

ON THE AREA OF THE REGION WHERE AN ENTIRE FUNCTION IS GREATER THAN ONE

LI-CHIEN SHEN

(Communicated by Paul S. Muhly)

To the memory of Professor Robert L. Long

ABSTRACT. Using Carleman's inequality, we prove that if f is entire and of finite order $\rho \geq 1$, then

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A(r)}{r^2} \geq \frac{\pi}{2\rho},$$

where $A(r)$ is the area of the region $\{z: |f(z)| \geq 1 \text{ and } |z| \leq r\}$.

1. Introduction. In [2], Edrei and Erdős proved the following

THEOREM A. *Let f be an entire function and $D = \{z: |f(z)| > B\}$ ($B > 0$). If there exists a positive number B such that the area of D is finite, then*

$$(1.1) \quad \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln \ln \ln M(r, f)}{\ln r} \geq 2.$$

In this brief note, we will establish the following

THEOREM 1. *Let f be an entire function of order ρ , $1 \leq \rho < \infty$, and let $A(r)$ denote the area of the region*

$$D_r = \{z: |f(z)| \geq 1, |z| \leq r\}.$$

Then

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A(r)}{r^2} \geq \frac{\pi}{2\rho}.$$

The method, which is different from that of Edrei and Erdős, is based on Carleman's famous inequality which we are about to introduce.

Let D be a region on the complex plane. The boundary of D consists of a finite or infinite number of analytic curves clustering nowhere in the finite complex plane. For any r , $0 < r < \infty$, we denote by D_r the part of D lying in $|z| < r$. Let $A_k(r)$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots, n(r)$) be the arcs of $|z| = r$ contained in D and $r\theta_k(r)$ be their arc lengths. Let $E = \{r: |z| = r \text{ is contained wholly in } D\}$ and $E^c = [0, \infty) - E$. If $r \in E^c$, we define

$$\theta(r) = \max_k \theta_k(r).$$

For the moment, we leave $\theta(r)$ undefined if $r \in E$.

We now state the following version of Carleman's inequality due to K. Arima [1, p. 64].

Received by the editors March 27, 1986 and, in revised form, October 20, 1986.
1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 Revision). Primary 30D30; Secondary 30D35.

THEOREM B. *Let f be an entire function and D be the region where $|f(z)| > 1$. Let $\theta(r)$ be defined as before for the region D . Then for any $a, 0 < a < 1$, we have*

$$(1.2) \quad \ln \ln M(r, f) > \pi \int_{E_r^c} \frac{dr}{r\theta(r)} - c,$$

where $E_r^c = E^c \cap [1, ar]$ and the constant c depends on a only.

2. New proof of Theorem A. Without loss of generality, we assume $B = 1$. We first choose an $a, 0 < a < 1$ (a will be fixed throughout §§2 and 3). Let $E_r = E \cap [1, ar]$, and define $\theta(r) = 2\pi$ for $r \in E$. Then

$$(2.1) \quad \pi \int_{E_r} \frac{dt}{t\theta(t)} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{E_r} \frac{dt}{t} \leq \frac{1}{2} \ln ar.$$

From (1.2) and (2.1), we have

$$(2.2) \quad \pi \int_1^{ar} \frac{dt}{t\theta(t)} < \ln \ln M(r, f) + \frac{1}{2} \ln ar + c.$$

By Schwarz's inequality,

$$(2.3) \quad (ar - 1)^2 = \left(\int_1^{ar} dt \right)^2 \leq \int_1^{ar} t\theta(t) dt \cdot \int_1^{ar} \frac{dt}{t\theta(t)}.$$

We recall that $A(r) = \text{area of } \{z: |f(z)| \geq 1 \text{ and } |z| \leq r\}$. Clearly

$$(2.4) \quad \int_1^{ar} t\theta(t) dt \leq A(ar).$$

From (2.2), (2.3) and (2.4), we obtain

$$(2.5) \quad (ar - 1)^2 < (A(ar)/\pi) (\ln \ln M(r, f) + \frac{1}{2} \ln ar + c).$$

If the area of D is finite, (2.5) clearly implies (1.1). This completes the proof of Theorem A.

3. Proof of Theorem 1. Let

$$\mu = \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\ln r} \int_{E_r} \frac{dt}{t}.$$

Then $0 \leq \mu \leq 1$.

We will prove the following proposition which is slightly more general than Theorem 1.

PROPOSITION 1. *Let f be an entire function of order $\rho > 0$. Then*

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A(r)}{r^2} \geq \pi\mu + \frac{\pi(1 - \mu)^2}{2\rho}.$$

PROOF. From Schwarz's inequality,

$$(3.1) \quad \left(\int_{E_r^c} \frac{1}{t} dt \right)^2 \leq \int_{E_r^c} \frac{\theta(t)}{t} dt \cdot \int_{E_r^c} \frac{1}{t\theta(t)} dt.$$

Combining (3.1) with Carleman's inequality, we obtain

$$(3.2) \quad \int_{E_r^c} \frac{\theta(t)}{t} dt \geq \pi \left(\int_{E_r^c} \frac{dt}{t} \right)^2 / (\ln \ln M(r, f) + c).$$

We again define $\theta(r) = 2\pi$ for $r \in E$. Then

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{E_r^c} \frac{\theta(t)}{t} dt &= \int_1^{ar} \frac{\theta(t)}{t} dt - \int_{E_r} \frac{\theta(t)}{t} dt \\ &= \int_1^{ar} \frac{\theta(t)}{t} dt - 2\pi \int_{E_r} \frac{dt}{t}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $B(r) = \int_1^r t\theta(t) dt$. Clearly, $B(r) \leq A(r)$ for all $r \geq 1$. We therefore have

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_1^{ar} \frac{\theta(t)}{t} dt &= \int_1^{ar} \frac{dB(t)}{t^2} = 2 \int_1^{ar} \frac{B(t)}{t^3} dt + K(r) \\ &\leq 2 \int_1^{ar} \frac{A(t)}{t^3} dt + K(r), \end{aligned}$$

where $K(r) = (B(ar)/a^2r^2 - B(1))$. We note that $B(r)/r^2 \leq \pi$ for all r . From (3.2), (3.3) and (3.4), we conclude that

$$(3.5) \quad \frac{K(r)}{\ln r} + \frac{2}{\ln r} \int_1^{ar} \frac{A(t)}{t^3} dt \geq \frac{2\pi}{\ln r} \int_{E_r} \frac{dt}{t} + \pi \left(\frac{1}{\ln r} \int_{E_r^c} \frac{dt}{t} \right)^2 / \left(\frac{\ln \ln M(r, f) + c}{\ln r} \right).$$

It follows immediately from (3.5) that

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A(r)}{r^2} \geq \pi\mu + \frac{\pi(1-\mu)^2}{2\rho}.$$

This finishes the proof of Proposition 1.

It is easy to verify that, if $\rho \geq 1$,

$$(3.6) \quad \pi\mu + \frac{\pi(1-\mu)^2}{2\rho} \geq \frac{\pi}{2\rho}.$$

Theorem 1 follows from (3.6).

REMARK. From (3.5), we see that if $\rho = 0$, then $\mu = 1$. This yields

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A(r)}{r^2} = \pi.$$

We also note that Proposition 1 gives $\mu + (1-\mu)^2/2\rho \leq 1$ for $0 < \rho < 1$. This provides the following relation for μ and ρ :

$$1 - \frac{\rho}{2} \leq \mu \leq 1.$$

We also point out here that the conclusion of Theorem 1 is sharp, as may be seen by considering Mittag-Leffler's function $E_{1/\rho}$.

REFERENCES

1. K. Arima, *On maximum modulus of integral functions*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **4** (1952), 62-66.
2. A. Edrei and P. Erdős, *Entire functions bounded outside a finite area*, Acta Math. Hungar. **45** (1985), 367-376.