

IMBEDDING NONDEGENERATE JORDAN ALGEBRAS IN SEMIPRIMITIVE ALGEBRAS

W. S. MARTINDALE III AND K. MCCRIMMON

(Communicated by Donald S. Passman)

ABSTRACT. Zelmanov's structure theory for prime Jordan algebras works directly with semiprimitive algebras, and the results are extended to nondegenerate algebras using properties of the free Jordan algebra. Here we show how Amitsur's direct power trick of imbedding J in the algebra of all sequences from J can be used to imbed any nondegenerate algebra J in a semiprimitive \bar{J} having exactly the same polynomial identities as J .

Throughout this paper we work with quadratic Jordan algebras J over an arbitrary ring of scalars Φ . Thus J has products

$$(0.1) \quad x^2 \quad \text{and} \quad U_x y$$

quadratic in x and linear in y , behaving like the products xx and xyx in associative algebras. We denote the linearization of these products by

$$(0.1') \quad x \circ y \quad \text{and} \quad \{xyz\}$$

(behaving like $xy + yx$ and $xyz + zyx$). A Jordan algebra is *special* if it is isomorphic to a subspace $J \subset A$ of an associative algebra A closed under $x^2 = xx$ and $U_x y = xyx$. Unlike Lie algebras, not all Jordan algebras are special: the archetypal exceptional algebra is the 27-dimensional split *Albert algebra* of hermitian 3×3 matrices with entries in an octonion or Cayley algebra. Just as we can define a new associative product $a \cdot_u b = aub$, we can define for any u in J a new Jordan algebra, the *u-homotope*

$$(0.2) \quad J^{(u)}: \quad x^{(2,u)} = U_x u, \quad U_x^{(u)} y = U_x U_u y.$$

An *ideal* $I \triangleleft J$ is a subspace invariant under inner and outer multiplication by J ($I^2 \subset I$, $U_I J \subset I$, and $J \circ I \subset I$, $U_J I \subset I$), and we have the usual results about *factor algebras* J/I . We can also create algebras by *scalar extension*, forming $J_\Omega = J \otimes_\Phi \Omega$ for any Φ -algebra of scalars Ω . The important special case $\Omega = \Phi[T]$ for a set T of indeterminates leads to the *polynomial algebra*

$$(0.3) \quad J[T] = \left\{ \text{all } \sum_{e_i \geq 0} x_{e_1 \dots e_n} t_1^{e_1} \dots t_n^{e_n} \text{ for } x_{e_1 \dots e_n} \in J \right\}$$

of all formal polynomials in the t 's with coefficients from J .

We have notions of *prime* and *primitive* Jordan algebras as in the associative theory: an algebra is *semiprime* or *semiprimitive* if it is a subdirect product of prime

Received by the editors June 29, 1987.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 *Revision*). Primary 17C10; Secondary 17C20, 17C65.

©1988 American Mathematical Society
 0002-9939/88 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

or primitive algebras. More important than semiprimeness is *strong semiprimeness* or *nondegeneracy*, the absence of *trivial* elements $z \neq 0$ with $U_z = 0$. There are Jordan radicals analogous to their associative counterparts. The *Jacobson radical* $\text{Rad}(J)$ is the smallest ideal whose factor is semiprimitive. The *nil radical* $\text{Nil}(J)$ is the largest nil ideal (all of its elements are nilpotent) and the smallest ideal whose factor is nil-free (has no nil ideals). The *degenerate* (or *lower*, or *McCrimmon*) radical $\text{Deg}(J)$ is the smallest ideal whose factor is nondegenerate (this is the “correct” analogue of the semiprime = prime = Baer radical for associative algebras). We always have inclusions

$$(0.4) \quad \text{Rad}(J) \supset \text{Nil}(J) \supset \text{Deg}(J).$$

Zelmanov’s Prime Dichotomy Theorem [1, 5.1, p. 322] asserts that any prime Jordan algebra which can be imbedded in a semiprimitive algebra is either a homomorphic image of a special algebra or is an Albert algebra. This applies initially to nil-free-algebras [5] (it can be slightly extended [2] to strictly-nil-free algebras) where one has a semiprimitive scalar extension by

0.5 AMITSUR’S POLYNOMIAL SHRINKAGE [2, 4.1, p. 798, 4.5, p. 799]. *The Jacobson radical can be shrunk into the nil radical by polynomial extension: the radical $\text{Rad}(J[t])$ of the polynomial algebra has the form $I[t]$ for an ideal I of J contained in the nil radical $\text{Nil}(J)$. Thus if J has no nil ideals, then $J[t]$ will have no radical ideals.* \square

In [6] the structure theory was extended to nondegenerate algebras using the established structure of the nil-free algebras and the fact that for a universal free algebra the nil and nondegenerate radicals coincide. It is natural to look for a proof which reduces nondegenerate algebras directly to semiprimitive ones, instead of having to pause halfway at the nil-free algebras.

In Zelmanov’s Second Dichotomy Theorem [6, 4], describing those prime algebras that are images of special algebras, certain results on Clifford identities are established directly for semiprimitive algebras, and must then be extended to nondegenerate algebras. This requires semiprimitive imbeddings which preserve Clifford polynomial identities.

These motivate us to look for semiprimitive identity-preserving imbeddings. It was recognized that scalar extensions are too restrictive, but it was asserted [2, p. 806] that “the only general methods known for imbedding a Jordan algebra in a larger one are scalar extension and free product”. In this paper we draw attention to a useful imbedding method which was overlooked, despite being well-known in associative P.I. theory (cf. [7, Theorem 1.6.21, p. 45]), namely imbedding J as constant sequences in the *sequence algebra* or (countable) *direct power*

$$(0.6) \quad \text{Seq}(J) = \prod_1^{\infty} J = \{\text{all sequences } (x_1, x_2, \dots) \text{ with } x_i \in J \\ \text{under componentwise operations}\}.$$

(This is a special instance of the *direct product* $\prod_{i \in I} J_i$ of algebras under componentwise operations). The map

$$x \mapsto (x, x, x, \dots)$$

imbeds J in $\text{Seq}(J)$. The point of our paper will be to use sequences to shrink the nil radical into the degenerate radical in the same way that polynomials shrink the Jacobson radical into the nil radical.

1. Identities. In Jordan algebras the associative concept of polynomial identity splits into two concepts. A *special* or *s-identity* (s.i.) is a nonzero element $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ of the free Jordan algebra $FJ(X)$ which vanishes on all special Jordan algebras (equivalently, goes to zero in the free special Jordan algebra $FSJ(X)$ under the canonical homomorphism $FJ(X) \rightarrow FSJ(X)$). An algebra is a homomorphic image of a special algebra iff it satisfies all s-identities. A *polynomial identity* (p.i.) is a nonzero element of the free algebra whose image is nonzero (indeed, has a monic leading term) in $FSJ(X)$. A *strict identity* (str.p.i.) consists of an identity $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ together with all its linearizations. We could avoid strict identities by considering only multilinear identities.

We say J satisfies an identity f if $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ vanishes under all specializations in J : $f(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 0$ for all $a_i \in J$. J satisfies a strict identity f (f and all its linearizations vanish on J) iff it satisfies f strictly (all scalar extensions J_Ω continue to satisfy f). It is important, but often overlooked, that it suffices if $J[t]$ ($\Omega = \Phi[t]$) satisfies f (see §4). If f is multilinear, or Φ is a field with cardinality greater than the degree of f , then f vanishes strictly as soon as it vanishes, but for finite fields or general scalar rings Φ strictness is not automatic (think of the Boolean condition $x^2 - x = 0$).

In general, if J satisfies an identity (special, polynomial, or strict) so does any subalgebra, homomorphic image, or direct power (e.g. sequence algebra). A scalar extension J_Ω inherits all the strict identities of J . If $J' \supset J$ inherits all identities (of some sort) for J then so does any image J'/I' , and if I' misses J then J remains imbedded in J'/I' and therefore in turn inherits all identities of this factor algebra.

1.1 PRINCIPLE. *If $J' \supset J$ inherits all identities of some sort of J , and if $I' \triangleleft J'$ has $I' \cap J = 0$, then $\bar{J} \supset J$ where $\bar{J} = J'/I'$ has exactly the same identities of the given sort as J . In particular, if $\text{Rad}(J') \cap J = 0$ in this case, then J is imbedded in $\bar{J} = J'/\text{Rad}(J')$ which is semiprimitive and has exactly the same identities of the given sort as J . \square*

Our goal is to show that $J' = \{\text{Seq}(J[t])\}[t']$ satisfies this condition for strict identities when J is nondegenerate, and affords the desired imbedding. Note that we cannot remove nondegeneracy here, since if $z \neq 0$ is trivial ($U_z = 0$) then (z, z, \dots) remains trivial in J' and thus $0 \neq z \in J \cap \text{Rad}(J')$.

2. Direct power shrinkage. We begin by recalling the close connection which Zelmanov first pointed out between degeneracy and elements of bounded index. We say z has *strictly bounded index* if some fixed power vanishes in all homotopes of all scalar extensions; by (4.3) it suffices if $z^{(n,x)} = 0$ for all $x \in J[t]$. This implies $z^{(k,x)} \equiv 0$ for all $k \geq 2n$, and if $1/2 \in \Phi$ for all $k \geq n$. The fundamental connection [3] is

$$(2.1) \quad J \text{ is nondegenerate iff it contains no elements } z \neq 0 \text{ of strictly bounded index.}$$

Just as in associative algebras, there is an easy way to get elements of bounded index.

2.2 LEMMA. *If $z^2 = z^3 = (z \circ x)^n = 0$, then $z^{(2n+1,x)} = 0$, and if $(z \circ x)^n \equiv 0$ strictly then z has strictly bounded index.*

PROOF. In the case of special algebras

$$z^2 = (z \circ x)^n = 0$$

implies

$$0 = z(zx + xz)^n = z(xz)^n = z^{(n+1,x)}.$$

In the case of general Jordan algebras we need (for the one and only time in the whole paper) some specific identities which hold in all Jordan algebras:

(2.3a) $U_{U_x y} = U_x U_y U_x$ (hence $U_x^n = U_x^n$),

(2.3b) $U_{x \circ y} + U_{x^2, y^2} = U_x U_y + U_{x, y} U_{x, y} + U_y U_x$,

(2.3c) $\{xyy\} = x \circ y^2$,

(2.3d) $U_{x, z} U_z = V_{x, z} V_{z^2} - V_{x, z^3}$,

where $U_{x, z y} = \{xyz\} = V_{x, y z}$. In general, if $z^2 = z^3 = (z \circ x)^n = 0$ then $0 = U_{(z \circ x)^n z} = U_{z \circ x}^n z$ (by (2.3a)) = $\{U_z U_x + U_x U_z + U_{z, x}^2 - U_{z^2, x^2}\}^n z$ (by (2.3b)) = $(U_z U_x)^n z$ (using $z^2 = 0$, $U_z z = z^3 = 0$, $U_{z, x z} = z^2 \circ x = 0$ (by (2.3c)), and repeatedly using $U_z U_z = U_{z^2} = 0$ by (2.3a), $U_{z, x} U_z = V_{x, z} V_{z^2} - V_{x, z^3} = 0$ (by (2.3d))), where $(U_z U_x)^n z = U_z^{(x)n} z = z^{(2n+1,x)}$ in the homotope $J^{(x)}$ of (0.2). If $(z \circ x)^n$ vanishes strictly, then so does $z^{(2n+1,x)}$. \square

The key idea is that for a sequence to be nilpotent, all its terms must be nilpotent and there must be a bound on the indices of nilpotence.

2.4 AMITSUR'S DIRECT-POWER TRICK. *If $z \in J \cap \text{Nil}(\text{Seq}(J))$ then there is an integer $n = n(z)$ such that $(z \circ x)^n = 0$ for all $x \in J$.*

PROOF. If no n works for all x at once, there exist $x_n \in J$ with $(z \circ x_n)^n \neq 0$, and the element $(z, z, \dots) \circ (x_1, x_2, \dots) = (z \circ x_1, z \circ x_2, \dots)$ in $\text{Seq}(J)$ is not nilpotent of any finite index, so (z, z, \dots) does not belong to $\text{Nil}(\text{Seq}(J))$. \square

2.5 AMITSUR'S DIRECT-POWER SHRINKAGE. *$J \cap \text{Nil}(\text{Seq}(J[t]))$ is an ideal of J contained in $\text{Deg}(J)$.*

PROOF. $I = J \cap \text{Nil}(\text{Seq}(J[t]))$ is certainly an ideal in J , and to show it is contained in $\text{Deg}(J)$ it suffices to show \bar{I} vanishes in $\bar{J} = J/\text{Deg}(J) \subset \text{Seq}(J[t])/\text{Seq}(\text{Deg}(J)[t]) \cong \text{Seq}(\bar{J}[t])$. Now \bar{I} still lies in the nil radical $\text{Nil}(\text{Seq}(\bar{J}[t]))$ of the factor algebra, so (as usual for radical surgery) it suffices to prove $\bar{I} = \bar{J} \cap \text{Nil}(\text{Seq}(\bar{J}[t])) = \bar{0}$ for nondegenerate \bar{J} . But if $\bar{I} \neq \bar{0}$ there is $\bar{z} \neq \bar{0}$ in \bar{I} with $\bar{z}^2 = \bar{z}^3 = \bar{0}$, and by (2.4) applied to $\bar{J}[t]$ for some n $(\bar{z} \circ \bar{x})^n = \bar{0}$ for all $\bar{x} \in \bar{J}[t]$, therefore $(\bar{z} \circ \bar{x})^n = \bar{0}$ strictly as a function of $\bar{x} \in \bar{J}$ by 4.3, so by Lemma 2.2 \bar{z} has strictly bounded index, which by (2.1) contradicts nondegeneracy of \bar{J} . Thus $\bar{I} = \bar{0}$ and $I \subset \text{Deg}(J)$. \square

It is not clear whether in fact the intersection is exactly $\text{Deg}(J)$, equivalently whether $\text{Deg}(J') \subset \text{Nil}(\text{Seq}(J'))$ for all J' .

3. The main imbedding theorem. We are now ready to combine polynomial and sequential shrinkage to obtain the

3.1 NONDEGENERATE IMBEDDING THEOREM. *If J is a nondegenerate Jordan algebra, then J may be imbedded in a semiprimitive algebra \bar{J} in such a way that \bar{J} satisfies exactly the same strict identities as J .*

PROOF. We have $J = J_0 \subset J_1 \subset J_2 \subset J_3 = J'$ for $J_1 = J[t]$, $J_2 = \text{Seq}(J_1) = \text{Seq}(J[t])$, and $J_3 = J_2[t'] = \{\text{Seq}(J[t])\}[t']$. Here each J_{i+1} inherits nondegeneracy and all strict identities from J_i (a sequence is trivial iff all its terms are, a polynomial is trivial only if its top degree term is). By our general Principle 1.1 we need only show that

$$(*) \quad J \cap \text{Rad}(J') = 0$$

to get the desired imbedding $J \subset \bar{J} = J'/\text{Rad}(J')$. But by Polynomial Shrinkage 0.6 $J \cap \text{Rad}(J') = J \cap J_2 \cap \text{Rad}(J_2[t']) \subset J \cap \text{Nil}(J_2)$, and by Direct-Power Shrinkage 2.5 $J \cap \text{Nil}(J_2) = J \cap \text{Nil}(\text{Seq}(J[t])) \subset \text{Deg}(J) = 0$ by nondegeneracy, establishing (*). \square

Zelmanov’s Prime Dichotomy Theorem is proven under the explicit hypothesis that the prime algebra is imbeddable in a semiprimitive algebra, so from 3.1 without further ado or polynomial identities we have

3.2 PRIME DICHOTOMY THEOREM. *Any nondegenerate prime Jordan algebra is either a homomorphic image of a special algebra, or is an albert algebra.* \square

In a forthcoming paper [4] it will be important that the semiprimitive imbeddings can be chosen to preserve polynomial identities.

4. Appendix on polynomial mappings. To avoid multi-indices and simplify notation, we pass from several variables to a single vector variable, i.e. from maps $J^n \xrightarrow{f(x_1, \dots, x_n)} J$ to the general case of abstract polynomial mappings $X \xrightarrow{f(x)} Y$ of Φ -modules in the sense of Robi [9] (cf. [8, pp. 202–207]). We say such a map f vanishes *strictly* on X ,

$$(4.1) \quad f \equiv 0 \quad \text{on } X$$

if f vanishes on all scalar extensions X_Ω . Strictness is equivalent to f vanishing on the particular “universal” extension $X[t_1, t_2, \dots]$ for an infinite set of indeterminates, and also equivalent to the intrinsic condition that all linearizations of f vanish on X . Here the *linearizations* $f_{e_1, \dots, e_r}(x_1, \dots, x_r)$ of f are defined as the coefficients of powers of t ’s in the expansion

$$(4.2) \quad f(t_1 x_1 + \dots + t_r x_r) = \sum_{e_1 + \dots + e_r \leq d} t_1^{e_1} \dots t_r^{e_r} f_{e_1, \dots, e_r}(x_1, \dots, x_r)$$

for d the degree of f , x_i in X . (This is the place where multi-indices would be awkward, if we had to linearize each variable x_i in $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$.)

It is often useful to get by with a single t .

4.3 ONE- t -IS-ENOUGH LEMMA. *If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a polynomial mapping whose extension to $X[t] \rightarrow Y[t]$ vanishes, then f vanishes strictly on X .*

PROOF. The hypothesis that f vanishes on $X[t]$ means for all x_1, \dots, x_r in X and any choice of integral powers a_1, \dots, a_r the value

$$f(t^{a_1}x_1 + \dots + t^{a_r}x_r) = \sum t^{a_1e_1 + \dots + a_re_r} f_{e_1 \dots e_r}(x_1, \dots, x_r)$$

is zero in $Y[t]$, i.e., the coefficient of t^k vanishes in Y for each k . To conclude strictness $f_{e_1 \dots e_r}(x_1, \dots, x_r) = 0$ for each r -tuple $\mathbf{e} = (e_1, \dots, e_r)$ in N^r ($\sum e_i \leq d$) and each r -tuple (x_1, \dots, x_r) in X^r , we need only show that we can choose $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_r)$ so that the $\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{e}$ are all distinct, i.e. $\mathbf{a} \cdot (\mathbf{e} - \mathbf{e}') \neq 0$ for $\mathbf{e} \neq \mathbf{e}'$. But there are at most d^r such n -tuples \mathbf{e} , hence at most $k = d^r(d^r - 1)$ such $\mathbf{e} - \mathbf{e}'$, and given any finite number $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k$ of nonzero vectors in Q^r we can find $\mathbf{a} \in N^r$ with $\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{v}_i \neq 0$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$. One way is to note $f_i(\mathbf{a}) = \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{v}_i$ is a nonzero linear function from Q^r to Q if $\mathbf{v}_i \neq 0$, so the pointwise product $f = f_1 \cdots f_k$ and the function $g(\mathbf{a}) = f(\text{sq}(\mathbf{a}))$ (where $\text{sq}(\mathbf{a}) = (a_1^2, \dots, a_r^2)$ is the componentwise square) are not identically zero as functions $Q^r \rightarrow Q$ since the field Q is infinite, and if g does not vanish at \mathbf{b} then by homogeneity we can clear denominators to get $g(\mathbf{b}) \neq 0$ for $\mathbf{b} \in Z^r$, so $f(\mathbf{a}) \neq 0$ for $\mathbf{a} = \text{sq}(\mathbf{b}) \in N^r$, therefore no $f_i(\mathbf{a})$ vanishes, and $\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{v}_i \neq 0$ for all i .

Alternatively, we can "explicitly" exhibit $\mathbf{a} \in N^r$ with $\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{v}_i \neq 0$ for any given finite set $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k$ of nonzero vectors in Z^r . Indeed, let p_1, \dots, p_r be distinct prime integers such that p_j does not divide any nonzero j th entry (if $\mathbf{v}_i = (b_{i1}, \dots, b_{ir})$ then p_j does not divide any of b_{1j}, \dots, b_{kj} which are nonzero; if all j th entries b_{ij} are zero we agree $p_j = 1$), and set $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_r)$ for $a_i = \prod_{l \neq i} p_l$. Since the vector \mathbf{v}_i is nonzero, at least one of its entries b_{ij} is nonzero, hence by construction p_j does not divide b_{ij} or a_j yet divides all other a_l , so p_j does not divide $\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{v}_i = a_1 b_{i1} + \dots + a_j b_{ij} + \dots + a_r b_{ir}$ and therefore this latter cannot be zero. \square

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. The authors would like to thank NSF Grant DMS-8401066 for partially supporting this research.

REFERENCES

1. K. McCrimmon, *Zelmanov's prime theorem for quadratic Jordan algebras*, J. Algebra **76** (1982), 297-326.
2. —, *Amitsur shrinkage of Jordan radicals*, Comm. Algebra **12** (1984), 777-826.
3. —, *A characterization of the nondegenerate radical in quadratic Jordan triple systems*, Algebras Groups Geom. **4** (1987), 145-164.
4. K. McCrimmon and E. Zelmanov, *The structure of strongly prime quadratic Jordan algebras*, Adv. in Math. (to appear).
5. E. Zelmanov, *On prime Jordan algebras*, Algebra i Logika **18** (1979), 162-175.
6. —, *On prime Jordan algebras. II*, Siberian Math. J. **24** (1983), 89-104.
7. L. Rowen, *Polynomial identities in ring theory*, Academic Press, New York, 1980.
8. O. Loos, *Jordan pairs*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 460, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1975.
9. N. Robi, *Lois polynomes et lois formelles en theorie de modules*, Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. 3^e Ser. **80** (1963), 213-318.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MASSACHUSETTS, AMHERST, MASSACHUSETTS 01033

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF VIRGINIA, CHARLOTTESVILLE, VIRGINIA 22903