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#### Abstract

We give a condition for a semilinear elliptic equation to have two nontrivial solutions. Our condition does not demand any differentiability of the nonlinear term.


1. Introduction. Let $\Omega \subset R^{n}$ be a bounded domain with a smooth boundary $\partial \Omega$ and $g: R \rightarrow R$ be a continuous mapping such that $g(0)=0$. We study the boundary value problem of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta u+g(u)=0 \quad \text { in } \Omega,\left.\quad u\right|_{\partial \Omega}=0 . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\lambda_{1}<\lambda_{2} \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_{k} \leq \cdots$ denote the eigenvalues of the selfadjoint realization in $L^{2}(\Omega)$ of $-\Delta$ with the boundary condition. In [2], Ambrosetti and Mancini proved that if $g \in C^{2}, s g^{\prime \prime}(s)>0$ for all $s \neq 0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{k-1}<g^{\prime}(0)<\lambda_{k}<g( \pm \infty)=\lim _{s \rightarrow \pm \infty} g^{\prime}(s)<\lambda_{k+1}, \quad \text { for some } k \geq 1 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the problem (1) has exactly two nontrivial solutions. Recently, Ahmad [1] proved that if $g \in C^{1}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<g^{\prime}(0)<\lambda_{1}<\lim _{|t| \rightarrow \infty} g(t) / t<\lambda_{2}, \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the problem (1) has at least two nontrivial solutions.
In the present paper, we consider the case

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{k-1}<b_{*} \leq b^{*}<\lambda_{k}<a_{*} \leq a^{*}<\lambda_{k+1}, \quad \text { for some } k \geq 1 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a^{*}=\sup _{t \neq 0} g(t) / t, a_{*}=\liminf |t| \rightarrow \infty ~ g(t) / t, b^{*}=\lim \sup _{|t| \rightarrow 0} g(t) / t$, and $b_{*}=\inf _{t \in R} g(t) / t$. In assumption (4), we have implicitly supposed $\lambda_{k}$ is single. Our method is similar to that employed in [5], and does not demand that $g$ is differentiable or $\lim _{t \rightarrow \pm \infty} g(t) / t$ exists.

THEOREM. If (4) is satisfied, then the problem (1.1) has at least two nontrivial solutions.

Remark. Our result is a partial extension of Theorem 1.2 of [2] and also Theorem 1 of [1]. In fact, it is easy to see that (4) holds if $s g^{\prime \prime}(s)>0$ for all $s \neq 0$ and $g$ satisfies (2). It is also obvious that if (3) holds and $0<g(t) / t<\lambda_{2}$ for $t \neq 0$, then (4) is satisfied with $k=1$ and $\lambda_{0}=0$. Our argument can be applied to a more general situation (e.g., $-\Delta$ can be replaced by a more general elliptic operator).

[^0]2. Proof of Theorem. In the following, we write $L^{2}, H_{0}^{1}$ and $H^{-1}$ instead of $L^{2}(\Omega), H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ and $H^{-1}(\Omega)$, respectively. We denote by $\|\cdot\|,|\cdot|$ the norms of $H_{0}^{1}$ and $L^{2}$, respectively, the pairing between $H_{0}^{1}$ and $H^{-1}$ is denoted by $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$. Let $H_{1}, H_{2}$ and $H_{3}$ be the subspaces of $L^{2}$ spanned by the eigenspaces corresponding to the eigenvalues $\left\{\lambda_{k+1}, \lambda_{k+2}, \ldots\right\},\left\{\lambda_{k}\right\}$, and $\left\{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{k-1}\right\}$, respectively. Then $H_{1}, H_{2}$ and $H_{3}$ are orthogonal in $L^{2}$. Let $\phi$ be a normalized eigenfunction corresponding to $\lambda_{k}$. Then $\phi \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and $H_{2}=\{k \phi: k \in R\}$. We denote by $P_{1}$, $P_{2}$ and $P_{3}$ the projections from $L^{2}$ onto $H_{1}, H_{2}$ and $H_{3}$, respectively. Suppose that $g$ satisfies the condition (4). Then there exist positive constants $\alpha, \beta, \rho$, and $\delta$ such that
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha>\lambda_{k}, \quad g(t) / t \geq \alpha \quad \text { for all } t \text { with }|t| \geq \delta \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta<\lambda_{k}, \quad g(t) / t \leq \beta \quad \text { for all } t \text { with } 0<|t| \leq \rho . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $L=-\Delta$. For each $u, v \in H_{0}^{1}$, we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle T u, v\rangle=\left\langle L\left(u-2\left(P_{2}+P_{3}\right) u\right)-g\left(u-2\left(P_{2}+P_{3}\right) u\right), v\right\rangle . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we can see that $u-2\left(P_{2}+P_{3}\right) u$ is a solution of (1) if and only if $T u=0$. So we will show the existence of $u \in H_{0}^{1}$ satisfying $T u=0$ by making use of an existence result for pseudo-monotone mappings. Let $K$ be a closed convex subset of a reflexive Banach space $E$. We denote by $\partial K$ and int $K$ the sets of boundary points and interior points of $K$, respectively. Let $T$ be a mapping from $K$ into the dual space $E^{\prime}$ of $E$. Then $T$ is said to be pseudo-monotone if $T$ satisfies the following condition:

If $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset K$ is a sequence such that $u_{n}$ converges weakly to $u$ and
(*) $\quad \limsup \left\langle T u_{n}, u_{n}-u\right\rangle \leq 0$, then $(T u, u-z) \leq \liminf \left(T u_{n}, u_{n}-z\right)$ for each $z \in K$.
The following result is crucial for our argument.
THEOREM A. Let $K$ be a closed convex subset of $E$ with nonempty interior, and $T: K \rightarrow E^{\prime}$ be a pseudo-monotone mapping such that
(P) for each $z \in \partial K$, there exists $x \in \operatorname{int} K$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle T z, z-x\rangle \geq 0 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then there exists $x_{0} \in K$ such that $T x_{0}=0$.
Theorem A is a simple version of Theorem 7.8 of Browder [3] (see also Theorem 0 of [5]). It can be proved by the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 1 of [4], so we omit the proof.

To apply Theorem A, we need the following three lemmas.
Lemma 1 (CF. [5]). The mapping $T: H_{0}^{1} \rightarrow H^{-1}$ is pseudo-monotone.
Proof. Let $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset H_{0}^{1}$ be a sequence such that $u_{n}$ converges to $u$ weakly in $H_{0}^{1}$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim \sup \left\langle T u_{n}, u_{n}-u\right\rangle \\
& \quad=\lim \sup \left\langle L\left(u_{n}-2\left(P_{2}+P_{3}\right) u_{n}\right)-g\left(u_{n}-2\left(P_{2}+P_{3}\right) u_{n}\right), u_{n}-u\right\rangle  \tag{9}\\
& \quad \leq 0
\end{align*}
$$

Since $H_{0}^{1}$ is compactly embedded in $L^{2}$, we have that $u_{n}$ converges to $u$ strongly in $L^{2}$. We also have that $\left(P_{2}+P_{3}\right) u_{n}$ converges to $\left(P_{2}+P_{3}\right) u$ strongly in $L^{2}$. Then

$$
\lim \left\langle 2 L\left(P_{2}+P_{3}\right) u_{n}+g\left(u_{n}-2\left(P_{2}+P_{3}\right) u_{n}\right), u_{n}-u\right\rangle=0,
$$

and therefore the inequality (9) implies that $\lim \sup \left\langle L u_{n}, u_{n}-u\right\rangle \leq 0$. Then it follows that $L u_{n}$ converges to $L u$ weakly in $H^{-1}$ and that $\lim \left\langle L u_{n}, u_{n}\right\rangle=\langle L u, u\rangle$. Thus we find that $T u_{n}$ converges to $T u$ weakly in $H^{-1}$ and $\lim \left\langle T u_{n}, u_{n}\right\rangle=\langle T u, u\rangle$. Then we obtain that

$$
\langle T u, u-z\rangle=\lim \left\langle T u_{n}, u_{n}-z\right\rangle \quad \text { for each } z \in H_{0}^{1}
$$

and this completes the proof.
Lemma 2. There exists $s>0$ such that

$$
\left\langle T u, u-2 P_{2} u\right\rangle \geq 0 \quad \text { for all } u \in H_{0}^{1} \text { with }\left\|P_{2} u\right\| \leq s
$$

Proof. We first choose a positive number $c$ so small that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\min \left\{\frac{1}{2}\left(\lambda_{k+1}-a^{*}\right),\left(b_{*}-\lambda_{k-1}\right)\right\}(\rho-c)^{2} / 4-\left(a^{*}-\lambda_{k}\right) c^{2} \\
+\frac{1}{2}\left(\lambda_{k+1}-a^{*}\right) d^{2}-2 c\left(a^{*}-\beta\right) d>0
\end{gathered}
$$

for all $d \in R$. Since $\phi \in L^{\infty}$, we can choose $s>0$ such that $\sup _{x \in \Omega}|z(x)| \leq c$ for all $z \in H_{2}$ with $\|z\| \leq s$. Let $u \in H_{1}^{0}$ with $\left\|P_{2} u\right\| \leq s$. We set, for simplicity, $v=P_{1} u, w=P_{2} u z=P_{3} u$, and $\tilde{u}=v-w-z$. We also set $A=\{x \in \Omega:|\tilde{u}|>\rho\}$ and $B=\{x \in \Omega:|\tilde{u}| \leq \rho\}$. From the definition of $T$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle T u, u-2 P_{2} u\right\rangle & =\langle L(v-w-z)-g(v-w-z), v-w+z\rangle \\
& \geq \lambda_{k+1}|v|^{2}+\lambda_{k}|w|^{2}-\lambda_{k-1}|z|^{2}-\int_{\Omega} g(\tilde{u})(v-w+z) d x \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $x \in A$. Then since $|w(x)| \leq c$, we have that $\max \{|v(x)|,|z(x)|\}>(\rho-c) / 2$. If $|z(x)| \geq|(v-w)(x)|$, then noting that $\tilde{u}(x)(v-w+z)(x) \leq 0$ we find from (4) that

$$
-g(\tilde{u}(x))(v-w+z)(x) \geq b_{*}\left(|z(x)|^{2}-|(v-w)(x)|^{2}\right)
$$

If $|z(x)|<|(v-w)(x)|$, then we have by (4) that

$$
-g(\tilde{u}(x))(v-w+z)(x) \geq a^{*}\left(|z(x)|^{2}-|(v-w)(x)|^{2}\right)
$$

Then from the inequalities above, we find that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda_{k+1}|v(x)|^{2}+\lambda_{k}|w(x)|^{2}-\lambda_{k-1}|z(x)|^{2}-g(\tilde{u}(x))(v-w+z)(x) \\
& \geq \lambda_{k+1}|v(x)|^{2}+\lambda_{k}|w(x)|^{2}-\lambda_{k-1}|z(x)|^{2}+b_{*}|z(x)|^{2}-a^{*}|(v-w)(x)| \\
& \geq\left(\lambda_{k+1}-a^{*}\right)|v(x)|^{2}+\left(\lambda_{k}-a^{*}\right)|w(x)|^{2}+\left(b_{*}-\lambda_{k-1}\right)|z(x)|^{2} \\
& \quad+2\left(a^{*}-\beta\right) w(x) v(x)+2 \beta w(x) v(x) \\
& \geq \min \left\{\frac{1}{2}\left(\lambda_{k+1}-a^{*}\right),\left(b_{*}-\lambda_{k-1}\right)\right\}(\rho-c)^{2} / 4-\left(a^{*}-\lambda_{k}\right) c^{2} \\
&+\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\lambda_{k+1}-a^{*}\right)|v(x)|^{2}-2 c\left(a^{*}-\beta\right)|v(x)|\right)+2 \beta w(x) v(x) \\
& \geq 2 \beta w(x) v(x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $x \in B$. Then we have from (4) and (6) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
-g(\tilde{u}(x))(v-w+z)(x) \geq b_{*}|z(x)|^{2}-\beta|(v-w)(x)|^{2} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we find that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lambda_{k+1}|v(x)|^{2}+\lambda_{k}|w(x)|^{2}-\lambda_{k-1}|z(x)|^{2}-g(\tilde{u}(x))(v-w+z)(x) \\
& \quad \geq\left(\lambda_{k+1}-\beta\right)|v(x)|^{2}+\left(\lambda_{k}-\beta\right)|w(x)|^{2}  \tag{13}\\
& \quad+\left(b_{*}-\lambda_{k-1}\right)|z(x)|^{2}+2 \beta w(x) v(x) \\
& \quad \geq 2 \beta w(x) v(x) .
\end{align*}
$$

Then combining (10) with (11) and (13), we obtain that

$$
\langle T u, u\rangle \geq 2 \beta\left(\int_{A} w(x) v(x) d x+\int_{B} w(x) v(x) d x\right)=2 \beta\langle w, v\rangle=0
$$

and this completes the proof.
Lemma 3. There exists $r>0$ such that $r>2 s$ and $\langle T u, u\rangle \geq 0$ for all $u \in H_{0}^{1}$ with $\|u\| \geq r$.

Proof. Let $\lambda$ be a positive number such that $a^{*}<\lambda<\lambda_{k+1}$. Then we have that $M=\min _{t \in R}\left\{\left(\lambda-b_{*}\right) t^{2}-\left(\alpha-b_{*}\right)(t+\delta)^{2}\right\}>-\infty$. Also we have that there exists $\omega>0$ such that $\|v\|^{2}-\lambda|v|^{2} \geq \omega\|v\|^{2}$ for all $v \in H_{1}$. Let $u \in H_{0}^{1}$. Let $v, w, z$ and $\tilde{u}$ be as in Lemma 2. We put $A=\{x \in \Omega:|\tilde{u}(x)| \geq \delta\}, B=\{x \in \Omega|\tilde{u}(x)|<\delta$, $|v(x)|<|(w+z)(x)|\}$ and $C=\{x \in \Omega:|\tilde{u}(x)|<\delta,|v(x)| \geq|(w+z)(x)|\}$. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle T u, u\rangle \geq\|v\|^{2}-\lambda_{k}|w|^{2}-\lambda_{k-1}|z|^{2}-\int_{\Omega} g(\tilde{u}) u d x \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the conditions (4) and (5) we find that for each $x \in A$,

$$
-g(\tilde{u}(x)) u(x) \geq \alpha|(w+z)(x)|^{2}-a^{*}|v(x)|^{2}
$$

Also we have by (4) that for each $x \in C$,

$$
-g(\tilde{u}(x)) u(x) \geq a^{*}|(w+z)(x)|^{2}-a^{*}|v(x)|^{2} \geq \alpha|(w+z)(x)|^{2}-a^{*}|v(x)|^{2}
$$

Then we obtain that for each $x \in A \cup C$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\lambda_{k}|w(x)|^{2}-\lambda_{k-1}|z(x)|^{2}-g(\tilde{u}(x)) u(x)  \tag{15}\\
& \quad \geq-a^{*}|v(x)|^{2}+\left(\alpha-\lambda_{k}\right)|w(x)|^{2}+\left(\alpha-\lambda_{k-1}\right)|z(x)|^{2}+2 \alpha w(x) z(x)
\end{align*}
$$

Let $x \in B$. Then we have from (4) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
-g(\tilde{u}(x)) u(x) \geq b_{*}|(w+z)(x)|^{2}-b_{*}|v(x)|^{2} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\lambda_{k}|w(x)|^{2}-\lambda_{k-1}|z(x)|^{2}-g(\tilde{u}(x)) u(x) \\
& \quad \geq-b_{*}|v(x)|^{2}-\lambda_{k}|w(x)|^{2}-\lambda_{k-1}|z(x)|^{2}+b_{*}|(w+z)(x)|^{2} \\
& \quad \geq-b_{*}|v(x)|^{2}+\left(\alpha-\lambda_{k}\right)|w(x)|^{2}+\left(\alpha-\lambda_{k-1}\right)|z(x)|^{2}  \tag{17}\\
& \quad+\left(b_{*}-\alpha\right)|(w+z)(x)|^{2}+2 \alpha w(x) z(x) \\
& \quad \geq-\lambda|v(x)|^{2}+\left(\alpha-\lambda_{k}\right)|w(x)|^{2}+\left(\alpha-\lambda_{k-1}\right)|z(x)|^{2} \\
& \quad+\left\{\left(\lambda-b_{*}\right)|v(x)|^{2}-\left(\alpha-b_{*}\right)|(w+z)(x)|^{2}\right\}+2 \alpha w(x) z(x) .
\end{align*}
$$

Then since $|(w+z)(x)|<|v(x)|+\delta$, we find that

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\lambda_{k}|w(x)|^{2}-\lambda_{k-1}|z(x)|^{2}-g(\tilde{u}(x)) u(x) \\
& \quad \geq-\lambda|v(x)|^{2}+\left(\alpha-\lambda_{k}\right)|w(x)|^{2}  \tag{18}\\
& \quad+\left(\alpha-\lambda_{k-1}\right)|z(x)|^{2}+M+2 \alpha w(x) z(x)
\end{align*}
$$

Thus combining (15) and (18) with (14), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle T u, u\rangle & \geq\|v\|^{2}-\lambda|v|^{2}+\left(\alpha-\lambda_{k}\right)|w|^{2}+\left(\alpha-\lambda_{k-1}\right)|z|^{2}+M|\Omega|+2 \alpha\langle w, z\rangle \\
& \geq \omega\|v\|^{2}+\left(\alpha-\lambda_{k}\right)|w|^{2}+\left(\alpha-\lambda_{k-1}\right)|z|^{2}-M|\Omega| .
\end{aligned}
$$

From the inequality above, we can see that there exists $r>0$ such that $\langle T u, u\rangle \geq 0$ for all $u \in H_{0}^{1}$ with $\|u\| \geq r$. This proves the result.

Now we set $S=\left\{v \in H_{1}+H_{3}:\|v\| \leq r\right\}, S_{1}=\{k \phi: s \leq k \leq r\}$, and $S_{2}=$ $\{k \phi:-r \leq k \leq-s\}$. Let $E=H_{0}^{1}$ and $K_{i}=S \times S_{i}(i=1,2)$. We will show that the condition (P) holds with $K$ replaced by $K_{i}(i=1,2)$. Suppose that $u \in \partial K_{1}$. Then $\left\|P_{2} u\right\|=s$ or $\|u\| \geq r$ holds. If $\left\|P_{2} u\right\|=s$, then we have by Lemma 2 that $\left\langle T u, u-2 P_{2} u\right\rangle \geq 0$. Since $s<2 s<r$, we find that $2 P_{2} u \in \operatorname{int} K_{1}$. If $\|u\| \geq r$ and $\left\|P_{2} u\right\|=d>s$, then by Lemma 3, we have that $\langle T u, u-\varepsilon u\rangle=(1-\varepsilon)\langle T u, u\rangle \geq 0$ for each $\varepsilon>0$ with $s / d<\varepsilon<1$. Since $\varepsilon\|u\|<\|u\|$ and $\varepsilon\left\|P_{2} u\right\|>s$, we have $\varepsilon u \in \operatorname{int} K_{1}$. Thus we have shown that ( P ) is satisfied. Then by Theorem A, there exists $u_{1} \in K_{1}$ such that $T u_{1}=0$. Similarly, we have that there exists $u_{2} \in K_{2}$ such that $T u_{2}=0$. Since $K_{1} \cap K_{2}=\varnothing, u_{1} \neq u_{2}$ and this completes the proof of the result.
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