

## REDUCTION OF A MATRIX DEPENDING ON PARAMETERS TO A DIAGONAL FORM BY ADDITION OPERATIONS

L. N. VASERSTEIN

(Communicated by Louis J. Ratliff, Jr.)

**ABSTRACT.** It is shown that any  $n$  by  $n$  matrix with determinant 1 whose entries are real or complex continuous functions on a finite dimensional normal topological space can be reduced to a diagonal form by addition operations if and only if the corresponding homotopy class is trivial, provided that  $n \neq 2$  for real-valued functions; moreover, if this is the case, the number of operations can be bounded by a constant depending only on  $n$  and the dimension of the space. For real functions and  $n = 2$ , we describe all spaces such that every invertible matrix with trivial homotopy class can be reduced to a diagonal form by addition operations as well as all spaces such that the number of operations is bounded.

**Introduction.** Let  $X$  be a topological space  $\mathbf{R}^X$  the ring of all continuous functions  $X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  (the reals),  $\mathbf{R}_0^X$  the subring of bounded functions. For any natural number  $n$  and a ring  $A$ ,  $M_n A$  denotes the ring of all  $n$  by  $n$  matrices over  $A$ .

A matrix  $\alpha$  in  $M_n \mathbf{R}^X$  can be regarded as a real matrix depending continuously on a parameter which ranges over  $X$ , or as a continuous map  $X \rightarrow M_n \mathbf{R}$ .

Assume now that  $\det(\alpha) = 1$ , i.e.  $\alpha \in \mathrm{SL}_n \mathbf{R}^X$ . We want to reduce  $\alpha$  to the identity matrix  $1_n$  by addition operations, i.e. represent  $\alpha$  as a product of elementary matrices  $a^{ij}$ , where  $a \in A = \mathbf{R}^X$ ,  $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$ . Since the subgroup  $E_n A$  of  $\mathrm{SL}_n A$  generated by all elementary matrices is normal [6], it does not matter whether we use row or column addition operations, or both. Note that, by the Whitehead lemma, every diagonal matrix in  $\mathrm{SL}_n A$  is a product of  $4(n-1)$  elementary matrices (for any commutative ring  $A$ ), so a matrix  $\alpha$  in  $\mathrm{SL}_n A$ , can be reduced to  $1_n$  if and only if it can be reduced to a diagonal form.

When  $X$  is a point, so  $A = \mathbf{R}^X = \mathbf{R}$ , it is well known that this can be done. Moreover [3, Remark 10 with  $\mathrm{sr}(\mathbf{R}) = m = 1$ ], this can be done using at most  $(n-1)(3n/2 + 1)$  addition operations.

For an arbitrary  $X$ , a homotopy obstruction may exist which prevents the reduction. Namely, the addition operations do not change the homotopy class  $\pi(\alpha)$  of the corresponding map  $X \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}_n \mathbf{R}$ . So if this class is not trivial, the reduction is impossible.

Assume now that the homotopy class  $\pi(\alpha)$  is trivial (for example, this is always the case when  $X$  is contractible). Is it possible to reduce  $\alpha$  to  $1_n$  by addition operations, i.e. does  $\alpha$  belong to the subgroup  $E_n \mathbf{R}^X$  of  $\mathrm{SL}_n \mathbf{R}^X$  generated by elementary matrices)? If yes, how many operations are needed?

---

Received by the editors March 2, 1987 and, in revised form, June 18, 1987.  
1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 Revision). Primary 18F25.

©1988 American Mathematical Society  
0002-9939/88 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

In this paper, we give an answer to both questions. It turns out that the answer in case  $n = 2$  is different from that in the case  $n \neq 2$ . The reason is that the fundamental group  $\pi_1(\mathrm{SL}_n \mathbf{R})$  is infinite when  $n = 2$  (namely, it is infinite cyclic) and it is finite otherwise (it is of order 2 when  $n \geq 3$ ).

More precisely, for any  $\alpha$  in  $\mathrm{SL}_n A$  (where  $A$  is a commutative ring with 1 such as  $A = \mathbf{R}^X$  or  $\mathbf{R}_0^X$ ), denote by  $l_A(\alpha)$  the least  $k$  such that  $\alpha$  is a product of  $k$  elementary matrices over  $A$ . If no such  $k$  exists, i.e.  $\alpha$  is outside  $E_n A$ , we set  $l_A(\alpha) = \infty$ . As in [3],  $e_n(A)$  denotes the supremum of  $l_A(\alpha)$ , where  $\alpha$  ranges over  $E_n A$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $X$  be a topological space,  $A = \mathbf{R}^X$  or  $\mathbf{R}_0^X$  as above. Then (a)  $e_2(A) < \infty$  if and only if  $\mathbf{R}^Y = \mathbf{R}$  for every connected component  $Y$  of  $X$ ; (b)  $l_A(\alpha) < \infty$  for all  $\alpha$  in  $\mathrm{SL}_2 A$  with  $\pi(\alpha) = 0$  if and only if  $X$  is pseudocompact, i.e.  $\mathbf{R}^X = \mathbf{R}_0^X$ .*

Now we consider the case  $n \geq 3$ .

**THEOREM 2.** *For any integers  $n \geq 3$  and  $d \geq 0$  there is a natural number  $z$  such that  $l_A(\alpha) \leq z$  for  $A = \mathbf{R}^X$  or  $\mathbf{R}_0^X$  with any normal topological space  $X$  of dimension  $d$  and any  $\alpha$  in  $\mathrm{SL}_n A$  with  $\pi(\alpha) = 0$ . In particular,  $e_n(A) \leq z$ .*

As a consequence of Theorem 2 (which is extracted here from results of [1, 2]) we obtain that  $\mathrm{SL}_n A / E_n A$  is a homotopy type invariant of  $X$  for finite dimensional spaces  $X$  if  $n \geq 3$ . This was proved in [6] for  $X = \mathbf{R}$  and in [4] for  $X = \mathbf{R}^3$  by different methods.

It is easy to extend Theorems 1 and 2 to subrings  $A$  of  $\mathbf{R}^X$  different from  $\mathbf{R}^X$  and  $\mathbf{R}_0^X$ , compare with [6]. This is because of the following fact.

**PROPOSITION 3.** *Let  $A$  be as in Theorem 1 and  $B$  is a subring with 1 of  $A$  such that  $B$  is dense in  $A$  and  $\mathrm{GL}_1 B$  is open in  $B$ , both in the topology of uniform convergence. Then  $|e_n(B) - e_n(A)| \leq (n+3)(n-1)$  for every  $n$ .*

Note that the condition that  $\mathrm{GL}_1 B$  is open in  $B$ , i.e.  $fB = B$  for every function  $f$  in  $B$  sufficiently close to 1, cannot be dropped. The following example shows this. Let  $X$  be the unit interval  $0 \leq x \leq 1$  and  $B = \mathbf{R}[x]$ , the polynomial ring. In this example,  $\mathrm{SL}_n B = E_n B$  for all  $n$ , but  $e_n(B) = \infty$  for each  $n \geq 2$  [5]. At the same time,  $B$  is dense in  $A = \mathbf{R}^X = \mathbf{R}_0^X$  and  $e_n(A) < \infty$  for  $n \geq 3$  by Theorem 1.

Next we consider the ring  $\mathbf{C}^X$  of all continuous functions  $X \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ , the complex numbers, and its subring  $\mathbf{C}_0^X$  of bounded functions.

**THEOREM 4.** *For any natural number  $n$  and an integer  $d \geq 0$  there is a natural number  $z'$  such that  $l_A(\alpha) \leq z'$  for any normal topological space  $X$  of dimension  $d$  and any matrix  $\alpha$  in  $\mathrm{SL}_n A$  with  $\pi(\alpha) = 0$ , where  $A = \mathbf{C}^X$  or  $\mathbf{C}_0^X$ . In particular,  $e_n(A) \leq z' < \infty$  for all  $n$ .*

**COROLLARY 5.** *For each natural number  $n$  and an integer  $d \geq 0$  there is a natural number  $z''$  such that  $e_n(B) \leq z'' < \infty$  for any dense subring  $B$  with 1 of  $A$  with  $\mathrm{GL}_1 B$  open in  $B$ , where  $A$  is as in Theorem 4.*

Note that  $\mathbf{C}^X$  is endowed with the topology of the uniform convergence, and that the constant  $z''$  depends only on  $n$  and the dimension of  $X$ . We do not give any

explicit bounds in this paper, although the proofs in [1, 2] seem to be constructive enough to yield some explicit bounds.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. I discussed  $SK_1(\mathbf{R}^X)$  and related topics with many mathematicians. Particularly useful were conversations with M. Freedman in February 1987. A. Ocneanu and the referee corrected a few misprints.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. Let  $X$  be a topological space,  $A = \mathbf{R}^X$  or  $\mathbf{R}_0^X$  as in Theorem 1. For any  $f \in \mathbf{R}^X$  we set

$$\rho f = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(f) & \sin(f) \\ -\sin(f) & \cos(f) \end{pmatrix} \in SO_2\mathbf{R}^X = SO_2\mathbf{R}_0^X \subset SL_2\mathbf{R}_0^X.$$

For any  $f \in \mathbf{C}^X$ , let  $\|f\| = \sup |f(x)|$ , where  $x$  ranges over  $X$ .

LEMMA 6. *Let  $\alpha$  be a product of  $k$  elementary matrices in  $SL_2A$  with  $k \geq 1$ . Then  $\alpha$  has the form  $\delta\varepsilon(\rho f)$ , where  $\varepsilon$  is an elementary matrix,  $\delta$  is a diagonal matrix,  $f \in \mathbf{R}^X$  and  $\|f\| \leq (k - 1)\pi/2$ .*

PROOF. We proceed by induction on  $k$ . When  $k = 1$ , we can take  $\delta = 1_2$ ,  $f = 0$ . Assume now that  $k \geq 2$  and  $\alpha = \varepsilon_1 \cdots \varepsilon_k$  with elementary matrices  $\varepsilon_i$ . By the induction hypothesis,  $\varepsilon_2 \cdots \varepsilon_k = \delta'\varepsilon'(\rho(f'))$  with an elementary  $\varepsilon'$ , diagonal  $\delta'$ , and  $\|f'\| \leq (k - 2)\pi/2$ . If the elementary matrices  $\varepsilon_1$  and  $\varepsilon'$  are of the same type, i.e.  $\varepsilon_1\varepsilon'$  is an elementary matrix, then  $\alpha = \delta'(\delta'^{-1}\varepsilon_1\delta'\varepsilon')(\rho(f'))$  is the required representation, i.e. we can take  $\delta = \delta'$ ,  $\varepsilon = \delta'^{-1}\varepsilon_1\delta'\varepsilon'$ ,  $f = f'$ . Assume now that  $\varepsilon', \varepsilon_1$  are not of the same type, that is either  $\varepsilon_1 \in A^{1,2}$  and  $\varepsilon' \in A^{2,1}$ , or  $\varepsilon_1 \in A^{2,1}$  and  $\varepsilon' \in A^{1,2}$ . Consider the first case (the second one is similar).

Then  $\delta'^{-1}\varepsilon_1\delta'\varepsilon' = b^{1,2}c^{2,1}$  with  $b$  and  $c$  in  $A$ . Applying the Gram-Schmidt process to the rows of this matrix, we obtain

$$b^{1,2}c^{2,1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + bc & b \\ c & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1/e & 0 \\ 0 & e \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e(1 + bc) & eb \\ c/e & 1/e \end{pmatrix}$$

with  $e = (1 + c^2)^{1/2} \geq 0$ ,

$$\begin{pmatrix} e(1 + bc) & eb \\ c/e & 1/e \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b + c + cbc \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1/e & -c/e \\ c/e & 1/e \end{pmatrix} \\ = (b + c + cbc)^{1,2}\rho f''$$

with  $(c/e, 1/e) = (-\sin(f''), \cos(f''))$  and  $\|f''\| < \pi/2$ .

Thus,  $\alpha = \varepsilon_1 \cdots \varepsilon_k = \varepsilon_1\delta'\varepsilon'(\rho(f')) = \delta'(\delta'^{-1}\varepsilon_1\delta')\varepsilon'(\rho f') = \delta'(b^{1,2}c^{2,1})\rho f' = \delta\varepsilon\rho f$ , where

$$\delta = \delta' \begin{pmatrix} 1/e & 0 \\ 0 & e \end{pmatrix}$$

is a diagonal matrix,  $\varepsilon = (b + c + cbc)^{1,2}$  is an elementary matrix, and  $f = f' + f''$  with  $\|f\| \leq \|f'\| + \|f''\| \leq (k - 2)\pi/2 + \pi/2 = (k - 1)\pi/2$ .

Lemma 6 is proved.

COROLLARY 7. *If  $X$  is connected, then for any  $g \neq 0$  in  $A$  we have*

$$l_A(\rho g) \geq (\sup(g) - \inf(g))/\pi + 1.$$

PROOF. Suppose that  $\rho g$  is a product of  $k$  elementary matrices. Then by Lemma 6,  $\rho g = \delta\varepsilon\rho f$  with diagonal  $\delta$ , elementary  $\varepsilon$ , and  $\|f\| \leq (k - 1)\pi/2$ . It

follows that  $\varepsilon = 1_2$ , and  $\delta = 1_2$  or  $-1_2$ , hence  $f - g = 2\pi m$  or  $\pi + 2\pi m$  with a continuous function  $m: X \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$  (the integers). Since  $X$  is connected,  $m$  is a constant. Therefore,  $\sup(g) - \inf(g) = \sup(f) - \inf(f) \leq 2\|f\| \leq (k - 1)\pi$ . Thus,  $k \geq 1 + (\sup(f) - \inf(f))/\pi = (\sup(g) - \inf(g))/\pi + 1$ . The corollary is proved.

PROPOSITION 8. For any  $f$  in  $A$ , we have  $l_A(\rho f) \leq 2(\sup(f) - \inf(f))/\pi + 6$ .

PROOF. If  $f$  is not bounded, there is nothing to prove. So we can assume that  $f$  is bounded, i.e.  $f \in \mathbf{R}_0^X$ , i.e.  $r = \sup(f) - \inf(f) < \infty$ . Set  $t = (\sup(f) + \inf(f))/2$  and write  $f = t + (f - t)$ , where  $t$  means a constant function and  $|f - t| \leq r/2$  everywhere on  $X$ . We have to write  $\rho f$  as a product of  $k \leq 2r/\pi + 6$  elementary matrices over  $A$ . Set  $s = \lceil r/\pi + 1 \rceil$ .

We have  $\rho f = \rho t(\rho((f - t)/s))^s$ . Note that  $|(f - t)/s| \leq r/2s = r/2(\lceil r/\pi + 1 \rceil) < \pi/2$ . So  $\cos((f - t)/s) \in \text{GL}_1 A$ . Therefore  $\rho((f - t)/s)$  is a product of two elementary matrices and a diagonal matrix, hence  $\rho f$  is a product of  $\rho(t)$ , a diagonal matrix, and  $2s$  elementary matrices. The product of the constant matrix  $\rho t$  and the diagonal matrix has an invertible entry in the first column, so it is the product of at most 4 elementary matrices. Thus,  $\rho f$  is the product of  $2s + 4 \leq 2r/\pi + 2 + 4 = 2r/\pi + 6$  elementary matrices. This proves the proposition.

Now we are prepared to prove Theorem 1. The case of empty  $X$  is trivial, so let  $X$  be nonempty.

To prove part (a) of Theorem 1, suppose first that  $\mathbf{R}^Y = \mathbf{R}$  for every connected component  $Y$  of  $X$ . Then  $\mathbf{R}^X = \mathbf{R}^{X'}$  and  $\mathbf{R}_0^X = \mathbf{R}_0^{X'}$ , where  $X'$  is the discrete set of connected components of  $X$ . So  $e_2(A) = e_2(\mathbf{R}) = 4 < \infty$ .

Suppose now that  $\mathbf{R}^Y \neq \mathbf{R}$  (or, equivalently  $\mathbf{R}_0^Y \neq \mathbf{R}$ ), for some connected component  $Y$  of  $X$ . We will show that then  $e_2(B) = \infty$  for  $B = \mathbf{R}^Y$  and for  $B = \mathbf{R}_0^Y$ . This will imply that  $e_2(A) = \infty$ .

Pick a nonconstant function  $f$  in  $\mathbf{R}^Y$ . By Corollary 7 applied to  $Y$  instead of  $X$ ,  $l_B(\rho(fm)) \geq m(\sup(f) - \inf(f))/\pi + 1$  for any natural number  $m$ . Taking large  $m$ , we conclude that  $e_2(B) = \infty$ .

To prove Theorem 1(b), consider the exact sequence [6] (see also [1, 2])

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^X/\mathbf{R}_0^X \rightarrow \text{SL}_2 A/E_2 A \rightarrow \pi^1(X) \rightarrow 0.$$

The sequence says that  $X$  is pseudocompact, if and only if  $\text{SL}_2 A/E_2 A = \pi^1(X)$ , i.e. if and only if  $\alpha \in E_2 A$  for every  $\alpha$  in  $\text{SL}_2 A$  with  $\pi(\alpha) = 0$ .

PROOF OF THEOREM 2. If the theorem is wrong, then for some  $n \geq 3$  and  $d \geq 0$  there is a sequence  $X(i)$  of normal topological spaces of dimension  $d$  and  $\alpha(i) \in \text{SL}_n A(i)$ , where  $A(i) = \mathbf{R}^{X(i)}$  or  $\mathbf{R}_0^{X(i)}$  depending on whether  $A = \mathbf{R}^X$  or  $\mathbf{R}_0^X$  in the theorem, such that  $\pi(\alpha(i)) = 0$  for all  $i$  and  $l_{A(i)}(\alpha(i)) \rightarrow \infty$ . In the case  $A = \mathbf{R}_0^X$ , we can bring each  $\alpha(i)$  to  $\text{SO}_n A(i)$  by  $(n + 6)(n - 1)/2$  addition operations [6, Lemma 21], so we can assume that  $\alpha(i) \in \text{SO}_n A(i)$ .

We define  $X$  to be the disjoint union of all  $X(i)$ . The matrices  $\alpha(i) \in \text{SL}_n A(i)$  give a matrix  $\alpha$  in  $\text{SL}_n A$  whose restriction to  $X(i)$  is  $\alpha(i)$ . We have  $\pi(\alpha) = 0$ , i.e. the corresponding map  $X \rightarrow \text{SL}_n \mathbf{R}$  is homotopic to the trivial map  $X \rightarrow 1_n$ . Now we invoke results of [1, 2] to conclude that the map  $X \rightarrow \text{SL}_n \mathbf{R}$  is uniformly homotopic to the trivial map.

First of all, Gram-Schmidt's process [6] reduces the matrix  $\alpha$  in  $\text{SL}_n A$  (as well as its homotopy to the trivial map) to a matrix  $f: X \rightarrow \text{SO}_n \mathbf{R}$  in the special

orthogonal group (of the sum of  $n$  squares)  $SO_n A$  by addition operations (resp. to a homotopy of  $f$  to the trivial map into this subgroup). Since  $n \geq 3$ , the fundamental group  $\pi_1 SO_n \mathbf{R} = \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$  is finite. Since  $X$  is finite dimensional and normal, Theorem 1 of [1] (see [2] for a shorter and a great deal more transparent proof) gives the desired conclusion.

Thus,  $f$  is *uniformly* homotopic to the trivial map in  $SO_n \mathbf{R}$ , i.e. the corresponding matrix in  $SO_n A$  belongs to the connected component of  $1_n$ , hence  $\alpha$  belongs to the connected component of  $1_n$  in  $SL_n A$ , where  $SL_n A$  is endowed with the topology induced by the uniform convergence topology on  $A$ .

It is known (see, for example, [6, Theorem 2]) that this component coincides with  $E_n A$ . So  $\alpha$  is a product of (finitely many) elementary matrices. Restriction to  $X(i)$  yields that each  $\alpha(i)$  is the product of a bounded (uniformly in  $i$ ) number of elementary matrices over  $A(i)$ . This contradicts to our choice of  $\alpha(i)$  with  $l_{A(i)}(\alpha(i)) \rightarrow \infty$ . So Theorem 2 is proved.

REMARK. The condition that  $X$  is normal can be easily dropped; for arbitrary  $X$ , the dimension should be understood in the sense of [7], i.e. it is  $sr(A) - 1$ . It is not clear how  $z$  depends on  $d$  or whether a uniform upper bound exists. Obviously,  $z$  cannot be taken less than  $n^2 - 1$ , the dimension of  $SL_n \mathbf{R}$ .

PROOF OF PROPOSITION 3.

LEMMA 9. *Let  $B$  be a commutative topological ring with 1 such that  $GL_1 B$  is open in  $B$ . Then  $l_B(\alpha) \leq (n + 3)(n - 1)$  for any  $n$  and any matrix  $\alpha$  in  $SL_n B$  sufficiently close to  $1_n$ .*

PROOF. It is clear that every  $\alpha$  sufficiently close to  $1_n$  has the form  $\beta\gamma$  with a lower triangular  $\beta$  with ones along the main diagonal and an upper triangular matrix  $\gamma$ . We have  $l_B(\beta) \leq n(n - 1)/2$ , and  $l_B(\gamma) \leq (n + 6)(n - 1)/2$  by [6, Lemma 21]. So  $l_B(\alpha) \leq n(n - 1)/2 + (n + 6)(n - 1)/2 = (n + 3)(n - 1)$ .

Let us prove now Proposition 3. Let  $\alpha \in E_n B$ . We can write  $\alpha$  as a product of  $k = l_A(\alpha)$  elementary matrices over  $A$ . Using that  $B$  is dense in  $A$  we can write  $\alpha$  as a product of  $k$  elementary matrices over  $B$  and a matrix  $\alpha'$  arbitrarily close to  $1_n$ . By Lemma 9,  $\alpha'$  is a product of  $(n + 3)(n - 1)$  elementary matrices. So  $l_A(\alpha) \leq l_B(\alpha) \leq l_A(\alpha) + (n + 3)(n - 1)$  for any  $\alpha$  in  $E_n B$ . Therefore,  $e_n(B) \leq e_n(A) + (n + 3)(n - 1)$ .

Let now  $\alpha \in E_n A$ . Since  $B$  is dense in  $A$ , we can write  $\alpha = \beta\gamma$  with  $\beta \in E_n B$  and  $\gamma$  arbitrarily close to  $1_n$ . So  $l_A(\alpha) \leq l_A(\beta) + (n + 3)(n - 1)$ , by Lemma 9 applied to  $A$  instead of  $B$ . So,  $e_n(A) \leq e_n(B) + (n + 3)(n - 1)$ .

Proposition 3 is proved.

PROOF OF THEOREM 4. If the theorem is wrong, then for some  $n \geq 2$  there is a sequence  $X(i)$  of normal topological spaces of dimension  $d$  and  $\alpha(i) \in SL_n A(i)$ , where  $A(i) = \mathbf{C}^{X(i)}$  or  $\mathbf{C}_0^{X(i)}$  depending on whether  $A = \mathbf{C}^X$  or  $\mathbf{C}_0^X$  in the theorem, such that  $\pi(\alpha(i)) = 0$  for all  $i$  and  $l_{A(i)}(\alpha(i)) \rightarrow \infty$ . In the case  $A = \mathbf{C}_0^X$ , we can bring each  $\alpha(i)$  to  $SU_n A(i)$  by  $(n + 6)(n - 1)/2$  addition operations [6, Lemma 21], so we can assume that  $\alpha(i) \in SU_n A(i)$ .

We define  $X$  to be the disjoint union of all  $X(i)$ . The matrices  $\alpha(i) \in SL_n A(i)$  give a matrix  $\alpha$  in  $SL_n A$  whose restriction to  $X(i)$  is  $\alpha(i)$ . We have  $\pi(\alpha) = 0$ . By [1, 2],  $\alpha$  belongs to the connected component of  $1_n$ , where  $SL_n A$  is endowed with

the topology induced by the uniform convergence topology on  $A$  (here we used that  $\pi_1(\mathrm{SL}_n \mathbf{R})$  is trivial).

It is known that this component coincides with  $E_n A$ . So  $\alpha$  is a product of (finitely many) elementary matrices. Restriction to  $X(i)$  yields that each  $\alpha(i)$  is the product of a bounded (uniformly in  $i$ ) number of elementary matrices over  $A(i)$ . This contradicts our choice of  $\alpha(i)$  with  $l_{A(i)}(\alpha(i)) \rightarrow \infty$ .

So Theorem 4 is proved.

PROOF OF COROLLARY 5. In the case  $A = \mathbf{C}^X$ , we argue as in the proof of Proposition 3 to conclude that  $l_A(\alpha) \leq l_B(\alpha) \leq l_A(\alpha) + (n+3)(n-1)$  for each  $\alpha$  in  $\mathrm{SL}_n B$ , hence  $e_n(B) \leq e_n(A) + (n+3)(n-1)$ , and that  $e_n(A) \leq e_n(B) + (n+3)(n-1)$ . Thus,  $|e_n(B) - e_n(A)| \leq (n+3)(n-1)$ , so we can take  $z'' = z' + (n+3)(n-1)$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. Allan Calder and Jerrold Siegel, *Homotopy and uniform homotopy*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **235** (1978), 245-269.
2. —, *Homotopy and uniform homotopy. II*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **78** (1980), 288-290.
3. R. K. Dennis and L. N. Vaserstein, *On a question of M. Newman on the number of commutators*, J. Algebra (submitted).
4. W. Thurston and L. Vaserstein, *On  $K_1$ -theory of the Euclidean space*, Topology Appl. **23** (1986), 145-148.
5. W. van der Kallen,  *$\mathrm{SL}_3(\mathbf{C}[x])$  does not have bounded word length*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 966, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1982, pp. 357-362.
6. L. N. Vaserstein, *On  $K_1$ -theory of topological spaces*, Contemp. Math., vol. 55, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1986, pp. 729-740.
7. —, *The stable range of rings and the dimension of topological spaces*, Funktsional Anal. i Prilozhen. **5** (1971), 17-27.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PENN STATE UNIVERSITY, UNIVERSITY PARK, PENNSYLVANIA, 16802