

ON EXPONENTS OF HOMOLOGY AND COHOMOLOGY OF FINITE GROUPS

JON F. CARLSON

(Communicated by Bhama Srinivasan)

ABSTRACT. Let G be a finite group and let r be the maximum of the p -ranks of G for all primes p dividing the order of G . There exist positive integers m and n such that the exponents of $H^n(G, \mathbf{Z})$ and $H_m(G, \mathbf{Z})$ are greater than $|G|^{1/r}$.

Suppose that G is a finite group. It has long been known that the exponent of $H^n(G, \mathbf{Z})$ divides $|G|$, the order of G , for all $n > 0$. Beyond this fact very little has been established concerning exponents of cohomology groups, and what is known seems to be mostly about upper bounds for the exponents. Lewis [3] found an element of order p^2 in $H^{2p}(G, \mathbf{Z})$ when G is the group of order p^3 and exponent p (p odd). The purpose of this paper is to give a general lower bound for exponents on group homology and cohomology. In some sense it explains the phenomenon observed by Lewis.

Throughout let R denote either the ordinary integers \mathbf{Z} or the localization \mathbf{Z}_p of \mathbf{Z} at a prime p . Define the R -rank of G to be equal to the p -rank of G if $R = \mathbf{Z}_p$ and equal to the maximum of the p -ranks of G for all primes p if $R = \mathbf{Z}$. The main result is the following.

THEOREM 1. *Let r be the R -rank of G . There exist an infinite number of positive integers m and n such that*

$$\exp H^n(G, R) \geq |R/(g)|^{1/r} \quad \text{and} \quad \exp H_m(G, R) \geq |R/(g)|^{1/r},$$

where $|R/(g)|$ is the order of the group $R/(g)$, $g = |G|$.

In the case $R = \mathbf{Z}$, $|R/(g)| = |G|$. If $R = \mathbf{Z}_p$ and $|G| = p^a \cdot q$, then $R/(g)$ has order p^a . It is well known that, because \mathbf{Z}_p is a flat \mathbf{Z} -module, $H^n(G, \mathbf{Z}) = \bigoplus_p H^n(G, \mathbf{Z}_p)$ and $H_m(G, \mathbf{Z}) = \bigoplus_p H_m(G, \mathbf{Z}_p)$ for $m, n \geq 1$. That is, $H^n(G, \mathbf{Z}_p)$ is isomorphic to $H^n(G, \mathbf{Z})_p$, the p -primary part of $H^n(G, \mathbf{Z})$. Hence in case $R = \mathbf{Z}_p$ the theorem implies the following.

COROLLARY 2. *Suppose that $|G| = p^a \cdot q$, $(p, q) = 1$, and $a \geq 1$. Let r be the p -rank of G , and let s be the least integer that is greater than or equal to a/r . Then there exist an infinite number of positive integers m and n such that p^s divides $\exp H^n(G, \mathbf{Z})$ and $\exp H_m(G, \mathbf{Z})$.*

The proof of Theorem 1 is little more than a combination of two results. The first demonstrates that certain types of complexes of projective RG -modules can

Received by the editors December 8, 1986.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 Revision). Primary 20J06.

Key words and phrases. Homology of finite groups, cohomology of finite groups.

This work was partly supported by the NSF.

be constructed [1]. The theorem is then almost immediate from the second result [2]. For the sake of completeness we present a brief description of the construction. (See [1] for details and references.)

Let (p) be a maximal prime ideal in R . For $\zeta \in H^n(G, R)$, let $\bar{\zeta} \in H^n(G, R/(p))$ denote its reduction modulo p . Let r be the R -rank of G and choose homogeneous elements $\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_r \in H^*(G, R)$ such that, for every such prime ideal (p) , the elements $\bar{\zeta}_1, \dots, \bar{\zeta}_r$ have the property that $H^*(G, R/(p))/(\bar{\zeta}_1, \dots, \bar{\zeta}_r)$ is a finite-dimensional algebra over $R/(p)$. Also if $R = \mathbf{Z}$ and r_p is the p -rank of G , then assume that $(\bar{\zeta}_1, \dots, \bar{\zeta}_{r_p})$ generate an ideal of finite codimension in $H^*(G, R/(p))$. If $V_G(R/(p))$ is the maximal ideal spectrum of $H^*(G, R/(p))/\text{Rad } H^*(G, R/(p))$ and if $V_G(\bar{\zeta}_i)$ is the subvariety of $V_G(R/(p))$ corresponding to the ideal generated by $\bar{\zeta}_i$, the preceding is equivalent to the statement that

$$V_G(\bar{\zeta}_1) \cap \dots \cap V_G(\bar{\zeta}_r) = \{0\}.$$

Such sets of elements exist because r_p is the Krull dimension of $H^*(G, R/(p))$.

Let

$$\dots \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow R \rightarrow 0$$

be an RG -projective resolution of R . We need two more assumptions, neither of which cause any problems as far as the existence of sets $\{\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_r\}$ as above. First assume that $l_i = \text{deg}(\zeta_i) > 1$. Also if $R = \mathbf{Z}$ we insist that the p -primary part of ζ_i is zero if $i > r_p$, the p -rank of G . Then each ζ_i is represented by a cocycle $\hat{\zeta}_i: F_{l_i} \rightarrow R$ which is a surjection. Now consider the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccccccc} \dots & \rightarrow & F_{l_i+1} & \rightarrow & F_{l_i} & \rightarrow & F_{l_i-1} & \rightarrow & F_{l_i-2} & \rightarrow & \dots & \rightarrow & F_0 & \rightarrow & R & \rightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel & & & & \parallel & & \parallel & & \\ & & 0 & \rightarrow & R & \rightarrow & L_i & \rightarrow & F_{l_i-2} & \rightarrow & \dots & \rightarrow & F_0 & \rightarrow & R & \rightarrow & 0 \\ & & & & & & \parallel & & \parallel & & & & \parallel & & & & \\ & & & & & & 0 & \rightarrow & C_{l_i-1}^{(i)} & \rightarrow & C_{l_i-2}^{(i)} & \rightarrow & \dots & \rightarrow & C_0^{(i)} & \rightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

Here the middle row is the pushout of the top row along $\hat{\zeta}_i$. The bottom row is a complex which we denote by $C^{(i)}$. It is clear that $H_j(C^{(i)}) = 0 = H^j(C^{(i)})$ if $j \neq 0, l_i - 1$, while $\overline{H}_j(C^{(i)}) \cong R \cong H^j(C^{(i)})$ if $j = 0$ or $j = l_i - 1$. From the conditions on ζ_1, \dots, ζ_r it follows that $L = L_1 \otimes \dots \otimes L_r$ is a projective RG -module because $L/(p)L$ is a projective $(R/(p))G$ -module for all primes p . Now let $C = C(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_r)$ be the total complex of the tensor product $C = C^{(1)} \otimes_R \dots \otimes_R C^{(r)}$. In summary, using the Künneth tensor formula, we get the following.

THEOREM 3 [1]. *Let r be the R -rank of G . There exist homogeneous elements $\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_r \in H^*(G, R)$ satisfying the following conditions.*

- (i) $H^*(G, R/(p))/(\bar{\zeta}_1, \dots, \bar{\zeta}_r)$ is a finite-dimensional algebra over $R/(p)$ for all maximal ideals $(p) \subseteq R$.
- (ii) $\text{deg } \zeta_i = l_i > 1$ for all i .
- (iii) If $R = \mathbf{Z}$, then the p -primary part of ζ_i is zero for $i > r_p$, the p -rank of G .

If ζ_1, \dots, ζ_r satisfy the above conditions, then $C = C(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_r)$ is a complex of projective RG -modules such that every $H_j(C)$ and $H^j(C)$ is a direct sum of copies of R . In particular

$$H^j(C) = \sum H^{j_1}(C^{(1)}) \otimes \dots \otimes H^{j_r}(C^{(r)})$$

and

$$H_j(C) = \sum H_{j_1}(C^{(1)}) \otimes \cdots \otimes H_{j_r}(C^{(r)}),$$

the sum being over all r -tuples (j_1, \dots, j_r) such that $j_1 + \cdots + j_r = j$.

The other fact that we require is the following. Browder's proof for the case $R = \mathbf{Z}$ is trivially generalized to the other situations.

THEOREM 4 [2]. *Let C be a finite nonnegative chain complex of projective RG -modules such that $H_0(C) = R$. Then as elements of R*

$$(1) \quad |G| \text{ divides } \prod_{j \geq 1} \exp H^{j+1}(G, H_j(C))$$

and

$$(2) \quad |G| \text{ divides } \prod_{j \geq 1} \exp H_{j+1}(G, H^j(C)).$$

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. Suppose that r is the R -rank of G , and that ζ_1, \dots, ζ_r satisfy all of the conditions necessary for Theorem 3 to hold. It may be observed that $\zeta_1^{n_1}, \dots, \zeta_r^{n_r}$ also satisfies the same hypotheses for any positive integers n_1, \dots, n_r . Also $\deg(\zeta_i^{n_i}) = n_i \deg(\zeta_i)$, so we may assume that ζ_1, \dots, ζ_r all have the same degree l . Then the complex C has homology and cohomology only in degrees $j(l-1)$ for $j = 0, 1, \dots, r$. Consequently Theorem 4 implies that $|G|$ divides $\prod_{j=1}^r \exp H^{j(l-1)+1}(G, R)$ in R . Thus $\exp H^n(G, R) \geq |R/(g)|^{1/r}$ for some j , where $n = j(l-1) + 1$. This proves existence of one such n . To obtain another simply replace every ζ_i by ζ_i^t for $t \cdot \deg \zeta_i > n$ and repeat the process. The proof for homology is almost the same.

For cohomology the existence of one value of n automatically implies the existence of an infinite number, because the ring $H^*(G, R)$ has elements of positive degree that are not divisors of zero.

REFERENCES

1. D. J. Benson and J. F. Carlson, *Complexity and multiple complexes*, Math. Z. **195** (1987), 221-238.
2. W. Browder, *Cohomology and group actions*, Invent. Math. **71** (1983), 599-607.
3. G. Lewis, *The integral cohomology rings of groups of order p^3* , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **132** (1968), 501-529.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF GEORGIA, ATHENS, GEORGIA 30602