}{2\sigma^2} |\Omega|, \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq \|\nabla u_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 e^{-\sigma t/\varepsilon^2} + \frac{N^2\varepsilon^4}{\sigma^2} |\Omega|, \quad t \in \mathbf{R}^+.$$

By using (2.5) we get

$$\|u(x, t) - \bar{u}(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq \lambda^{-1} \|\nabla u_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 e^{-\sigma t/\varepsilon^2} + \frac{N^2\varepsilon^4}{\sigma^2} \lambda^{-1} |\Omega|, \quad t \in \mathbf{R}^+,$$

and hence obtain (2.1).

To prove (2.2) and (2.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\bar{u}(t)}{dt} &= \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} u_t(x, t) dx = \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} D\Delta u + f \right) dx \\ &= \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} f(x, t, u) dx \\ &= \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} f(x, t, \bar{u}) dx + \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} (f(x, t, u) - f(x, t, \bar{u})) dx \\ &\equiv \bar{f}(t, \bar{u}) + p(t). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} |p(t)| &\leq \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} |f(x, t, u) - f(x, t, \bar{u})| dx \\ &\leq \frac{M}{|\Omega|} |\Omega|^{1/2} \left( \int_{\Omega} |u(x, t) - \bar{u}(t)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \\ &= M |\Omega|^{-1/2} \|u(x, t) - \bar{u}(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \\ &\leq M \lambda^{-1/2} |\Omega|^{-1/2} \|\nabla u_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)} e^{-\sigma t/2\varepsilon^2} + MN(t) \lambda^{-1/2} \sigma^{-1} \varepsilon^2, \quad t \in \mathbf{R}^+. \end{aligned}$$

This proves (2.2) and (2.3),

The proof of the theorem is completed.

**COROLLARY 1.** *If  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} N(t) = 0$ , then*

$$(2.6) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|u(x, t) - \bar{u}(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} = 0,$$

$$(2.7) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} |p(t)| = 0.$$

*In particular, if  $D$  is a diagonal matrix, then (2.6) can be strengthened to*

$$(2.8) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|u(x, t) - \bar{u}(t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} = 0.$$

PROOF. (2.6) and (2.7) result from (2.1) and (2.3), respectively. The proof of (2.8) can be done as the same as that in Appendix B of [2].

COROLLARY 2. *If  $f = f(t, u)$  instead of  $f(x, t, u)$  in (1.1), then the asymptotic behavior of the solution  $u(x, t)$  of (1.1)–(1.3) is the same as that in the case  $f = f(u)$ , i.e.,  $u(x, t)$  decays to  $\bar{u}(t)$  exponentially (see Theorem 3.1 of [2]).*

PROOF. This is the straightforward result of our above theorem and Theorem 3.1 of [2].

**3. Remark.** Our theorem improves the result of Theorem 1 of [5]. First, condition  $2d\lambda - (2M + 1)\varepsilon^2 < 0$  in [5] is weakened to  $d\lambda - M\varepsilon^2 < 0$ . Second, the “error” term estimated by us is  $O(\varepsilon^2)$ , not merely  $O(\varepsilon)$ . Third, the conditions of our theorem do not depend on  $\max\{df_x : u \in \Sigma, x \in \bar{\Omega}, t \in \mathbf{R}^+\}$ , while our conclusions deal with  $N(t) = \max\{df_x : u \in \Sigma, x \in \bar{\Omega}\}$ . In addition, if we consider  $|\Omega|$  as a parameter, then our theorem tells that the “error” term is  $O(|\Omega|^{1/2+3/m})$ , where  $m$  is the dimension of  $x$ -space, since  $\lambda$  is inversely proportional to the squared diameter of  $\Omega$  [3].

We note as well that assumption (A-1) can be weakened to the condition that the solution of (1.1) is bounded uniformly in  $\Omega \times \mathbf{R}^+$ .

By the way, we point out that the key inequality in the proof of Theorem 2 (and hence of Theorem 3) in [5] is not true: one cannot deduce

$$|\xi(t)| \leq \xi(0)e^{-\gamma t} = (N\varepsilon^2/\gamma)e^{-\gamma t}$$

from

$$d\xi(t)/dt \leq -\gamma\xi(t), \quad \xi(0) = -N\varepsilon^2/\gamma \quad ((2.5) \text{ of } [5]).$$

The correct inequality is the converse one

$$|\xi(t)| \geq \xi(0)e^{-\gamma t} = (N\varepsilon^2/\gamma)e^{-\gamma t},$$

which is useless to that proof.

**4. Example.** To illustrate our result, let us consider a heterogeneous reaction-diffusion system of a competitor-competitor model [4]

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} d_1 \frac{\partial^2 u_1}{\partial x^2} + [a_1(x) - b_1(x)u_1 - c_1(x)u_2]u_1, \\ \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} d_2 \frac{\partial^2 u_2}{\partial x^2} + [a_2(x) - b_2(x)u_2 - c_2(x)u_1]u_2, \end{cases} \quad (x, t) \in (0, 1) \times \mathbf{R}^+,$$

with initial condition

$$(4.2) \quad u_i(x, 0) = u_{i0}(x), \quad i = 1, 2, \quad x \in [0, 1],$$

and boundary condition

$$(4.3) \quad \partial u_i / \partial x |_{x=0,1} = 0, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Here  $u_1(x, t)$  and  $u_2(x, t)$  denote the population densities of two competitors with diffusion constants  $d_1/\varepsilon^2$  and  $d_2/\varepsilon^2$ , respectively. The term

$$[a_i(x) - b_i(x)u_i - c_i u_k]u_i \quad (i = 1, 2, \quad k \neq i)$$

represents the new growth rate of the  $i$ th competitor  $u_i$ , where  $a_i(x)$ ,  $b_i(x)$ , and  $c_i(x)$  are its intrinsic growth rate, intra- and interspecific competition coefficients, respectively. We suppose here, being the functions of spatial position  $x$ ,  $a_i(x)$ ,  $b_i(x)$ ,  $c_i(x) \in C^1(0, 1)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ .

It is easy to check that problem (4.1)–(4.3) satisfies assumptions (A-1)–(A-3). Denote

$$L_i = \max_{x \in [0,1]} \frac{a_i(x)}{b_i(x)}, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

then  $\Sigma = [0, L_1] \times [0, L_2]$  is an invariant region.

For simplicity, we just consider a specific case:

$$\begin{aligned} a_1(x) &= 1 - \left(x - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2, & a_2(x) &= \frac{3}{4} + \left(x - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2, \\ b_1 &= b_2 = c_1 = c_2 = 1, & d_1 &= d_2 = 1. \end{aligned}$$

This means that the only difference between  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  is on their intrinsic growth rate functions. They prefer growing near the center and the boundary, respectively, of the habitat.

The invariant region is  $\Sigma = [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$  and the principal eigenvalue of  $-\Delta$  is  $\pi^2$ . In addition, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(x, u) &= \begin{bmatrix} \left(1 - \left(x - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 - u_1 - u_2\right)u_1 \\ \left(\frac{3}{4} + \left(x - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 - u_2 - u_1\right)u_2 \end{bmatrix}, \\ df_u &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 - \left(x - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 - 2u_1 - u_2 & -u_1 \\ -u_2 & \frac{3}{4} + \left(x - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 - 2u_2 - u_1 \end{bmatrix}, \\ df_x &= \begin{bmatrix} -2\left(x - \frac{1}{2}\right)u_1 \\ 2\left(x - \frac{1}{2}\right)u_2 \end{bmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$N = \max_{\substack{x \in [0,1] \\ (u_1, u_2) \in [0,1] \times [0,1]}} |df_x| = \sqrt{2}.$$

It is well known that

$$\text{norm of } n \times n \text{ matrix } A = (\text{greatest eigenvalue of } A^T A)^{1/2}$$

and that the greatest eigenvalue of a nonnegative definite matrix is no more than the trace of the matrix. So, by a computation we get

$$\begin{aligned} M &= \max_{\substack{x \in [0,1] \\ (u_1, u_2) \in [0,1] \times [0,1]}} |df_u| \\ &\leq \max_{\substack{x \in [0,1] \\ (u_1, u_2) \in [0,1] \times [0,1]}} \left\{ \left[1 - \left(x - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 - 2u_1 - u_2\right]^2 + u_1^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + u_2^2 + \left[\frac{3}{4} + \left(x - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 - 2u_2 - u_1\right]^2 \right\}^{1/2} \\ &\leq \sqrt{194}/4. \end{aligned}$$

Our theorem tells that if  $u_0(x) \in \Sigma$  and the diffusion is strong enough, namely,  $\varepsilon^2 < 4\pi^2/\sqrt{194}$ , then the limit of  $\|u(x, t) - \bar{u}(t)\|_{L^2}$  is no more than

$$\sqrt{2}\varepsilon^2[\pi(\pi^2 - \sqrt{194}\varepsilon^2/4)]^{-1}$$

as  $t$  tends to infinity.

#### REFERENCES

1. K. Chueh, C. Conley, and J. Smoller, *Positively invariant region for systems of nonlinear diffusion equations*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **26** (1977), 373–392.
2. E. Conway, D. Hoff, and J. Smoller, *Large time behavior of solutions of systems of nonlinear reaction-diffusion equations*, SIAM J. Appl. Math. **35** (1978), 1–16.
3. R. Courant and D. Hilbert, *Methods of mathematical physics*, Vol. 1, Interscience, New York, 1962.
4. N. Shigesada and J. Roughgarden, *The role of rapid dispersal in the population dynamics of competition*, Theoret. Population Biol. **21** (1982), 353–372.
5. Y. Su, *Asymptotic behavior of solutions of heterogeneous nonlinear reacting and diffusing systems*, Nonlinear Anal. **9** (1985), 275–288.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN, ANN ARBOR, MICHIGAN 48109

DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, DALIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, DALIAN, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA (Current address)