

ISOMORPHISMS OF PRIME GOLDIE SEMIPRINCIPAL LEFT IDEAL RINGS

KENNETH G. WOLFSON

(Communicated by Donald S. Passman)

ABSTRACT. A prime (left) Goldie semiprincipal left ideal ring is the endomorphism ring $E(F, A)$ of a free module A , of finite rank, over a (left) Ore domain F . We examine the uniqueness of the module (F, A) in the sense of determining necessary and sufficient conditions that every isomorphism of $E(F, A)$ is induced by a semilinear module isomorphism of (F, A) .

Introduction. Let K be a prime (left) Goldie ring in which each finitely generated left ideal is principal. Then K is isomorphic to the endomorphism ring $E(F, A)$ of a free module A , of finite rank, over a (left) Ore domain F [3, 6, 8]. We examine the uniqueness of this representation in the following sense: What are necessary and sufficient conditions such that, whenever $K \cong E(F, A) \cong E(G, B)$ (with A, B free and finitely generated over domains F and G), every isomorphism of $E(F, A)$ upon $E(G, B)$ is induced by a (semilinear) module isomorphism of (F, A) upon (G, B) ? We are able to show (Theorem 3.1) that this is precisely when all minimal right annihilators of K are mutually isomorphic (as right K modules), or equivalently when K is isomorphic to $E(F, A)$ with F , a semiprincipal left ideal domain. A key point is showing that K is a Baer ring (Lemma 2.3).

If "semilinear module isomorphism" is understood to include mappings between extensions of the underlying modules (F, A) and (G, B) then every isomorphism of $E(F, A)$ onto $E(G, B)$ is induced by a semilinear module isomorphism (Theorem 3.2).

1. Definitions and preliminaries. All rings have identity elements. The left module A over the ring F will be denoted (F, A) and its endomorphism ring (operating on the right of A) will be denoted $E(F, A)$. (A, E) will denote the right E module A . The statement that (F, A, E) is a bimodule indicates that A is to be considered as a left F module and a right E module.

The arguments of Baer [1, Proposition 5, p. 176] with minor modifications can be used to prove

LEMMA 1.1. *If there is an idempotent e in $E = E(F, A)$ such that Ae is free and cyclic, then the bimodule (F, A, E) is isomorphic to the bimodule (eEe, eE, E) in the following sense:*

(1) *F and eEe are isomorphic rings; A and eE are isomorphic additive groups, and there is a semilinear module isomorphism of the left modules (F, A) and (eEe, eE) .*

(2) *There is an E isomorphism of the right modules (A, E) and (eE, E) .*

Received by the editors October 13, 1987.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 *Revision*). Primary 16A65; Secondary 16A04, 16A34.

©1988 American Mathematical Society
 0002-9939/88 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

LEMMA 1.2. *Let (F, A) possess a free cyclic summand, where F is a domain, and let $E = E(F, A)$ be its endomorphism ring. If eE and fE are E -isomorphic for each pair of primitive idempotents e, f in E , then every indecomposable summand of A is free and cyclic.*

PROOF. Let S be an indecomposable summand of A , and f an idempotent in E such that $S = Af$. Let e be an idempotent for which Ae is free and cyclic. Then e and f are primitive since Ae and Af are indecomposable summands of A . By Lemma 1.1, there exists a bimodule isomorphism ϕ of (F, A, E) onto (eEe, eE, E) . Hence $(A\sigma)\phi = (A\phi)\sigma = (eE)\sigma$, for each $\sigma \in E(F, A)$. If we let $\sigma = f$ then $S\phi = (Af)\phi = (eE)f = eEf$. Since eE and fE are E -isomorphic, it follows that eEf is free and cyclic over eEe [7, Lemma 2.3, p. 326]. Since ϕ is a (semilinear) module isomorphism of (F, A) and (eEe, eE) , S must be free and cyclic over F .

2. Prime, Goldie, semi-*pli* rings. A ring K is a *pli* ring (semi-*pli* ring), if each left ideal (finitely generated left ideal) is a principal left ideal.

A nonzero left module is uniform if any two nonzero submodules have nonzero intersection.

We shall say $(F, A) \in \mathcal{F}$, if F is a domain, and (F, A) is a finitely generated free module. If $(F, A) \in \mathcal{F}$, then $E(F, A)$ is prime. Results of Goldie [3], Robson [8] and Jategaonkar [6] (see also [4, Chapter 4]) imply that

(1) If $(F, A) \in \mathcal{F}$, then $E(F, A)$ is a (left) Goldie ring if, and only if, F is (left) Ore.

(2) If K is a prime Goldie semi-*pli* ring, there exists a module $(F, A) \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $K \cong E(F, A)$.

The proof of Lemma 4.11 of Chapter 4 of [4] shows that A may be chosen as any minimal right annihilator of K . (We do not need the specific identification of F .) If ϕ is the isomorphism of K onto $E(F, A)$ then for $k \in K$, $xk\phi = x \cdot k$, for each $x \in A$.

We need the following slight generalization of a result of Jategaonkar [6, Proposition 2.11, p. 51]. His arguments can be followed with minor modifications.

LEMMA 2.1. *Let $(F, A) \in \mathcal{F}$, with A free on n generators. If $E(F, A)$ is a Goldie semi-*pli* ring, then for every set J_1, J_2, \dots, J_n of nonzero finitely generated left ideals of F , we have $J_1 \oplus J_2 \oplus \dots \oplus J_n$ is isomorphic (as a left F -module) to (F, A) .*

REMARK 1. If $E(F, A)$ satisfies the hypothesis of the lemma, each finitely generated left ideal of F is projective. Hence each finitely generated submodule of A is projective.

A ring in which each left annihilator ideal is a principal left ideal generated by an idempotent is called a Baer ring (the terminology is due to Kaplansky). The proof of the following is straightforward and will be omitted.

LEMMA 2.2. *Let K be a Baer ring, and e an idempotent in K . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) e is primitive.
- (2) eK is a minimal right annihilator.
- (3) Ke is a minimal left annihilator.

If S is a submodule of A , $L(S)$ will denote the left ideal of $E(F, A)$ consisting of all σ in $E(F, A)$ for which $A\sigma \subseteq S$. For τ in $E(F, A)$, $\mathcal{L}(\tau)$ will consist of all ρ in $E(F, A)$ for which $\rho\tau = 0$, while $N(\tau)$ will be the submodule of A consisting of all a in A for which $a\tau = 0$.

LEMMA 2.3. *If K is a prime, Goldie, semi-pli ring, then K is a Baer ring.*

PROOF. $K \cong E(F, A)$ where A is free of finite rank n , over an Ore domain F . If J is a left annihilator ideal of $E = E(F, A)$, then $J = \mathcal{L}(\sigma)$, $\sigma \in E$ [2, Theorem 3.7, p. 208]. But $\mathcal{L}(\sigma) = L[N(\sigma)]$ follows from the relevant definitions. We shall show that $N(\sigma)$ is a summand of A , from which it will follow that $L[N(\sigma)]$ is generated by an idempotent. Since A is finitely generated, so is $A\sigma$. By Remark 1, following Lemma 2.1, $A\sigma$ is projective. Since $A\sigma \cong A/N(\sigma)$, it follows that $N(\sigma)$ is a summand of A . The fact that $L[N(\sigma)]$ is generated by an idempotent now follows as in the first part of the proof in [1, Proposition 1, p. 178].

3. The main theorems.

THEOREM 3.1. *Let K be a prime left Goldie semi-pli ring. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) *If $K \cong E(F, A)$, $K \cong E(G, B)$ with $(F, A), (G, B) \in \mathcal{F}$, then every isomorphism of $E(F, A)$ upon $E(G, B)$ is induced by a semilinear module isomorphism of (F, A) upon (G, B) .*
- (2) *All minimal right annihilators of K are isomorphic (as right K modules).*
- (3) *All minimal left annihilators of K are isomorphic (as left K modules).*
- (4) *All finitely generated uniform left ideals of K are isomorphic (as left K modules).*
- (5) *There exists a semi-pli domain F , and a module $(F, A) \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $K \cong E(F, A)$.*

PROOF. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Let J_1, J_2 be minimal right annihilators of K , so that $J_1 = eK$, $J_2 = fK$ with e, f primitive idempotents of K (Lemmas 2.2, 2.3). By item (2) preceding Lemma 2.1, there is an isomorphism α of K onto $E(F, eK)$ such that if $k \in K$, $xk^\alpha = x \cdot k$, for all $x \in eK$, and an isomorphism β of K onto $E(G, fK)$ such that if $k \in K$, $yk^\beta = y \cdot k$ for all $y \in fK$.

Clearly $\phi = \alpha^{-1}\beta$ is an isomorphism of $E(F, eK)$ onto $E(G, fK)$. For each $k \in K$, $k^\alpha = \sigma \in E(F, eK)$, so that

$$\sigma^\phi = (k^\alpha)^{\alpha^{-1}\beta} = k^\beta \in E(G, fK).$$

By assumption ϕ is induced by a semilinear isomorphism ρ of (F, eK) upon (G, fK) . In particular,

$$y\sigma^\phi = y(\rho^{-1}\sigma\rho), \quad \text{for each } y \in fK,$$

or letting $y = x\rho$, for $x \in eK$, we have

$$(x\rho)\sigma^\phi = x(\sigma\rho) = (x\sigma)\rho, \quad \text{for each } x \in eK, \quad \text{or} \quad (x\rho)k^\beta = (xk^\alpha)\rho.$$

Hence

$$(x\rho) \cdot k = (x \cdot k)\rho, \quad \text{for each } x \in eK,$$

so that ρ is a K -isomorphism of the right annihilators eK and fK .

(2) \Rightarrow (5) By items (1) and (2) preceding Lemma 2.1, $K \cong E(F, A)$ with $(F, A) \in \mathcal{F}$ and F , a left Ore domain. Now let J be a nonzero finitely generated left ideal of F . Since F is an Ore domain, J is an indecomposable F module. By Lemma 2.1, there exists an F -module Q such that $(F, J \oplus Q) \cong (F, A)$. Under this isomorphism J maps onto an indecomposable summand of A , which is free and cyclic by Lemma 1.2. Hence J must be a principal left ideal.

To prove (5) \Rightarrow (1), Let $(F, A), (G, B) \in \mathcal{F}$ with $K \cong E(F, A) \cong E(G, B)$. Let $K \cong E(F_0, A_0)$ with $(F_0, A_0) \in \mathcal{F}$, and F_0 a semi-pri domain. Then of course $E(F_0, A_0) \cong E(F, A)$. By results of Jategaonkar [6, Theorem 1.6, p. 37, Proposition 1.8, p. 40, and Lemma 2.8, p. 49] this latter isomorphism is induced by a (semilinear) module isomorphism of (F_0, A_0) onto (F, A) . In particular, F is a semi-pri domain. Now we can apply the same argument to the isomorphism of $E(F, A)$ and $E(G, B)$ to conclude that it is induced by a semilinear module isomorphism of (F, A) upon (G, B) .

(2) \Leftrightarrow (3) If e, f are idempotents in any ring K , then $eK \cong fK$ if, and only if, $Ke \cong Kf$ [5, Corollary, p. 51].

(4) \Leftrightarrow (5) is a result of Robson [8, Theorem 5.3, p. 627].

REMARK 1. The restriction that F and G be domains is essential. Let F be a pli domain, and $G = E(F, F^{(n)})$, $n > 1$. Let $B = G^{(m)}$, $m > 1$, and $A = F^{(mn)}$. Then $E(F, A)$ and $E(G, B)$ are isomorphic prime, pli rings, with F, G , nonisomorphic pli rings.

REMARK 2. Swan [9, Lemma 1, p. 57] gives an example of an $(F', A') \in \mathcal{F}$ for which $E(F', A')$ is a pli ring, but F' is not a pli domain.

Theorem 3.1 then implies that if $K = E(F', A')$ is the ring of Swan's example there exist (F, A) and $(G, B) \in \mathcal{F}$, with $K \cong E(F, A), K \cong E(G, B)$ but with an isomorphism of $E(F, A)$ upon $E(G, B)$ which is not induced by a semilinear module isomorphism of (F, A) upon (G, B) . In fact it is shown in [10] that there exist $(F, A), (G, B) \in \mathcal{F}$ with $K \cong E(F, A) \cong E(G, B)$ but $F \not\cong G$, so that there is no (semilinear) module isomorphism of (F, A) upon (G, B) . Nevertheless, even in this case, every isomorphism of $E(F, A)$ upon $E(G, B)$ is induced by a (semilinear) module isomorphism if we are willing to include mappings between extensions of the modules (F, A) and (G, B) .

THEOREM 3.2. *Let K be a prime (left) Goldie semi-pri ring, such that $K \cong E(F, A), K \cong E(G, B)$ with $(F, A), (G, B) \in \mathcal{F}$. Then, there exist modules $(\bar{F}, \bar{A}), (\bar{G}, \bar{B})$, which are extensions of (F, A) and (G, B) respectively, such that every isomorphism of $E(F, A)$ upon $E(G, B)$ is induced by a semilinear module isomorphism of (\bar{F}, \bar{A}) upon (\bar{G}, \bar{B}) .*

PROOF. Assume that $(F, A), (G, B) \in \mathcal{F}$, and $E(F, A)$ and $E(G, B)$ are isomorphic Goldie semi-pri rings. Since F and G are Ore domains, they have quotient division rings \bar{F} and \bar{G} . Let $\bar{A} = \bar{F} \otimes_F A$, and $\bar{B} = \bar{G} \otimes_G B$. Then \bar{A} and \bar{B} are (left) vector spaces over \bar{F} and \bar{G} respectively and with the usual identification, (F, A) and (G, B) are embedded in (\bar{F}, \bar{A}) and (\bar{G}, \bar{B}) , and $E(F, A)$ and $E(G, B)$ are embedded as (left) orders in $E(\bar{F}, \bar{A})$ and $E(\bar{G}, \bar{B})$ respectively [6, Proposition 2.14, p. 20]. If ϕ is an isomorphism of $E(F, A)$ upon $E(G, B)$, it has a unique extension to $\bar{\phi}$ an isomorphism of $E(\bar{F}, \bar{A})$ upon $E(\bar{G}, \bar{B})$. Hence there exists a one-one semilinear transformation ρ of (\bar{F}, \bar{A}) onto (\bar{G}, \bar{B}) such that $\sigma^{\bar{\phi}} = \rho^{-1} \sigma \rho$

for each $\sigma \in E(\overline{F}, \overline{A})$ [1, Theorem 1, p. 183]. In particular $\sigma^\phi = \rho^{-1}\sigma\rho$ for each $\sigma \in E(F, A)$.

REFERENCES

1. R. Baer, *Linear algebra and projective geometry*, Academic Press, New York, 1952.
2. A. W. Goldie, *Semiprime rings with maximum condition*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **10** (1960), 201–220.
3. ———, *Non-commutative principal ideal rings*, Arch. Math. **13** (1962), 214–221.
4. I. N. Herstein, *Topics in ring theory*, Univ. of Chicago Press, Chicago, Ill., 1969.
5. N. Jacobson, *Structure of rings*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ., vol. 37, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1956.
6. A. V. Jategaonkar, *Left principal ideal rings*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 123, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1970.
7. W. Liebert, *Endomorphism rings of free modules over principal ideal domains*, Duke Math. J. **41** (1974), 323–328.
8. J. C. Robson, *Rings in which finitely generated right ideals are principal*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **17** (1967), 617–628.
9. R. G. Swan, *Projective modules over group rings and maximal orders*, Ann. of Math. (2) **76** (1962), 55–61.
10. K. G. Wolfson, *Isomorphisms of prime Goldie semi-principal left ideal rings. II*, Canad. Math. Bull. (3) **31** (1988), 111–116.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, RUTGERS UNIVERSITY, NEW BRUNSWICK, NEW JERSEY 08903