

LINEAR SUMS OF CERTAIN ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS

RAM SINGH AND SURINDER PAUL

ABSTRACT. Let f belong to a certain subclass of the class of functions which are regular in the unit disc $E = \{z: |z| < 1\}$. Suppose that $\phi = \phi(f, f', f'')$ and $\psi = \psi(f, f', f'')$ are regular in E with $\operatorname{Re} \phi > 0$ in E and $\operatorname{Re} \psi \not\geq 0$ in the whole of E . In this paper we consider the following two new types of problems:
(i) To find the ranges of the real numbers λ and μ such that $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda\phi + \mu\psi) > 0$ in E .
(ii) To determine the largest number ρ , $0 < \rho < 1$, such that $\operatorname{Re}(\phi + \psi) > 0$ in $|z| < \rho$.

1. Introduction. Let A denote the class of functions f that are regular in the unit disc $E = \{z: |z| < 1\}$ and are normalized by the conditions $f(0) = f'(0) - 1 = 0$. We shall denote by S the subclass of A whose members are univalent in E . A function f belonging to S is said to be starlike of order α , $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, if $\operatorname{Re}(zf'(z)/f(z)) > \alpha$, $z \in E$, and we denote by $S_t(\alpha)$ the class of all such functions. $S_t = S_t(0)$ will be referred to as the class of starlike functions. Finally, we shall denote by K the class of convex functions, consisting of those elements $f \in S$ which satisfy the condition $\operatorname{Re}(1 + zf''(z)/f'(z)) > 0$ in E . It is well known that $K \subset S_t(1/2)$.

If $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ and $g(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^n$ are regular in E , then their Hadamard product/convolution is the function denoted by $f * g$ and defined by the power series

$$(f * g)(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n b_n z^n.$$

It is known that $f * g$ is also regular in E .

Let $f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ be regular in E . Then the de la Vallée Poussin mean of f of order n , $V_n(z, f)$, is defined by

$$V_n(z, f) = \frac{n}{n+1} a_1 z + \frac{n(n-1)}{(n+1)(n+2)} a_2 z^2 + \cdots + \frac{n(n-1)(n-2) \cdots 2 \cdot 1}{(n+1)(n+2) \cdots (2n)} a_n z^n.$$

Let f be regular in E and g regular and univalent in E with $f(0) = g(0)$. We say that f is subordinate to g in E (in symbols $f \prec g$ in E) if $f(E) \subset g(E)$.

A sequence $\{c_n\}_1^{\infty}$ of complex numbers is said to be a subordinating factor sequence if, whenever $f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ is regular and convex in E , we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n a_n z^n \prec f(z) \quad \text{in } E.$$

Received by the editors February 26, 1986.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 Revision). Primary 30A26; Secondary 30A36, 30A42.

©1987 American Mathematical Society
0002-9939/87 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

In the present paper we shall mainly be concerned with the following two new types of problems:

(a) If $\phi = \phi(f, f', f'')$ and $\psi = \psi(f, f', f'')$, where $f \in K$ or $S_t(1/2)$, such that $\operatorname{Re} \phi > 0$ in E and $\operatorname{Re} \psi$ is not necessarily positive in the whole of the unit disc E , to find the ranges of real numbers λ and μ such that $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda\phi + \mu\psi) > 0$ in E .

(b) To find the largest number ρ , $0 < \rho < 1$, such that $\operatorname{Re}(\phi + \psi) > 0$ in $|z| < \rho$.

2. Preliminary results. We shall need the following results, which we state as lemmas.

LEMMA 1. If $f \in K$ and $g \in S_t$, then $(f * g F)/(f * g)$ takes values in the convex hull of $F(E)$ for every function F regular in E .

LEMMA 2. If f and g belong to $S_t(1/2)$, then $(f * g F)/(f * g)$ takes values in the convex hull of $F(E)$ for every function F regular in E .

LEMMA 3. A sequence $\{c_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of complex numbers is a subordinating factor sequence if and only if $\operatorname{Re}(1 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n z^n) > 0$ ($|z| < 1$).

Lemmas 1 and 2 are due to Ruscheweyh and Sheil-Small [1] and Lemma 3 is due to Wilf [2].

3. Theorems and their proofs. It is well known [1] that if $f \in S_t(1/2)$, then $\operatorname{Re}(f(z)/s_n(z, f)) > 1/2$, $z \in E$, where $s_n(z, f)$ denotes the n th partial sum of f . From this it follows that given $f \in S_t(1/2)$ and any two real numbers $\lambda \geq 0$ and $\mu \geq 0$, with at least one of them nonzero, then we have

$$\operatorname{Re} \left[\lambda \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \mu \frac{s_n(z, f)}{f(z)} \right] > 0 \quad (z \in E).$$

In Theorem 1 below we prove that this result continues to hold even when μ is a suitably restricted negative or complex number.

THEOREM 1. Let $f \in S_t(1/2)$ and

$$L = \operatorname{Re} \left[\lambda \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \mu \frac{s_n(z, f)}{f(z)} \right],$$

where $s_n(z, f)$ denotes the n th partial sum of f . Then $L > 0$ in E if (i) $\lambda \geq 0$, $\mu \geq 0$ and at least one of them is nonzero, (ii) μ is a complex number and $\lambda > 4|\mu|$. The result is sharp in the sense that the ranges of λ and μ cannot be increased.

PROOF. Case (i) being obvious, we take up the proof of (ii). Since f is given to be in $S_t(1/2)$ and $g(z) = z/(1-z) \in K \subset S_t(1/2)$, it follows from Lemma 2 that if we choose $F(z) = \lambda/(1-z) + \mu(1-z^n)$ then the function

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(f * g F)(z)}{(f * g)(z)} &= \frac{f(z) * zF(z)/(1-z)}{f(z) * z/(1-z)} \\ &= \frac{f(z) * [z/(1-z)][\lambda/(1-z) + \mu(1-z^n)]}{f(z) * z/(1-z)} \\ &= \lambda \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \mu \frac{s_n(z, f)}{f(z)}, \end{aligned}$$

takes values in the convex hull of $F(E)$.

Now, since by hypothesis, $\lambda > 4|\mu|$, we find that, for $z \in E$,

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} F(z) &= \operatorname{Re} \left[\frac{\lambda}{1-z} + \mu(1-z^n) \right] \\ &\geq \lambda/(1+r) - |\mu|(1+r^n) \\ &> \lambda/2 - 2|\mu| > 0 \end{aligned}$$

(equality in the second line holds at $z = -|z| = -r$ when μ is negative and n is odd).

Since $(\lambda z f'(z)/f(z) + \mu s_n(z, f))/(f(z))$ takes values in the convex hull of $F(E)$, assertion (ii) now follows.

To prove that the ranges of λ and μ cannot be increased without violating the assertion of our theorem, we consider the function $f_0(z) = z/(1-z)$ which belongs to K and hence to $S_t(1/2)$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} L_0 &= \operatorname{Re} \left[\frac{\lambda z f'_0(z)}{f_0(z)} + \mu \frac{s_n(z, f_0)}{f_0(z)} \right] \\ &= \operatorname{Re} \left[\frac{\lambda}{1-z} + \mu(1-z^n) \right] \quad (z \in E). \end{aligned}$$

If $\lambda \geq 0$, $\mu \geq 0$ with at least one of them nonzero, then clearly $L_0 > 0$ in E . On the other hand if $\lambda < 0$, then $L_0 \not\geq 0$ in E whatever μ may be. Finally, when $\lambda > 0$ and μ is negative, then it is seen that $L_0 > 0$ in E only when $(\lambda/2 - 2|\mu|) > 0$. This completes the proof of our theorem.

REMARK. Since the function $f_0(z)$ also belongs to K , Theorem 1 remains sharp within this subclass of $S_t(1/2)$.

The significance of the following theorem emerges from the fact that if $f \in K$, then $\operatorname{Re}(1/f'(z))$ need not be positive in the whole of the unit disc.

THEOREM 2. If $f \in K$, then for all λ and μ with $\mu \geq 0$ and $\lambda > 2\mu$, we have

$$\operatorname{Re} \left[\frac{\lambda f(z)}{z f'(z)} + \frac{\mu}{f'(z)} \right] > 0 \quad (z \in E).$$

PROOF. Since $f \in K$ and the function $g(z) = z/(1-z)^2$ is in S_t , in view of Lemma 1 we conclude that for all $z \in E$ the function w , defined by

$$\begin{aligned} w(z) &= \frac{f(z) * [z/(1-z)^2][\lambda(1-z) + \mu(1-z)^2]}{f(z) * z/(1-z)^2} \\ &= \frac{\lambda f(z)}{z f'(z)} + \frac{\mu}{f'(z)}, \end{aligned}$$

takes values in the convex hull of $F(E)$, where $F(z) = \lambda(1-z) + \mu(1-z)^2$.

Now, since by hypothesis, $\lambda > 2\mu$, $\mu \geq 0$, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} F(z) &= \operatorname{Re}[\lambda(1-z) + \mu(1-z)^2] \\ &= (\lambda - 2\mu)(1 - r \cos \theta) + \mu[(1 - r^2) + 2(1 - r \cos \theta)^2] \quad (z = re^{i\theta}) \\ &> 0, \quad z \in E. \end{aligned}$$

The assertion of Theorem 2 is now clear.

The fact that for every $f \in S_t(1/2)$, $\operatorname{Re} f'(z) > 0$ only in $|z| < 1/\sqrt{2} = 0.707 \dots$ underlines the importance of our next theorem.

THEOREM 3. If $f \in S_t(1/2)$, then

$$\operatorname{Re} \left[\frac{f(z)}{z} + f'(z) \right] > 0$$

in $|z| < \rho = \sqrt{4\sqrt{2} - 5} \doteq 0.81 \dots$. The number ρ is the best possible one.

PROOF. Consider the function

$$(1) \quad h(z) = \frac{1}{1-z} + \frac{1}{(1-z)^2} \quad (z \in E).$$

We first proceed to prove that $\operatorname{Re} h(z) > 0$ in $|z| < \rho = \sqrt{4\sqrt{2} - 5} \doteq 0.81 \dots$.

Letting $1/(1-z) = Re^{i\phi}$, we get

$$(2) \quad \frac{1}{1+r} \leq R \leq \frac{1}{1-r} \quad (|z| = r)$$

and

$$(3) \quad \cos \phi = \frac{1 + R^2 - r^2 R^2}{2R} \quad (\leq 1).$$

(1) and (3) provide

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \operatorname{Re} h(z) &= 2[R \cos \phi + R^2 \cos 2\phi] \\ &= 2 + (1 - 3r^2)t + (1 - r^2)^2 t^2 \quad (t = R^2) \\ &= \psi(t), \text{ say.} \end{aligned}$$

It is now readily verified that for $r \geq \sqrt{7} - 2$, t_1 given by $t_1 = (3r^2 - 1)/2(1 - r^2)^2$ lies in the range of $t (= R^2)$ and that $\partial\psi/\partial t = 0$ and $\partial^2\psi/\partial t^2 > 0$ at $t = t_1$. We, therefore, conclude that for $r \geq \sqrt{7} - 2$,

$$\min \psi(t) = \psi(t_1) = \frac{8(1 - r^2)^2 - (3r^2 - 1)^2}{4(1 - r^2)^2} > 0,$$

if $r < \rho = \sqrt{4\sqrt{2} - 5} \doteq 0.81 \dots$.

On the other hand, if $r < \sqrt{7} - 2$, then one can easily see that

$$\min \psi(t) = \psi \left(\frac{1}{(1+r)^2} \right) = \frac{2(2+r)}{(1+r)^2} > 0.$$

To sum up, we have shown that

$$\operatorname{Re} h(z) > 0 \quad \text{in } |z| < \rho = \sqrt{4\sqrt{2} - 5},$$

from which it follows that

$$(4) \quad \operatorname{Re} h(\rho z) > 0, \quad z \in E.$$

Now taking $g(z) = z$ and $F(z) = \rho h(\rho z)$ in Lemma 2, we conclude that the values of the function

$$\begin{aligned} g(z) &= \frac{f(z) * z[\rho h(\rho z)]}{f(z) * z} \\ &= \frac{f(z) * z[\rho/(1 - \rho z) + \rho/(1 - \rho z)^2]}{f(z) * z} \\ &= \rho \left[\frac{f(\rho z)}{\rho z} + f'(\rho z) \right] \end{aligned}$$

lie in the convex hull of $F(E)$. However, in view of (4) we have

$$\operatorname{Re} F(z) = \operatorname{Re} \rho h(\rho z) > 0 \quad \text{in } E.$$

We have thus proved that

$$\operatorname{Re} \left[\frac{f(\rho z)}{\rho z} + f'(\rho z) \right] > 0$$

in E and hence

$$\operatorname{Re} \left[\frac{f(z)}{z} + f'(z) \right] > 0 \quad \text{in } |z| < \rho.$$

If we consider the function $f_0(z) = z/(1-z) \in K \subset S_t(1/2)$ then it is seen that

$$\frac{f_0(z)}{z} + f'_0(z) = \frac{1}{1-z} + \frac{1}{(1-z)^2},$$

and the assertion regarding the sharpness of the number ρ now becomes obvious in view of the definition of the function h .

THEOREM 4. *If $f \in K$, then*

$$\operatorname{Re} \left[\left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) + \frac{1}{f'(z)} \right] > 0$$

in $|z| < \rho = (\sqrt{5}-1)/\sqrt{2} = 0.874\dots$. The number ρ cannot be replaced by any larger one.

PROOF. Proceeding as in the proof of the previous theorem, one can show that the function h , defined by

$$(5) \quad h(z) = 2/(1-z) - 1 + (1-z)^2 \quad (z \in E),$$

has the property that $\operatorname{Re} h(z) > 0$ only when $|z| < \rho = (\sqrt{5}-1)/\sqrt{2} = 0.874\dots$, from which it follows that

$$(6) \quad \operatorname{Re} h(\rho z) > 0 \quad \text{in } E.$$

Since the function f is given to be in K and $g(z) = z/(1-\rho z)^2$ belongs to S_t , Lemma 1, in conjunction with (6), provides that the function p , defined by

$$\begin{aligned} p(z) &= \frac{f(z) * g(z)h(\rho z)}{f(z) * g(z)} \\ &= \frac{f(z) * [\rho z/(1-\rho z)^2][2/(1-\rho z) - 1 + (1-\rho z)^2]}{f(z) * \rho z/(1-\rho z)^2} \\ &= \left(1 + \frac{\rho z f''(\rho z)}{f'(\rho z)} \right) + \frac{1}{f'(\rho z)}, \end{aligned}$$

has positive real part in E . The desired conclusion is now obvious.

The sharpness of the number ρ follows from the fact that for the function $f_0(z) = z/(1-z) \in K$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(1 + \frac{zf''_0(z)}{f'_0(z)} \right) + \frac{1}{f'_0(z)} &= \frac{2}{1-z} - 1 + (1-z)^2 \\ &= h(z) \quad (\text{given by (5)}), \end{aligned}$$

and that $\operatorname{Re} h(z) > 0$ only when $|z| < \rho = (\sqrt{5}-1)/\sqrt{2}$.

We observe that the disc $|z| < \rho = 0.874\dots$ is much larger than the disc $|z| < \sqrt{2}/2 = 0.707$ in which $\operatorname{Re}(1/f'(z)) > 0$ for every $f \in K$.

We omit the proof of the following theorem.

THEOREM 5. If $f \in S_t(1/2)$, then

$$\operatorname{Re} \left[\frac{z^2 f''(z)}{f(z)} + \frac{z f'(z)}{f(z)} \right] > 0$$

in $|z| < \rho = \sqrt{8\sqrt{2} - 11} = 0.56 \dots$. The number ρ is the best possible one.

If $f \in K$, then it is well known that $g(z) = (f(z) - f(-z))/2$ is an odd function in S_t and hence $\operatorname{Re}(z/g(z)) > 0$ in E . Our next theorem generalizes this latter result.

THEOREM 6. Let $f \in K$. Then for each integer $n \geq 1$ we have

$$\operatorname{Re} \frac{v_n(z, f) - v_n(-z, f)}{f(z) - f(-z)} > 0 \quad (z \in E),$$

where $v_n(z, f)$ is the de la Vallée Poussin mean of f of order n .

PROOF. Let us first suppose that n is an odd integer, $n = 2m + 1$, say, and consider the function F_{2m+1} defined by

$$(7) \quad F_{2m+1}(z) = 2(1 - z^2) \left[\frac{2m+1}{2m+2} + \frac{(2m+1)2m(2m-1)}{(2m+2)(2m+3)(2m+4)} z^2 \right. \\ \left. + \frac{(2m+1)(2m)(2m-1)(2m-2)(2m-3)}{(2m+2)(2m+3)(2m+4)(2m+5)(2m+6)} z^4 \right. \\ \left. + \dots + \frac{(2m+1)(2m) \dots 2 \cdot 1}{(2m+2)(2m+3) \dots (2(2m+1))} z^{2m} \right].$$

Obviously F_{2m+1} is regular in E (in fact it is an entire function), and we can write it in the form

$$(8) \quad F_{2m+1}(z) = 2 \left[\frac{2m+1}{2m+2} - \frac{2m+1}{(2m+2)} \left\{ 1 - \frac{2m(2m-1)}{(2m+3)(2m+4)} \right\} z^2 \right. \\ \left. - \frac{(2m+1)2m(2m-1)}{(2m+2)(2m+3)(2m+4)} \left\{ 1 - \frac{(2m-2)(2m-3)}{(2m+5)(2m+6)} \right\} z^4 \right. \\ \left. - \dots - \frac{(2m+1)2m(2m-1) \dots 3}{(2m+2)(2m+3) \dots (2m+(2m-1))(4m)} \right. \\ \left. \times \left\{ 1 - \frac{2 \cdot 1}{(4m+1)(4m+2)} \right\} z^{2m} - \frac{(2m+1)2m \dots 2 \cdot 1}{(2m+2)(2m+3) \dots (4m+2)} z^{2m+2} \right].$$

In view of (8) and (7) it is now easy to see that in E we have

$$\operatorname{Re} F_{2m+1}(z) \geq F_{2m+1}(|z|) > 0.$$

Next suppose that n is an even integer, $n = 2m$, say, and consider the function F_{2m} defined by

$$F_{2m}(z) = 2(1 - z^2) \left[\frac{2m}{2m+1} + \frac{2m(2m-1)(2m-2)}{(2m+1)(2m+2)(2m+3)} z^2 \right. \\ \left. + \dots + \frac{2m(2m-1) \dots 3 \cdot 2 z^{2m-2}}{(2m+1)(2m+2) \dots (2m+(2m-1))} \right]$$

As before, one can see that $\operatorname{Re} F_{2m}(z) > 0$ in E .

In Lemma 1, letting $g(z) = z/(1 - z^2)$, a function belonging to S_t , and

$$F(z) = \begin{cases} F_{2m+1}(z) & \text{if } n = 2m + 1 \text{ is odd,} \\ F_{2m}(z) & \text{if } n = 2m \text{ is even,} \end{cases}$$

we conclude that for every integer $n \geq 1$, the function

$$w(z) = \frac{f(z) * zF(z)/(1 - z^2)}{f(z) * z/(1 - z^2)}$$

takes values in the right half-plane, that is, $\operatorname{Re} w(z) > 0$ in E .

A moderate calculation, however, shows that

$$w(z) = \frac{v_n(z, f) - v_n(-z, f)}{f(z) - f(-z)}.$$

This completes the proof of our theorem.

As observed earlier, if $f \in K$, then $g(z) = (f(z) - f(-z))/2$ is an odd starlike function. We conclude this paper with a theorem pertaining to g which, although not in tune with the earlier one, is of considerable interest.

THEOREM 7. *If $f \in K$, then*

$$g(\rho z) \prec f(z)$$

in E , where

$$g(z) = \frac{1}{2}(f(z) - f(-z))$$

and $\rho = \sqrt{2} - 1 = 0.414 \dots$. The number ρ cannot be replaced by any larger one.

PROOF. Since $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \in K$ and

$$g(\rho z) = z + a_3 \rho^3 z^3 + a_5 \rho^5 z^5 + \dots,$$

the conclusion of our theorem would follow provided $\{\rho, 0, \rho^3, 0, \rho^5, \dots\}$ is a subordinating factor sequence. In view of Lemma 3 this will be true if and only if

$$\operatorname{Re} \left[1 + 2 \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \rho^{2m+1} z^{2m+1} \right] = \operatorname{Re} \left[1 + \frac{2\rho z}{1 - \rho^2 z^2} \right] > 0 \quad (z \in E),$$

or,

$$1 - 2\rho/(1 - \rho^2) \geq 0,$$

which is true by the choice of ρ .

To prove that the number ρ is the best possible one, let us consider the function $f(z) = z/(1 - z) \in K$. It is then seen that for any $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$, $g(\lambda z) = \lambda z/(1 - \lambda^2 z^2)$. Since $g(-\lambda) = -\lambda/(1 - \lambda^2) < -1/2$ if $\lambda > \sqrt{2} - 1$, from the fact that the range of f is the half-plane $\{w | \operatorname{Re} w > -1/2\}$ it follows that $g(\lambda z)$ cannot be subordinate to f in E if $\lambda > \sqrt{2} - 1 = \rho$.

REFERENCES

1. H. S. Wilf, *Subordinating factor sequences for convex maps of the unit circle*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **12** (1961), 689-693.
2. S. Ruscheweyh and T. B. Sheil-Small, *Hadamard products of schlicht functions and the Pólya-Schoenberg conjecture*, Comment Math. Helv. **48** (1973), 119-135.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PUNJABI UNIVERSITY, PATIALA, PUNJAB, INDIA 147002

PATEL MEMORIAL NATIONAL COLLEGE, RAJPURA (PB), INDIA