

## POLARITY IN A COMPLETELY DISTRIBUTIVE COMPLETE LATTICE

ZIKE DENG

(Communicated by William C. Waterhouse)

**ABSTRACT.** We introduce  $p$ -bases in completely distributive complete polarity lattices and give a procedure for generating these lattices by  $p$ -bases.

**1. Introduction.** As in [1], the object of this paper is to lay a lattice-theoretic foundation of treating local properties of the generalized algebra of topology i.e., the algebra of topology [3] with polarity instead of Boolean complement.  $p\sim$  in Definition 3.2 is introduced to overcome the difficulty in the unified treatment of Boolean complement and non-Boolean polarity and Proposition 3.2 can be regarded as a generalization of Boolean property.

We first examine polarity by means of quasi-atoms introduced in [1]. And then we introduce  $p$ -bases in completely distributive complete polarity lattices and give a procedure for the generation of these lattices by  $p$ -bases.

**2. Preliminaries.** Let  $(L, \leq)$  be a completely distributive complete lattice. We shall use  $a, b, c$  to denote its elements and  $A, B, C$  its subsets.

**DEFINITION 2.1.**  $a < b$  iff for every  $A$  with  $\bigvee A = b$  there exists  $c \in A$  such that  $a \leq c$ .

**DEFINITION 2.2 [1].**  $p \in \Delta(L) \subseteq L$  where  $\Delta(L) = \{\bigwedge_{a < b} b \mid a \neq 0, \bigvee_{a \not\leq c} C < 1\}$  is called a quasi-atom.

We shall use  $p, q, r$  to denote elements of  $\Delta(L)$  and  $P, Q, R$  its subsets.

**DEFINITION 2.3 [1].** (1)  $Q \subseteq \Delta(L)$  is called a base of  $(L, \leq)$  iff  $a = \bigvee\{p \mid p \in Q, p < a\}$  for every  $a \in L$ .

(2)  $p \in \Delta(L)$  has the  $\wedge$ -property iff for every  $a, b \in L$ , that  $p < a$  and  $p < b$  implies  $p < a \wedge b$ .

(3) A base  $Q$  of which every element is  $\vee$ -irreducible and has the  $\wedge$ -property is called a  $s$ -base.

(4)  $(L, \leq)$  is standard iff it has a  $s$ -base.

(5) A  $l$ -set of a base  $Q$  is a subset  $R$  of  $Q$  satisfying (1)  $p \in R$  implies that there exists  $q$  such that  $p < q \in R$  and (2)  $p < q \in R$  implies  $p \in R$  for every  $p \in Q$ .

(6) For  $a \in L$ ,  $R_a = \{p \mid p < a, p \in Q\}$ .

The set of all  $l$ -sets of a base  $Q$  will be denoted by  $L(Q)$ . Evidently  $R_a \in L(Q)$  for every  $a \in L$ . Let  $\Phi: Q \rightarrow L(Q)$  be defined as  $\Phi(p) = R_p$ . We shall use  $I(L)$  to denote the set of all completely  $\vee$ -irreducible elements of  $L$  and  $A(L)$  the set of all its atoms.

---

Received by the editors November 3, 1986 and, in revised form, April 23, 1987.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 *Revision*). Primary 06D10, 06D30.

*Key words and phrases.* Quasi-atoms,  $p$ -bases.

**3. Polarity.**

DEFINITION 3.1 [2]. A polarity  $'$  in a lattice is involutory antiautomorphism.

Throughout the remaining part of this paper  $(L, \leq, ')$  will denote a completely distributive complete polarity lattice (in short  $p$ -lattice). By a Boolean  $p$ -lattice we mean a  $p$ -lattice with Boolean complement as polarity.

DEFINITION 3.2.  $p^\sim = \bigwedge_{q \not\star p'} q$  for  $p, q \in \Delta(L)$ .

LEMMA 3.1.  $q < p'$  implies  $p < q'$  for every  $p, q \in \Delta(L)$ .

PROOF. By [1, Proposition 2.1]  $p = \bigwedge_{p < a} a$ , hence  $p' = \bigvee_{p < a} a'$ . Since  $q < p'$  implies that there exists  $a$  with  $p < a$  such that  $q \leq a'$ , it follows that  $p < q'$  by [1, Lemma 2.1(5)]. Q.E.D.

LEMMA 3.2.  $p^\sim \in \Delta(L)$ .

PROOF. Since  $p \neq 0$ , there exists  $r \in \Delta(L)$  such that  $r < p'$  by [1, Lemma 3.2(2)] noting that  $\Delta(L)$  itself is a base. It follows that  $p^\sim \leq r$ , which implies  $\bigvee_{p^\sim \not\leq a} a \leq \bigvee_{r \not\leq b} b < 1$ . By Lemma 3.1  $p^\sim = \bigvee_{p \not\star q'} q'$ , so  $p \not\star p^\sim$ , hence  $p^\sim \neq 0$ . Since  $\bigwedge_{p^\sim < a} a \leq \bigwedge_{q \not\star p'} \bigwedge_{q < b} b = \bigwedge_{q \not\star p'} q$  by [1, Proposition 2.1],  $p^\sim = \bigwedge_{p^\sim < a} a \in \Delta(L)$ . Q.E.D.

PROPOSITION 3.1.  $p^\sim \not\star p'$  and for every  $q \in \Delta(L)$ ,  $q \not\star p'$  implies  $p^\sim \leq q$ .

PROOF. In the proof of Lemma 3.2 we have already shown that  $p \not\star p^\sim$  and so  $p^\sim \not\star p'$  by Lemma 3.1. The remaining part is trivial. Q.E.D.

PROPOSITION 3.2.  $p \not\leq a'$  iff  $p^\sim < a$  for every  $p \in \Delta(L)$ ,  $a \in L$ .

PROOF.  $p \not\leq a'$  iff  $a \not\leq p'$ , which holds iff there exists  $q \in \Delta(L)$  such that  $q < a$  and  $q \not\star p'$  by [1, Lemma 3.2(2)], which holds iff  $p^\sim < a$  by Proposition 3.1 and [1, Lemma 2.1(2), Lemma 3.2(1)]. Q.E.D.

PROPOSITION 3.3. (1)  $p^{\sim\sim} = p$ . (2)  $p < q$  implies  $q^\sim < p^\sim$ .

PROOF. (1) Since  $p^\sim \not\star p'$ ,  $p \not\star p^{\sim\sim}$ , which implies  $p^{\sim\sim} \leq p$ . Furthermore  $p^{\sim\sim} \not\star p^{\sim\sim}$ , so  $p^\sim \not\star p^{\sim\sim}$ , hence  $p \leq p^{\sim\sim}$ .

(2) Suppose  $p < q$ , then  $p^\sim \not\leq q'$ , hence  $q \not\leq p^{\sim\sim}$  and so  $q^\sim < p^\sim$ . Q.E.D.

From above we see that  $p^\sim = \min\{q \mid q \not\star p', q \in \Delta(L)\}$  and  $\sim: \Delta(L) \rightarrow \Delta(L)$  is a mapping satisfying Propositions 3.2 and 3.3. If  $(L, \leq, ')$  is a Boolean  $p$ -lattice, then  $\Delta(L) = A(L)$ , the binary relation  $<$  is the same as  $\leq$  and  $p^\sim = p$ . We shall need the following lemmas.

LEMMA 3.3.  $p \in \Delta(L)$  is  $\vee$ -irreducible iff  $p^\sim$  has the  $\wedge$ -property.

PROOF. For  $p \leq a \vee b$  iff  $p^\sim \not\star a' \wedge b'$ . Q.E.D.

LEMMA 3.4. If  $\sim: Q \rightarrow Q$  where  $Q \subseteq \Delta(L)$  satisfies Proposition 3.2 and Proposition 3.3(1), then  $p^\sim = \min\{q \mid q \not\star p', q \in \Delta(L)\}$ .

PROOF. Since  $p \leq p$ , it follows that  $p^\sim \not\star p'$ . On the other hand if  $q \in \Delta(L)$  and  $q \not\star p'$ , then  $p \not\star q'$ , hence  $p^\sim \leq q$ . Q.E.D.

**4.  $p$ -bases.**

DEFINITION 4.1. A  $p$ -base of  $(L, \leq, ')$  is a base  $Q$  which is closed under  $\sim$ .

PROPOSITION 4.1. Any  $p$ -lattice has a  $p$ -base.

PROOF. By [1, Proposition 2.2]  $\Delta(L)$  itself is a base and  $p$ -base. Q.E.D.

- PROPOSITION 4.2. (1) Any standard  $p$ -lattice has a  $p$ - $s$ -base.  
 (2) Any  $p$ -lattice isomorphic to a complete ring of sets has a  $p$ -base  $I(L)$ .  
 (3) Any Boolean  $p$ -lattice has a  $p$ -base  $A(L)$ .

PROOF. (1)  $Q = \{p | p \in \Delta(L), p \text{ is } \vee\text{-irreducible and } p \text{ has the } \wedge\text{-property}\}$  is evidently a  $s$ -base and by Lemma 3.3 and Proposition 3.3(1) a  $p$ -base.

(2) By Proposition 3.3 (2)  $p < p$  implies  $p^\sim < p^\sim$  and so  $p^\sim \in I(L)$  by [1, Lemma 2.1(4)].

(3) For  $p^\sim = p \in A(L)$ . Q.E.D.

For  $R_p, L(P)$  and  $\Phi$  in the following propositions see §2 of this paper.

PROPOSITION 4.3. If  $Q$  is a  $p$ -base, then

- (1)  $<_Q \circ <_Q = <_Q$  where  $<_Q$  is the restriction of  $<$  on  $Q$ .
- (2) For every  $p, q, r, s \in Q, q < r \in \bigcap_{p < s} R_s$  implies  $q < p$ .
- (3) For every  $p \in Q$  there exists  $q, r \in Q$  such that  $q < p < r$ .
- (4) For every  $p, q \in Q, R_p = R_q$  implies  $p = q$ .
- (5) For every  $p, q, r \in Q, \text{ that } R_p \subseteq R_q \text{ and } q < r \text{ implies } p < r$ .
- (6) For every  $p, q \in Q, p < q$  implies  $q^\sim < p^\sim$ .
- (7) For every  $p, p^{\sim\sim} = p$ .

If  $Q$  is a  $p$ - $s$ -base, then

(8) For every  $p, q, r \in Q, p \in R_q \cap R_r$  implies that there exists  $s \in Q$  such that  $p < s$  and  $s \in R_q \cap R_r$ .

(9)  $R_p$  is  $\vee$ -irreducible in  $L(Q)$  ordered by inclusion.

PROOF. It follows from [1, Proposition 3.3] and Proposition 3.3. Q.E.D.

Let  $P_{i,j,\dots}$  be any nonvoid set on which there are defined a binary relation  $<$  and a mapping  $\sim$  satisfying the conditions (i), (j), ... in Proposition 4.3.

PROPOSITION 4.4. (1)  $L(P_{1,2,6,7})$  ordered by inclusion is a  $p$ -lattice.

(2)  $\Phi(P_{1,2,\dots,7})$  is a  $p$ -base of  $L(P_{1,2,\dots,7})$  and  $\Phi$  is an isomorphism from  $P_{1,2,\dots,7}$  onto  $\Phi(P_{1,2,\dots,7})$ .

(3)  $\Phi(P_{1,2,\dots,9})$  is a  $p$ - $s$ -base.

PROOF. (1) By [1, Proposition 4.1(1)]  $L(P_{1,2,6,7})$  is a completely distributive complete lattice. Define  $'$  as follows:  $R' = \{p | \text{there exists } q \text{ such that } p < q \text{ and } q^\sim \notin R\}$  for  $R \in L(P_{1,2,6,7})$  and  $p, q \in P_{1,2,6,7}$ . That  $R \leq S$  implies  $S' \leq R'$  is trivial. Since  $p \in R$  iff there exists  $q$  such that  $p < q$  and  $R_q \subseteq R$ , and since  $R_q \subseteq R$  iff for every  $s, q^\sim < s$  implies  $s^\sim \in R$ , which is equivalent to  $q^\sim \notin R'$ , it follows that  $p \in R$  is equivalent to  $p \in R''$ , hence  $R'' = R$ . Thus  $'$  is a polarity.

(2) By [1, Proposition 4.1(1)-(4)]  $\Phi(P_{1,2,\dots,7})$  is a base,  $\Phi$  is a bijection and both  $\Phi$  and  $\Phi^{-1}$  are  $<$ -preserving. Define  $R_p^\sim = R_{p^\sim}$ . Then  $R_p < S'$  iff  $p \in S'$ , which holds iff there exists  $q$  such that  $p < q$  and  $q^\sim \notin S$ , which holds iff there exists  $q^\sim$  such that  $q^\sim < p^\sim$  and  $q^\sim \notin S$ , which is equivalent to  $R_p^\sim = R_{p^\sim} \not\subseteq S$ . Evidently  $R_{p^\sim}^\sim = R_p$ . By Lemma 3.4  $R_p^\sim = \min\{R | R \not\subseteq R_p', R \in \Delta(L(P_{1,2,\dots,7}))\}$  and so  $\Phi(P_{1,2,\dots,7})$  is a  $p$ -base. Since  $\Phi(p^\sim) = R_{p^\sim}^\sim = R_p^\sim = \Phi(p)^\sim$   $\Phi$  is an isomorphism.

(3) By [1, Proposition 4.1(5),(6)] and (2)  $\Phi(P_{1,2,\dots,9})$  is a  $p$ - $s$ -base. Q.E.D.

The above proposition can be used to construct new  $p$ -lattices from old ones.

## REFERENCES

1. Z. Deng, *Quasi-atoms and complete distributivity*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **103** (1988), 365–370
2. M. Kamara, *Zur Konstruktion Vollständiger Polaritätsverbände*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **299/300** (1978), 280–289.
3. J. C. C. McKinsey and A. Tarski, *The algebra of topology*, Ann. of Math. (2) **45**(1944), 141–191.

DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, HUNAN UNIVERSITY, CHANGSHA, HUNAN,  
CHINA