## EXAMPLE OF A $T_1$ TOPOLOGICAL SPACE WITHOUT A NOETHERIAN BASE

ANGEL TAMARIZ-MASCARÚA AND RICHARD G. WILSON

(Communicated by Doug W. Curtis)

ABSTRACT. A Noetherian base  $\mathscr{B}$  of a topological space X is a base for the topology of X which has the following property: If  $B_1 \subset B_2 \subset \cdots$  is a nondecreasing sequence of elements of  $\mathscr{B}$ , then  $\{B_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is finite. In this article we give an example of a  $T_1$  topological space without a Noetherian base.

## I. Introduction.

DEFINITION 1.1. A collection  $\mathscr{C}$  of subsets of a set X is Noetherian if  $\mathscr{C}$  does not contain a strictly increasing infinite chain.

There are large classes of topological spaces which have a Noetherian base (see [3]), for example if X is a normed linear space, the collection of open balls of radius  $1/n \ (n \in \mathbb{N})$  constitutes a Noetherian base of X. On the other hand, **R** with the topology  $\tau = \{\emptyset, \mathbb{R}\} \cup \{(a, \infty): a \in \mathbb{R}\}$  is a non  $T_1$ -space with no Noetherian base. An important unsolved problem is the following:

Does Con(ZFC) imply that Con (ZFC + there exists a  $T_2$ -space without a Noetherian base)?

However, the following is known:

THEOREM 1.2[1 and 4]. Let  $\alpha$  be an ordinal. The space  $\alpha$  has a Noetherian base if and only if  $\alpha + 1$  does not contain a strongly inaccessible cardinal.

In the section that follows we give an example, in ZFC, of a  $T_1$ -space that has no Noetherian base.

## II. A $T_1$ topological space with no Noetherian base.

DEFINITION 2.1. A topological space X is Noetherianly refinable or in abbreviated notation, N-refinable, if each open covering has a Noetherian open refinement.

It is easy to see that if X has a Noetherian base then it is N-refinable and that X is N-refinable if and only if each open cover has a refinement which is an antichain of open sets.

LEMMA 2.2 [2]. Let  $\alpha$  be an uncountable regular cardinal. Let  $E \subset \alpha$  be a stationary subset of  $\alpha$  and let  $\phi: E \to \alpha$  be a regressive function. Then, there is  $\xi < \alpha$  such that  $|\phi^{-1}(\xi)| = \alpha$ .

©1988 American Mathematical Society 0002-9939/88 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

Received by the editors April 20, 1987 and, in revised form, August 18, 1987.

<sup>1980</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification (1985 Revision). Primary 54G20; Secondary 54D20. Key words and phrases. Noetherian base, N-refinable space.

The work formed a part of the doctoral thesis of the first author, written at the Universidad Autónoma Metropolitana-Iztapalapa under the direction of the second author and Adalberto García-Máynez.

For each  $\lambda \leq \omega_1$ , let  $\mathscr{B}_{\lambda} = \{A \subset \lambda : |\lambda - A| < \aleph_0\}$ . If  $B_1, B_2 \in \mathscr{B} = \bigcup_{\lambda \leq \omega_1} \mathscr{B}_{\lambda}$ , then  $B_1 \cap B_2 \in \mathscr{B}$ . Therefore  $\mathscr{B}$  is a base for a topology  $\tau$  in  $\omega_1$ .

**REMARK** 2.3.  $A \in \tau - \mathscr{B}$  if and only if  $A = \lambda - C$ , where  $\lambda < \omega_1$  and C is a cofinal subset in  $\lambda$  of order type  $\omega$  (o.t.  $C = \omega$ ).

THEOREM 2.4.  $(\omega_1, \tau)$  is a  $T_1$ -space which is not N-refinable (and therefore,  $(\omega_1, \tau)$  does not have a Noetherian base).

PROOF. Let us suppose that  $\mathscr{A} \subset \tau$  is a refinement of  $\mathscr{C} = \{\lambda + 1 : \lambda \in \omega_1\}$ . Let  $\lambda_0 = 0$  and let  $A_0 \in \mathscr{A}$  be such that  $\lambda_0 \in A_0$ . Then, there is  $\lambda_1 \in \omega_1$  such that  $A_0 = \lambda_1 - C_1$ , where  $C_1$  is either finite or is an infinite cofinal subset of  $\lambda_1$  of order type  $\omega$  (see 2.3). Let  $A'_0 = A_0 \cup \{\eta \in C_1 : \eta > \lambda_0\}$ . Let  $A_1 \in \mathscr{A}$  be such that  $\lambda_1 \in A_1$ . There is  $\lambda_2 \in \omega_1$  such that  $A_1 = \lambda_2 - C_2$ , where  $C_2$  is finite or is an infinite cofinal subset of  $\lambda_2$  of order type  $\omega$ . Let  $A'_1 = A_1 \cup \{\eta \in C_2 : \eta > \lambda_1\}$ .

Let us suppose that for some  $\gamma < \omega_1$ , we have chosen the collections:  $\{\lambda_\beta\}_{\beta < \gamma} \subset \omega_1, \{A_\beta\}_{\beta < \gamma} \subset \mathscr{A}$  and  $\{A'_\beta\}_{\beta < \gamma}$ , such that  $\lambda_\beta \in A_\beta = \lambda_{\beta+1} - C_{\beta+1}$ , where  $C_{\beta+1}$  is either finite or is an infinite cofinal subset of  $\lambda_{\beta+1}$  of order type  $\omega$ . Moreover, for each  $\beta < \gamma$ ,  $A'_\beta = A_\beta \cup \{\eta \in C_{\beta+1} : \eta > \lambda_\beta\}$ .

We construct, inductively,  $\lambda_{\gamma} \in \omega_1$ ,  $A_{\gamma} \in \mathscr{A}$  and  $A'_{\gamma}$ :

If  $\gamma$  is a nonlimit ordinal and  $\gamma - 1$  is the immediate predecessor of  $\gamma$ , then there exist  $\lambda_{\gamma} < \omega_1$  such that  $A_{\gamma-1} = \lambda_{\gamma} - C_{\gamma}$ . If  $\gamma$  is a limit ordinal, let  $\lambda_{\gamma} = \sup\{\lambda_{\beta}: \beta < \gamma\}$ . In both cases, let  $A_{\gamma} \in \mathscr{A}$  such that  $\lambda_{\gamma} \in A_{\gamma}$ . There is  $\lambda_{\gamma+1} \in \omega_1$ such that  $A_{\gamma} = \lambda_{\gamma+1} - C_{\gamma+1}$  where  $C_{\gamma+1}$  is either finite or is an infinite cofinal subset of  $\lambda_{\gamma+1}$  of order type  $\omega$  (see 2.3). Let  $A'_{\gamma} = A_{\gamma} \cup \{\eta \in C_{\gamma+1}: \eta > \lambda_{\gamma}\}$ .

By the inductive construction,  $\{\lambda_{\beta}\}_{\beta < \omega_1}$  is cofinal in  $\omega_1$ .

Let  $\mathscr{A}' = \{A'_{\beta} : \beta < \omega_1\}$ . It is easy to see that each  $A'_{\beta}$  is an open set. In fact,  $A'_{\beta} \in \mathscr{B}$  for each  $\beta < \omega_1$ .

We claim that:

(1) If  $\mathscr{A}$  is an antichain, then  $\mathscr{A}'$  is also an antichain.

In fact, let  $A'_{\gamma}, A'_{\beta} \in \mathscr{A}'$  where  $\gamma < \beta$ .  $A'_{\gamma} = A_{\gamma} \cup \{\eta \in C_{\gamma+1} : \eta > \lambda_{\gamma}\}$  and  $A'_{\beta} = A_{\beta} \cup \{\eta \in C_{\beta+1} : \eta > \lambda_{\beta}\}$ .  $A'_{\gamma}$  does not contain  $A'_{\beta}$  since  $\lambda_{\beta} \in A'_{\beta} - A'_{\gamma}$ . On the other hand, if  $\eta_0 \in A_{\gamma} - A_{\beta}$ , then  $\eta_0 \in A'_{\gamma} - A'_{\beta}$ . Therefore  $\mathscr{A}'$  is an antichain.

(2) Let  $E' = \bigcup_{\gamma < \omega_1} A'_{\gamma}$  and let  $G = \omega_1 - E'$ . Then, the set G is empty or has order type  $\leq \omega$ . Furthermore  $E = \{ \alpha \in E' : \alpha \text{ is a limit ordinal} \}$  is a stationary subset of  $\omega_1$ .

In fact, let us suppose that G is a subset of  $\omega_1$  such that o.t.  $G > \omega$ . Let  $\eta_0 \in G$  be such that o.t.  $\{\eta \in G : \eta < \eta_0\} > \omega$ . Since  $\{\lambda_\gamma\}_{\gamma < \omega_1}$  is a cofinal subset in  $\omega_1$ , then, there is  $\lambda_{\xi}$  such that  $\eta_0 < \lambda_{\xi}$ . But  $\lambda_{\xi} \in A'_{\xi} = \lambda_{\xi+1} - C'_{\xi+1}$ , where  $C'_{\xi+1} = \{\eta \in C_{\xi+1} : \eta < \lambda_{\xi}\}$  and o.t.  $C'_{\xi+1} \leq \omega$ . Therefore  $A'_{\xi} \cap G \neq \emptyset$ . This contradiction proves that o.t.  $G \leq \omega$ . As an immediate consequence the set  $E = \{\alpha \in E' : \alpha \text{ is a limit ordinal}\}$  is a stationary subset of  $\omega_1$ .

For each  $\eta \in E$ , let  $g(\eta)$  be the smallest  $\gamma$  such that  $\gamma \in A'_{\gamma} = \lambda_{\gamma+1} - C'_{\gamma+1}$ . If  $T_{\eta} = \{\xi < \omega_1 : \lambda_{\xi} \le \eta\}$ , then  $g(\eta) = \sup T_{\eta}$  and therefore  $\lambda_{g(\eta)} \le \eta$ . Since  $\eta$  is a limit ordinal,  $\lambda_{g(\eta)} \le \eta$  and  $\eta \in A'_{g(\eta)} = \lambda_{g(\eta)+1} - C'_{g(\eta)+1}$  (where  $C'_{g(\eta)+1} \subset \lambda_{g(\eta)}$  is a finite set) there is  $a_{\eta} < \eta$  such that  $C'_{g(\eta)+1} \subset a_{\eta}$ . The function  $\phi(\eta) = a_{\eta}$  is a regressive function. Since E is a stationary subset in  $\omega_1$ , there is  $\xi < \omega_1$  such that  $|\phi^{-1}(\eta)| = \omega_1$  (Lemma 2.2). Let  $M = \phi^{-1}(\xi)$ . Since  $|M| = \omega_1$  and

 $|\xi| = \omega$ , there exist an infinite subset K of M and a finite subset  $C \subset \xi$ , such that  $A'_{g(k)} = \lambda_{g(k)+1} - C$  for each  $k \in K$ . Therefore  $\{A'_{g(k)} : k \in K\}$  is an infinite strictly increasing chain of elements of  $\mathscr{A}'$ . It follows from (1) that  $\mathscr{A}$  is not an antichain, that is,  $(\omega_1, \tau)$  is not N-refinable.

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

- 1. E. K. van Douwen, Noetherian bases (manuscript).
- 2. I. Juhasz, Cardinal functions in topology, Mathematical Centre, Amsterdam, 1971.
- 3. A. Tamariz-Mascarúa, Bases Noetherianas en espacios topológicos, Tesis Doctoral, Universidad Autónoma Metropolitana-Iztapalapa, 1986.
- 4. \_\_\_\_, Noetherian bases in ordinal spaces, Bol. Soc. Mat. Mexicana 30 (1985).

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS, UNIVERSIDAD NACION-AL AUTÓNOMA DE MÉXICO, CIUDAD UNIVERSITARIA, 04510 MÉXICO D.F. (Current address of Angel Tamariz-Mascarúa)

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, UNIVERSIDAD AUTÓNOMA METROPOLITANA, UNIDAD IZTAPALAPA, 09340 MÉXICO D.F.

Current address (R. G. Wilson): Department of Mathematics, Lehman College, CUNY, Bronx, New York 10468