## THE SUP = MAX PROBLEM FOR $\delta$

## ANDREW J. BERNER<sup>1</sup> AND ISTVÁN JUHÁSZ

ABSTRACT. Let  $\delta(X) = \sup\{d(D): D \text{ is a dense subspace of } X\}$ . It is shown that if  $\kappa$  is a limit cardinal, but not a strong limit, and  $\mathrm{cf}(\kappa) > \omega$ , then there is a 0-dimensional Hausdorff space X such that  $\delta(X) = \kappa$ , but for all dense  $D \subset X$ ,  $d(D) < \kappa$ . For all other values of  $\kappa$ , if X is Hausdorff and  $\delta(X) = \kappa$ , then there is a dense  $D \subset X$  such that  $d(D) = \kappa$ .

1. Introduction. We consider the SUP = MAX problem for the cardinal function  $\delta$  defined as

$$\delta(X) = \sup\{d(D): D \text{ is a dense subspace of } X\}.$$

For Hausdorff spaces, the solution is given by Theorem 1.

It is easy to verify that  $d(X) \le \delta(X) \le d(X) \cdot t(X)$ . Let  $X = 2^{\omega_1}$ . Then  $d(X) = \omega$ . However,  $\Sigma(2^{\omega_1}) \subset X$  is dense, and  $d(\Sigma(2^{\omega_1})) = \omega_1 = w(X)$ . Thus we have an example where  $\delta(X) > d(X)$ .

THEOREM 1. If  $\kappa$  is a limit cardinal, but not a strong limit, and  $\operatorname{cf}(\kappa) > \omega$ , then there is a 0-dimensional Hausdorff space X such that  $\delta(X) = \kappa$ , but for all dense  $D \subset X$ ,  $d(D) < \kappa$ . Otherwise, if X is Hausdorff and  $\delta(X) = \kappa$ , then there is a dense  $D \subset X$  such that  $d(D) = \kappa$ .

We will prove Theorem 1 in §§2 and 3.

As always with the SUP = MAX problem, we need only consider the case where  $\delta(X) = \kappa$  is a limit. It is easy to see that the theorem fails for non-Hausdorff X. Suppose, for example,  $\kappa = \bigcup_{\alpha < cf(\kappa)} \kappa_{\alpha}$ . Let  $\{X_{\alpha} : \alpha < cf(\kappa)\}$  be a pairwise disjoint collection of sets with  $|X_{\alpha}| = \kappa_{\alpha}$ . Let  $X = \bigcup_{\alpha < cf(\kappa)} X_{\alpha}$ . Define a set  $O \subset X$  to be open if either  $O = \emptyset$  or  $|X_{\alpha} - O| < \kappa_{\alpha}$  for all  $\alpha < cf(\kappa)$ . X is  $T_1$  but not  $T_2$ . Since  $X_{\alpha}$  is dense in X,  $\delta(X) = \kappa$ . If  $D \subset X$  is dense, then  $|D \cap X_{\alpha}| = \kappa_{\alpha}$  for some  $\alpha < cf(\kappa)$  (otherwise D is closed), and then  $D \cap X_{\alpha}$  is dense, so  $d(D) < \kappa$ . Thus SUP = MAX fails for all limits.

We will use the following notation. If S is a set,  $\sigma(S) = \{ p \in 2^S : |p \leftarrow (1)| < \omega \}$ . Note that  $\sigma(S)$  is dense in  $2^S$ . If S is a set, H(S) is the collection of all finite partial functions from S into  $\{0,1\}$ . If  $h \in H(S)$ , then  $\langle h \rangle = \{ p \in 2^S : p \text{ extends } h \}$ . Thus  $\{\langle h \rangle : h \in H(S)\}$  is the standard basis for  $2^S$ .

For the rest of the paper, we will assume that all spaces are Hausdorff.

Received by the editors July 29, 1985 and, in revised form, September 20, 1985.

<sup>1980</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification (1985 Revision). Primary 54A25.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This research partially supported by the O'Hara Chemical Sciences Institute of the University of Dallas.

**2. When SUP** = MAX. We first prove the second part of the theorem. As noted, we may assume  $\kappa$  is a limit cardinal. Suppose  $\delta(X) = \kappa$  and  $\kappa$  is a strong limit (i.e. if  $\lambda < \kappa$  then  $2^{\lambda} < \kappa$ ). Then  $d(X) = \kappa$  since  $|X| \le \exp(\exp(d(X)))$  [1, Theorem 2.4] and  $\delta(X) \le |X|$ .

Suppose  $\delta(X) = \kappa$  and  $cf(\kappa) = \omega$ . Let

$$\mathscr{B} = \{ O \subset X : O \neq \emptyset \text{ is open and if } U \subset O \text{ is open then } \delta(U) = \delta(O) \}.$$

If V is an open set, we can choose an open  $O \subset V$  such that  $\delta(O) = \min\{\delta(O'): O' \text{ is open and } O' \subset V\}$ . Then  $O \in \mathcal{B}$  so  $\mathcal{B}$  is a  $\pi$ -base for X. Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a maximal collection of pairwise disjoint elements of  $\mathcal{B}$ .

Case 1.  $|\mathcal{M}| = \kappa$ . Suppose D is dense in X. We show  $d(D) \ge \kappa$ . Let  $S \subset D$  be dense. Then S is dense in X, thus  $S \cap M \ne \emptyset$  for all  $M \in \mathcal{M}$ , so  $|S| \ge \kappa$ . Therefore,  $d(D) = \kappa$  (since  $\delta(X) = \kappa$ ).

Case 2a.  $|\mathcal{M}| < \kappa$ , but for all  $M \in \mathcal{M}$ ,  $\delta(M) < \kappa$ . There cannot be a cardinal  $\lambda < \kappa$  s.t.  $\delta(M) \le \lambda$  for all  $M \in \mathcal{M}$ , since if there were, suppose D is a dense subset of X. Then for each  $M \in \mathcal{M}$  there is  $D_M \subset D \cap M$  which is dense in  $D \cap M$  such that  $|D_M| \le \delta(M) \le \lambda$ . Then  $\bigcup_{M \in \mathcal{M}} D_M$  is dense in D, since  $\mathcal{M}$  was maximal and  $\mathcal{B}$  was a  $\pi$ -base. However,  $\bigcup_{M \in \mathcal{M}} D_M| \le \lambda \cdot |\mathcal{M}|$ . This implies that  $\delta(X) \le \lambda \cdot |\mathcal{M}| < \kappa$ , so there can be no such  $\lambda$ . Thus there is a sequence  $\langle \kappa_i : i \in \omega \rangle$  converging to  $\kappa$  and a sequence  $\langle M_i : i \in \omega \rangle$  with  $M_i \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $\delta(M_i) > \kappa_i$  for all i. Let

$$\mathcal{M}' = \{ M_i : i \in \omega \} \cup \{ \bigcup \{ M \in \mathcal{M} : M \neq M_i \text{ for all } i \in \omega \} \}.$$

 $\mathcal{M}'$  is a maximal pairwise disjoint collection of open sets in X. For each i, choose a set  $D_i \subset M_i$  such that  $d(D_i) > \kappa_i$  and  $D_i$  is dense in  $M_i$ . Then  $D = \bigcup_{i \in \omega} D_i \cup \bigcup \{ M \in \mathcal{M} : M \neq M_i \text{ for all } i \in \omega \}$  is a dense subset of X. Suppose D' is a dense subset of D. Then  $D' \cap D_i$  is dense in  $D_i$ , thus  $|D' \cap D_i| > \kappa_i$ . Since the collection  $\{ D_i : i \in \omega \}$  is pairwise disjoint,  $|D'| \geqslant |\bigcup_{i \in \omega} D' \cap D_i| = \kappa$ . Thus  $d(D) = \kappa$  (since  $\delta(X) = \kappa$ ,  $d(D) \leqslant \kappa$ ).

Case 2b. There is  $M \in \mathcal{M}$  s.t.  $\delta(M) = \kappa$  (note that since  $\delta(0) \leq \delta(X)$  for all open  $O \subset X$ , we cannot have  $\delta(M) > \kappa$ ).

Since X is Hausdorff, we can choose a countable maximal collection  $\{M_i: i \in \omega\}$  of pairwise disjoint open subsets of M. By the definition of  $\mathcal{M}$ ,  $\delta(M_i) = \kappa$  for all i. Choose a sequence  $\langle \kappa_i: i \in \omega \rangle$  of cardinals converging to  $\kappa$  with  $\kappa_i < \kappa$  for each i. Choose a dense  $D_i \subset M_i$  s.t.  $d(D_i) > \kappa_i$ . Let  $D = \bigcup_{i \in \omega} D_i \cup (X - M)$ . By an argument similar to Case 2a,  $d(D) = \kappa$ .

It was in this last argument that we needed to know that  $cf(\kappa) = \omega$ , since we could only guarantee that we could choose a countable collection of pairwise disjoint open subsets of M.

When SUP = MAX fails. Suppose  $\kappa$  is a limit cardinal, but not a strong limit, and  $cf(\kappa) > \omega$ . We will construct a space  $X \subset 2^{\kappa}$  such that  $\delta(X) = \kappa$ , but for all dense  $D \subset X$ ,  $d(D) < \kappa$ .

Choose  $\lambda < \kappa$  such that  $2^{\lambda} \ge \kappa$ . It is well known that  $2^{\kappa}$  has a dense subset S with  $|S| = \lambda$ .

Let  $\langle \kappa_{\alpha} : \alpha < cf(\kappa) \rangle$  be an increasing sequence of cardinals converging to  $\kappa$  with  $\kappa_0 = 0$  and  $\kappa_1 = \lambda$ . For each  $\alpha < cf(\kappa)$ , let  $\hat{\alpha} = [\kappa_{\alpha}, \kappa_{\alpha+1})$ . If  $\beta < \kappa$ , Let  $\alpha(\beta)$  be the unique  $\alpha < cf(\kappa)$  such that  $\beta \in \hat{\alpha}$ , and if  $J \subset \kappa$ , let  $\alpha(J) = \{\alpha(\beta) : \beta \in J\}$ .

For  $\alpha < cf(\kappa)$  define

$$X_{\alpha} = \{ p \in 2^{\kappa} : p \mid \hat{\alpha} \in \sigma(\hat{\alpha}) \text{ and there is } s \in S \text{ such that } p \mid (\pi - \hat{\alpha}) = s \mid (\kappa - \hat{\alpha}) \}.$$

Let  $X = \bigcup_{\alpha < cf(\kappa)} X_{\alpha}$ . Since S is dense in  $2^{\kappa}$  and  $\sigma(\hat{\alpha})$  is dense in  $2^{\hat{\alpha}}$ ,  $X_{\alpha}$  is dense in X for each  $\alpha$ . Also (since  $d(\sigma(\hat{\alpha})) = \kappa_{\alpha+1} \ge \lambda$ )  $d(X_{\alpha}) = \kappa_{\alpha+1}$ . Thus  $\delta(X) \ge \kappa$ , and, since  $w(X) = w(2^{\kappa}) = \kappa$ ,  $\delta(X) = \kappa$ .

Suppose D is a dense subset of X. We must show that  $d(D) < \kappa$ . Note in what follows, that, since X is dense in  $2^{\kappa}$ , if O is an open subset of  $2^{\kappa}$ ,  $O \cap D \neq \emptyset$  if and only if  $O \neq \emptyset$ .

Suppose  $h \in H(\kappa)$ . We will say h is good if there is  $\beta < cf(\kappa)$  s.t.  $\langle h \rangle \cap D \cap X_{\beta} \neq \emptyset$  and  $\beta \notin \alpha(dom(h))$ . Otherwise, we will say h is bad. (Of course, whether h is good or bad depends upon D.)

For each  $s \in S$ , let

$$A_s = \left\{ \beta \colon \exists p \in X_\beta \cap D \text{ such that } p \mid (\kappa - \hat{\beta}) = s \mid (\kappa - \hat{\beta}) \right\}.$$

If  $A_s$  is finite, let  $B_s = A_s$ . If  $A_s$  is infinite, let  $B_s$  be a countably infinite subset of  $A_s$ .

For each  $s \in S$  and  $\beta \in B_s$ , choose  $p(s,\beta) \in D \cap X_\beta$  such that  $p(s,\beta) | (\kappa - \hat{\beta}) = s | (\kappa - \hat{\beta})$  (i.e.  $p(s,\beta)$  is a witness to  $\beta \in B_s$ ). Let  $D_G = \{ p(s,\beta) : s \in S, \beta \in B_s \}$ . Then  $|D_G| \leq \lambda$ .

If  $h \in H(\kappa)$  is bad, let  $D_h = \{ \beta < \operatorname{cf}(\kappa) \colon D \cap X_\beta \cap \langle h \rangle \neq \emptyset \}$ . Then, by the definition of "bad",  $D_h \subset \alpha(\operatorname{dom}(h))$ . Let  $\mathscr{J} \subset H(\kappa)$  be a maximal collection such that if  $h \in \mathscr{J}$ , then h is bad and if  $h_1, h_2 \in \mathscr{J}$  and  $h_1 \neq h_2$ , then  $\langle h_1 \rangle \cap \langle h_2 \rangle = \emptyset$ . Since  $c(2^{\kappa}) = \omega$ ,  $|\mathscr{J}| \leq \omega$ . Let  $J = \bigcup \{ D_h \colon h \in \mathscr{J} \}$ . Since  $D_h$  is finite for each  $h \in \mathscr{J}$ ,  $|J| \leq \omega$ . Finally, let  $D_B = \bigcup \{ D \cap X_\beta \colon \beta \in J \}$ . Since  $|X_\beta| \leq \lambda \cdot |\hat{\beta}| = \kappa_{\beta+1} < \kappa$ , and  $|J| \leq \omega < \operatorname{cf}(\kappa)$ , it follows that  $|D_B| < \kappa$ .

We can now show that  $D_G \cup D_B$  is a dense subset of D. Suppose  $h \in H(\kappa)$ . If h is good, then there is  $\beta < \mathrm{cf}(\kappa)$  and  $p \in \langle h \rangle \cap D \cap X_{\beta}$  such that  $\beta \notin \alpha(\mathrm{dom}(h))$ . Choose  $s \in S$  such that  $p \mid (\kappa - \hat{\beta}) = s \mid (\kappa - \hat{\beta})$ . Then  $s \in \langle h \rangle$ . If  $A_s$  is finite (and thus  $B_s = A_s$ ), let  $\beta' = \beta$ . If  $B_s$  is infinite, choose  $\beta' \in B_s - \alpha(\mathrm{dom}(h))$ . Either way,  $\beta' \in B_s - \alpha(\mathrm{dom}(h))$ . Since  $p(s, \beta') \mid (\kappa - \hat{\beta}') = s \mid (\kappa - \hat{\beta}')$  and  $s \in \langle h \rangle$ , then  $p(s, \beta') \in \langle h \rangle \cap D_G$ .

If h is bad, then there is  $h' \in \mathscr{J}$  such that  $\langle h \rangle \cap \langle h' \rangle \neq \varnothing$ . Let  $D \cap X_{\beta} \cap \langle h \rangle \cap \langle h' \rangle \neq \varnothing$ . Then  $\beta \in D_{h'} \subset j$ , so  $D_B \cap \langle h \rangle \neq \varnothing$ . Thus  $D_G \cup D_B$  is a dense subset of D. Since  $|D_G| \leq \lambda < \kappa$ , and  $|D_B| < \kappa$ ,  $|D_G| < \kappa$ .

**4. Questions about compact spaces.** For any space X,  $\delta(X) \leq \pi(X)$ . It is shown in [J, Theorem 3.14c] that if X is compact, then X has a dense left separated sequence of order type  $\pi(X)$ . If  $\pi(X)$  is regular, then this sequence has density  $\pi(X)$ , so we

have shown that if X is compact and  $\pi(X)$  is regular, then  $\delta(X) = \pi(X)$ , and SUP = MAX holds for  $\delta$ . This raises the following two questions:

- (a) If X is compact, does  $\delta(X) = \pi(X)$ ?
- (b) If X is compact, does SUP = MAX hold for  $\delta$ ?

## REFERENCES

1. István Juhász, Cardinal functions—Ten years later, Math. Centre Tract 123, Amsterdam, 1980.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF DALLAS, IRVING, TEXAS

HUNGARIAN ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, BUDAPEST, HUNGARY (Current address of István Juhász)

Current address (A. J. Berner): Hypergraphics Corporation, Denton, Texas 76021