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#### Abstract

For $\alpha>0$, let $B_{1}(\alpha)$ be the class of normalised analytic functions $f$ defined in the open unit disc $D$ such that $\operatorname{Re}(f(z) / z)^{\alpha-1} f^{\prime}(z)>0$ for $z \in D$. Sharp upper and lower bounds are obtained for $\left|z f^{\prime}(z) / f(z)\right|$ when $f \in B_{1}(\alpha)$.


1. Introduction. For $\alpha>0$, denote by $B(\alpha)$ the class of analytic Bazilevic functions defined in the unit disc $D$, with $f(0)=0$ and $f^{\prime}(0)=1$ (e.g. $[\mathbf{2}, 8]$ ) and by $B_{1}(\alpha)$ the subclass of $B(\alpha)$ for which

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re} f^{\prime}(z)(f(z) / z)^{\alpha-1}>0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $z \in D[7]$. Clearly $B_{1}(1)=R$, the class of analytic functions satisfying $\operatorname{Re} f^{\prime}(z)>0$ in $D$ first studied by Alexander [1].

In [9], it was shown that for $f \in R$ and $z \in D$,

$$
\left|\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right| \leq \frac{-K}{(1-|z|) \log (1-|z|)}
$$

where $K$ is an absolute constant. Recently, London [5] obtained the sharp upper bound and Gray and Ruscheweyh [4], the sharp upper and lower bounds for $\left|z f^{\prime}(z) / f(z)\right|$ when $f \in R$.

In this paper, we give sharp upper and lower bounds for the wider class $B_{1}(\alpha)$. This sharpens the upper bound estimate given by El-Ashwah and Thomas [3].
2. Results. Following Gray and Ruscheweyh (loc. cit), we begin by defining a slightly wider class of functions.

Definition. For $\alpha>0$, denote by $B_{0}(\alpha)$ the class of function analytic in $D$ with $f(0)=0, f^{\prime}(0)=1$ and satisfying the condition

$$
\operatorname{Re} e^{i \phi} f^{\prime}(z)(f(z) / z)^{\alpha-1}>0
$$

for $z \in D$ and for some $\phi=\phi(f) \in \mathbf{R}$.
Theorem. For $f \in B_{0}(\alpha)$ and $|z| \leq r<1$,

$$
\frac{1-r}{\alpha(1+r)} \int_{0}^{1} t^{\alpha-1} \frac{1-t r}{1+t r} d t \leq\left|\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right| \leq \frac{1+r}{\alpha(1-r)} \int_{0}^{1} t^{\alpha-1} \frac{1+t r}{1-t r} d t
$$

The left-hand and right-hand inequalities are sharp in $B_{0}(\alpha)$ for the function

$$
f_{0}(z)=z\left(\alpha \int_{0}^{1} t^{\alpha-1} \frac{1+t z}{1-t z} d t\right)^{1 / \alpha}
$$

at $z=-r$ and $z=r$ respectively.
We use the method of Gray and Ruscheweyh (loc. cit) and require the following lemma.
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Lemma. Let $F(z)=1-z^{\alpha} /\left(\alpha \int_{0}^{z} \varsigma^{\alpha-1} /(1-\varsigma) d \varsigma\right)$ and $G(z)=(1-F(z)) /(1-z)$. Then $F$ and $G$ have nonnegative Taylor coefficients about $z=0$, and in particular for $|z| \leq r<1$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
|F(z)| \leq F(r)<\lim _{t \rightarrow 1} F(t)=1,  \tag{2}\\
\left|F^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq F^{\prime}(r) \tag{3}
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
|G(z)| \leq G(r)
$$

Proof. Let

$$
H(z)=F(z)-1=-z^{\alpha} /\left(\alpha \int_{0}^{z} \frac{\varsigma^{\alpha-1}}{1-\varsigma} d \zeta\right) .
$$

Then clearly

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1-z)\left(z H^{\prime}(z)-\alpha H(z)\right)=\alpha H^{2}(z) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

With $H(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{k} z^{k}$, (5) implies that

$$
(k-\alpha) c_{k}=(k-1-\alpha) c_{k-1}+\alpha \sum_{j=0}^{k} c_{j} c_{k-j}
$$

where $c_{-1}=0$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{0}=-1, \quad c_{1}=\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}, \quad c_{2}=\frac{\alpha}{(2+\alpha)(\alpha+1)^{2}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for $k \geq 3$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(k+\alpha) c_{k}=\left(k+\frac{\alpha^{2}-2 \alpha-1}{\alpha+1}\right) c_{k-1}+b_{k} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
b_{3}=0 \quad \text { and } \quad b_{k}=\alpha \sum_{j=2}^{k-2} c_{j} c_{k-j} \quad \text { for } k \geq 4
$$

Since $3+\left(\alpha^{2}-2 \alpha-1\right) /(\alpha+1)>0$ a simple induction argument using (6) and (7) shows that $c_{k}>0$ for $k \geq 1$. Thus the coefficients of $F$ are nonnegative and (2) and (3) follow. Finally, with $G(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} d_{k} z^{k}$, we have

$$
d_{k}=1-\sum_{j=1}^{k} c_{j}=1-\lim _{t \rightarrow 1} \sum_{j=1}^{k} c_{j} t^{j} \geq 1-\lim _{t \rightarrow 1} F(t)=0
$$

and (4) follows.
Proof of the Theorem. From (1), it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}=\frac{h(z)}{\alpha z^{-\alpha} \int_{0}^{z} \varsigma^{\alpha-1} h(\varsigma) d \zeta}=\frac{h(z)}{\alpha \int_{0}^{1} t^{\alpha-1} h(t z) d t} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\operatorname{Re} e^{i \phi} h(z)>0$ for $z \in D$. It follows from the Duality Principle [6, Theorem 1.1, Corollary 1.1 and Theorem 1.6] that any value assumed by the right-hand side of (8) for some $z \in D$ is also assumed for this $z$ when $h$ is a function of the form
$(1+x z) /(1+y z)$ where $|x|,|y|=1$. Clearly in obtaining upper and lower bounds for $\left|z f^{\prime}(z) / f(z)\right|$, we may take

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(z)=\frac{1+x z}{1-z} \quad \text { for }|x|=1 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We first obtain the lower bound in the Theorem. Using (8) and (9), we write

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{f(z)}{z f^{\prime}(z)} & =\frac{\alpha}{z^{\alpha}} \frac{1-z}{1+x z} \int_{0}^{z} \varsigma^{\alpha-1} \frac{1+x \varsigma}{1-\varsigma} d \varsigma \\
& =\alpha \int_{0}^{1} t^{\alpha-1} \frac{1-z}{1+x z} \cdot \frac{1+x t z}{1-t z} d t
\end{aligned}
$$

Now for $0 \leq t \leq 1$ and $|z|<1$,

$$
\frac{1+t|z|}{1+|z|} \leq\left|\frac{1+t z}{1+z}\right| \leq \frac{1-t|z|}{1-|z|}
$$

Thus

$$
\left|\frac{1+x t z}{1+x z} \frac{1-z}{1-t z}\right| \leq \frac{1-t|z|}{1-|z|} \frac{1+|z|}{1+t|z|}
$$

and so

$$
\left|\frac{f(z)}{z f^{\prime}(z)}\right| \leq \alpha \frac{1+r}{1-r} \int_{0}^{1} t^{\alpha-1} \frac{1-t r}{1+t r} d t
$$

which is the required lower bound.
For the upper bound, we use (9) together with $F$ as defined in the Lemma to write

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha \int_{0}^{z} \varsigma^{\alpha-1} h(\varsigma) d \varsigma & =\alpha \int_{0}^{z} \varsigma^{\alpha-1}\left(-x+\frac{x+1}{1-\varsigma}\right) d \varsigma \\
& =z^{\alpha} \frac{1+x F(z)}{1-F(z)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence (8) and (9) give

$$
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}=G(z) \frac{1+x z}{1+x F(z)}
$$

where $G(z)=(1-F(z)) /(1-z)$. Since $(1+a z) /(1+b z)$ maps the closed unit disc onto the circle centre $(1-a \bar{b}) /\left(1-|b|^{2}\right)$, radius $|a-b| /\left(1-|b|^{2}\right)$ provided $|b|<1$, we deduce that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right| & \leq|G(z)| \frac{|z-F(z)|+|1-F(z) \bar{z}|}{1-|F(z)|^{2}} \\
& =\frac{|G(z)|}{1-|F(z)|^{2}}\left(r\left|1-\frac{F(z)}{z}\right|+\left|1-r^{2}+r^{2}\left(1-\frac{F(z)}{z}\right)\right|\right) \\
& \leq \frac{|G(z)|}{1-|F(z)|^{2}}\left(r(1+r)\left|1-\frac{F(z)}{z}\right|+\left(1-r^{2}\right)\right) \\
& =\frac{1+r}{1-|F(z)|^{2}}\left(\frac{r}{\alpha}\left|F^{\prime}(z)\right|+(1-r)|G(z)|\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used $F^{\prime}(z)=\alpha G(z)(1-F(z) / z)$.

It now follows from the Lemma that the last expression is maximal for $z=r$ and so

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right| & \leq \frac{(1+r) G(r)}{1+F(r)}=\frac{1+r}{1-r} \frac{1-F(r)}{1+F(r)} \\
& =\frac{1+r}{1-r}\left(-1+2 \alpha r^{-\alpha} \int_{0}^{r} \frac{\zeta^{\alpha-1}}{1-\zeta} d \zeta\right)^{-1} \\
& =(1+r)\left(\alpha(1-r) \int_{0}^{1} t^{\alpha-1} \frac{1+t r}{1-t r} d t\right)^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

which completes the proof.
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