

## POLYNOMIALLY MOVING ERGODIC AVERAGES

MARK SCHWARTZ

(Communicated by Bert E. Fristedt)

**ABSTRACT.** Given an increasing sequence of positive integers  $\{m_n\}$ , a non-decreasing sequence of positive integers  $\{b_n\}$ , and a measurable, measure-preserving ergodic transformation  $\tau$  on a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ , the a.s. convergence of the moving averages  $T_n(f) = b_n^{-1} \sum_{k=m_n+1}^{m_n+b_n} f(\tau^k \omega)$  is considered, for  $f \in L_p(\Omega)$ . A counterexample is constructed in the case of polynomial-like  $\{m_n\}$ .

In the paper of del Junco and Rosenblatt [2], it is shown that if  $\{b_n\}$  is a nondecreasing sequence of positive integers satisfying  $b_n/n \rightarrow 0$ , and  $\tau$  is an invertible measure-preserving ergodic transformation of a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  then there exists  $f \in L_p(\Omega)$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , such that the averages  $T_n(f) = b_n^{-1} \sum_{k=n+1}^{n+b_n} f(\tau^k \omega)$  do not converge a.s. In fact, it is shown that there exists a dense  $G_\delta$  subset  $\mathcal{R}$  such that if  $A \in \mathcal{R}$  then

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n^{-1} \sum_{k=n+1}^{n+b_n} I_A(\tau^k \omega) = 1 \quad \text{a.s.}$$

and

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n^{-1} \sum_{k=n+1}^{n+b_n} I_A(\tau^k \omega) = 0 \quad \text{a.s.}$$

This is an improvement of the results in [1] and [3].

Of course, for any  $f \in L_p$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , the sequence  $\{T_n(f)\}$  converges in  $L_p$  to  $E(f) = \int_{\Omega} f d\mu$ . Thus, there exists a subsequence  $\{T_{k_n}(f)\}$  which converges a.s. to  $E(f)$ . Rosenblatt [4] poses the following question: does there exist a subsequence  $\{k_n\}$  such that  $T_{k_n}(f)$  converges a.s. to  $E(f)$  for all  $f \in L_1$ ? Naturally, the belief is that this is false, but apparently, it has never been proved.

In this paper, an extension of the construction in [3] is used to provide a counterexample for polynomial-like sequences  $\{m_n\}$ . Initially, it was believed that a generalization of this type, using Rohlin's lemma, could give counterexamples for any sequence  $\{m_n\}$ . However, it appears that a new approach is needed. We now state and prove the theorem for polynomial-like sequences.

Let  $\{m_n\}$  be an increasing sequence of positive integers satisfying

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} m_{n-1}/m_n = 1.$$

Assume  $\{b_n\}$  is a nondecreasing sequence of positive integers such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n = \infty$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n/m_n = 0$ .

Received by the editors March 25, 1987.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 Revision). Primary 28D05; Secondary 60F15.

©1988 American Mathematical Society  
0002-9939/88 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

**THEOREM.** Suppose  $\{m_n\}$  and  $\{b_n\}$  are as above and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then there exists a set  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $\mu(A) \leq \varepsilon$ , such that the sequence of averages  $b_n^{-1} \sum_{k=m_n+1}^{m_n+b_n} I_A(\tau^k \omega)$  does not converge a.s.

**PROOF.** For  $f \in L_p$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , denote  $T_n(f) = b_n^{-1} \sum_{k=m_n+1}^{m_n+b_n} f(\tau^k \omega)$ . For each  $n \geq 2$ , let  $d_n = m_n - m_{n-1}$ . With no loss of generality, we can assume  $\{d_n\}$  is nondecreasing. For otherwise, we define a subsequence  $\{m_{k_n}\}$  as follows: set  $k_1 = 1$ ,  $k_2 = 2$ ; for  $i > 2$ , define  $k_i = \min\{j > k_{i-1} : m_j \geq m_{k_{i-1}} + d'_{i-1}\}$ , where  $d'_{i-1} = m_{k_{i-1}} - m_{k_{i-2}}$ . Obviously,  $\{d'_n\}$  is nondecreasing, and it can be shown that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} m_{k_n}/m_{k_{n-1}} = 1$  from the corresponding property of  $\{m_n\}$ . For simplicity, the original notation is retained, even if we have passed to subsequences. Specifically, we write  $\{m_n\}$ ,  $\{b_n\}$ , and  $\{d_n\}$  for  $\{m_{k_n}\}$ ,  $\{b_{k_n}\}$ , and  $\{d'_n\}$ , respectively.

By hypothesis,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_n/m_n = 0$ . For  $p = 1, 2, \dots$ , let  $n_p > n_{p-1}$  (taking  $n_0 = 0$ ) be chosen such that

$$\frac{b_{n_p} + d_{n_p}}{m_{n_p} + b_{n_p}} < \varepsilon_p = \frac{\varepsilon}{2^p}$$

and

$$\frac{m_p}{m_{n_p}} < \frac{1}{p}.$$

By Rohlin's lemma, there exists  $E_p \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $\{\tau^k E_p : 1 \leq k \leq m_{n_p} + b_{n_p}\}$  are disjoint and  $\mu \bigcup_{k=1}^{m_{n_p} + b_{n_p}} \tau^k E_p \geq 1 - \varepsilon_p$ . Define  $A_p = \bigcup_{k=m_{n_p-1}+1}^{m_{n_p} + b_{n_p}} \tau^k E_p$ ; we have  $\mu A_p \leq (d_{n_p} + b_{n_p})/(m_{n_p} + b_{n_p}) < \varepsilon_p$ . For  $p \leq j \leq n_p - 1$ , let  $I_{p,j} = \{i : m_{n_p-1} - m_j \leq i \leq m_{n_p} + b_{n_p} - m_j - b_j\}$ . Let  $I_p = \bigcup_{j=p}^{n_p-1} I_{p,j}$  and define  $D_p = \bigcup_{k \in I_p} \tau^k E_p$ . Note, for  $p \leq j \leq n_p - 1$ , we have  $m_{n_p} + b_{n_p} - m_{j+1} - b_{j+1} \geq m_{n_p-1} - m_j$ , since  $\{d_n\}$  is nondecreasing. So  $I_{p,j+1}$  and  $I_{p,j}$  overlap for  $p \leq j \leq n_p - 1$ . We compute

$$|I_p| = |[m_{n_p-1} - m_{n_p-1}, m_{n_p} + b_{n_p} - m_p - b_p]| \geq m_{n_p} + b_{n_p} - m_p - b_p.$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu D_p &\geq |I_p|(1 - \varepsilon_p)/(m_{n_p} + b_{n_p}) \\ &\geq (m_{n_p} + b_{n_p} - m_p - b_p)(1 - \varepsilon_p)/(m_{n_p} + b_{n_p}). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,  $\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \mu D_p = 1$ . Suppose  $\omega \in D_p$ . Then there exists  $i \in I_p$  such that  $\omega \in \tau^i E_p$ . Further, there exists  $j$ ,  $p \leq j \leq n_p - 1$ , for which  $i \in I_{p,j}$ . Then, for  $m_j + 1 \leq k \leq m_j + b_j$ , we have  $\tau^k \omega \in A_p$ . Thus, for each  $\omega \in D_p$ , there exists  $j \geq p$  such that  $b_j^{-1} \sum_{k=m_j+1}^{m_j+b_j} I_{A_p}(\tau^k \omega) = 1$ . Let  $A = \bigcup_{p=1}^{\infty} A_p$ , and  $D = \bigcup_{p=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{j=p}^{\infty} D_j$ . We have  $\mu A \leq \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon/2^p = \varepsilon$ , and  $\mu D = 1$ .

For each  $\omega \in D$ ,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n^{-1} \sum_{k=m_n+1}^{m_n+b_n} I_A(\tau^k \omega) = 1.$$

However, if  $T_n(I_A) \rightarrow g$  a.s. then  $E(T_n(I_A)) \rightarrow E(g)$ . But,  $E(T_n(I_A)) = \mu A \leq \varepsilon$ . Thus,  $\{T_n(I_A)\}$  does not converge a.s.

## REFERENCES

1. M. A. Akcoglu and A. del Junco, *Convergence of averages of point transformations*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **49** (1975), 265–266.
2. A. del Junco and J. Rosenblatt, *Counterexamples in ergodic theory and number theory*, Math. Ann. **245** (1979), 185–197.
3. A. del Junco and J. M. Steele, *Moving averages of ergodic processes*, Metrika **24** (1977), 35–43.
4. J. Rosenblatt, *Ergodic group actions*, Ark. Math. (to appear).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, OHIO WESLEYAN UNIVERSITY, DELAWARE, OHIO 43015