NOTE ON COMPATIBLE VECTOR TOPOLOGIES

JERZY KĄKOL

ABSTRACT. Let $\langle X,Y\rangle$ be a dual pair. Then X admits the finest locally convex topology μ which is compatible with $\langle X,Y\rangle$. In contrast, it is proved that there is no finest vector topology on X which is compatible with $\langle X,Y\rangle$ provided X contains a μ -dense subspace of infinite codimension.

Introduction. Let τ be a vector topology on a (vector) space X different from the finest one of X and compatible with a dual pair $\langle X, Y \rangle$, i.e. Y is the topological dual of (X, τ) .

- (a) Does there exist on X a vector topology which is strictly finer than τ and compatible with $\langle X, Y \rangle$?
 - (b) Does there exist on X the finest vector topology compatible with $\langle X, Y \rangle$?

We prove that (a) has a positive and (b) a negative solution whenever X contains a τ -dense subspace of infinite codimension. In fact we obtain a stronger result (Theorem 2). Some applications are also included.

The author wishes to express his gratitude to Professor H. H. Schæfer for his comments concerning the previous form of this paper, in particular for remarks which led to the second part of Theorem 2.

Notation. We consider only infinite dimensional Hausdorff topological vector spaces (tvs) $X = (X, \tau)$. If G is a (vector) subspace of X, then $\tau \mid G$ and τ/G denote the topology τ restricted to G and the quotient topology of the quotient space X/G, respectively. If λ is a finer vector topology on X/G, we denote by $\vartheta := \tau \vee \lambda$ the weakest vector topology on X such that $\tau \leq \vartheta$, $\vartheta/G = \lambda$, $\vartheta \mid G = \tau \mid G$ (cf. [2]). By $\sup(\tau,\vartheta)$ [$\inf(\tau,\vartheta)$] we denote the weakest [finest] vector topology on X which is finer [weaker] than τ and ϑ . A tvs X (and its topology) will be called dual-less if X' = 0; X' and X^* denote the topological and algebraic dual of X, respectively. A tvs X is semibornological [Mazur] if every bounded [sequentially continuous] linear functional on X is continuous.

Results. We shall need the next lemma; its proof combines some ideas found in [7 and 5].

LEMMA 1. Every infinite dimensional vector space X admits a locally bounded dual-less topology.

PROOF. Let Γ be a Hamel basis of X. Let ϑ be a vector topology defined by the norm $||x|| := \sum |t_s|$, where $x = \sum t_s x_s$, $x_s \in \Gamma$. Clearly (X, ϑ) is isomorphic to a dense subspace of the space $l^1(\Gamma)$. Hence it is enough to find on $l^1(\Gamma)$ a weaker

Received by the editors November 25, 1985 and, in revised form, February 14, 1986.

¹⁹⁸⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification (1985 Revision). Primary 46A20.

Key words and phrases. Topological vector space, locally convex space, dual-less space, dual pair.

locally bounded dual-less topology. Fix $0 . Choose in the dual-less space <math>L^p := (L^p[0,1], \|\ \|_p)$ a sequence (y_n) with the properties: $\sum \|y_n\|_p < \infty$; $\lim(y_n)$ is dense in L^p ; and (y_n) is m-independent, i.e. if $(t_n) \in l^\infty$ and $\sum t_n y_n = 0$, then $(t_n) = 0$ [5, Theorem 1]. If $T(x) := \sum x_n y_n$, $x = (x_n) \in l^1$, then T is a continuous injective linear map with dense range. T induces a continuous injective linear map $\hat{T} : l^1(\Gamma, l^1) \to l^1(\Gamma, L^p)$ also with dense range, where $l^1(\Gamma, L^p)$ is the locally bounded dual-less space of all functions $f = (f_s)$, $f_s \in L^p$, with $\sum \|f_s\|_p < \infty$. Since $l^1(\Gamma)$ and $l^1(\Gamma, l^1)$ are isomorphic, $l^1(\Gamma)$ admits a topology as claimed.

THEOREM 2. Let G be a dense infinite codimensional subspace of a tvs (X, τ) . Then X admits a strictly finer vector topology ϑ such that $\tau \mid G = \vartheta \mid G$, ϑ/G is locally bounded and dual-less, and $(X,\tau)' = (X,\vartheta)'$. Moreover, there is no finest vector topology α on X such that $(X,\tau)' = (X,\alpha)'$.

PROOF. Set $\vartheta:=\tau\vee\varphi$, where φ is a vector topology on X/G as in Lemma 1. To finish the proof it is enough to find on X strictly finer vector topologies producing the same continuous linear functionals as τ , but whose supremum topology does not have this property. In view of Theorem B of [7] the finest vector topology γ on X/G is the supremum of dual-less topologies $\gamma^1, \gamma^2, \gamma^3$. Set $\vartheta^i := \tau \vee \gamma^i$ and $\vartheta := \sup(\vartheta^i \colon 1 \le i \le 3)$. Clearly $(X, \vartheta^i)' = (X, \tau)', \ i = 1, 2, 3$. Moreover, $\vartheta/G = \gamma$. Then $(X, \tau)' \ne (X, \vartheta)'$ (because every nontrivial linear functional on X which vanishes on G is ϑ -continuous but discontinuous for τ).

Note that there exist tvs X not carrying the finest vector topology of X but which admit the finest vector topology compatible with $\langle X, X' \rangle$; every uncountable dimensional vector space X with the weak topology $\sigma(X, X^*)$ provides an example of such a space.

REMARK 3. Note that a tvs (X, τ) has a dense subspace of infinite codimension if X contains an infinite dimensional subspace admitting a finer metrizable vector topology, compare [6, Theorem 1]. In particular, every boundedly summing tvs [1, p. 74] containing an infinite dimensional bounded subset has a dense subspace of infinite codimension (this generalizes Proposition 1.5 of [9]). Note that every bornological (or boundedly summing ultrabornological [4]) space X with $X' \neq X^*$ enjoys this property, compare [1, (5), p. 76]. In particular, every semibornological lcs X with $X' \neq X^*$ also has this property (because X is bornological under the finest locally convex topology τ^b which produces the same bounded sets as τ ; clearly then $(X, \tau)' = (X, \tau^b)'$).

A vector topology τ on X is said to have the *Hahn Banach Extension Property* (HBEP) if $(X, \tau)'$ separates points from the closed subspaces of X.

PROPOSITION 4. Let X be an lcs such that (X, μ) contains a dense bornological subspace of infinite codimension. Then there exist on X two vector topologies ϑ^1 and ϑ^2 without the HBEP, compatible with $\langle X, X' \rangle$, such that $\mu = \inf(\vartheta^1, \vartheta^2)$. Moreover, ϑ^1 and ϑ^2 can be chosen to be metrizable [and ultrabarrelled] provided μ is metrizable [and complete].

PROOF. Let ϑ^1 be a vector topology on X as in Theorem 2. Using Remark 3 we deduce that (X, ϑ^1) has a dense subspace G of infinite codimension. By Theorem 2 we find on X a vector topology ϑ^2 which is compatible with $\langle X, X' \rangle$ and not compatible with ϑ^1 . Set $\gamma := \inf(\vartheta^1, \vartheta^2)$. Since G is γ -dense, γ is locally

convex. Hence $\mu = \gamma$. Applying Theorem 2.6 of [8] we obtain the last assertion of Proposition 4.

Applying Corollary 1.3 of [10] and our Remark 3 we deduce that every sequentially complete bornological space X with $X' \neq X^*$ satisfies also the assumption of Proposition 4.

By the *three space problem* (for property P) we understand the following: Suppose X is a tvs and G is a subspace of X such that G and X/G have property P. Does X have property P? (see for example [8]).

The following fact (being an immediate consequence of the proof of Theorem 2) will be used to establish that the three space problem has a negative solution if P is either semibornological or Mazur.

PROPOSITION 5. Let P and P⁰ be certain properties of two such that: (1) Every metrizable two has property P. (2) If (X, τ) has property P, then (X, τ^0) has property P⁰, where τ^0 denotes the finest locally convex topology on X weaker than τ . If there exists a Mackey space (X, τ) , i.e. $\tau = \mu$, without property P⁰ but containing a dense infinite codimensional subspace with property P, the three space problem has a negative solution for property P.

COROLLARY 6. The three space problem has a negative solution for P semi-bornological [Mazur].

PROOF. A slight modification of Example 3 of [3] provides an example of a barrelled (not Mazur) space X containing a dense ultrabornological (hence semi-bornological and Mazur) subspace G with $\dim(X/G) = \aleph_0$.

REFERENCES

- 1. N. Adasch, B. Ernst, and D. Keim, Topological vector spaces, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1978.
- 2. S. Dierolf, A note on the lifting of linear and locally convex topologies on a quotient space, Collect. Math. 31 (1980), 193-198.
- 3. ____, The barrelled space associated with a bornological space need not be bornological, Bull. London Math. Soc. 12 (1980), 60-62.
- S. O. Iyahen, On certain classes of linear topological spaces, Proc. London Math. Soc. 18 (1968), 285-307.
- 5. I. Labuda and Z. Lipecki, On subseries convergent series and m quasi-bases in topological linear spaces, Manuscripta Math. 38 (1982), 87-98.
- Z. Lipecki, On some dense subspaces in topological linear spaces, Studia Math. 77 (1984), 413-421.
- 7. N. T. Peck and H. Porta, Linear topologies which are suprema of dual-less topologies, Studia Math. 37 (1973), 63-73.
- 8. W. Roelcke and S. Dierolf, On the three-space-problem for topological vector spaces, Collect. Math. 32 (1981), 13-35.
- B. Tsirulnikov, On conservation of barrelledness properties in locally convex spaces, Bull. Soc. Roy. Sci. Liège 49 (1980), 5-25.
- 10. M. Valdivia, On final topologies, J. Reine Angew. Math. 251 (1971), 193-199.

Institute of Mathematics, A. Mickjewicz University, Matejki 48/49, 60-769 Poznań, Poland