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#### Abstract

We exhibit two unitary strongly continuous one-parameter groups $\left(e^{A_{1} t}\right)_{t \in \mathbf{R}}$ and $\left(e^{A_{2} t}\right)_{t \in \mathbf{R}}$ acting in a Hilbert space $H$, a dense subspace $D$ of $H$ contained in the domains of $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ such that $\left(A_{1}(D) \cup A_{2}(D)\right) \subset D$ and $\left(e^{A_{1} t}(D) \cup e^{A_{2} t}(D)\right) \subset D$ for each $t \in \mathbf{R}$, and an elcment $x$ of $D$ such that the function $t \rightarrow\left\|A_{1} e^{A_{2} t} x\right\|$ is not locally bounded.


1. Introduction. Let $H$ be a Hilbert space. Suppose that $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ are the generators of unitary strongly continuous one-parameter groups $\left(e^{A_{1} t}\right)_{t \in \mathbf{R}}$ and $\left(e^{A_{2} t}\right)_{t \in \mathbf{R}}$, respectively, acting in $H$. Suppose, moreover, that $D$ is a dense subspace of $H$ contained in the domains of $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ such that $\left(A_{1}(D) \cup A_{2}(D)\right) \subset D$ and $\left(e^{A_{1} t}(D) \cup e^{A_{2} t}(D)\right) \subset D$ for each $t \in \mathbf{R}$. The following is an open problem: Does $A_{1} A_{2} x=A_{2} A_{1} x$ for all $x \in D$ imply that the groups $\left(e^{A_{1} t}\right)_{t \in \mathbf{R}}$ and $\left(e^{A_{2} t}\right)_{t \in \mathbf{R}}$ commute? This is a particular case of a more general question about integrability of Lie algebra representations. Under the additional assumption:
for each $x \in D$, the function $t \rightarrow\left\|A_{2} e^{A_{2} t} x\right\|$ is locally bounded,
the problem above is solved in the affirmative. More generally, known results on integration of Lie algebra representations involve in a crucial way conditions analogous to (*) (cf. [1, Theorems 3.4 and 9.1]). In this connection, P. E. T. Jørgensen and R. T. Moore (cf. [1, Remark, p. 67]) raised the question whether for $A_{1}, A_{2}, D$ as above, condition (*) is automatically fulfilled. We show that in general this is not the case. Our result shows, among other things, that the topological assumption on the domain $D$ in Proposition 3.6 of [1] is essential.
2. The result. Given a subset $A$ of $\mathbf{R}$ and $x \in \mathbf{R}$, let $A+x=\{y \in \mathbf{R}: y=a+x$, $a \in A\}$.

For each integer $n \geqslant 2$, let $I_{n}=\left(2^{n}, 2^{n}+3^{-n}\right)$ and $J_{n}=\left(2^{n}+2 \cdot 3^{-n}, 2^{n}+\right.$ $3^{-n+1}$ ).

Proposition 2.1. Given $x, y \in \mathbf{R}$, there exists at most one pair of integers $m \geqslant 2$, $n \geqslant 2$ such that

$$
\left(I_{n}+x\right) \cap\left(J_{m}+y\right) \neq \varnothing .
$$

Proof. Suppose that for $x, y \in \mathbf{R}$ and integers $m_{i} \geqslant 2, n_{i} \geqslant 2(i=1,2)$, the sets $\left(I_{n_{i}}+x\right) \cap\left(J_{m_{i}}+y\right)$ are not empty. Then

$$
y-x=2^{m_{i}}-2^{n_{i}}+\varepsilon_{i}-\eta_{i},
$$

where $2 \cdot 3^{-m_{i}}<\varepsilon_{i}<3^{-m_{i}+1}$ and $0<\eta_{i}<3^{-n_{i}}$. Since $\left|\varepsilon_{1}-\eta_{1}\right|+\left|\varepsilon_{2}-\eta_{2}\right|<4 / 9$ $<1$, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
2^{m_{1}}-2^{n_{1}}=2^{m_{2}}-2^{n_{2}} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{1}-\eta_{1}=\varepsilon_{2}-\eta_{2} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (2.1) implies that either $m_{1}=m_{2}$ and $n_{1}=n_{2}$, or $m_{1}=n_{1}$ and $m_{2}=n_{2}$. In the latter case, we have $3^{-m_{i}}<\varepsilon_{i}-\eta_{i}<3^{-m_{i}+1}$, whence, by (2.2), $m_{1}=m_{2}=$ $n_{1}=n_{2}$. Thus, in both cases, $m_{1}=m_{2}$ and $n_{1}=n_{2}$.

The proof is complete.
Let $I=\cup_{n=2}^{\infty} I_{n}$ and $J=\bigcup_{n=2}^{\infty} J_{n}$. As an immediate corollary, we obtain
Proposition 2.2. For each $x, y \in \mathbf{R}$, the set $(I+x) \cap(J+y)$ is bounded.
For each $n \in \mathbf{N}$, let $C^{n}(\mathbf{R})$ be the space of all complex functions on $\mathbf{R}$ which possess continuous derivatives of order $\leqslant n$. Let $C^{\infty}(\mathbf{R})=\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} C^{n}(\mathbf{R})$. For $n \in \mathbf{N}$ $\cup\{\infty\}$, let $C_{0}^{n}(\mathbf{R})$ be the space of functions in $C^{n}(\mathbf{R})$ with compact support. For any function $f$ on $\mathbf{R}$, we denote by $\operatorname{supp} f$ the support of $f$.

For each integer $n \geqslant 2$, let $\varphi_{n}$ be a nonnegative function in $C_{0}^{\infty}(\mathbf{R})$ with support in $I_{n}$, such that $\left|\varphi_{n}^{(k)}\right| \leqslant 1$ for $k \leqslant n$. Set

$$
\varphi(x)=\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \varphi_{n}(x) \quad(x \in \mathbf{R})
$$

Clearly, $\operatorname{supp} \varphi \subset I$. Moreover, all derivatives of $\varphi$ are square integrable, since for any $k \in \mathbf{N}$,

$$
\int_{\mathbf{R}}\left(\varphi^{(k)}(x)\right)^{2} d x \leqslant \sum_{n=2}^{k} \int_{\mathbf{R}}\left(\varphi_{n}^{(k)}(x)\right)^{2} d x+\sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty} 3^{-n} .
$$

Given an integer $n \geqslant 2$ and $x \in \mathbf{R}$, set

$$
\psi_{n}(x)=n \delta_{n}^{-1} \varphi_{n}\left(x-2 \cdot 3^{-n}\right)
$$

where $\delta_{n}=\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}} \varphi_{n}^{4}(x) d x\right)^{1 / 2}$. Put $\psi(x)=\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \psi_{n}(x)(x \in \mathbf{R})$. Clearly, $\operatorname{supp} \psi \subset J$.
Let $L^{2}(\mathbf{R})$ be the Hilbert space of all (classes of) complex square integrable functions on $\mathbf{R}$, endowed with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{2}$.

Let $\pi_{\psi}$ be the multiplication operator defined by $\pi_{\psi} f=\psi f\left(f \in D\left(\pi_{\psi}\right)\right)$, with the domain $D\left(\pi_{\psi}\right)=\left\{f \in L^{2}(\mathbf{R}): \psi f \in L^{2}(\mathbf{R})\right\}$. $\pi_{\psi}$ is a selfadjoint operator in $L^{2}(\mathbf{R})$ and $A_{1}=i \pi_{\psi}$ is the generator of the unitary strongly continuous group $\left(e^{A_{1} t}\right)_{t \in \mathbf{R}}$ in $L^{2}(\mathbf{R})$ defined by

$$
\left(e^{A_{1} t} f\right)(x)=e^{i t \psi(x)} f(x) \quad\left(f \in L^{2}(\mathbf{R}), x, t \in \mathbf{R}\right)
$$

Let $A_{2}$ be the generator of the unitary strongly continuous group $\left(e^{A_{2} t}\right)_{t \in \mathbf{R}}$ in $L^{2}(\mathbf{R})$ given by

$$
\left(e^{A_{2} t} f\right)(x)=f(x-t) \quad\left(f \in L^{2}(\mathbf{R}), x, t \in \mathbf{R}\right)
$$

It is easily checked that for any $f \in C^{1}(\mathbf{R}) \cap L^{2}(\mathbf{R})$ with $f^{\prime} \in L^{2}(\mathbf{R}), f$ is in $D\left(A_{2}\right)$ and $A_{2} f=-f^{\prime}$.

Let $D_{0}$ be the set of all functions of the form

$$
x \rightarrow \varphi^{(k)}(x-u) \prod_{j=1}^{m} e^{i t, \psi\left(x-s_{j}\right)} \quad\left(t_{j}, s_{j}, u \in \mathbf{R}, m, k \in \mathbf{N}\right)
$$

Proposition 2.3. The following conditions are satisfied:
(1) $\left(e^{A_{1} t}\left(D_{0}\right) \cup e^{A_{2} t}\left(D_{0}\right)\right) \subset D_{0}$ for each $t \in \mathbf{R}$;
(2) $D_{0} \subset D\left(A_{1}\right)$ and $A_{1}\left(D_{0}\right) \subset C_{0}^{\infty}(\mathbf{R})$;
(3) $D_{0} \subset D\left(A_{2}\right)$ and, for each $f \in D_{0}, A_{2} f=g_{1}+g_{2}$ with $g_{1} \in D_{0}$ and $g_{2} \in$ $C_{0}^{\infty}(\mathbf{R})$.

Proof. (1) is evident.
(2) For any $f: x \rightarrow \varphi^{(k)}(x-u) \prod_{j=1}^{m} e^{i t_{j} \psi\left(x-s_{j}\right)} \quad\left(t_{j}, s_{j}, u \in \mathbf{R}, m, k \in \mathbf{N}\right)$, the support of $\psi f$ is contained in $(I+u) \cap J$ and hence, by Proposition 2.2, is compact. Thus $f \in D\left(A_{1}\right)$ and $A_{1} f \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\mathbf{R})$.
(3) If $f \in D_{0}$ takes the form as in the paragraph above, then, since all derivatives of $\varphi$ are square integrable, $f$ is in $D\left(A_{2}\right)$ and $A_{2} f=g_{1}+g_{2}$, where

$$
g_{1}(x)=-\varphi^{(k+1)}(x-u) \prod_{j=1}^{m} e^{i t_{j} \psi\left(x-s_{j}\right)} \quad \text { and } \quad g_{2}(x)=-i f(x) \sum_{j=1}^{m} t_{j} \psi^{\prime}\left(x-s_{j}\right)
$$

$$
(x \in \mathbf{R}) .
$$

It is clear that $g_{1} \in D_{0}$. Since $\operatorname{supp} g_{2} \subset(I+u) \cap \bigcup_{j=1}^{m}\left(J+s_{j}\right)$, it follows from Proposition 2.2 that $g_{2} \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\mathbf{R})$.

The proof is complete.
Let $D=\left\{f \in C^{\infty}(\mathbf{R}): f=f_{1}+f_{2}, f_{1} \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\mathbf{R}), f_{2} \in \operatorname{span} D_{0}\right\}$, where span $D_{0}$ denotes the linear space spanned by $D_{0}$.

We now state our major result.
Theorem 2.4. $D$ is a dense subspace of $L^{2}(\mathbf{R})$ contained in $D\left(A_{1}\right) \cap D\left(A_{2}\right)$ such that $\left(A_{1}(D) \cup A_{2}(D)\right) \subset D$ and $\left(e^{A_{1} t}(D) \cup e^{A_{2} t}(D)\right) \subset D$ for each $t \in \mathbf{R}$. Moreover, $\varphi$ is an element of $D$ such that the function $t \rightarrow\left\|A_{1} e^{A_{2} t} \varphi\right\|_{2}$ is bounded in no neighborhood of 0 .

Proof. In view of Proposition 2.3, only the last assertion requires a proof.
For each $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and each $x \in \mathbf{R}$, we have

$$
\left(A_{1} e^{2 \cdot 3^{-n} A_{2}} \varphi\right)(x)=i \sum_{k, l=2}^{\infty} \psi_{k}(x) \varphi_{l}\left(x-2 \cdot 3^{-n}\right)
$$

Since $\psi_{n}(x) \varphi_{n}\left(x-2 \cdot 3^{-n}\right)=n \delta_{n}^{-1} \varphi_{n}^{2}\left(x-2 \cdot 3^{-n}\right) \neq 0$ for some $x \in \mathbf{R}$, it follows from Proposition 2.1 that if $k \neq n$ or $l \neq n$, then $\psi_{l}(x) \varphi_{k}\left(x-2 \cdot 3^{-n}\right)=0$ for all $x \in \mathbf{R}$. Consequently,

$$
\left\|A_{1} e^{2 \cdot 3 \cdot A_{2}} \varphi\right\|_{2}=n \delta_{n}^{-1}\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}} \varphi_{n}^{4}\left(x-2 \cdot 3^{-n}\right) d x\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

from which the conclusion follows.
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