

TWO MIXED HADAMARD TYPE GENERALIZATIONS OF HEINZ INEQUALITY

TAKAYUKI FURUTA

(Communicated by John B. Conway)

Dedicated to Professor Zirō Takeda on his 65th birthday with respect and affection

ABSTRACT. We give two types of mixed Hadamard inequalities containing the terms T , $|T|$, and $|T^*|$, where T is a bounded linear operator on a complex Hilbert space. As an immediate consequence of these results, we can easily show some extensions of the Hadamard inequality and also the Heinz inequality:

$$(*) \quad |(Tx, y)|^2 \leq (|T|^{2\alpha}x, x)(|T^*|^{2(1-\alpha)}y, y)$$

for any T , any x, y in H , and any real number α with $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$. And the following conditions are equivalent in case $0 < \alpha < 1$:

- (1) the equality in $(*)$ holds;
- (2) $|T|^{2\alpha}x$ and T^*y are linearly dependent;
- (3) Tx and $|T^*|^{2(1-\alpha)}y$ are linearly dependent.

Results in this paper would remain valid for unbounded operators under slight modifications.

1. Introduction. An operator T means a bounded linear operator on a complex Hilbert space H . We give two types of mixed Hadamard theorems containing the terms T , $|T|$, and $|T^*|$. These results are some extensions of Hadamard's theorem and the Heinz inequality

$$(I_3) \quad |(Tx, y)|^2 \leq (|T|^{2\alpha}x, x)(|T^*|^{2(1-\alpha)}y, y)$$

for any T , any x, y in H , and any real number α with $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$. Also we scrutinize the cases when the equalities in these mixed Hadamard theorems hold. As an immediate consequence of this scrutiny, we can show that the equality in (I_3) holds if and only if $|T|^{2\alpha}x$ and T^*y are linearly dependent if and only if Tx and $|T^*|^{2(1-\alpha)}y$ are linearly dependent in case α with $0 < \alpha < 1$. Since (I_3) is equivalent to (I_4)

$$(I_4) \quad |(Tx, y)| \leq \| |T|^\alpha x \| \| |T^*|^{1-\alpha} y \|,$$

so that one might believe that the equality in (I_3) or (I_4) would hold if and only if $|T|^{2\alpha}x$ and $|T^*|^{2(1-\alpha)}y$ are linearly dependent or $|T|^\alpha x$ and $|T^*|^{1-\alpha}y$ are linearly dependent. But here we can give a simple counter example to these mistakes. By this reason, the form of (I_3) is more convenient than (I_4) in order to remind us of the case when the equality in (I_3) or (I_4) holds. This paper extends the results obtained in [3 and 4].

Received by the editors January 26, 1987.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 Revision). Primary 47A30; Secondary 47A99.

Key words and phrases. Heinz inequality, Hadamard inequality, mixed Schwarz inequality, polar decomposition.

©1988 American Mathematical Society
0002-9939/88 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

2. Statement of the results.

THEOREM 1 (MIXED HADAMARD TYPE 1). *For any operator T on H , any x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n in H , and any nonnegative number α and β with $\alpha + \beta = 1$, let G_n be defined by*

$$G_n = \begin{vmatrix} (|T|^{2\alpha}x_1, x_1) & (Tx_1, x_2) & (Tx_1, x_3) & \dots & (Tx_1, x_n) \\ (T^*x_2, x_1) & (|T^*|^{2\beta}x_2, x_2) & (|T^*|^{2\beta}x_2, x_3) & \dots & (|T^*|^{2\beta}x_2, x_n) \\ (T^*x_3, x_1) & (|T^*|^{2\beta}x_3, x_2) & (|T^*|^{2\beta}x_3, x_3) & \dots & (|T^*|^{2\beta}x_3, x_n) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ (T^*x_n, x_1) & (|T^*|^{2\beta}x_n, x_2) & (|T^*|^{2\beta}x_n, x_3) & \dots & (|T^*|^{2\beta}x_n, x_n) \end{vmatrix}.$$

Then

$$(I_1) \quad 0 \leq G_n \leq \| |T|^\alpha x_1 \|^2 \prod_{j=2}^n \| |T^*|^\beta x_j \|^2.$$

Let $S_1(\alpha)$ and $S_2(\beta)$ be two systems of vectors defined by

$$S_1(\alpha) = \{ |T|^{2\alpha}x_1, T^*x_2, T^*x_3, \dots, T^*x_n \}$$

and

$$S_2(\beta) = \{ Tx_1, |T^*|^{2\beta}x_2, |T^*|^{2\beta}x_3, \dots, |T^*|^{2\beta}x_n \}.$$

(1) $0 < \alpha < 1$. (i) $G_n = 0$ iff $S_1(\alpha)$ is a system of linearly dependent vectors iff $S_2(\beta)$ is a system of linearly dependent vectors.

(ii) The following conditions (a) and (b) are equivalent:

- (a) the right-hand side equality in (I₁) holds,
- (b) the following (b₁) or (b₂) holds:
 - (b₁) $(Tx_1, x_j) = 0$ for $j = 2, 3, \dots, n$ and $(|T^*|^{2\beta}x_j, x_k) = 0$ for $j < k$ ($j = 2, 3, \dots, n-1$).
 - (b₂) $S_1(\alpha)$ contains the zero vector (equivalently, $S_2(\beta)$ contains the zero vector).

(2) $\alpha = 1$. (i) $G_n = 0$ iff $S_2(0) = \{ Tx_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n \}$ is a system of linearly dependent vectors.

(ii) The following conditions (a) and (b) are equivalent:

- (a) the right-hand side equality in (I₁) holds,
- (b) the following (b₁) or (b₂) holds:
 - (b₁) $(Tx_1, x_j) = 0$ for $j = 2, 3, \dots, n$ and $(x_j, x_k) = 0$ for $j < k$ ($j = 2, 3, \dots, n-1$).
 - (b₂) $S_2(0)$ contains the zero vector.

(3) $\alpha = 0$. (i) $G_n = 0$ iff $S_1(0) = \{ x_1, T^*x_2, T^*x_3, \dots, T^*x_n \}$ is a system of linearly dependent vectors.

(ii) The following conditions (a) and (b) are equivalent:

- (a) the right-hand side equality in (I₁) holds,
- (b) the following (b₁) or (b₂) holds:
 - (b₁) $(x_1, T^*x_j) = 0$ for $j = 2, 3, \dots, n$ and $(|T^*|^2x_j, x_k) = 0$ for $j < k$ ($j = 2, 3, \dots, n-1$).
 - (b₂) $S_1(0)$ contains the zero vector.

THEOREM 2 (MIXED HADAMARD TYPE 2). *For any operator T on H , any x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n in H , and any nonnegative number α and β with $\alpha + \beta = 1$, let G_{2n} be defined by*

$$G_{2n} = \begin{vmatrix} (|T|^{2\alpha}x_1, x_1) & (Tx_1, x_2) & \dots & (|T|^{2\alpha}x_1, x_{2n-1}) & (Tx_1, x_{2n}) \\ (T^*x_2, x_1) & (|T^*|^{2\beta}x_2, x_2) & \dots & (T^*x_2, x_{2n-1}) & (|T^*|^{2\beta}x_2, x_{2n}) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ (|T|^{2\alpha}x_{2n-1}, x_1) & (Tx_{2n-1}, x_2) & \dots & (|T|^{2\alpha}x_{2n-1}, x_{2n-1}) & (Tx_{2n-1}, x_{2n}) \\ (T^*x_{2n}, x_1) & (|T^*|^{2\beta}x_{2n}, x_2) & \dots & (T^*x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}) & (|T^*|^{2\beta}x_{2n}, x_{2n}) \end{vmatrix}.$$

Then

$$(I_2) \quad 0 \leq G_{2n} \leq \prod_{j=1}^n \| |T|^\alpha x_{2j-1} \|^2 \| |T^*|^\beta x_{2j} \|^2.$$

Let $S_1(\alpha)$ and $S_2(\beta)$ be two systems of vectors defined by

$$S_1(\alpha) = \{ |T|^{2\alpha}x_1, T^*x_2, |T|^{2\alpha}x_3, T^*x_4, \dots, |T|^{2\alpha}x_{2n-1}, T^*x_{2n} \}$$

and

$$S_2(\beta) = \{ Tx_1, |T^*|^{2\beta}x_2, Tx_3, |T^*|^{2\beta}x_4, \dots, Tx_{2n-1}, |T^*|^{2\beta}x_{2n} \}.$$

(1) $0 < \alpha < 1$. (i) $G_{2n} = 0$ iff $S_1(\alpha)$ is a system of linearly dependent vectors iff $S_2(\beta)$ is a system of linearly dependent vectors.

(ii) The following conditions (a) and (b) are equivalent:

(a) the right-hand side equality in (I₂) holds,

(b) the following (b₁) or (b₂) holds:

(b₁) $(|T^*|^{2\beta}x_{2j}, x_{2k}) = 0$ for $j \neq k$, $(|T|^{2\alpha}x_{2j-1}, x_{2k-1}) = 0$ for $j \neq k$ and $(Tx_{2j-1}, x_{2k}) = 0$ for $j, k = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

(b₂) $0 \in S_1(\alpha)$ (equivalently, $0 \in S_2(\beta)$).

(2) $\alpha = 1$. (i) $G_{2n} = 0$ iff $S_2(0) = \{ Tx_1, x_2, Tx_3, x_4, \dots, Tx_{2n-1}, x_{2n} \}$ is a system of linearly dependent vectors.

(ii) The following conditions (a) and (b) are equivalent:

(a) the right-hand side equality in (I₂) holds,

(b) the following (b₁) or (b₂) holds:

(b₁) $(x_{2j}, x_{2k}) = 0$ for $j \neq k$, $(|T|^2x_{2j-1}, x_{2k-1}) = 0$ for $j \neq k$ and $(Tx_{2j-1}, x_{2k}) = 0$ for $j, k = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

(b₂) $0 \in S_2(0)$.

(3) $\alpha = 0$. (i) $G_{2n} = 0$ iff $S_1(0) = \{ x_1, T^*x_2, x_3, T^*x_4, \dots, x_{2n-1}, T^*x_{2n} \}$ is a system of linearly dependent vectors.

(ii) The following conditions (a) and (b) are equivalent:

(a) the right-hand side equality in (I₂) holds,

(b) the following (b₁) or (b₂) holds:

(b₁) $(|T^*|^2x_{2j}, x_{2k}) = 0$ for $j \neq k$, $(x_{2j-1}, x_{2k-1}) = 0$ for $j \neq k$ and $(x_{2j-1}, T^*x_{2k}) = 0$ for $j, k = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

(b₂) $0 \in S_1(0)$.

COROLLARY 1. *For any operator T on H ,*

$$(I_3) \quad |(Tx, y)|^2 \leq (|T|^{2\alpha}x, x)(|T^*|^{2(1-\alpha)}y, y)$$

holds for any x, y in H and any real number α with $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$.

(1) $0 < \alpha < 1$. The equality in (I₃) holds iff $|T|^{2\alpha}x$ and T^*y are linearly dependent iff Tx and $|T^*|^{2(1-\alpha)}y$ are linearly dependent.

(2) $\alpha = 1$. The equality in (I₃) holds iff Tx and y are linearly dependent.

(3) $\alpha = 0$. The equality in (I₃) holds iff x and T^*y are linearly dependent.

REMARK 1. (I₃) is obtained in [1, 8, 9] without the proof of the case when the equality in (I₃) holds. As (I₃) is equivalent to the following inequality (I₄): $|(Tx, y)| \leq \| |T|^{\alpha}x \| \| |T^*|^{1-\alpha}y \|$, so that one might believe that the equality in (I₃) or (I₄) would hold iff $|T|^{2\alpha}x$ and $|T^*|^{2(1-\alpha)}y$ are linearly dependent or $|T|^{\alpha}x$ and $|T^*|^{1-\alpha}y$ are linearly dependent. But here we can give a simple counterexample as follows. In case $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$, let $T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $x = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $y = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix}$; then $|T^*|y = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix} = 2|T|x$, namely $|T|x$ and $|T^*|y$ are linearly dependent, but $|(Tx, y)|^2 = 36 \neq (|T|x, x)(|T^*|y, y) = 54$. Also we can give an example such that the equality in (I₃) or (I₄) does not hold even if $|T|x$ and $|T^*|^{1-\alpha}y$ are linearly dependent. We would like to emphasize that the equality in (I₃) or (I₄) holds iff $|T|^{2\alpha}x$ and T^*y are linearly dependent iff Tx and $|T^*|^{2(1-\alpha)}y$ are linearly dependent in case α with $0 < \alpha < 1$. Corollary 1 is shown in [4].

REMARK 2. Put $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ in Corollary 1; then we have the useful inequality $|(Tx, y)|^2 \leq (|T|x, x)(|T^*|y, y)$, the so-called “mixed Schwarz inequality” [6] as an extension of $|(Tx, y)|^2 \leq (Tx, x)(Ty, y)$ for positive operator T . By Corollary 1, the equality in this mixed Schwarz inequality holds iff $|T|x$ and T^*y are linearly dependent iff Tx and $|T^*|y$ are linearly dependent.

3. Proofs of the results. In order to show the results, we need the following

THEOREM A. For any $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in H$, let G_n be the determinant of a square matrix of order n defined by $G_n = |((x_j, x_k))|$. Then

$$0 \leq G_n \leq \|x_1\|^2 \|x_2\|^2 \cdots \|x_n\|^2.$$

On the left-hand side, equality holds if and only if x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n are linearly dependent. On the right-hand side, equality holds if and only if x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n are mutual orthogonal or $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ contains the zero vector.

The right-hand side inequality in Theorem A is Hadamard’s theorem, and the left-hand side inequality in Theorem A is well known and can be considered as a generalization of Schwarz inequality. Many ingenious and elegant proofs of Hadamard’s theorem have been given by many authors (for example, [2, 5, 7, 11]).

LEMMA. Let $T = U|T|$ be the polar decomposition of T , where U means the partial isometry and $|T| = (T^*T)^{1/2}$ with $N(U) = N(|T|)$, where $N(S)$ denotes the kernel of an operator S . Then, for any positive number p ,

$$(i) \quad |T^*|^p = U|T|^p U^*.$$

PROOF. First of all, we state the following obvious but important relation (*):

(*) $N(S^q) = N(S)$ for any positive operator S and for any positive number q .

As U^*U is the initial projection, then we have $U^*U|T|^q = |T|^q$ for any positive number q because the relation (*) for $|T|$ yields $\overline{R(|T|^q)} = \overline{R(|T|)}$. Then

$$|T^*|^2 = TT^* = U|T||T|U^* = U|T|U^*U|T|U^* = (U|T|U^*)^2,$$

so $|T^*| = U|T|U^*$ since $U|T|U^*$ is positive. By induction, we have $|T^*|^{n/m} = U|T|^{n/m}U^*$ for any natural number m and n because $U^*U|T|^q = |T|^q$; then the continuity of T yields $|T^*|^p = U|T|^pU^*$ by attending $n/m \rightarrow p$, so the proof of (i) is complete.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. (1) $0 < \alpha < 1$. In Theorem A, we replace x_1 by $|T|^\alpha x_1$, and x_k by $|T|^\beta U^* x_k$ for $k = 2, 3, \dots, n$, and for any positive number α and β with $\alpha + \beta = 1$. Then, by the Lemma we have the following:

$$\begin{aligned} (|T|^\alpha x_1, |T|^\beta U^* x_k) &= (U|T|x_1, x_k) = (Tx_1, x_k) \quad \text{for } k = 2, 3, \dots, n, \\ (|T|^\beta U^* x_j, |T|^\beta U^* x_k) &= (U|T|^{2\beta} U^* x_j, x_k) = (|T^*|^{2\beta} x_j, x_k) \quad \text{for } j, k = 2, 3, \dots, n. \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem A and the Lemma, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq G_n &\leq \| |T|^\alpha x_1 \|^2 \| |T|^\beta U^* x_2 \|^2 \| |T|^\beta U^* x_3 \|^2 \cdots \| |T|^\beta U^* x_n \|^2 \\ &= \| |T|^\alpha x_1 \|^2 \| |T^*|^\beta x_2 \|^2 \| |T^*|^\beta x_3 \|^2 \cdots \| |T^*|^\beta x_n \|^2. \end{aligned}$$

$G_n = 0$ iff $|T|^\alpha x_1, |T|^\beta U^* x_2, |T|^\beta U^* x_3, \dots, |T|^\beta U^* x_n$ are linearly dependent by Theorem A iff $|T|^{2\alpha} x_1, |T|U^* x_2, |T|U^* x_3, \dots, |T|U^* x_n$ are linearly dependent by the relation (*) for $|T|$ iff (D₁): $S_1(\alpha) = \{|T|^{2\alpha} x_1, T^* x_2, T^* x_3, \dots, T^* x_n\}$ is a system of linearly dependent vectors.

On the other hand, $G_n = 0$ iff $|T|^\alpha x_1, |T|^\beta U^* x_2, |T|^\beta U^* x_3, \dots, |T|^\beta U^* x_n$ are linearly dependent iff $|T|x_1, |T|^{2\beta} U^* x_2, |T|^{2\beta} U^* x_3, \dots, |T|^{2\beta} U^* x_n$ are linearly dependent by the relation (*) for $|T|$, equivalently, $U|T|x_1, U|T|^{2\beta} U^* x_2, U|T|^{2\beta} U^* x_3, \dots, U|T|^{2\beta} U^* x_n$ are linearly dependent by (*) for $|T|$ and $N(U) = N(|T|)$ iff (D₂): $S_2(\beta) = \{Tx_1, |T^*|^{2\beta} x_2, |T^*|^{2\beta} x_3, \dots, |T^*|^{2\beta} x_n\}$ is a system of linearly dependent vectors by (i) of the Lemma. Therefore, (D₁) holds iff (D₂) holds.

The proof of equality for the right-hand side of (I₁) follows by Theorem A and the argument stated above in the first half of the proof, so the proof of the case (1) is complete. The proofs of the case (2) $\alpha = 1$ and (3) $\alpha = 0$ are obvious, so we omit them. Hence we have finished the proof of Theorem 1.

PROOF OF THEOREM 2. (1) $0 < \alpha < 1$. In Theorem A, we replace x_{2k} by $|T|^\beta U^* x_{2k}$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$, and x_{2k-1} by $|T|^\alpha x_{2k-1}$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$, and for any positive number α and β with $\alpha + \beta = 1$. Then, by the Lemma we have the following:

$$\begin{aligned} (|T|^\beta U^* x_{2j}, |T|^\beta U^* x_{2k}) &= (U|T|^{2\beta} U^* x_{2j}, x_{2k}) = (|T^*|^{2\beta} x_{2j}, x_{2k}), \\ (|T|^\alpha x_{2j-1}, |T|^\beta U^* x_{2k}) &= (U|T|x_{2j-1}, x_{2k}) = (Tx_{2j-1}, x_{2k}) \end{aligned}$$

for $j, k = 1, 2, \dots, n$. By Theorem A and the Lemma, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq G_{2n} &\leq \| |T|^\alpha x_1 \|^2 \| |T|^\beta U^* x_2 \|^2 \| |T|^\alpha x_3 \|^2 \| |T|^\beta U^* x_4 \|^2 \\ &\quad \cdots \| |T|^\alpha x_{2n-1} \|^2 \| |T|^\beta U^* x_{2n} \|^2 \\ &= \| |T|^\alpha x_1 \|^2 \| |T^*|^\beta x_2 \|^2 \| |T|^\alpha x_3 \|^2 \| |T^*|^\beta x_4 \|^2 \cdots \| |T|^\alpha x_{2n-1} \|^2 \| |T^*|^\beta x_{2n} \|^2. \end{aligned}$$

The proofs of the left-hand side and right-hand side of the equality in (I₂) are given by the same way as in the proofs of Theorem 1, so we omit them. The proofs of the case $\alpha = 1$ and $\alpha = 0$ are obvious, so we omit them. Hence we have finished the proof of Theorem 2.

PROOF OF COROLLARY 1. The proof follows by the left-hand side inequality of Theorem 1 or Theorem 2.

Other generalizations of the Heinz inequality were obtained in [1 and 10]. Results in this paper would remain valid for unbounded operators under slight modifications.

The author would like to express his thanks to the referee for valuable comments.

REFERENCES

1. J. Dixmier, *Sur une inégalité de E. Heinz*, Math. Ann. **126** (1953), 75–78.
2. T. Furuta, *An elementary proof of Hadamard's theorem*, Mat. Vestnik **23** (1971), 267–269.
3. ——, *Mixed Hadamard's theorems*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **96** (1986), 217–220.
4. ——, *A simplified proof of Heinz inequality and scrutiny of its equality*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **97** (1986), 751–753.
5. F. G.antmacher, *The theory of matrices*, Vol. 1, Chelsea, New York, 1960.
6. P. Halmos, *A Hilbert space problem book*, 2nd ed., Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1982.
7. G. H. Hardy, J. E. Littlewood, and G. Polya, *Inequalities*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1934.
8. E. Heinz, *On an inequality for linear operators in a Hilbert space*, Report on Operator Theory and Group Representations, no. 387, National Academy of Science, U.S.A., 1955, pp. 27–29.
9. T. Kato, *Note on some inequalities for linear operators*, Math. Ann. **125** (1952), 208–212.
10. ——, *A generalization of Heinz inequality*, Proc. Japan Acad. **37** (1961), 305–308.
11. H. Widom, *Lectures on integral equations*, Van Nostrand, 1969.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, HIROSAKI UNIVERSITY,
BUNKYO-CHO 3, HIROSAKI, AOMORI 036, JAPAN