

## MAXIMAL IDEALS IN SUBALGEBRAS OF $C(X)$

LOTHAR REDLIN AND SALEEM WATSON

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $X$  be a completely regular space, and let  $A(X)$  be a subalgebra of  $C(X)$  containing  $C^*(X)$ . We study the maximal ideals in  $A(X)$  by associating a filter  $Z(f)$  to each  $f \in A(X)$ . This association extends to a one-to-one correspondence between  $\mathcal{M}(A)$  (the set of maximal ideals of  $A(X)$ ) and  $\beta X$ . We use the filters  $Z(f)$  to characterize the maximal ideals and to describe the intersection of the free maximal ideals in  $A(X)$ . Finally, we outline some of the applications of our results to compactifications between  $\nu X$  and  $\beta X$ .

**1. Introduction.** The algebra  $C(X)$  of continuous real-valued functions on a completely regular space  $X$  and its subalgebra  $C^*(X)$  of bounded functions have been studied extensively (see Gillman and Jerison [3], and Aull [1]). One of the interesting problems considered in [3] is that of characterizing the maximal ideals in these two algebras. It is a remarkable fact that the distinct problems of identifying the maximal ideals in  $C(X)$  and  $C^*(X)$  have a common solution—the maximal ideals are in one-to-one correspondence with the points of  $\beta X$  in a natural way. The methods of achieving this correspondence, however, are quite different in the two cases. In this paper we consider this problem for subalgebras  $A(X)$  of  $C(X)$  that contain  $C^*(X)$ . We show that for such algebras the maximal ideals are in one-to-one correspondence with  $\beta X$ . The correspondence we construct reduces to that in [3] for the cases of  $C(X)$  and  $C^*(X)$ . Thus our result puts in a common setting these apparently distinct problems.

A function is invertible in  $C(X)$  if it is never zero, and in  $C^*(X)$  if it is bounded away from zero. In an arbitrary  $A(X)$ , of course, there is no such description of invertibility which is independent of the structure of the algebra. Thus in §2 we associate to each noninvertible  $f \in A(X)$  a  $z$ -filter  $Z(f)$  that is a measure of where  $f$  is “locally” invertible in  $A(X)$ . This correspondence extends to one between maximal ideals of  $A(X)$  and  $z$ -ultrafilters on  $X$ . In §3 we use the filters  $Z(f)$  to describe the intersection of the free maximal ideals in any algebra  $A(X)$ . Finally, our main result allows us to introduce the notion of  $A(X)$ -compactness of which compactness and realcompactness are special cases. In §4 we show how the Banach-Stone theorem extends to  $A(X)$ -compact spaces.

**2. The structure space.** Throughout this paper  $X$  will denote a completely regular Hausdorff space and  $A(X)$  a subalgebra of  $C(X)$  containing  $C^*(X)$ . In this section we construct the correspondence mentioned in the introduction.

A *zero set* in  $X$  is a set of the form  $Z(f) = \{x \in X : f(x) = 0\}$  for some  $f \in C(X)$ . The complement of a zero set is a *cozero set*.  $Z[X]$  will denote the

---

Received by the editors April 18, 1986.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 *Revision*). Primary 54C40; Secondary 46E25.

*Key words and phrases.* Algebras of continuous functions, maximal ideal, compactifications.

©1987 American Mathematical Society  
0002-9939/87 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

collection of all zero sets in  $X$ . If  $E$  is a cozero set in  $X$  we will say that  $f \in A(X)$  is  $E$ -regular if there exists  $g \in A(X)$  such that  $fg|_E = 1$ .

LEMMA 1. Let  $f, g \in A(X)$  and let  $E, F$  be cozero sets in  $X$ .

- (a) If  $f$  is  $E$ -regular and  $F \subseteq E$ , then  $f$  is  $F$ -regular.
- (b) If  $f$  is  $E$ -regular and  $F$ -regular, then  $f$  is  $E \cup F$ -regular.
- (c) If  $f(x) \geq c > 0$  for all  $x \in E$ , then  $f$  is  $E$ -regular.
- (d) If  $0 < f(x) \leq g(x)$  for all  $x \in E$  and if  $f$  is  $E$ -regular, then  $g$  is  $E$ -regular.
- (e) If  $f$  is  $E$ -regular and  $g$  is  $F$ -regular, then  $fg$  is  $E \cap F$ -regular and  $f^2 + g^2$  is  $E \cup F$ -regular.

PROOF. (a) Obvious.

(b) Let  $h, k \in A(X)$  satisfy  $hf|_E = 1$  and  $kf|_F = 1$ . Let  $w = h + k - fhk$ . Then  $fw|_{E \cup F} = 1$ .

(c) Let  $h = \max\{c, f\}$ . Then  $h|_E = f|_E$  and  $h \geq c$ . So  $0 < h^{-1} \leq c^{-1}$ . Hence  $h^{-1} \in C^*(X) \subseteq A(X)$ , and  $h^{-1}f|_E = 1$ .

(d) Let  $h \in A(X)$  satisfy  $hf|_E = 1$ . For  $x \in E$ ,  $h(x) > 0$ , so  $h(x)g(x) \geq h(x)f(x) = 1$ . Thus by (c), there exists  $k \in A(X)$  such that  $khg|_E = 1$ .

(e) If  $hf|_E = 1$  and  $kg|_F = 1$ , then  $hkgf|_{E \cap F} = 1$ . Now  $f^2 + g^2 \geq f^2$ , so by (d),  $f^2 + g^2$  is  $E$ -regular. Similarly, it is  $F$ -regular, and so the result follows by (b).

For  $f \in A(X)$ , we define

$$Z(f) = \{E \in Z[X] : f \text{ is } E^c\text{-regular}\},$$

and for  $S \subseteq A(X)$ ,  $Z[S] = \bigcup_{f \in S} Z(f)$ . We recall that a  $z$ -filter is a nonempty collection  $\mathcal{F}$  of zero sets in  $X$  such that  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{G} \cap Z[X]$ , for some filter  $\mathcal{G}$  on  $X$ .

THEOREM 1. If  $f$  is not invertible in  $A(X)$ , then  $Z(f)$  is a  $z$ -filter on  $X$ , and conversely.

PROOF. If  $f$  is not invertible,  $\emptyset \notin Z(f)$ . Moreover, if  $E, F \in Z(f)$ , then by Lemma 1(b),  $E \cap F \in Z(f)$ . If  $G$  is a zero set containing  $E \in Z(f)$ , then  $G \in Z(f)$  by Lemma 1(a). Hence  $Z(f)$  is a  $z$ -filter.

The converse is obvious.

THEOREM 2. If  $I$  is an ideal in  $A(X)$ , then  $Z[I]$  is a  $z$ -filter on  $X$ .

PROOF. Clearly  $\emptyset \notin Z[I]$ . If  $E, F \in Z[I]$ , there exist  $f, g \in I$  such that  $f$  is  $E^c$ -regular and  $g$  is  $F^c$ -regular. Then  $f^2 + g^2 \in I$ , and by Lemma 1(e),  $f^2 + g^2$  is  $(E \cap F)^c$ -regular. Thus  $E \cap F \in Z[I]$ . Finally, if  $F$  is a zero set and  $F \supseteq E \in Z[I]$ , then  $E \in Z(f)$  for some  $f \in I$ , and so  $F \in Z(f) \subseteq Z[I]$  by Theorem 1.

Using the notation of [3], we write  $Z^{-1}[\mathcal{F}] = \{f \in A(X) : Z(f) \subseteq \mathcal{F}\}$  for the inverse of the set function  $Z$ . We will show that if  $\mathcal{F}$  is a  $z$ -filter, then  $Z^{-1}[\mathcal{F}]$  is an ideal in  $A(X)$ , giving a converse to the above theorem. We need two preliminary lemmas.

LEMMA 2. If  $f \in A(X)$ , then  $\lim_{Z(f)} fh = 0$  for any  $h \in A(X)$ .

PROOF. We claim  $\lim_{Z(f)} f = 0$ . The result will follow from this claim and Lemma 1(e), since then  $\lim_{Z(fh)} fh = 0$  and  $Z(fh) \subseteq Z(f)$ . So let  $V = (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$  be a neighborhood of zero in  $\mathbf{R}$  and let  $E = f^{-1}(V)$ . Clearly  $f$  is  $E^c$ -regular (Lemma 1(b) and (c)). Thus  $f^{-1}(V) \in Z(f)$  and so  $fh$  converges to zero on  $Z(f)$ .

LEMMA 3. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a  $z$ -filter on  $X$ . If  $\lim_{\mathcal{F}} fh = 0$  for all  $h \in A(X)$ , then  $Z(f) \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ .

PROOF. For  $E \in Z(f)$  we show that there is an  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $F \subseteq E$ . Suppose not. Then  $F \cap E^c \neq \emptyset$  for every  $F \in \mathcal{F}$ . Let  $h \in A(X)$  satisfy  $fh|_{E^c} = 1$ . It follows that 1 is a cluster point of  $\{fh(F) : F \in \mathcal{F}\}$ , contradicting our hypothesis.

THEOREM 3. For any  $z$ -filter  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $X$ ,  $I = Z^{\leftarrow}[\mathcal{F}]$  is an ideal in  $A(X)$ .

PROOF. If  $f \in I$  and  $g \in A(X)$ , then  $Z(fg) \subseteq Z(f)$  (Lemma 1(e)), so  $fg \in I$ . Now if  $f, g \in I$ , then by Lemma 2,  $\lim_{\mathcal{F}} fh = \lim_{\mathcal{F}} gh = 0$  for every  $h \in A(X)$ . So  $\lim_{\mathcal{F}} fh + \lim_{\mathcal{F}} gh = \lim_{\mathcal{F}} (f + g)h = 0$  for all  $h \in A(X)$ , and hence by Lemma 3,  $Z(f + g) \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ . Finally, we note that since  $\emptyset \notin \mathcal{F}$ ,  $I$  consists of noninvertible elements only.

Both  $Z$  and  $Z^{\leftarrow}$  preserve inclusion and so they map maximal elements to maximal elements. Hence  $Z$  provides a one-to-one correspondence between  $\beta X$  and the set  $\mathcal{M}(A)$  of maximal ideals of  $A(X)$ . If  $\mathcal{M}(A)$  is equipped with the hull-kernel topology, then as in [3] in the cases of  $C^*(X)$  and  $C(X)$ , we have the following theorem (see [6] for a different method of arriving at this result).

THEOREM 4. The maximal ideal space  $\mathcal{M}(A)$  of  $A(X)$  equipped with the hull-kernel topology is homeomorphic to  $\beta X$ .

**3. Free maximal ideals.** Let  $M^p$  be the maximal ideal corresponding to  $p \in \beta X$  and  $\mathcal{U}^p$  the  $z$ -ultrafilter on  $X$  that converges to  $p$ , so that  $Z(M^p) = \mathcal{U}^p$ . Using our filter  $Z(f)$  we see immediately that for  $f \in A(X)$ ,  $f \in M^p$  if and only if  $Z(f) \subseteq \mathcal{U}^p$ . Thus we have the following analogue of the Gelfand-Kolmogoroff theorem [3, Theorem 7.3] for an arbitrary  $A(X)$ .

THEOREM 5. For the maximal ideals in  $A(X)$ , we have

$$M^p = \{f \in A(X) : p \text{ is a cluster point of } Z(f) \text{ in } \beta X\}.$$

We now describe the intersection of all the free maximal ideals in  $A(X)$ . An ideal  $I$  is free if  $\bigcap Z[I] = \emptyset$ , otherwise it is fixed. Note that a maximal ideal is free if and only if it is of the form  $M^p$  for some  $p \in \beta X \setminus X$ . We call a set  $E \subseteq X$  small if every zero set contained in  $E$  is compact. Let  $\mathcal{K} = \{E \in Z[X] : E^c \text{ is small}\}$ , and let  $A_{\mathcal{K}}(X) = \{f \in A(X) : Z(f) \subseteq \mathcal{K}\}$ .

THEOREM 6.  $A_{\mathcal{K}}(X) = \bigcap \{M^p : p \in \beta X \setminus X\}$ .

PROOF. Let  $f \in A_{\mathcal{K}}(X)$ . If  $\mathcal{U}$  is any  $z$ -ultrafilter on  $X$  such that  $Z(f) \not\subseteq \mathcal{U}$ , then there exist disjoint zero sets  $E \in Z(f)$  and  $F \in \mathcal{U}$ . But then  $F \subseteq E^c$ , so  $F$  is compact and  $\mathcal{U}$  is fixed. It follows that  $Z(f)$  is contained in every free  $z$ -ultrafilter, and so  $f$  belongs to every free maximal ideal. Conversely, if  $f$  is in every free maximal ideal, then  $Z(f)$  belongs to every free  $z$ -ultrafilter. Suppose  $E \in Z(f)$  is not in  $\mathcal{K}$ . Then  $E^c$  must contain a noncompact zero set  $F$ . Since  $E \cup F \supseteq E \in Z(f)$ ,  $E \cup F$  belongs to every free  $z$ -ultrafilter, and hence  $F$  belongs to no free  $z$ -ultrafilter. But clearly every noncompact zero set must belong to some free  $z$ -ultrafilter. Thus  $E$  is in  $\mathcal{K}$  and  $f \in A_{\mathcal{K}}(X)$ .

We note that if  $X$  is realcompact and  $A(X) = C(X)$ , then  $A_{\mathcal{K}}(X)$  is the family of functions on  $X$  of compact support and Theorem 8.19 of [3] follows from our Theorem 6. If  $A(X) = C^*(X)$ , then  $A_{\mathcal{K}}(X)$  is the family of functions on  $X$  that vanish at infinity and Lemma 3.2 in [4] is a special case of Theorem 6.

**4.  $A$ -compactness.** It is well known that  $C^*$  distinguishes among compact spaces (the Banach-Stone theorem) and that  $C$  distinguishes among realcompact spaces (Hewitt's isomorphism theorem). Theorem 4 allows us to define the notion of  $A$ -compactness which will enable us to place both of these theorems in a common setting (Theorem 7).

A maximal ideal  $M$  in  $A(X)$  is *real* if  $A(X)/M$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbf{R}$ . Every fixed maximal ideal is real. If every real maximal ideal is fixed, we will say that  $X$  is  $A(X)$ -compact (or simply  $A$ -compact). With this definition, a compact space is one that is  $C^*$ -compact while a realcompact space is  $C$ -compact.

**THEOREM 7.** *Let  $X$  be  $A$ -compact and  $Y$  be  $B$ -compact. If  $A(X)$  is isomorphic to  $B(Y)$ , then  $X$  is homeomorphic to  $Y$ .*

**PROOF.** Since  $X$  is  $A$ -compact its points correspond to the real maximal ideals of  $A(X)$  under the homeomorphism described in Theorem 4. Thus we can recover  $X$  from the ring structure of  $A(X)$ . Since this can be done in the same way for  $Y$ , the result follows.

Although the converse of the above theorem is trivial if  $A$  and  $B$  are  $C$  or  $C^*$ , in this more general setting the converse is not even true. For a given  $X$  there can exist nonisomorphic algebras  $A(X)$  and  $B(X)$  for which  $X$  is both  $A$ -compact and  $B$ -compact. For example, let  $H(\mathbf{N})$  be the algebra of sequences which occur as the coefficients of the Taylor series representation of functions holomorphic on the open unit disc. Then  $\mathbf{N}$  is both  $H$ -compact (see [2]) and  $C$ -compact, but  $H(\mathbf{N})$  is obviously not isomorphic to  $C(\mathbf{N})$ . Indeed, it is clear from the definition that if  $X$  is  $A$ -compact and  $B(X) \supseteq A(X)$ , then  $X$  is  $B$ -compact. This raises the question: Does there exist in some sense a "minimal" algebra  $A_m(X)$  for which  $X$  is  $A_m$ -compact, at least up to isomorphism?

We conclude by noting that another characterization of  $A$ -compactness follows from Mandelker [5]. We call a family  $S$  of closed sets in  $X$   $A$ -stable if every  $f \in A(X)$  is bounded on some member of  $S$ . Then one can show (as in [5]) that a space is  $A$ -compact if and only if every  $A$ -stable family of closed sets with the finite intersection property has nonempty intersection.

#### REFERENCES

1. C. E. Aull, *Rings of continuous functions*, Dekker, New York, 1985.
2. R. M. Brooks, *A ring of analytic functions*, *Studia Math.* **24** (1964), 191-210.
3. L. Gillman and M. Jerison, *Rings of continuous functions*, Van Nostrand, Princeton, N. J., 1960.
4. C. W. Kohls, *Ideals in rings of continuous functions*, *Fund. Math.* **45** (1957), 28-50.
5. M. Mandelker, *Supports of continuous functions*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **156** (1971), 73-83.
6. D. Plank, *On a class of subalgebras of  $C(X)$  with applications to  $\beta X \setminus X$* , *Fund. Math.* **64** (1969), 41-54.

PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY, OGONTZ CAMPUS, ABINGTON, PENNSYLVANIA 19001

PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY, DELAWARE COUNTY CAMPUS, MEDIA, PENNSYLVANIA 19063