# ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS WITH RECTIFIABLE RADIAL IMAGES <br> MARVIN ORTEL AND WALTER SCHNEIDER <br> (Communicated by Irwin Kra) 

## AbSTRACT. We give a simple sufficient condition for an analytic function in

 the unit disk to have a radial image of finite length.1. Introduction. If $f$ is an analytic function defined on the unit disk $D \equiv$ $\{z \in \mathbf{C}:|z|<1\}$, we define the radial variation function of $f$,

$$
V(f, \cdot):[0,2 \pi) \rightarrow[0, \infty) \cup\{\infty\},
$$

by the rule

$$
V(f, \theta) \equiv \int_{[0,1)}\left|f^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right| d r, \quad \text { all } \theta \in[0,2 \pi)
$$

In the present paper we prove that $V(f, \theta)$ is finite for at least one $\theta \in[0,2 \pi)$ if $f$ is of moderate growth in the unit disk and $f^{\prime}$ is bounded on some arc tending to the boundary of $D$.

Theorem 1. Suppose $f$ is analytic in $D, \mu \in[0,1)$ and

$$
\sup _{z \in D}|f(z)|(1-|z|)^{\mu}<\infty
$$

In addition, suppose $\gamma:[0,1) \rightarrow D$ is continuous and one-to-one, $\lim _{t \uparrow 1}|\gamma(t)|=1$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{t \in[0,1)}\left|f^{\prime}(\gamma(t))\right|<\infty \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then there exists $\theta \in[0,2 \pi)$ such that $V(f, \theta)<\infty$.
Theorem 1 is proved in $\S 3$, by means of the harmonic majorization discussed in $\S 2$. In $\S 4$ we present a corollary directed to an open question concerning the radial images of bounded functions in the disk.
2. Majorization. The following lemma shows how the radial growth of a function which is subharmonic in the upper half plane is restricted in the case that it is bounded on a curve terminating at the origin.
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Lemma 1. Suppose $h, \Gamma$, and $\varepsilon$ meet the following conditions:
(1) $h$ is subharmonic in $H^{+} \equiv\{z \in \mathbf{C}: \operatorname{Im} z>0\}$ and $\varepsilon \in(0, \infty)$.
(2) $h(x+i y) \leq \log \frac{1}{y}$ if $x+i y \in H^{+}$and $x^{2}+y^{2} \leq 1$.
(3) $\Gamma:[0,1) \rightarrow H^{+}$is continuous and one-to-one, $\Gamma(0)=i, \lim _{t \uparrow 1} \Gamma(t)=0$, and $|\Gamma(t)| \leq 1$ for all $t \in[0,1)$.
(4) $\sup _{t \in[0,1)} h(\Gamma(t))<\infty$.

Then we have
(5) $\sup _{y \in(0,1]}\left[h(i y)+\left(\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon\right) \log y\right]<\infty$.

Proof. Choose $M \in(0, \infty), \alpha \in(\pi / 2, \pi)$ so that $\pi / 2 \alpha<1 / 2+\varepsilon, h(\Gamma(t)) \leq M$ for all $t \in[0,1)$, and $h\left(e^{i \theta}\right) \leq M$ for all $\theta \in[\pi-\alpha, \alpha]$. Finally, select $\delta \in(0, \infty)$. We shall prove

$$
\begin{equation*}
h\left(i y_{0}\right) \leq M+2 \delta+\frac{\pi}{2 \alpha} \log \frac{1}{\sin \alpha}+\frac{\pi}{2 \alpha} \log \frac{1}{y_{0}}, \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

all $y_{0} \in(0,1]$.
Note that (6) implies (5), since $\pi / 2 \alpha<1 / 2+\varepsilon$, and both $y_{0}$ and $\delta$ are arbitrary.
We now prove (6). Corresponding to fixed $y_{0}$ and $\delta$, choose numbers $\rho$ and $d$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
0<d<\rho<y_{0}, \quad \frac{2 \rho y_{0}}{d^{2}+y_{0}^{2}} \leq \delta  \tag{7}\\
\frac{\rho}{y}>\log \frac{1}{y} \quad \text { for all } y \in(0, d]
\end{gather*}
$$

Also, introduce the following sets which depend on the chosen number $d$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_{1} & \equiv\left\{d e^{i \theta}: 0 \leq \theta \leq \pi\right\} \cup\left\{r e^{i \alpha}: d \leq r \leq 1\right\} \cup\left\{e^{i \theta}: \pi / 2 \leq \theta \leq \alpha\right\} \\
\Gamma_{2} & \equiv\left\{d e^{i \theta}: 0 \leq \theta \leq \pi\right\} \cup\left\{r e^{i(\pi-\alpha)}: d \leq r \leq 1\right\} \cup\left\{e^{i \theta}: \pi-\alpha \leq \theta \leq \pi / 2\right\}, \\
t^{*} & \equiv \inf \{t \in[0,1):|\Gamma(t)|=d\}, \\
\gamma^{*} & \equiv\left\{\Gamma(t): 0 \leq t \leq t^{*}\right\}, \\
\mathscr{L} & \equiv\left\{z \in \mathbf{C}: \Gamma_{1} \cup \gamma^{*} \text { separates } z \text { from } \infty\right\}, \\
\mathscr{R} & \equiv\left\{z \in \mathbf{C}: \Gamma_{2} \cup \gamma^{*} \text { separates } z \text { from } \infty\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We claim $i y_{0} \in \mathscr{L} \cup \mathscr{R} \cup \gamma^{*}$. This follows from Janisewski's Theorem [1, p. 362], since the set

$$
\left(\Gamma_{1} \cup \gamma^{*}\right) \cap\left(\Gamma_{2} \cup \gamma^{*}\right)=\left\{d e^{i \theta}: 0 \leq \theta \leq \pi\right\} \cup \gamma^{*}
$$

is connected and $\Gamma_{1} \cup \Gamma_{2}$ separates $i y_{0}$ from $\infty$.
We may therefore assume $i y_{0} \in \mathscr{L}$ : indeed, (6) is immediate if $i y_{0} \in \gamma^{*}$, and if $i y_{0} \in \mathscr{R}$ we merely replace $h$ and $\Gamma$ by their reflections in the imaginary axis.

Assuming that $i y_{0} \in \mathscr{L}$, let $\Omega$ denote the set of complex numbers which may be connected to $i y_{0}$ by a path not crossing $\Gamma_{1} \cup \gamma^{*}$. By definition of $\Omega$, it follows that Bdry $\Omega \subset \Gamma_{1} \cup \gamma^{*}$ (here Bdry $\Omega$ denotes the C-boundary of $\Omega$ ). Moreover, if we set $m \equiv \min \left[d \cdot \sin (\alpha), \inf _{z \in \gamma^{*}} \operatorname{Im} z\right]$, we have

$$
\bar{\Omega} \subset \mathbf{S} \equiv\{z \in \mathbf{C}: d \leq|z| \leq 1 \text { and } \operatorname{Im} z \geq m\}
$$

Indeed, since $m>0$, any point outside $S$ either lies on $\Gamma_{1}$ or may be connected to $\infty$ without crossing $\Gamma_{1} \cup \gamma^{*}$. So, if $z \in \Omega$ and $z \notin S$, we could connect iyo to
$z$ and $z$ to $\infty$ without crossing $\Gamma_{1} \cup \gamma^{*}$ : but this contradicts the assumption that $i y_{0} \in \mathscr{L}$. Therefore, $\bar{\Omega}$ is a compact subset of $H^{+}, \bar{\Omega} \subset S$, and

$$
\operatorname{Bdry} \Omega \subset\left(\Gamma_{1} \cup \gamma^{*}\right)-\{d,-d\} .
$$

We may now complete the proof. For $z=x+i y \in H^{+}$define

$$
\begin{aligned}
u(z) \equiv & (M+\delta)+\left(\log \frac{1}{|z| \sin \alpha}\right)\left(\frac{\arg z}{\alpha}\right) \\
& +\rho\left[\frac{y}{(x+d)^{2}+y^{2}}+\frac{y}{(x-d)^{2}+y^{2}}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $(\log |z|)(\arg z)=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Im}(\log z)^{2}$ in $H^{+}$, we see that $u$ is harmonic in $H^{+}$. Note that each term of $u$ is nonnegative on Bdry $\Omega$ since $|z| \leq 1$ for all $z \in \bar{\Omega} \subset S$. Now, if $x^{2}+y^{2}=d^{2}$ and $y>0$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
u(x+i y) & >\rho\left[\frac{y}{(x+d)^{2}+y^{2}}+\frac{y}{(x-d)^{2}+y^{2}}\right] \\
& =\frac{\rho}{y}>\log \frac{1}{y} \geq h(x+i y)
\end{aligned}
$$

by (7) and our hypotheses. If $x+i y=r e^{i \alpha}$ and $d \leq r \leq 1$, then

$$
u(x+i y) \geq\left(\log \frac{1}{r \sin \alpha}\right)\left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha}\right)=\log \frac{1}{y} \geq h(x+i y)
$$

If $z \in \gamma^{*}$ or $z=e^{i \theta}$ with $\pi / 2 \leq \theta \leq \alpha$, then $u(z)>M \geq h(z)$. Since Bdry $\Omega \subset \Gamma_{1} \cup \gamma^{*}-\{d,-d\}$, we have shown

$$
h(z)-u(z) \leq 0 \quad \text { for all } z \in \operatorname{Bdry} \Omega .
$$

Since $h-u$ is subharmonic in $H^{+}$and $\bar{\Omega}$ is a compact subset of $H^{+}$, we conclude

$$
h(z)-u(z) \leq 0 \quad \text { for all } z \in \Omega .
$$

Since $i y_{0} \in \Omega$ we have

$$
h\left(i y_{0}\right) \leq u\left(i y_{0}\right)=(M+\delta)+\left(\log \frac{1}{y_{0}}+\log \frac{1}{\sin \alpha}\right) \cdot \frac{\pi}{2 \alpha}+\rho\left[\frac{2 y_{0}}{d^{2}+y_{0}^{2}}\right] .
$$

Now (6) follows from the setup in (7).
3. Proof of Theorem 1. From the general hypotheses it follows that $\sup _{z \in D}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|(1-|z|)^{\mu+1}<\infty$. Now consider hypothesis (1). By theorems of G. MacLane [2, Theorem 1 and Theorem 14 in G. Piranian's review], there is a dense set of points on the unit circle which are endpoints of asymptotic paths of $f^{\prime}$ (possibly corresponding to infinite limits). This fact allows us to assume that $\lim _{t \uparrow 1} \gamma(t)$ exists. For otherwise there is a nonempty open interval $I \subset(0,2 \pi)$ such that for each $\theta \in I$ there is a corresponding sequence $\left(t_{n}\right)$ from $[0,1)$ with $\lim _{n \dagger \infty} \gamma\left(t_{n}\right)=e^{i \theta}$. By the existence of asymptotic paths of $f^{\prime}$, we may choose $\theta_{0}$ and $\theta_{1} \in I$, and a Jordan arc $\sigma:(0,1) \rightarrow D$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{t \downarrow 0} \sigma(t)=e^{i \theta_{0}}, \quad \lim _{t \uparrow 1} \sigma(t)=e^{i \theta_{1}}, \\
& \lim _{t \downarrow 0} f^{\prime}(\sigma(t))=a, \quad \lim _{t \uparrow 1} f^{\prime}(\sigma(t))=b,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $a$ and $b$ are elements of the extended complex plane. By elementary topology we see that for each $\varepsilon \in(0,1)$ we have

$$
\{\gamma(t): 1-\varepsilon<t<1\} \cap\{\sigma(t): 0<t<1\} \neq \varnothing
$$

Since $\lim _{t \uparrow 1}|\gamma(t)|=1$ and $\sup _{t \in[0,1)}\left|f^{\prime}(\gamma(t))\right|<\infty$, we may conclude that both $a$ and $b$ are (finite) complex numbers. Hence, if $\lim _{t \uparrow 1} \gamma(t)$ does not exist we may replace $\gamma$ by the parametric arc

$$
t \rightarrow \sigma\left(\frac{1+t}{2}\right), \quad t \in[0,1)
$$

We may therefore assume, without loss of generality, that $\lim _{t \uparrow 1} \gamma(t)=1, \gamma(0)=0$, and $\operatorname{Re} \gamma(t) \geq 0$, all $t \in[0,1)$.

Since $\sup _{z \in D}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|(1-|z|)^{\mu+1}<\infty$ it is easy to show that

$$
\sup _{\substack{z \in H^{+} \\|z| \leq 1}}\left|f^{\prime}\left(\frac{i-z}{i+z}\right) \cdot \frac{2 i}{(i+z)^{2}}\right|(\operatorname{Im} z)^{\mu+1}<\infty .
$$

Hence, without loss of generality (multiply $f$ by a positive constant), we assume

$$
\left|f^{\prime}\left(\frac{i-z}{i+z}\right) \cdot \frac{2 i}{(i+z)^{2}}\right|<\left(\frac{1}{y}\right)^{\mu+1} \quad \text { if } z \equiv x+i y \in H^{+} \text {and }|z| \leq 1
$$

Define now

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Gamma(t) \equiv i \frac{1-\gamma(t)}{1+\gamma(t)}, \quad t \in[0,1), \\
h(z) \equiv \frac{1}{\mu+1} \log \left|f^{\prime}\left(\frac{i-z}{i+z}\right) \cdot \frac{2 i}{(i+z)^{2}}\right|, \quad z \in H^{+} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Then $h, \Gamma$ meet the conditions of Lemma 1 because of our simplifying assumptions. If $\varepsilon \in(0, \infty)$ we conclude

$$
\sup _{y \in(0,1]}\left[\frac{1}{\mu+1} \log \left|f^{\prime}\left(\frac{1-y}{1+y}\right) \cdot \frac{2}{(1+y)^{2}}\right|+\left(\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon\right) \log y\right]<\infty
$$

and hence that

$$
\sup _{y \in(0,1]}\left|f^{\prime}\left(\frac{1-y}{1+y}\right)\right| \frac{2 y^{(1 / 2+\varepsilon)(1+\mu)}}{(1+y)^{2}}<\infty .
$$

Since $\mu \in[0,1)$ we may choose $\varepsilon$ so that $\left(\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon\right)(\mu+1)<1$ and obtain

$$
\int_{[0,1)}\left|f^{\prime}(r)\right| d r=\int_{(0,1]}\left|f^{\prime}\left(\frac{1-y}{1+y}\right)\right| \cdot \frac{2}{(1+y)^{2}} d y<\infty .
$$

This establishes Theorem 1.
4. Corollary for bounded functions. Although the question of the rectifiability of radial images originates in Rudin's paper [3], it is still unknown whether all the radial images of a bounded analytic function $f$ can be of infinite length. However, by Corollary 1 (stated below), this is impossible if $f^{\prime}$ has less than the maximal density of zeros possible for the derivative of a bounded analytic function in the disk.

To see this, define $A\left(f^{\prime}\right) \equiv\left\{a \in D: f^{\prime}(a)=0\right\}$ whenever $f$ is an analytic function in the disk. Then, if $f$ is bounded, we have

$$
\sum_{a \in A\left(f^{\prime}\right)}(1-|a|)^{1+\varepsilon}<\infty, \quad \text { for all } \varepsilon>0
$$

(see [4, pp. 204-205]). By Corollary 1 , if $V(f, \theta)=\infty$ for all $\theta \in[0,2 \pi)$ it must actually be the case that $\sum_{a \in A\left(f^{\prime}\right)}(1-|a|)=\infty$.

COROLLARY 1. Suppose $f$ is analytic in $D, \sum_{a \in A\left(f^{\prime}\right)}(1-|a|)<\infty, \mu \in[0,1)$, and $\sup _{z \in D}|f(z)|(1-|z|)^{\mu}<\infty$. Then there exists $\theta \in[0, \pi)$ such that $V(f, \theta)<\infty$.

Proof. Let $B$ denote the Blaschke product with zero set $A$ and set $g \equiv f^{\prime} / B$. Since $\log |g|$ is harmonic in $D$ and $D$ is simply connected, there is a simple parametric arc $\gamma:[0,1) \rightarrow D$ such that $\gamma(0)=0, \lim _{t \uparrow 1}|\gamma(t)|=1$, and $\log |g(\gamma(t))|=$ $\log |g(0)|$ for all $t \in[0,1)$. Thus $\left|f^{\prime}(\gamma(t))\right| \leq|g(0)|$ for all $t$. Now we apply Theorem 1.
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