

## A CHARACTERIZATION OF $L^p$ -IMPROVING MEASURES

KATHRYN E. HARE

(Communicated by Richard R. Goldberg)

**ABSTRACT.** A Borel measure  $\mu$  on a compact abelian group  $G$  is  $L^p$ -improving if  $\mu$  convolves  $L^p(G)$  to  $L^{p+\varepsilon}(G)$  for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ . We characterize  $L^p$ -improving measures by means of their Fourier transforms.

**Introduction.** Let  $G$  be a compact abelian group,  $\Gamma$  its discrete dual group, and  $m$  normalized Haar measure on  $G$ . A Borel measure  $\mu$  is said to be  $L^p$ -improving for some  $p$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ , if there are constants  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $K$  so that whenever  $f \in L^p(G)$ ,  $\|\mu * f\|_{p+\varepsilon} \leq K\|f\|_p$ . Since  $\mu * L^1 \subseteq L^1$  and  $\mu * L^\infty \subseteq L^\infty$ , an application of the complex interpolation theorem shows that if  $\mu$  is  $L^p$ -improving for some  $p$ , then  $\mu$  is  $L^p$ -improving for all  $1 < p < \infty$ .

Stein in [10, pp. 122–123] posed the problem of characterizing  $L^p$ -improving measures by the “size” of the measure  $\mu$ . We provide such a characterization in terms of the size of the sets

$$E(\varepsilon) \equiv \{\gamma \in \Gamma: |\hat{\mu}(\gamma)| \geq \varepsilon\}$$

for  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

To make clear our notion of “size,” we recall the following definition of  $\Lambda(p)$  set, which was introduced by Rudin in [9] for subsets of  $\mathbf{Z}$ .

For  $E \subseteq \Gamma$ ,  $\text{Trig}_E(G)$  will denote the set of  $E$ -polynomials, i.e., the set of integrable functions  $f: G \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  with  $\text{supp}(\hat{f})$  a finite subset of  $E$ . Let  $2 < p < \infty$ . A subset  $E$  of  $\Gamma$  is called a  $\Lambda(p)$  set if there is a constant  $c$  so that whenever  $f \in \text{Trig}_E(G)$ ,  $\|f\|_p \leq c\|f\|_2$ . The least such constant  $c$  is called the  $\Lambda(p)$  constant for  $E$  and is denoted by  $\Lambda(p, E)$ . For standard results on  $\Lambda(p)$  sets we refer the reader to [9, 4].

We will show that a measure  $\mu$  is  $L^p$ -improving if and only if the sets  $E(\varepsilon)$ , with  $\varepsilon > 0$ , are  $\Lambda(p)$  for all  $2 < p < \infty$ , with certain  $\Lambda(p)$  constants.

An example of an  $L^p$ -improving measure on the circle is the Riesz product  $\mu = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 + (e^{i3^k t} + e^{-i3^k t})/2)$  [2]. It is easy to see that  $\{n: |\hat{\mu}(n)| = 1/2^m\}$  is precisely

$$E_m = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m \varepsilon_i 3^{j_i} : \varepsilon_i = \pm 1, j_i \in \mathbf{Z}^+ \text{ and } j_i \neq j_k \text{ if } i \neq k \right\}.$$

Bonami [2] proved that such sets were  $\Lambda(p)$  for all  $p > 2$ , with  $\Lambda(p, E_m) \leq A^m p^{m/2}$ . Here  $A$  does not depend on  $p$  or  $m$ . This example was the motivation for our characterization of  $L^p$ -improving measures.

Received by the editors November 2, 1986.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 Revision). Primary 43A05; Secondary 43A25, 43A46.

*Key words and phrases.*  $L^p$ -improving measure,  $\Lambda(p)$  set.

Other examples of  $L^p$ -improving measures include any  $L^q(G)$  function for  $q > 1$  (this follows from Young's inequality), any measure  $\mu$  on the circle group satisfying  $|\hat{\mu}(n)| = O(n^{-\alpha})$  for  $\alpha > 0$  [11, p. 127], and Cantor-Lebesgue measures associated with Cantor sets having constant ratio of dissection [3] (see also [1, 5]). Building on the work of [2], Ritter [6] characterized all  $L^p$ -improving Riesz products by means of their Fourier transforms, and in particular showed that all Riesz products on the circle are  $L^p$ -improving. We will use some of the methods of [2 and 6] in proving our theorem.

**Main result.**

**THEOREM.** *Let  $\mu$  be a Borel measure on  $G$  with  $\|\mu\| \leq 1$ . The following are equivalent.*

- (1)  $\mu$  is  $L^p$ -improving.
- (2) There are constants  $p > 2$  and  $\alpha \geq 1$  so that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $E(\varepsilon)$  is a  $\Lambda(p)$  set with  $\Lambda(p, E(\varepsilon)) = O(\varepsilon^{-\alpha})$ .
- (3) Each of the sets  $E(\varepsilon)$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$ , is a  $\Lambda(q)$  set for all  $2 < q < \infty$ , and there is a constant  $c$  such that  $\Lambda(q, E(\varepsilon)) = O(q^{-c \log \varepsilon / \varepsilon})$ .

**PROOF.** (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) Since  $\mu$  is  $L^p$ -improving, we may assume there are constants  $p > 2$  and  $K$  so that  $\|\mu * f\|_p \leq K\|f\|_2$  whenever  $f \in L^2(G)$ .

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . For  $f$  an  $E(\varepsilon)$ -polynomial, define  $g$  by

$$\hat{g}(\gamma) = \begin{cases} \hat{f}(\gamma)/\hat{\mu}(\gamma) & \text{for } \gamma \in E(\varepsilon), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then  $\mu * g = f$  and  $\|g\|_2 \leq \|f\|_2/\varepsilon$ . Hence

$$\|f\|_p = \|\mu * g\|_p \leq K\|g\|_2 \leq \frac{K}{\varepsilon}\|f\|_2.$$

Thus  $E(\varepsilon)$  is a  $\Lambda(p)$  set with  $\Lambda(p, E(\varepsilon)) \leq K/\varepsilon$ .

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1) For  $j \geq 1$  let  $E_j = \{\gamma \leq \Gamma: 1/2^j < |\hat{\mu}(\gamma)| \leq 1/2^{j-1}\}$ . Certainly  $\text{supp } \hat{\mu} \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^\infty E_j$ , and by (2) there is a constant  $K$  so that each set  $E_j$  is a  $\Lambda(p)$  set with  $\Lambda(p, E_j) \leq 2^{j\alpha}K$ . A standard duality argument shows that if  $1/p + 1/p' = 1$  and  $f \in L^2(G)$  then

$$\sum_{\gamma \in E_j} |\hat{f}(\gamma)|^2 \leq (2^{j\alpha}K)^2 \|f\|_{p'}^2.$$

Let  $\mu^N$  denote the  $N$ th convolution power of  $\mu$ . Clearly  $|\hat{\mu}^N(\gamma)| \leq 2^{-(j-1)N}$  on  $E_j$ . Thus for  $f \in L^2(G)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mu^N * f\|_2^2 &= \sum_{j=1}^\infty \sum_{\gamma \in E_j} |\hat{\mu}^N(\gamma)|^2 |\hat{f}(\gamma)|^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^\infty \frac{1}{2^{2N(j-1)}} (2^{j\alpha}K)^2 \|f\|_{p'}^2 \leq C \|f\|_{p'}^2, \end{aligned}$$

provided  $N$  is sufficiently large. It follows that  $\mu^N$  is  $L^p$ -improving for sufficiently large  $N$ . As Ritter [6] has proven that  $\mu$  is  $L^p$ -improving if and only if  $\mu^N$  is  $L^p$ -improving, this concludes the proof of (2) $\Rightarrow$ (1).

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (2) is clear.

Before proving (1) $\Rightarrow$ (3), we prove a lemma of independent interest.

LEMMA. Suppose  $\|\mu\| \leq 1$ , and for some  $p > 2$  and constant  $K$ ,  $\|\mu * f\|_p \leq K\|f\|_2$  for all  $f \in L^2(G)$ . Let  $p(n) = p^{n+1}/2^n$  and  $s(n) = \sum_{j=0}^n (2/p)^j$ . Then whenever  $f \in L^2(G)$ ,

$$(*_n) \quad \|\mu^{n+1} * f\|_{p(n)} \leq K^{s(n)}\|f\|_2.$$

PROOF. We proceed inductively. Certainly  $(*_0)$  holds, so assume  $(*_{n-1})$  is satisfied. Let  $t(n) = (2/p)^n$ . Since the norm of  $\mu$  as a convolution map from  $L^2$  to  $L^p$  is at most  $K$ , and the norm of  $\mu$  from  $L^\infty$  to  $L^\infty$  is at most  $\|\mu\| \leq 1$ , the complex interpolation method shows that for each integer  $n \geq 0$ ,

$$\|\mu * f\|_{p(n)} \leq K^{t(n)}\|f\|_{p(n-1)}$$

for  $f \in L^{p(n-1)}(G)$ .

By the induction assumption,  $\mu^n * f \in L^{p(n-1)}(G)$  whenever  $f \in L^2(G)$ ; thus

$$\|\mu^{n+1} * f\|_{p(n)} \leq K^{t(n)}\|\mu^n * f\|_{p(n-1)} \leq K^{s(n)}\|f\|_2.$$

PROOF OF THEOREM (CTD.). (1) $\Rightarrow$ (3) We will continue to use the functions  $p(n)$  and  $s(n)$  as defined in the previous lemma.

Given  $q$ ,  $2 < q < \infty$ , choose an integer  $n \geq 0$  so that  $p(n-1) < q \leq p(n)$ .

Observe that  $E(\varepsilon) = \{\gamma: |\hat{\mu}^{n+1}(\gamma)| \geq \varepsilon^{n+1}\}$ ; thus the proof of (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2), together with the lemma, shows that for  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\Lambda(p(n), E(\varepsilon)) \leq K^{s(n)}/\varepsilon^{n+1}$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume  $K \geq 1$ .

It follows that if  $f$  is an  $E(\varepsilon)$ -polynomial and  $s = \sum_{j=0}^\infty (2/p)^j = 1/(1 - (2/p))$ , then

$$\|f\|_q \leq \|f\|_{p(n)} \leq \frac{K^{s(n)}}{\varepsilon^{n+1}}\|f\|_2 \leq \frac{K^s}{\varepsilon^{n+1}}\|f\|_2.$$

Let  $c = 1/\log(p/2)$ . Since  $n < \log q/\log(p/2)$ , the inequality above shows that

$$\|f\|_q \leq \frac{K^s}{\varepsilon} q^{-c \log \varepsilon} \|f\|_2$$

whenever  $f \in \text{Trig}_{E(\varepsilon)}(G)$ . This establishes (3).

**Applications.**

COROLLARY 1. If  $\mu$  is a Borel measure on  $G$  and  $\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} |\hat{\mu}(\gamma)|^r < \infty$  for some  $r < \infty$ , then  $\mu$  is  $L^p$ -improving.

REMARK. This includes the case of  $|\hat{\mu}(n)| = O(n^{-\alpha})$ ,  $\alpha > 0$ .

PROOF. Since  $\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} |\hat{\mu}(\gamma)|^r \geq \sum_{\gamma \in E(\varepsilon)} |\hat{\mu}(\gamma)|^r \geq \varepsilon^r |E(\varepsilon)|$ ,  $E(\varepsilon)$  is a finite set for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , hence a  $\Lambda(p)$  set for all  $p > 2$  with  $\Lambda(p, E(\varepsilon)) \leq O(\varepsilon^{-r})$ .

It is known [1] that if  $\mu$  is a probability measure on the circle which is  $L^p$ -improving, then  $\sup_{n \neq 0} |\hat{\mu}(n)| < 1$ . This is not true for other groups. However we do have

COROLLARY 2. Let  $2 < p < \infty$ . If  $\mu$  convolves  $L^2(G)$  to  $L^p(G)$ , then

$$\limsup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} |\hat{\mu}(\gamma)| \leq \sqrt{2/p} \|\mu\|.$$

PROOF. It is shown in [9, 3.4] that if an infinite set  $E \subseteq \Gamma$  is a  $\Lambda(p)$  set then  $\Lambda(p, E) \geq O(\sqrt{p})$ . If  $\varepsilon > \sqrt{2/p} \|\mu\|$ , this fact, together with (3) of the main theorem, shows that  $E(\varepsilon)$  must be a finite set.

REMARK. By duality  $\mu$  convolves  $L^2$  to  $L^p$  for some  $p > 2$  if and only if  $\mu$  convolves  $L^{p'}$  to  $L^2$ , where  $1/p + 1/p' = 1$ . Thus Corollary 2 may be restated as

COROLLARY 2'. Let  $1 < p < 2$ . If  $\mu$  convolves  $L^p$  to  $L^2$ , then

$$\limsup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} |\hat{\mu}(\gamma)| \leq \sqrt{2 - (2/p)} \|\mu\|.$$

COROLLARY 3. If  $\mu$  convolves  $L^2$  to  $\bigcap_{2 < p < \infty} L^p$ , or equivalently,  $\mu$  maps  $\bigcup_{1 < p < 2} L^p$  to  $L^2$ , then  $\limsup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} |\hat{\mu}(\gamma)| = 0$ .

Corollary 3 answers a question posed by McGehee, which was communicated to us by Graham.

COROLLARY 4. If a measure  $\mu$  has the property that  $\inf\{|\hat{\mu}(\gamma)|: \hat{\mu}(\gamma) \neq 0\} > 0$ , then  $\mu$  is  $L^p$ -improving if and only if the cardinality of the support of  $\hat{\mu}$  is finite.

PROOF. Sufficiency is clear. For necessity note that the hypotheses imply that  $\text{supp } \hat{\mu}$  is contained in a  $\Lambda(p)$  set for some  $p > 2$ . A basic property of  $\Lambda(p)$  sets is that such measures are actually  $L^p$  functions [4]; so  $\limsup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} |\hat{\mu}(\gamma)| = 0$ .

Much is known about the structure of  $\Lambda(p)$  sets (cf., [4, Chapter 6 and 9]). To cite but one example: it is known that if  $A$  is an arithmetic progression of length  $N$ , and  $E$  is a  $\Lambda(p)$  set for some  $p > 2$ , then  $|A \cap E| \leq C\Lambda(p, E)^2 N^{2/p}$ , where  $C$  is a constant independent of  $N, E$  and  $p$  [9, 3.5]. (Here  $|\cdot|$  denotes the cardinality of the set.)

Thus if  $\mu$  is an  $L^p$ -improving measure and  $\|\mu\| \leq 1$ , then for each  $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$  and  $2 < p < \infty$

$$|A \cap E(\varepsilon)| \leq \frac{C_1}{\varepsilon^2} p^{-2C_2 \log \varepsilon} N^{2/p},$$

where  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are constants independent of  $p, \varepsilon$  and  $N$ . Taking

$$p = (-1/C_2 \log \varepsilon) \log N$$

we obtain

COROLLARY 5. Let  $\mu$  be an  $L^p$ -improving measure with  $\|\mu\| \leq 1$ . There are constants  $C_1$  and  $C_2$ , independent of  $N$ , so that if  $A$  is an arithmetic progression of length  $N$ , then

$$|A \cap E(\varepsilon)| \leq C_1 (\log N)^{-2C_2 \log \varepsilon}.$$

A measure  $\mu$ , acting as a convolution operator from  $L^1$  to  $L^1$ , is said to be an *Enflo operator* if there is a subspace  $Y$  of  $L^1$ , isomorphic to  $L^1$ , on which  $\mu$  is an isomorphism. In [8] Rosenthal proves that if for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\{\gamma: |\hat{\mu}(\gamma)| > \varepsilon\}$  is a  $\Lambda(p)$  set for some  $p > 2$ , then the measure  $\mu$  is non-Enflo. Consequently, all  $L^p$ -improving measures are non-Enflo.

We will say that a measure  $\mu$  has property (\*) if whenever  $R$  is an infinite dimensional reflexive subspace of  $L^1$ , and  $\mu|_R$  is an isomorphism onto its range, then  $R$  is isomorphic to a Hilbert space. Rosenthal asks in [8] if there are any measures  $\mu$  which have property (\*) and for which  $\limsup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} |\hat{\mu}(\gamma)| \neq 0$ . Our final proposition answers this question affirmatively.

PROPOSITION. Any  $L^p$ -improving measure has property (\*).

REMARKS 1. This is a generalization of the fact that the  $E(\varepsilon)$  sets for an  $L^p$ -improving measure are  $\Lambda(p)$  for all  $p < \infty$ , so that  $L^p_{E(\varepsilon)} \cong L^2$ .

2. Rosenthal has communicated to us that Bourgain, in an unpublished proof, showed that Riesz products have property (\*).

PROOF. Let  $\mu$  be an  $L^p$ -improving measure and  $R$  an infinite dimensional reflexive subspace of  $L^1$ . Then  $R$  is isomorphic to a subspace of  $L^p$  for some  $p > 1$  [7]. Fix  $1 < r < p$  and choose  $R_1 \cong R$  as in [8] so that  $R_1 \subseteq L^r$  and  $\mu|_{R_1}$  is an isomorphism onto its range.  $R$  is closed in  $L^1$ ; hence  $R_1$  is closed in  $L^r$  and  $\mu * R_1$  is a closed subspace of  $L^1$ .

Since  $\mu$  is  $L^p$ -improving there is a constant  $\delta > 0$  so that  $\mu * L^r \subseteq L^{r+\delta}$ . In particular,  $\mu * R_1 \subseteq L^{r+\delta}$ . Since  $\mu * R_1$  is closed in  $L^1$ , it is closed in  $L^{r+\delta}$ , and thus  $R$  is isomorphic to a closed subspace of  $L^{r+\delta}$ .

Fix  $r_1$ , with  $r < r_1 < r + \delta$  and let  $s = (r + \delta)/r$ . Let  $r(n) = r_1^n / r^{n-1}$ . The complex interpolation method shows that  $\mu * L^{r(n)} \subseteq L^{r(n)s}$  for all  $n \geq 0$ . Suppose we inductively assume that  $R \cong R_{n+1}$ , a closed subspace of  $L^{r(n)}$ , and  $\mu|_{R_{n+1}}$  is an isomorphism onto its range. Then  $R \cong \mu * R_{n+1}$ , a closed subspace of  $L^{r(n)s}$ , and since  $r(n+1) < r(n)s$ , there is a closed subspace  $R_{n+2}$  of  $L^{r(n+1)}$  isomorphic to  $R$  on which  $\mu$  is an isomorphism. If  $n$  is chosen so that  $r(n) \geq 2$ , then  $R$  is isomorphic to  $\mu * R_{n+1}$ , a closed subspace of  $L^2$ , proving the result.

In conclusion, we would like to thank C. Graham for introducing us to the notion of  $L^p$ -improving measures.

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. W. Beckner, S. Janson and D. Jerison, *Convolution inequalities on the circle*, Conference on Harmonic Analysis in Honor of Antoni Zygmund (W. Beckner et al., eds.), Wadsworth, Belmont, Calif., 1983.
2. A. Bonami, *Etude des coefficients de Fourier des fonctions de  $L^p(G)$* , Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **20** (1970), 335–402.
3. M. Christ, *A convolution inequality concerning Cantor-Lebesgue measures*, preprint, 1985.
4. J. Lopez and K. Ross, *Sidon sets*, Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., no. 13, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1975.
5. D. Oberlin, *A convolution property of the Cantor-Lebesgue measure*, Colloq. Math. **67** (1982), 113–117.
6. D. Ritter, *Most Riesz product measures are  $L^p$ -improving*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **97** (1986), 291–295.
7. H. P. Rosenthal, *On subspaces of  $L^p$* , Ann. of Math. **97** (1973), 344–373.
8. ———, *Convolution by a biased coin*, vol. II, Altgeld Book, Univ. of Illinois, 1975, pp. 1–17.
9. W. Rudin, *Trigonometric series with gaps*, J. Math. Mech. **9** (1960), 203–227.
10. E. M. Stein, *Harmonic analysis on  $R^n$* , Studies in Harmonic Analysis (J. M. Ash, ed.), M.A.A. Studies Math., vol. 13, Math. Assoc. Amer., Washington, D.C., 1976, pp. 97–135.
11. A. Zygmund, *Trigonometric series*, 2nd ed., vol. II, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1959.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA, EDMONTON (T6G 2H1), ALBERTA, CANADA